Autoantibodies against CD38 (ADP-ribosyl Cyclase/Cyclic ADP-ribose Hydrolase) that Impair Glucose-induced Insulin Secretion in Noninsulin–dependent Diabetes Patients
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Abstract

Cyclic ADP-ribose (cADPR) has been shown to be a mediator for intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization for insulin secretion by glucose in pancreatic \(\beta\) cells, and CD38 shows both ADP-ribosyl cyclase to synthesize cADPR from NAD\(^{+}\) and cADPR hydrolase to hydrolyze cADPR to ADP-ribose. We show here that 13.8% of Japanese non–insulin-dependent diabetes (NIDDM) patients examined have autoantibodies against CD38 and that the sera containing anti-CD38 autoantibodies inhibit the ADP-ribosyl cyclase activity of CD38 (\(P \leq 0.05\)). Insulin secretion from pancreatic islets by glucose is significantly inhibited by the addition of the NIDDM sera with anti-CD38 antibodies (\(P \leq 0.04–0.0001\)), and the inhibition of insulin secretion is abolished by the addition of recombinant CD38 (\(P \leq 0.02\)). The increase of cADPR levels in pancreatic islets by glucose was also inhibited by the addition of the sera (\(P \leq 0.05\)). These results strongly suggest that the presence of anti-CD38 autoantibodies in NIDDM patients can be one of the major causes of impaired glucose–induced insulin secretion in NIDDM. (J. Clin. Invest. 1998, 102:395–401.) Key words: NAD\(^{+}\), second messenger, Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization, islets, Western blot

Introduction

Noninsulin–dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM) is a heterogeneous disorder characterized by defects in glucose-induced insulin secretion as well as insulin action (1). Abnormalities associated with NIDDM have been identified in insulin (2, 3), in insulin receptor (4, 5), glucokinase (MODY2) (6, 7), HNF-4\(\alpha\) (MODY3) (8), HNF-1\(\alpha\) (MODY1) (9), and mitochondrial genes (10, 11). However, these abnormalities seem to be rare in the common form of NIDDM, and the major causes of NIDDM remain elusive.

We have proposed a model for insulin secretion by glucose via cyclic ADP-ribose (cADPR)–mediated Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization in pancreatic \(\beta\) cells (12–15). In the process of glucose metabolism, millimolar concentrations of ATP are generated (16–18), inducing cADPR accumulation by inhibiting the cADPR hydrolase activity of CD38 (ADP-ribosyl cyclase/cADPR hydrolase) (17, 18), and cADPR acts as a second messenger for intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization from the endoplasmic reticulum for insulin secretion (12, 19–21). Some controversial results have been reported using diabetic \(\beta\) cells such as obiob mouse islets and RINm5F cells (22–24). We have recently examined the Ca\(^{2+}\)-releasing activity of these diabetic \(\beta\) cell microsomes, compared it to that of the microsomes of normal \(\beta\) cells such as C57BL/6J mouse islets, and found that the Ca\(^{2+}\) release responses of these diabetic \(\beta\) cell microsomes were quite different from those of normal islet microsomes (15, 21). Microsomes from C57BL/6J mouse islets, as well as Wistar rat islets, released Ca\(^{2+}\) in response to cADPR, but scarcely in response to IP\(_3\). In contrast to normal islet microsomes, obiob mouse islet microsomes released only a small amount of Ca\(^{2+}\) by cADPR, but released much Ca\(^{2+}\) by IP\(_3\). RINm5F cell microsomes responded well to IP\(_3\), to release Ca\(^{2+}\), but did not respond to cADPR. Two systems for intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization (cADPR- and IP\(_3\)-dependent systems) thus appear to be differentially used in \(\beta\) cells, depending on whether the condition is physiological or pathological. Furthermore, we and others have reported that the CD38 expression was decreased in islets of animal models of diabetes such as GK rats (25) and obiob mice (21).

