Inducible Inactivation of Hepatic LRP Gene by Cre-mediated Recombination Confirms Role of LRP in Clearance of Chylomicron Remnants
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Abstract

The multifunctional low density lipoprotein (LDL) receptor–related protein (LRP) has been postulated to participate in a number of diverse physiological and pathological processes ranging from the homeostasis of plasma lipoproteins, atherosclerosis, and fibrinolysis to neuronal regeneration and survival. It has not been possible to demonstrate in vivo the physiological significance of LRP for each of these complex processes by a conventional gene knockout approach because LRP is essential for embryonic development. Here we have used the Cre/loxP recombination system to achieve inducible, tissue-specific and quantitative disruption of the LRP gene in adult mice. Inactivation of LRP in the livers of LDL receptor-deficient mice resulted in the accumulation of cholesterol-rich remnant lipoproteins in the circulation. In normal animals, this caused a compensatory upregulation of the LDL receptor in the liver. Conditional gene targeting has thus allowed us to isolate a specific physiological function of LRP for in vivo analysis and has provided unequivocal evidence for another LDL receptor–independent cholesterol clearance pathway in liver. (J. Clin. Invest. 1998. 101: 689–695.) Key words: Cre recombinase • low density lipoprotein • homologous recombination • chylomicron remnant • cholesterol metabolism

Introduction

The removal of large cholesterol-rich lipoproteins containing apoB from the circulation takes place primarily in the liver and is mediated by at least two endocytic receptors, the LDL receptor and the LDL receptor–related protein (LRP). The LDL receptor binds apoB100 as well as apoE. A genetic defect in the LDL receptor is the underlying cause for familial hypercholesterolemia in which the apoB100 containing LDL fraction in plasma is dramatically increased (1). In contrast, the clearance of apoB48/apoE containing chylomicron remnants which carry dietary cholesterol from the gut to the liver is not affected in this disease in humans (2) and animals (3, 4) that are homozygous for an LDL receptor gene defect.

Much circumstantial evidence, obtained in vitro as well as in vivo, suggests that the alternative pathway by which chylomicron remnants are taken up by hepatocytes is in fact a complex process involving hepatic lipase (5), cell surface heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs) (6, 7), cell surface bound apoE (8, 9), and the LRP (10–12). A currently accepted model states that chylomicrons, after having been converted to remnants by the action of lipoprotein lipase in the peripheral capillary beds, penetrate the fenestrated endothelium in the liver and interact with the hepatocyte cell surface. Hepatic lipase, apoE, and HSPGs together mediate this initial sequestration which takes place in the space of Disse. In a subsequent step, remnants are thought either to interact directly with the LDL receptor (13) or to undergo enrichment with surface-bound apoE which makes them competent to bind to the LRP. The receptor–ligand complexes are then endocytosed and transported to the lysosomes (14, 15).

Because chylomicron remnant uptake by the liver is a such a complex multistep process during which the chylomicron particle sequentially interacts with various lipases, apoproteins, proteoglycans, and receptors, it has proven difficult to demonstrate unequivocally the extent by which LRP contributes under physiological conditions to hepatic remnant metabolism. The strongest indication for a physiologically significant role of LRP in the process stems from gene transfer and knockout experiments in mice. A knockout of the LRP gene itself is not compatible with normal embryonic development and LRP-deficient embryos die early during gestation (16, 17). The reason for this lethality presumably lies in the fact that LRP is a multifunctional receptor that binds many biologically diverse ligands, resulting in a pleiotropic developmental phenotype. Fortunately, overexpression (11) and gene inactivation (12) of the receptor-associated protein RAP, a molecular chaperone that tightly binds to LRP and thus prevents the binding of all currently known ligands to the receptor, have provided us with animal models in which hepatic LRP activity is decreased significantly or abolished. The results of these studies are consistent with a scenario in which LRP, in concert with the LDL receptor, mediates the endocytic uptake of chylomicron remnants into the liver. However, because RAP also interacts with other members of the LDL receptor gene family and possibly with other as yet unidentified proteins, a potential participation of receptors other than LRP, such as the postulated lipolysis-stimulated receptor (18), could not be excluded.

