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Summary. We performed studies on dogs under hydrated conditions, utilizing the rate of free water formation (C$_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$) as an index of the rate of distal tubular sodium transport. Since C$_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ could be progressively increased with no evidence of a maximal rate during loading with hypotonic (2.5%) mannitol, it was concluded that there is no limit on distal tubular sodium transport during mannitol loading. In contrast, during hypotonic (0.45%) saline loading C$_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ rose initially, but as urine flow (V) exceeded 25% of the filtered load C$_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ attained maximal levels (up to 20% of the filtered load) and remained stable as V increased to 50% of the filtered load. It was concluded that saline loading progressively inhibits proximal sodium reabsorption. Initially, the distal tubule absorbs a large fraction of the proximal resectate and sodium excretion rises slightly. Eventually, an alteration in distal sodium transport appears which culminates in a maximal rate or transport limit. This distal transport limit provoked by saline loading could not be characterized by a classical Tm as seen with glucose and does not seem to be consequent to high rates of flow through the distal tubule. Regardless of the precise nature of this limit, the major increment in sodium excretion develops during saline loading only after saline alters the capacity of the distal tubule to transport sodium.

Introduction

It is well established that saline loading provokes an extensive inhibition of renal tubular sodium reabsorption. On the basis of micropuncture and clearance studies in dog, it was concluded that the inhibition of sodium transport develops primarily within the proximal tubule (1, 2). Despite considerable reductions in proximal reabsorption suggested by these studies, sodium excretion remained at relatively low levels (1, 2). In contrast, other saline loading studies, in which sodium excretion rose to high levels, suggested that saline provoked a significant inhibition of distal tubular sodium transport (3, 4). It is proposed, on the basis of the present data, that hypotonic saline loading in dog provokes a progressive inhibition of proximal tubular sodium reabsorption. Subsequently, an alteration develops in distal reabsorption which culminates in a maximal rate of distal sodium transport. The major increment in sodium excretion apparently develops only after saline load-
ing alters the capacity for sodium transport in the distal tubule. A maximal rate of distal sodium transport could not be demonstrated during hypotonic mannitol loading.

Methods

Experiments were performed on mongrel dogs (18 to 25 kg) who were fed 10 g of NaCl per day for 3 days before each study. Animals were deprived of food for 24 hours before each experiment but received water ad libitum. Anesthesia was instituted with a combination of ethyl carbamate and a-chloralose or sodium Pentothal alone and maintained with ethyl carbamate and a-chloralose administered intravenously. One dog with diabetes insipidus received no anesthesia. After priming doses of insulin, a sustaining solution containing sufficient insulin to maintain adequate blood levels was infused with a Bowman constant infusion pump. Urine was collected at 10- to 30-minute intervals via an indwelling bladder catheter or ureteral catheters introduced into the distal ureters through a midline suprapubic incision. Midperiod blood specimens were obtained at appropriate intervals.

Mannitol studies. Water hydration was induced with an oral water load of 5% of the body weight administered by nasogastric tube before anesthesia. After anesthesia, 2.5% glucose in water was infused at 10 ml per minute until a load of approximately 50 ml per kg body weight had been administered. In several studies the initial oral water load was omitted and hydration was induced by 2.5% glucose in water administered intravenously. After urine flow had increased and stabilized, a 2.5% mannitol solution was substituted for the glucose solution and administered at increasing rates until urine flow could no longer be increased. Late in the course of two studies 250 to 500 mg iv of acetazolamide was superimposed on the mannitol diuresis. In other studies a rapid infusion of hypotonic saline (0.45%) was superimposed on the mannitol diuresis. Only studies in which the urinary osmolality after water hydration fell below 100 mosm per kg H₂O are included.

Saline studies. We utilized three protocols to induce hydration. In eight experiments in the first group, water hydration was induced with a 2.5% glucose solution infused at 10 ml per minute until urine flow had increased and stabilized. In seven experiments in the second group, hydration was obtained with 0.45% saline administered at 10 ml per minute until urine flow had increased and stabilized. In seven experiments in the third group, an oral water load of 5% of the body weight was administered before anesthesia. After anesthesia the protocol followed in the third group was as outlined for the second group. Only studies in which the urinary osmolality after hydration fell below 100 mosm per kg H₂O are included.