We have also reported that a monoclonal antibody against CD38 inhibited the enzymic activities of CD38 (17), suggesting the possibility that anti-CD38 antibodies cause the failure of cADPR accumulation in pancreatic \(\beta\) cells in response to glucose stimulation, thereby impairing glucose-induced insulin secretion. In the present study, we examined the presence of autoantibodies against CD38 in the sera of 377 Japanese NIDDM patients and 75 controls by immunoblot and found 13.8% of NIDDM patients had autoantibodies against CD38, whereas only 1 in 75 (1.3%) in the control group exhibited an ob late. A monoclonal antibody against CD38 has been reported to induce a monoclonal antibody against CD38, but did not respond to cADPR. Two systems for intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization (cADPR- and IP\(_3\)-dependent systems) thus appear to be differentially used in \(\beta\) cells, depending on whether the condition is physiological or pathological. Furthermore, we and others have reported that the CD38 expression was decreased in islets of animal models of diabetes such as GK rats (25) and obiob mice (21).

We have also reported that a monoclonal antibody against CD38 inhibited the enzymic activities of CD38 (17), suggesting the possibility that anti-CD38 antibodies cause the failure of cADPR accumulation in pancreatic \(\beta\) cells in response to glucose stimulation, thereby impairing glucose-induced insulin secretion. In the present study, we examined the presence of autoantibodies against CD38 in the sera of 377 Japanese NIDDM patients and 75 controls by immunoblot and found 13.8% of NIDDM patients had autoantibodies against CD38, whereas only 1 in 75 (1.3%) in the control group exhibited an extremely low level of autoantibody. The sera of patients with autoantibodies against CD38 inhibited the ADP-ribosyl cyclase activity of CD38, the increase of cADPR levels by glucose in islets, and glucose-induced insulin secretion.

Methods

Subjects. 377 subjects with NIDDM were randomly selected from outpatients of Tohoku University Hospital (Sendai, Japan) (mean age 60.7 yr, range 28–88). 75 nondiabetic subjects screened had no family history of diabetes and had normal fasting plasma glucose levels of < 6.1 mmol/l (110 mg/dl) and HbA\(_1c\) < 6.0% (mean age 50.7 yr, range 17–81). The diagnosis of NIDDM was based on WHO criteria...
Pancreatic islets were isolated from Wistar rats (12, 19) weighing 250–300 g. Islets (20/tube) were preincubated at 37°C for 30 min in 0.1 ml of Krebs-Ringer’s bicarbonate buffer (KRB) containing 0.2% BSA and 2.8 mM glucose with an anti-CD38 monoclonal antibody or human serum (finally to 10%) in the buffer (KRB) containing 0.2% BSA and 2.8 mM glucose with an anti-CD38 monoclonal antibody or human serum in the presence or absence of CD38. After 30 min of incubation, the medium was removed from the islets, and the insulin content of the medium was determined by RIA using a rat insulin RIA kit (Amersham Corp.) and rat insulin standard (19). The monoclonal antibody against human CD38 was T16 (14–18, 27) (Cosmo Bio Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

\[ \text{Insulin secretion} \]

Pancreatic islets were isolated from male Wistar rats (12, 19) weighing 250–350 g. Islets (20/tube) were preincubated at 37°C for 30 min in 0.1 ml of Krebs-Ringer’s bicarbonate buffer (KRB) containing 0.2% BSA and 2.8 mM glucose with an anti-CD38 monoclonal antibody or human serum (finally to 10%) in the presence or absence of CD38 under an atmosphere of 95% O\(_2\)/5% CO\(_2\). After the preincubation, the medium was discarded and islets were incubated in 0.1 ml of fresh KRB buffer containing 0.2% BSA and 20 mM glucose with an anti-CD38 monoclonal antibody or human serum in the presence or absence of CD38. After 30 min of incubation, the medium was removed from the islets, and the insulin content of the medium was determined by RIA using a rat insulin RIA kit (Amersham Corp.) and rat insulin standard (19). The monoclonal antibody against human CD38 was T16 (14–18, 27) (Cosmo Bio Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

\[ \text{cADPR measurement} \]