To resolve this question we have used inducible tissue-specific gene knockout strategies to selectively destroy the LRP gene in the liver of adult mice expressing or lacking LDL receptors.
Methods

Polyninosinic-polycytidyllic ribonucleic acid (pI:pC) was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). The MX1 cre expression construct (as published in reference 19) was kindly provided by the Rajewsky group (Cologne, Germany). Recombinant adenosivirus carrying the Cre gene under the control of the cytomegalovirus promoter (AdCre1) was kindly provided by Drs. Frank Graham and Martina Anton (McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada) (20). Cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations were determined enzymatically with assay kits obtained from Boehringer Mannheim (Indianapolis, IN) and Sigma Chemical Co., respectively.

Transgenic animals. Mice in which LRP alleles have been altered by the introduction of loxP sites (referred to as LRP$^{flox/flox}$ or LRP$^{flox}$) were generated by homologous recombination of the LRP allele in embryonic stem cells and have been described previously (21). Mice transgenic for the MX1 cre expression construct were generated by pronuclear injection of hybrid (SJL × C57BL/6J) mice (22). Mice from five independent transgenic lines were analyzed by breeding to LRP$^{flox/flox}$ mice, pI:pC induction of F2 animals carrying the Cre transgene, and determination by Southern blotting of the extent of Cre-mediated recombination of the floxed LRP allele in the livers of the induced mice. Three lines were highly effective in inducing recombination of the LRP allele in the liver. Of these, line 29-4, which had integrated ~10 copies of the transgene, was chosen for all subsequent experiments. Induction with pI:pC was done by intraperitoneal injection of 250 µl of a 1 mg/ml solution of pI:pC in water. Injections were repeated up to three times in 2-d intervals. Animals were fed regular rodent chow containing 6% fat (wt/wt) (Teklad Premier Laboratory Diets, Madison, WI).

Virus injections and FPLC analysis of plasma lipoproteins. Recombinant AdCre1 virus for intravenous injection of mice was grown and purified as described previously (21). Animals were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of 250 µl of a 1 mg/ml solution of pI:pC in water. Injections were repeated up to three times in 2-d intervals. Animals were fed regular rodent chow containing 6% fat (wt/wt) (Teklad Premier Laboratory Diets, Madison, WI).

Immunoblot analysis of membrane proteins and apoproteins. Plasma was collected by retroorbital bleeding before and 10–21 d after virus infection and analyzed by immunoblotting with polyclonal antibodies directed against mouse apoB, apoE, and apoAI (11, 12). Membrane proteins were prepared from mouse livers and brains, separated by nonreducing SDS-gel electrophoresis on 4–15% polyacrylamide gels, and analyzed with polyclonal rabbit antibodies directed against LRP and LDL receptor (21). Bound IgG was detected using the enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) system (Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL). Densitometric scanning was performed using an IS-1000 Digital Imaging System 2.0 (Alpha Innotech Corp., San Leandro, CA).

Liver-specific LRP gene inactivation in adult mice. In a previous study we reported the generation of homozygous mice in which both LRP alleles had been altered by the introduction of loxP sites into intronic and upstream promoter sequences. We demonstrated that recombination of the floxed LRP alleles (and thereby inactivation of the LRP gene) could be achieved in essentially 100% of the hepatocytes after intravenous injection of a recombinant adenosivirus carrying the Cre recombinase into the animals. Expression of the recombinase led to the excision of the DNA sequences located between the loxP sites and thus to the removal of functionally critical DNA elements and coding sequences from the LRP gene locus (21). Because expression of virally transferred exogenous genes, in this case the Cre recombinase, is almost exclusively limited to the liver (24), LRP inactivation was highly tissue-specific and selective for the liver.