In all studies, after hydration had been established, hypotonic saline (0.45%) was administered at progressively increasing rates. The rate of infusion was adjusted to remain 3 to 5 ml per minute greater than urine flow. Late in the course of several studies acetazolamide (250 to 500 mg iv) was superimposed on the saline diuresis. Late in the course of another experiment, intravenous chlorothiazide (200 mg followed by 200 mg per hour) was superimposed on an increasing saline diuresis.

After anesthesia in seven of the experiments, one renal artery was approached retroperitoneally through a flank incision. An open Blalock clamp was positioned around the isolated vessel and secured in place by a multiangle brace. Urine collections were obtained simultaneously from both kidneys throughout each study via ureteral catheters. After completion of surgery, hy-

### Table I

**A typical mannitol loading experiment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Ucm</th>
<th>Ccm</th>
<th>Cno</th>
<th>Ccmin</th>
<th>(V/GFR) X100</th>
<th>(Cno/GFR) X100</th>
<th>Psa</th>
<th>Csa</th>
<th>(Cm/GFR) X100</th>
<th>[((Cno/GFR) + (Cm/GFR)) X100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,000 ml H₂O administered via nasogastric tube.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Prime administered. Constant infusion started: inulin, 50 mg/min in 0.45% NaCl, at 1 ml/min.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Surgery completed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105–230</td>
<td>2.5% glucose in water administered at 10 ml/min.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230–240</td>
<td>2.5% glucose in water discontinued.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240–250</td>
<td>2.5% mannitol in water administered at 15 ml/min.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240–250</td>
<td>2.5% mannitol in water administered at progressively increasing rates throughout remainder of experiment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>2.5% mannitol in water administered at progressively increasing rates throughout remainder of experiment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260–270</td>
<td>2.5% glucose in water administered at 10 ml/min.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270–280</td>
<td>2.5% glucose in water discontinued.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280–290</td>
<td>2.5% mannitol in water administered at 15 ml/min.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290–300</td>
<td>2.5% mannitol in water administered at progressively increasing rates throughout remainder of experiment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300–310</td>
<td>2.5% glucose in water administered at 10 ml/min.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310–320</td>
<td>2.5% glucose in water discontinued.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320–330</td>
<td>2.5% mannitol in water administered at 15 ml/min.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330–340</td>
<td>2.5% mannitol in water administered at progressively increasing rates throughout remainder of experiment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340–350</td>
<td>2.5% mannitol in water administered at progressively increasing rates throughout remainder of experiment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Abbreviations: V = urine flow; Ucm = urinary osmolality; Ccm, Cno, and Ccmin = solute, free water, and inulin clearances, respectively; GFR = glomerular filtration rate; Psa = plasma sodium concentration.
dration was established as described above. After urine flow had increased and stabilized, one renal artery was constricted with the Blalock clamp until a stable reduction in urine flow of 40 to 70% was produced in the experimental kidney. After one or two additional collection periods had been obtained, hypotonic saline (0.45%) was infused at increasing rates.

Urine and plasma specimens were analyzed for osmolality on a Fiske osmometer, for sodium and potassium on a Baird flame photometer, for chloride on a Cotlove automatic titrator, and for inulin by the resorcinol method with alkali treatment (5). The clearance of inulin was utilized to represent glomerular filtration rate (GFR). Solute clearance (C_{osm}) was calculated as U_{osm} V/P_{osm}, where U_{osm} and P_{osm} represent urine and plasma osmolalities, respectively. C_{Na2o} was calculated as V - C_{osm}. The clearance of sodium (C_{Na}) was calculated at U_{Na}/V/P_{Na}, where U_{Na} and P_{Na} represent urinary and plasma sodium concentrations, respectively. V/GFR represents the fraction of the filtered water load excreted, C_{Na}/GFR the fraction of the filtered sodium load excreted, and C_{Na2o}/GFR the fraction of the filtered water load excreted as free water.