Pancreatic islets were isolated from Wistar male rats (250–350 g, SLC, Hamamatsu, Japan), which were fasted for 24 h before the experiments, by the collagenase procedure using Hanks solution containing 2.8 mM glucose (3, 9, 12, 21). Hand-picked islets (300–500/tube) were immediately incubated for 10 min at 37°C in 2 ml of KRB containing 0.2% BSA and 20 mM glucose with or without NIDDM sera under an atmosphere of 95% O\(_2\)/5% CO\(_2\). cADPR was extracted and concentrated as described (21). The recovery of cADPR, monitored by the recovery of \([\text{H}]\text{cADPR} \text{added in each homogenate, was 43.8±0.62% (n = 33). Correction was introduced for the recovery of cADPR. The cADPR content of the cell extracts was measured by a RIA as described (21, 32).}

\[ \text{Results} \]

\[ \text{Inhibition of insulin secretion by an anti-CD38 antibody.} \]

We isolated pancreatic islets from male Wistar rats, incubated them with a monoclonal antibody against CD38 (T16), which inhibits the enzymic activity of CD38 (17) and reacts with rat CD38 (27), and measured the glucose-induced insulin secretion. As shown in Fig. 1, the antibody inhibited the glucose-induced insulin secretion in a dose-dependent manner. Therefore, if anti-CD38 antibodies are present in human, glucose-induced insulin secretion could be impaired and result in NIDDM.

\[ \text{Detection of anti-CD38 antibodies in NIDDM patients.} \]

We examined the existence of anti-CD38 antibodies in Japanese NIDDM patients. As shown in Fig. 2 A, some NIDDM sera reacted with CD38 (lanes 1 and 3). When the NIDDM serum was incubated with CD38 to absorb anti-CD38 antibodies, the immunoreactive signal was abolished (Fig. 2 B), indicating that the signals in Western blot seen in Fig. 2 A represent anti-CD38 antibodies. We then screened sera for autoantibodies against CD38 in 377 Japanese NIDDM patients and 75 nondiabetic controls by Western blot method. Histograms of relative anti-CD38 values are shown in Fig. 3 A. In nondiabetic subjects, the relative values were within the mean±2 SD except for one subject. On the other hand, the distribution of relative anti-CD38 values showed two clear peaks in NIDDM patients.
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52 of 377 (13.8%) patients with NIDDM versus 1/75 (1.3%) of nondiabetic controls had anti-CD38 antibodies ($P = 0.0022$ in $\chi^2$ test). Although general characteristics such as sex ratio, age, known duration of diabetes, fasting blood glucose, glycosylated hemoglobin, body mass index (BMI), and C-peptide concentrations were similar between patients and controls, patients had higher anti-GAD antibody levels compared to controls ($P = 0.0022$ in $\chi^2$ test).

Table I. General Characteristics of Study Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CD38 (+)</th>
<th>CD38 (−)</th>
<th>$P$ Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>25/27</td>
<td>157/168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>59.08±2.23</td>
<td>61.20±0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known duration of diabetes (yr)</td>
<td>12.50±1.51</td>
<td>14.73±0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>7.40±0.32</td>
<td>7.71±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>7.43±0.19</td>
<td>7.76±0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.10±0.51</td>
<td>22.83±0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-peptide (nmol/l)</td>
<td>1.58±0.18</td>
<td>1.13±0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment (diet/oral agents/insulin)</td>
<td>16/12/24</td>
<td>63/107/155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoimmune disease (%)</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-GAD (U/ml)</td>
<td>3.14±0.17</td>
<td>6.56±3.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS: $P > 0.05$.

Figure 2. Detection of autoantibodies against CD38 in NIDDM sera by Western blotting. (A) Screening of anti-CD38 autoantibodies in NIDDM patients. Screening was carried out as described in Methods. The position of recombinant CD38 is marked by the arrow. (B) Preabsorption of anti-CD38 antibodies. NIDDM serum (A, lane 1) was incubated with or without human CD38 and used for Western blot. Nondiabetic serum was used as control.