In this study we have used this approach to genetically dissect the physiological role of LRP in hepatic lipoprotein metabolism. We first generated four genetically distinct strains of animals by cross-breeding homozygous LDL receptor deficient mice (LDLR$^{-/-}$) with animals that were homozygous for the floxed LRP allele (LRP$^{flox/flox}$). In the second generation, mice that were wild-type at both loci (wt), deficient for the LDL receptor (LDLR$^{-/-}$), homozygous for the floxed LRP allele (LRP$^{flox/flox}$), or homozygous for both mutations (LDLR$^{-/-};$ LRP$^{flox/flox}$) were obtained and further propagated by inbreeding. Two animals of each of the four genotypes were intravenously injected with Cre adenovirus (AdCre1) and killed 21 d later. Liver membrane proteins from the individual animals were separated on a nonreducing SDS gel and analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies directed against the LDL receptor (LDLR) and LRP and the ECL system.

Results

Liver-specific LRP gene inactivation in adult mice. In a previous study we reported the generation of homozygous mice in which both LRP alleles had been altered by the introduction of loxP sites into intronic and upstream promoter sequences. We demonstrated that recombination of the floxed LRP alleles (and thereby inactivation of the LRP gene) could be achieved in essentially 100% of the hepatocytes after intravenous injection of a recombinant adenosivirus carrying the Cre recombinase into the animals. Expression of the recombinase led to the excision of the DNA sequences located between the loxP sites and thus to the removal of functionally critical DNA elements and coding sequences from the LRP gene locus (21). Because expression of virally transferred exogenous genes, in this case the Cre recombinase, is almost exclusively limited to the liver (24), LRP inactivation was highly tissue-specific and selective for the liver.

In this study we have used this approach to genetically dissect the physiological role of LRP in hepatic lipoprotein metabolism. We first generated four genetically distinct strains of animals by cross-breeding homozygous LDL receptor deficient mice (LDLR$^{-/-}$) with animals that were homozygous for the floxed LRP allele (LRP$^{flox/flox}$). In the second generation, mice that were wild-type at both loci (wt), deficient for the LDL receptor (LDLR$^{-/-}$), homozygous for the floxed LRP allele (LRP$^{flox/flox}$), or homozygous for both mutations (LDLR$^{-/-};$ LRP$^{flox/flox}$) were obtained and further propagated by inbreeding. Two animals of each of the four genotypes were intravenously injected with Cre adenovirus (AdCre1) and killed 21 d later. Liver membrane proteins from the individual animals were separated on a nonreducing SDS gel and analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies directed against the LDL receptor (LDLR) and the 85-kD subunit of LRP (LRP). Cre expression did not affect hepatic expression levels of LDL receptor and LRP in wild-type animals (21) (Fig. 1, lanes 1 and 2). LRP expression was also not affected in LDLR$^{-/-}$ mice (Fig. 1, lanes 5 and 6). In contrast, LRP expression was greatly reduced in mice from LRP$^{flox/flox}$ mice (Fig. 1, lanes 3 and 4) and in double mutant LDLR$^{-/-};$ LRP$^{flox/flox}$ animals (Fig. 1, lanes 7 and 8).

Defective remnant removal in LRP/LDLR double knockout animals. To determine the effect of loss of hepatic LRP expression on plasma lipoprotein homeostasis in normal and in LDL receptor–deficient mice, we next compared the plasma concentrations of apolipoproteins B100, B48, E, and AI in wild-type, LRP$^{flox/flox}$, LDLR$^{-/-}$, and LDLR$^{-/-};$ LRP$^{flox/flox}$ mice before (Fig. 2A) and after AdCre1 injection (Fig. 2B). In the
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Figure 2. Immunoblot analysis of plasma apoproteins in uninjected (A) and AdCre1-injected (B) mice. Plasma was obtained from two adult mice of each of the indicated genotypes immediately before (A) and 21 d after injection with 1.4 × 10^12 AdCre1 viral particles (B). 0.2 μl plasma was separated by 4–15% SDS gel electrophoresis and immunoblotted with the indicated polyclonal antibodies against apoB, apoE, and apoAI using the ECL system. The relative position of migration of apoB100, B48, E, and AI is indicated.