Results

Mannitol studies. As the rate of infusion of mannitol was increased, V, C_{o2o}, and C_{Na} rose progressively (Table I, Figure 1). In all studies the rise in V, C_{o2o}, and C_{Na} was associated initially with a progressive increase in C_{Na2o} (Table I, Figure 1). However, in two studies, late in the diuresis, C_{Na2o} tended to stabilize despite progressive increments in V, C_{o2o}, and C_{Na} (Figure 1). In order to determine whether this tendency for C_{Na2o} was

![Graph showing effects of hypotonic saline loading on the relationship between free water clearance (C_{Na2o}) and solute clearance (C_{osm}) in eight experiments.](image)

**FIG. 1.** Upper figure: Effects of hypotonic mannitol loading on the relationship between free water clearance (C_{Na2o}) and solute clearance (C_{osm}) in eight experiments. Lower figure: Effects of hypotonic mannitol loading on the relationship between C_{Na2o} and urine flow rate (V) in eight experiments. In both upper and lower figures, the effects of an infusion of hypotonic saline superimposed on the mannitol diuresis are also depicted.

### TABLE II

A typical saline loading experiment performed without renal arterial constriction (data from both kidneys)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>U_{osm}</th>
<th>C_{o2o}</th>
<th>C_{Na2o}</th>
<th>V/GFR</th>
<th>(C_{Na}/GFR) X100</th>
<th>P_{Na}/C_{Na}</th>
<th>(C_{Na2o}/GFR) X100</th>
<th>P_{Na}/C_{Na}</th>
<th>[C_{Na2o}/GFR] + C_{Na}</th>
<th>X100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>ml/min</td>
<td>ml/min</td>
<td>ml/min</td>
<td>ml/min</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>mEq/L</td>
<td>ml/min</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>ml/min</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85–200</td>
<td>0.45% NaCl administered at 10 ml/min.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200–215</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Primes administered. Constant infusion started: inulin, 50 mg/min in 0.45% NaCl, at 1.0 ml/min.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Surgery completed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215–230</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230–245</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245–265</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>265–285</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285–305</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305–325</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325–345</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345–365</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365–385</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>385–405</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405–425</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>425–445</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>445–465</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>465–485</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE III

A typical saline loading experiment in which one renal artery was constricted (data from the control kidney)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>V ml/min</th>
<th>Uosm mOsm/kg</th>
<th>Cm,m ml/min</th>
<th>Cin/min ml/min</th>
<th>(V/GFR) X100</th>
<th>(CH2O/GFR) X100</th>
<th>PNa mEq/L</th>
<th>CNa mEq/L</th>
<th>Cm,m ml/min</th>
<th>Cin/min ml/min</th>
<th>(CNa/GFR) X100</th>
<th>(Cm,m/GFR) X100</th>
<th>[(Cm,m/GFR) + CNa]/(V/GFR) X100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-190</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190-200</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200-210</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210-260</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
<td>NaCl admin.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260-270</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270-280</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280-290</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290-300</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300-310</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310-320</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320-330</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330-340</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340-350</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350-360</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360-370</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>370-380</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380-390</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FiguRe. 2. Effects of hypotonic (0.45%) saline loading on the relationship between $C_{H2O}$ and $V$ in 22 experiments. In 15 experiments, data were obtained from two kidneys, whereas in 7 experiments in which one renal artery was constricted, data were obtained from one control kidney. The effects of acetazolamide or chlorothiazide superimposed on the saline diuresis are also depicted.
pacity to increase $C_{H_2O}$ could not be demonstrated (Figure 1). Levels of $C_{H_2O}$ in excess of 25% of the filtered load were observed in several studies.