Figure 3. (A) Relative value of anti-CD38 autoantibodies in NIDDM and nondiabetic sera. An arrow indicates mean±3 SD of nondiabetic control. The levels of CD38 antibodies expressed as an index value relative to a standard serum. Values above mean±3 SD of the control samples were regarded as antibody positive. (B) Inverse relationship between anti-CD38 value and age of diabetes onset.
index, serum C-peptide, the incidence of autoimmune diseases, such as systemic lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis, and Hashimoto’s thyroiditis, and anti-glutamic acid decarboxylase 65 (GAD65) antibodies between anti-CD38 antibody, positive and negative NIDDM patients did not show significant differences (Table I). There was an inverse correlation between the age of diabetes onset and the anti-CD38 antibody value as determined by simple regression analysis ($P = 0.0002, r = -0.513$) (Fig. 3 B).

Inhibition of glucose-induced insulin secretion and cADPR increase. Of 52 NIDDM sera reacting with human CD38, 7 NIDDM sera exhibited a positive reaction to recombinant rat CD38: Addition of 10 μg/ml CD38

Figure 4. Inhibition of glucose-induced insulin secretion and cADPR increase by anti-CD38 antibodies. (A) Inhibition of insulin secretion by anti-CD38 positive NIDDM serum. The release of insulin from isolated rat islets was measured as described in Methods. C-1–C-3 indicate control sera and D-1–D-7 indicate diabetic sera containing anti-CD38 antibodies. Values are expressed by percent (mean±SE, n = 3–11) of control without serum. The average insulin secretion of control was 20.47±2.36 ng/islet/h. Results of two-tailed Student’s t test show the response to each addition as compared to the control. *: $P < 0.05$; **: $P < 0.01$. (B) Abolishment of the inhibition of insulin secretion by the addition of CD38. Islets were incubated in the presence or absence of 10 μg/ml human CD38, and secreted insulin was measured by RIA (n = 3–6). (C) Inhibition of cADPR increase by anti-CD38 positive NIDDM sera and its abolishment by CD38. Islets were incubated in the presence or absence of 10 μg/ml human CD38 and the cADPR content was measured by RIA (n = 3). Results of two-tailed Student’s t test show the response to each addition as compared to the control. *: $P < 0.02$. 
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CD38 (D-1–7 in Fig. 4 A). We examined the effects of the NIDDM sera that reacted with rat CD38 on glucose-induced insulin secretion from isolated rat islets. All of the seven NIDDM sera significantly inhibited glucose-induced insulin secretion (Fig. 4 A) and the sera inhibited the insulin secretion in a dose-dependent manner (data not shown). In contrast to the glucose-induced insulin secretion, the sera did not affect basal insulin secretion (92.7 ± 7.75% of control without the sera). The addition of recombinant CD38 abolished the inhibitory effect of the NIDDM sera, whereas nondoabetic control sera did not exhibit such an inhibitory effect on the glucose-induced insulin secretion (Fig. 4, A and B). Furthermore, the cADPR levels in islets incubated with the NIDDM sera were significantly reduced and the reduction was attenuated by the addition of recombinant CD38 (Fig. 4 C), suggesting that the inhibition of insulin secretion (Fig. 4, A and B) is due to changes in cADPR levels regulated by CD38.

Modulation of CD38 enzymic activities by anti-CD38 antibodies. The effects of the NIDDM sera containing anti-CD38 antibodies on enzymic activities were next examined. As shown in Fig. 5, the ADP-ribosyl cyclase activity of CD38 was significantly inhibited by the addition of NIDDM sera containing anti-CD38 antibodies, and the cADPR hydrolase activity of CD38 was rather activated by the addition of NIDDM sera. These results explain well why the NIDDM sera inhibited glucose-induced insulin secretion (Fig. 4). Usually, in the process of glucose metabolism, millimolar concentrations of ATP are generated, which inhibits the cADPR hydrolase activity of CD38 to induce the accumulation of cADPR in β cells, and cADPR acts as a second messenger for intracellular Ca²⁺ mobilization to secrete insulin. When anti-CD38 antibodies are present, the ADP-ribosyl cyclase activity of CD38 in pancreatic β cells would be inhibited and, in contrast, the cADPR hydrolase activity would be activated. Consequently, cADPR accumulation in pancreatic β cells can scarcely occur in response to glucose stimulation and the glucose-induced insulin secretion is thereby impaired.