absence of Cre virus (Fig. 2 A), plasma concentrations of the various apoproteins examined were similar in wild-type (lanes 1 and 2) and LRP^flx/flx^ mice (lanes 3 and 4), and in LDLR^−/− (lanes 5 and 6) and LDLR^−/−:LRP^flx/flx^ animals (lanes 7 and 8), respectively. In contrast, after Cre virus administration, apoB48 concentrations were dramatically increased in LDLR^−/−:LRP^flx/flx^ animals (Fig. 2 B, lanes 7 and 8) as compared with LDLR^−/−^ mice (lanes 5 and 6). apoE concentrations were also slightly increased. In contrast, animals that lack LRP expression in the liver but express LDL receptor normally (Fig. 2 B, lanes 5 and 6) did not show any significant changes in their plasma apoprotein profiles as compared with wild-type controls that had also received the virus (Fig. 2 B, lanes 1 and 2).

The dramatic rise in apoB48 concentrations in the double mutant mice that lack functional LRP as well as LDL receptor in their livers was also reflected by the striking change in their plasma cholesterol profile (Fig. 3), as well as total plasma cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations (Table I). In this experiment, animals of the indicated genotype were either not injected (Fig. 3, open circles; Table I, – virus) or injected with AdCre1 (Fig. 3, closed circles; Table I, + virus). Plasma from the indicated number of animals in each group was obtained 21 d later, individual total plasma lipid concentrations were determined, and plasma from each animal was subjected to FPLC fractionation to separate the lipoproteins by size. AdCre1 injection into LDLR^−/−:LRP^flx/flx^ animals (Fig. 3 D) led to a large increase of plasma lipoproteins in the chylomicron remnant/VLDL and LDL size range (closed circles). Total plasma cholesterol as well as triglyceride concentrations were approximately twofold increased as compared with non-injected LDLR^−/−:LRP^flx/flx^ mice or to injected or non-injected LDLR^−/−^ animals (Table I). In neither of the other groups (wild-type, LDLR^flx/flx^, LDLR^−/−^; Fig. 3, A ∆) did injection of Cre virus have any reproducible effect on total plasma lipid levels or the plasma cholesterol profile of the animals.

LRP inactivation by pharmacological induction of a Cre transgene. Similar results were obtained in mice in which hepatic expression of Cre recombinase was achieved from an inducible transgene. Transgenic mice carrying Cre under the control of the interferon-inducible MX1 promoter were generated independently using the same construct used to generate the MX1 cre transgenic strain of mice described by Kühn et al. (19). In these animals, Cre is not expressed unless the animals are either injected with interferon or are induced to make interferon. The latter can be achieved by intraperitoneally injecting the animals with pLPC, a double-stranded synthetic RNA compound that induces peritoneal macrophages to secrete large amounts of interferon which subsequently enters the circulation. The expression profile of the Cre transgene in

Figure 3. Plasma cholesterol profiles of uninjected (open circles) and AdCre1-injected (closed circles) mice. Plasma was obtained from adult wild-type (A), LDLR^flx/flx^ (B), LDLR^−/−^ (C), and LDLR^flx/flx^; LDLR^−/−^ (D) mice immediately before (open circles) and 21 d after injection with 1.4 × 10^12 AdCre1 viral particles (closed circles). 50 μl plasma from each mouse was separated on a Superose 6 FPLC column and cholesterol content of the individual fractions was determined spectrofluorimetrically. The relative positions of remnant lipoproteins (CR), LDL, and HDL are indicated. Shown profiles are the average of profiles obtained from three to four individual animals of the indicated genotype.
Table I. Total Plasma Cholesterol and Triglyceride Concentrations in AdCrel Injected or Noninjected Mice of the Indicated Genotypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cholesterol (mg/dl)</th>
<th>Triglycerides (mg/dl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+ virus</td>
<td>- virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ virus</td>
<td>- virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild-Type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81±6 (3)</td>
<td>120±7 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73±4 (3)</td>
<td>42±10 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRPflox/flox;LDLR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90±29 (4)</td>
<td>118±28 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77±10 (4)</td>
<td>52±16 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDLR&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250±13 (3)</td>
<td>287±31 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91±27 (3)</td>
<td>86±28 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRPflox/flox,LDLR&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>503±110 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234±27 (11)</td>
<td>185±47 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76±20 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Animals (age ~ 12 wk) were intravenously injected with 1.4 × 10<sup>12</sup> virus particles and the plasma was analyzed 10 d later. Values shown represent averages from the number of animals per group indicated in parentheses. Variances shown represent standard deviation determined by Student’s unpaired t test.