Saline studies. Early in the course of saline loading, $C_{H_2O}$ rose sharply with small increments in $V$, $C_{osm}$, and $C_{Na}$ (Tables II, III, and Figures 2, 3). In those studies in which $V$ could be increased beyond 20 to 25% of the filtered load, $C_{H_2O}$ attained maximal levels and tended to remain relatively stable as $V$ increased to 48% of the filtered load (Tables II, III and Figure 3). It was noted that $C_{H_2O}$ generally stabilized after $C_{Na}$ increased to 4 to 8% of the filtered load and remained stable as $C_{Na}$ rose to 30% of the filtered load (Tables II, III). The level at which $C_{H_2O}$ stabilized averaged approximately 15% of the filtered load (Figure 3). In contrast to the mannitol studies, $C_{H_2O}$ levels above 20% of the filtered load were not found (Figure 3). At the time $C_{H_2O}$ stabilized, dogs had received intravenously 85 to 220 ml per kg body weight of 0.45% NaCl. An additional study was performed on an unanesthetized dog with diabetes insipidus whose urinary osmolality after 16 hours of dehydration was 58 mOsm per kg $H_2O$. Results obtained from this study were similar to those obtained from other saline studies (Figures 2, 3).

In several experiments, acetazolamide was administered during the saline diuresis after $C_{H_2O}$ had stabilized. Despite further increments in $V$, $C_{osm}$, and $C_{Na}$ produced by this agent, $C_{H_2O}$ and $C_{H_2O}/GFR$ could not be appreciably increased (Figures 2, 3). In another study a constant infusion of chlorothiazide was superimposed on the increasing saline diuresis. Initially, $C_{H_2O}$ fell; thereafter it remained relatively stable as $V$ increased from 32 to 48% of the filtered load (Figures 2, 3).

Early in the course of several saline loading experiments, a reduction in GFR was produced in one kidney by unilateral renal arterial constriction. Associated with the reduction in GFR in the experimental kidney, $C_{osm}$, $C_{Na}$, and $C_{H_2O}$ fell (Figure 4, a and b). Thereafter, as the saline load was increased, $C_{osm}$ and $C_{Na}$ rose progressively in both

---

**Figure 3.** Effects of hypotonic saline loading on the relationship between $C_{H_2O}/GFR$ and $V/GFR$ in all experiments. The effects of acetazolamide or chlorothiazide superimposed on the saline diuresis are also depicted. GFR = glomerular filtration rate.
kidneys (Figure 4, b). In the control kidney, $C_{H_2O}$ stabilized relatively early in the course of the study, whereas it continued to rise for a considerable time in the experimental kidney (Figure 4, a). Although $C_{H_2O}$ stabilized at different times in the two kidneys, $C_{H_2O}$ per level of $V$ or $C_{H_2O} + C_{Na}$ was similar in the two kidneys (Figure 4, c).

**Discussion**

It is generally accepted that the production of dilute urine depends on the transport of sodium without water at the ascending limb of the loop of Henle and probably at more distal tubular sites. In numerous studies performed under hydrated conditions, the rate of formation of solute-free water has been utilized as an index of the rate of sodium transport at these distal water-clearing sites. The increase in $C_{H_2O}$ during a solute diuresis has, therefore, been ascribed to a progressive rise in distal sodium supply and transport (1, 6–10). Alternatively, it has been suggested that the rise in $C_{H_2O}$ may be due to a progressive decrease in the back diffusion of water at distal sites as a consequence of an increased excretion of nonreabsorbed solute (11). It has been demonstrated, however, in the present and previous studies that $C_{H_2O}$ may remain stable over a wide range of $C_{osm}$ during a solute diuresis (Figures 1, 2) (6, 12, 13). Moreover, $C_{H_2O}$ may be subsequently increased when additional sodium is presented to the distal tubule (Figure 1) (6, 13). The absence of a consistent relationship between $C_{H_2O}$ and $C_{osm}$ suggests that changes in $C_{H_2O}$ do not depend primarily on altered rates of distal back diffusion of water. Other investigators have also found it difficult to ascribe the major increase in $C_{H_2O}$ to a diminished distal back diffusion of water (7, 8). It seems reasonable to assume, therefore, that changes in $C_{H_2O}$ represent an index of changes in the rate of sodium transport at distal water-clearing sites. In this context, changes in $C_{H_2O} + C_{Na}$ provide an estimate of changes in the rate of delivery of sodium to distal sites (8, 9, 14), and $V$, an index of the quantity of isosmotic fluid that escapes proximal reabsorption (1, 7).