Discussion

In the present study, we have shown that anti-CD38 autoantibodies exist in sera from a large proportion of patients with NIDDM, that the antibodies inhibit the ADP-ribosyl cyclase and activate the cADPR hydrolase of CD38, and inhibit both the increase of cADPR in islets and the insulin secretion from pancreatic β-cells by glucose, a finding that reinforces the concept of this protein being involved in the glucose-induced insulin secretion via cADPR production, as proposed in our recent reviews (13–15). CD38 was originally identified as a cell surface antigen and also localized both in cell surface and intracellular fractions (16–18). Therefore, the antibodies against CD38 in patient sera can interact with CD38. The effects of autoantibodies found in NIDDM patients and a monoclonal antibody on the cADPR hydrolase activity of CD38 were different, but the ADP-ribosyl cyclase activity of CD38 was inhibited by both antibodies (Fig. 5 and Ref. 17). The ratio of the ADP-ribosyl cyclase to the cADPR hydrolase activity of CD38 is 1:5–10 (17, 18). Therefore, if the ADP-ribosyl cyclase activity is significantly inhibited, the cADPR content should be reduced even if the cADPR hydrolase activity is inhibited. In fact, as shown in Fig. 4 C, the cADPR contents in islets treated with anti-CD38 positive antisera were significantly lower than those of controls. The data in the present study together with evidence deriving from experimental studies in mouse islets (33) and the observation of a CD38 gene mutation in Japanese NIDDM patients (34) suggest that abnormalities of CD38 in β cells are related to the pathogenesis of NIDDM.

Among the NIDDM patients without insulin treatment (n = 198), the frequency of anti-CD38 positive patients was significantly lower in the NIDDM group controlled by oral hypoglycemic agents (12 positive in 119, 10.1%) than in the group treated by diet control (16 positive in 79, 20.3%) (P = 0.044 in χ² test). In insulin secretion by glucose, both Ca²⁺ influx from extracellular spaces and Ca²⁺ mobilization from the intracellular Ca²⁺ pool, the endoplasmic reticulum, are thought to be important (15, 35). Most oral hypoglycemic agents activate Ca²⁺ influx from extracellular spaces (36), whereas CD38 participates in intracellular Ca²⁺ mobilization from the endoplasmic reticulum (12–21). The majority of NIDDM patients whose blood glucose levels could be controlled by hypoglycemic agents was thought to be normal in intracellular Ca²⁺ mobilization, but to have some abnormalities in the Ca²⁺ influx machinery from extracellular spaces, and it, therefore, appears reasonable that the frequency of anti-CD38 positive patients controlled by oral hypoglycemic agents was lower than that of the diet control group.

![Figure 5. Effects of diabetic sera containing anti-CD38 antibodies on ADP-ribosyl cyclase and cADPR hydrolase activities of CD38. ADP-ribosyl cyclase and cADPR hydrolase activities of CD38 were measured in the presence of anti-CD38 antibody positive diabetic sera (n = 5) or anti-CD38 negative control sera (n = 3). The final concentration of serum in the reaction was 10%. Enzyme activities are expressed by nmol/min/mg protein in ordinates.](image)
We have also examined anti-CD38 autoantibodies in Japanese insulin-dependent diabetes patients (n = 69) and found seven anti-CD38 positive patients (10.1%) (P = 0.0211 in χ² test). In addition, Taminato et al. reported that anti-CD38 antibodies were frequently detected in 7–11-wk-old NOD mice, whereas the antibodies could not be detected in 5-wk-old NOD mice (37). It is quite possible that CD38 acts as an autotag when pancreatic β cells are destroyed and that anti-CD38 autoantibodies are also involved in the development of insulin-dependent diabetes patients.

CD38 was initially identified as a cell surface marker protein of leukocytes and has been thought to be involved in a variety of immune system functions, but the incidence of autoimmune diseases such as systemic lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis, and Hashimoto’s thyroiditis was not different between the patients with anti-CD38 autoantibodies and those without the antibodies (Table I). Further studies, such as the screening of anti-CD38 in autoimmune diseases or the production and analysis of CD38 deficient mice, may clarify some immune system functions of CD38.
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