Our animals, in particular the kinetics of induction by pI:pC, and tissue specificity of expression were similar to those described in the original article by Kühn and colleagues (19). LRP expression was unaltered in brain after induction of the transgene in double mutant animals (Fig. 4, lanes 1 and 2) compared with non-Cre-transgenic controls (lanes 3 and 4). In contrast, LRP expression in the liver was completely abolished in pI:pC-induced MX1 cre<sup>+/−;LDLR<sup>−/−</sup>;LRPflox/flox animals (Fig. 4, lanes 5 and 6) as compared with identically treated control mice (lanes 7 and 8).

In the absence of inducing agent, LRP expression levels (not shown) and lipoprotein profiles (Fig. 5 A, open circles) of MX1 cre<sup>+/−;LDLR<sup>−/−</sup>;LRPflox/flox mice were indistinguishable from LDLR<sup>−/−</sup>;LRPflox/flox animals not harboring the MX1 cre transgene. Within 10 d of transgene induction, however, total plasma cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations were elevated approximately threefold in the MX1 cre<sup>+/−;LDLR<sup>−/−</sup>;LRPflox/flox animals whereas they were not significantly altered in the non-Cre-transgenic controls (Fig. 5 A, closed circles, and Table II). As was the case in the AdCrel-injected double mutant mice, chylomicron remnant/VLDL and LDL lipoprotein fractions were dramatically increased in animals harboring the Cre transgene, but not in nontransgenic controls. Again, a dramatic increase in apoB48 containing lipoproteins was primarily responsible for the elevation of plasma cholesterol and triglyceride levels in pI:pC-induced MX1 cre<sup>+/−;LDLR<sup>−/−</sup>;LRPflox/flox mice (Fig. 5 B). The significant increase in the size of the LDL peak after the induction of the Cre transgene in the LDLR<sup>−/−</sup>;LRPflox/flox mice was not due to an accumulation of apoB100 containing “true” LDL particles, but small apoB48 remnant lipoproteins (Fig. 5 A).

**LDL receptor upregulation in LRP knockout livers.** These findings supported the hypothesis that in the liver LRP and LDL receptor cooperate in removing large cholesterol-rich lipoproteins from the circulation. This raised the possibility that LDL receptor expression might be upregulated in LRP-deficient hepatocytes to compensate for the absence of a functional LDLR-mediated removal pathway. To test for such a compensatory regulatory mechanism we compared LDL receptor mRNA levels in LDLR-deficient livers (Fig. 6 A, lanes 3 and 4) with wild-type controls (lanes 1 and 2), the amount of LDL receptor protein expressed was consistently higher when LDLR was absent (Fig. 6 B, lanes 3 and 4) as compared with wild-type control livers (lanes 1 and 2). Quantitation of LDL receptor mRNA expression on a PhosphorImager and densitometric scanning of the immunoblot revealed no change of LDL receptor mRNA levels, but there was a 2.3-fold increase in LDL receptor protein expression in LDLR-deficient livers.

**Discussion**

In this study we have used tissue-specific gene knockout approaches to stably inactivate the LRP gene in livers of adult mice. Hepatic LRP expression was virtually abolished after expression of Cre recombinase in this organ. This somatic inactivation of the LRP gene had no obvious negative effects on the apparent general health of the animals, in contrast to the conventional germine disruption of the LRP gene which resulted in early embryonic lethality. However, physiological and biochemical analyses of the animals revealed that LRP-dependent clearance of specific ligands from the circulation by the liver was greatly impaired. These include α<sub>2</sub>-macroglobulin (21) and chylomicron remnants (this study). The current results provide definitive genetic evidence that the endocytic uptake of cholesterol-rich remnant lipoproteins from the circulation by the hepatocytes is achieved by means of a dual receptor system that involves the LDL receptor and the LRP.