It is well established that mannitol loading de-
creases proximal tubular sodium reabsorption. The rise in $C_{H_2O}$ noted in the present mannitol studies would suggest that as distal sodium supply ($C_{H_2O} + C_{Na}$) rises during mannitol loading, the distal tubule progressively increases its rate of sodium transport (Table I, Figure 1). In several studies, including the two in which $C_{H_2O}$ tended to stabilize, a further inhibition of proximal sodium reabsorption was obtained by superimposing acetazolamide or an infusion of hypotonic saline on the mannitol diuresis (Figure 1) (1, 2, 15, 16). Associated with the sharp rise in the quantity and concentration of sodium delivered to distal sites in these studies, distal sodium transport increased progressively (Figure 1). Thus, the present studies fail to demonstrate a maximal rate of distal sodium transport during mannitol loading.

The occasional failure of $C_{H_2O}$ to rise progressively during mannitol loading may be consequent to a substantial fall in the concentration of sodium in the tubular fluid presented to distal sites. At high distal flow rates, a reduced intratubular sodium concentration may limit the capacity of the ascending limb to increase its rate of transport (17). If the concentration of sodium reaching distal sites falls sufficiently, the rate of delivery of sodium to the ascending limb may actually fail to increase. In this case, an increase in sodium excretion without a change in $C_{H_2O}$ could derive from an inhibition of isosmotic sodium transport at a distal tubular site (6, 18). Regardless of the factors responsible for the occasional tendency of $C_{H_2O}$ to stabilize, the present, as well as previous, studies provide no evidence to suggest a transport limit for sodium at the ascending limb during mannitol loading (Figure 1) (8, 10, 17, 19).

During saline loading, $C_{H_2O}$ initially rose sharply as $V$, $C_{osm}$, and $C_{Na}$ increased (Tables II, III, Figures 2, 3). This finding is consistent with the proposal that hypotonic saline loading inhibits proximal sodium reabsorption and thereby increases distal sodium supply and transport (1, 2). At distal flow rates greater than 20% of the filtered load, $C_{H_2O}$ rose more slowly, attained maximal levels, and thereafter remained relatively stable as distal flow rate and distal sodium supply increased from approximately 25 to 50% of the filtered load (Tables II, III, Figure 3). In addition, when distal sodium supply was further increased late in the diuresis by the administration of acetazolamide, distal sodium transport could not be increased (Figures 2, 3). It would appear, therefore, that during hypotonic saline loading sodium transport in the distal tubule is limited by a maximal rate (Tables II, III, and Figures 2, 3). This limit permits the reabsorption of up to 20% of the filtered load at distal sites (Figure 3). The data obtained during saline loading contrast with those obtained during mannitol loading, where no limit on distal sodium transport could be demonstrated despite comparable rates of distal water and sodium supply (Figure 5).

Alternatively, it is possible that ascending limb sodium transport increases without limit during saline loading. The increased deposition of solute within the medulla might result in sufficient outward diffusion of water from the descending limb of Henle's loop to prevent a progressive rise in $C_{H_2O}$. This proposal would imply that early in the saline diuresis the rate of descending limb

**Fig. 5.** Upper figure: comparison of the effects of hypotonic mannitol and hypotonic saline loading on the relationship between $C_{H_2O}$ and $V$. Lower figure: comparison of the effects of hypotonic mannitol and hypotonic saline loading on the relationship between $C_{H_2O}$ and $C_{H_2O} + C_{Na}$. Both upper and lower figures depict mean curves obtained from all mannitol studies and mean curves obtained from those saline studies in which there was no renal arterial constriction.
water loss would lag behind that of ascending limb sodium transport, permitting \( C_{H_2O} \) to rise. Comparable increments in ascending limb transport late in the diuresis, however, would provoke the outward diffusion of relatively larger quantities of water, nullifying a further increase in \( C_{H_2O} \). In contrast, during mannitol loading or after a saline load was superimposed on a mannitol diuresis, water loss from the descending limb would remain consistently insufficient to prevent the rise in \( C_{H_2O} \). The need to postulate that comparable increments in ascending limb sodium transport provoke variable rates of water movement from the descending limb would tend to exclude this alternative proposal. Moreover, implicit in this hypothesis is the additional assumption that virtually all \( C_{H_2O} \) is formed in those portions of the nephron located within the medulla. Several studies, however, suggest that segments of the tubule outside of the medulla may contribute appreciably to \( C_{H_2O} \) formation (15, 20, 21). Thus, it seems more reasonable to conclude that the limit on \( C_{H_2O} \) formation during saline loading is consequent to a limit on distal sodium transport.