We have used two different approaches to express Cre recombinase in the livers of adult mice and thereby specifically inactivate the LRP gene in a temporally and spatially controlled manner: by adenovirus-mediated gene transfer, and by induced expression from an integrated transgene. The first approach relies on the tissue tropism of adenovirus and on the fact that recombinant gene expression from intravenously injected adenovirus is almost exclusively limited to the liver (24). As an independent second approach, and to allay concerns about potential hepatotoxic effects due to viral infection, transgenic mice were generated in which expression of Cre was controlled by the interferon-inducible MX1 promoter (19). Interferon expression was elicited by intraperitoneal injection of
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Figure 4. Immunoblot analysis of LRP in brain and liver of MX1 cre transgenic or nontransgenic LRP<sup>flox/flox</sup>,LDLR<sup>−/−</sup> mice. Adult LRP<sup>flox/flox</sup>,LDLR<sup>−/−</sup> mice that were either transgenic (lanes 1, 2, 3, and 6) or nontransgenic (lanes 4, 7, and 8) for the MX1 cre transgene were injected (three times, intraperitoneally) with 250 μg pI:pC in 2-d intervals. 10 d after the last injection, membrane fractions were prepared from livers and brains of the animals and 50 μg protein/lane was separated by SDS gel electrophoresis and immunoblotted with an antibody that specifically recognizes the 85-kD subunit of LRP. Bound IgG was detected using the ECL system.
LRP, a double-stranded RNA polymer that activates and stimulates macrophages to secrete interferons.

Both methods yielded similar results. Hepatic LRP expression was reduced substantially or abolished after adenovirus-mediated Cre gene transfer (Fig. 1) or pI:pC induction (Fig. 4). Gene inactivation was limited to tissues accessible to circulating virus or interferons, as no loss of LRP protein expression was observed in virus or interferon-inaccessible tissues such as the brain (Fig. 4) where LRP is also highly expressed (21). Inactivation of the LRP gene in mice that expressed functional LDL receptors did not result in the accumulation of remnant lipoproteins. This was ascertained by immunoblot analysis of plasma apoproteins (Figs. 2 and 5 B), measurement of total plasma lipid concentrations (Tables I and II), and by FPLC size fractionation of plasma lipoproteins (Figs. 3 and 5 A). In contrast, absence of LRP expression in the livers of LDL receptor–deficient mice (3) resulted in an approximately two- to threefold elevation of total plasma cholesterol and triglyceride levels and a dramatic increase of apoB48, a marker for remnant lipoproteins, in plasma (Figs. 2 and 5 B). This was also reflected by the substantial increase of cholesterol that was carried in lipoproteins of large (VLDL, CR) and intermediate size (IDL, LDL) in doubly deficient mice (Figs. 3 and 5 A). These findings suggest that both LDL receptor and LRP are involved in the clearance of apoE containing remnants and that the LDL receptor can functionally fully compensate for LRP when the latter has been inactivated by somatic gene disruption (Fig. 3 B).

The increase in cholesterol-rich lipoproteins in the LDL/IDL size range was due to the accumulation of small apoB48 containing particles (Fig. 5 A). These results differ from those obtained in other animal models of defective remnant metabolism, i.e., apoE-deficient mice (25, 26), in which the accumulating particles are large and contain relatively more triglyceride. However, important differences exist between the LRP and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table II. Total Plasma Cholesterol and Triglyceride Concentrations in MX1 Cre Transgenic and Nontransgenic LRP&lt;sup&gt;lox/lox&lt;/sup&gt;; LDLR&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt; Mice Injected or Noninjected with pI:pC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cholesterol (mg/dl)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MX1 cre&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;; LRP&lt;sup&gt;lox/lox&lt;/sup&gt;; LDLR&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRP&lt;sup&gt;lox/lox&lt;/sup&gt;; LDLR&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Animals (age 16–20 wk) were injected (three times intraperitoneally) with 250 µg pI:pC and the plasma from two animals in each group was pooled and analyzed 10 d after the final injection.
the apoE knockout models. In apoE knockout mice the composition of the lipoprotein particles is altered due to the absence of apoE. Instead, the particles contain significant amounts of apoAIV. This change in apoprotein composition might affect the susceptibility of the chylomicrons to lipolytic processing and account for the observed differences in the make-up of the remnants.

In the mouse, substantial amounts of apoB48 are produced by the liver, in contrast to humans where this apoprotein is almost exclusively made by the intestine (27–29). The apoB48 containing remnants that accumulate in double knockout animals must therefore constitute a mixture derived from synthesis in both organs.

If both LRP and LDL receptor are equally effective in mediating the clearance of remnant lipoproteins in the liver, why is there no discernible accumulation of remnants in the circulation when either LRP or LDL receptor is functionally inactivated? One answer to these questions has been offered by the combined studies of Hamilton and Havel (8), Ji et al. (6, 7), and Mortimer et al. (9) who demonstrated that apoE in conjunction with HSPGs leads to sequestration of remnants on the hepatocyte surface and their subsequent uptake by an apoE-dependent secretion/recapture mechanism (30). Taken together, these studies suggest that remnant uptake by the liver involves a two-stage process in which circulating remnant particles are quickly removed from the bloodstream by a nonreceptor-mediated interaction with apoE on the hepatocyte surface, followed by enrichment with apoE and subsequent internalization by the LDL receptor and by LRP. In this model, remnant lipoproteins would only start to accumulate in the circulation after the sequestration compartment has been saturated.

Another reason why remnants do not accumulate when LRP alone has been knocked out in the liver has been offered by our finding that LDL receptor protein expression is increased in these animals (Fig. 6B). Because LDL receptor protein but not mRNA expression is increased, this compensatory mechanism is likely to take place at the posttranscriptional level, possibly by increasing receptor half-life. The increase of LDL receptor expression also suggests that under normal physiological conditions LRP contributes substantially to hepatic remnant clearance and does not merely serve as a backup receptor that has meaningful clearance functions only when the LDL receptor is absent.

Another unexpected observation has resulted from the present experiments. In a previous study (11), we used adenoviral transfer of the inhibitory protein RAP to completely prevent the binding of remnants to LRP. In these experiments we noted a large increase in the plasma concentration of cholesterol and triglycerides that were carried in apoB48 containing lipoprotein particles resembling remnants. In this study we also observed a marked increase in plasma cholesterol and apoB48 concentrations, but a much smaller increase in plasma triglyceride levels compared with the RAP overexpression experiments. This was true irrespective of whether LRP gene disruption was induced by adenoviral transfer of Cre or by induction of the recombinase from the integrated transgene, excluding the possibility of a hepatotoxic nonspecific viral effect. The most likely explanation for the observed quantitative difference between these two conceptually different experimental approaches is that RAP, when it circulates at high concentrations in the bloodstream, not only prevents remnant removal by LRP in the liver, but may also interfere with other receptors or biochemical processes that participate in the catabolism of chylomicrons and remnants. Future studies should identify this unknown RAP-sensitive step and determine whether a postulated lipolysis-stimulated receptor (18) might play a role in this pathway.

Taken together, these experiments provide unequivocal genetic evidence for a physiological role of LRP in the hepatic uptake of remnant lipoproteins and in the maintenance of plasma lipid homeostasis. They also demonstrate the feasibility of genetically dissecting and analyzing complex and developmentally essential biochemical pathways by temporally and spatially controlled somatic gene inactivation. By combining different tissue-specific promoters and a ligand-inducible Cre recombinase (31) it should be possible to elucidate the role of LRP or other essential genes for embryonic development as well as for neuronal development and survival and the formation of atherosclerotic lesions.
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