In the experiments in which a unilateral reduction in GFR was produced, maximal \( C_{H_2O} \) levels were obtained early in the study on the control kidney, whereas \( C_{H_2O} \) continued to rise for a considerable time in the experimental kidney (Figure 4, a). However, \( C_{H_2O} \) or distal sodium transport stabilized in each kidney at a comparable level of distal sodium supply (Figure 4, c). These experiments reveal that the distal transport limit that appears during saline loading cannot be clearly demonstrated until distal sodium supply exceeds critical levels (approximately 25% of the filtered load) (Tables II, III, and Figures 3, 5).

A further comparison of the mannitol and saline studies suggests, however, that an alteration in distal transport may develop during saline loading before the distal limit becomes manifest. Since mannitol is a nonreabsorbable solute it is apparent that at every level of distal solute supply \( (V) \) during mannitol and saline loading the rate of delivery of absorbable solute (sodium) to distal sites would be lower during mannitol loading. The finding that \( C_{H_2O} \) per \( V \) was initially similar during mannitol and saline loading (Figure 5) suggests, therefore, that even at low distal flow rates, saline loading reduces the fraction of the sodium supply reabsorbed in the distal tubule (Figure 6).

The present studies may help to reconcile the conflicting conclusions regarding the tubular sites of altered sodium transport during saline loading in dog (1–4). Saline loading apparently provokes a progressive inhibition of proximal sodium reabsorption (1, 2). Initially, the distal tubule absorbs a large fraction of the proximal rejectate (Figure 6), as reflected by the sharp rise in \( C_{H_2O} \) with only modest increases in sodium excretion.

**Fig. 6. Comparison of the Effects of Hypotonic Mannitol and Hypotonic Saline Loading on \( C_{H_2O}/C_{H_2O} + C_{Na} \) (an Index of the Fraction of the Distal Sodium Supply Absorbed in the Distal Tubule) at All Levels of \( V \).**
TERIATION IN DISTAL SODIUM REABSORPTION APPEARS TO
IT IS DURING THIS PHASE (FIGURE 6) (3, 4), WHICH CULMINATES IN A MAXIMAL RATE OF DISTAL SODIUM TRANSPORT (FIGURES 2–5). IT IS DURING THIS PHASE OF ALTERED DISTAL SODIUM TRANSPORT THAT THE MAJOR INCREMENT IN SODIUM EXCRETION DEVELOPS (TABLES II, III).

THE LIMIT ON DISTAL SODIUM TRANSPORT EVIDENT DURING SALINE LOADING DOES NOT APPEAR TO REPRESENT AN INHERENT TUBULAR TRANSPORT MAXIMUM FOR SODIUM AS DESCRIBED FOR GLUCOSE. AS PREVIOUSLY STATED, NO SUCH MAXIMUM COULD BE DEMONSTRATED DURING MANNOIT LOADING (FIGURES 1, 5). HOWEVER, THE FINDING THAT DURING SALINE LOADING SODIUM EXCRETION MAY INCREASE APPRECIABLY BEFORE THE DISTAL LIMIT BECOMES EVIDENT ALSO SUGGESTS THAT THIS LIMIT CLEARLY DIFFERS FROM A CLASSICAL TM (TABLES II, III).

THE LIMIT ON NET DISTAL SODIUM TRANSPORT MIGHT RESULT FROM A BALANCE OF INCREASING AND DECREASING SODIUM REABSORPTION AT SEPARATE WATER-CLEARING SITES (13). IT SEEMS UNLIKELY, HOWEVER, THAT SUCH A BALANCE WOULD REMAIN UNALTERED OVER AN EXTENSIVE RANGE OF DISTAL FLOW RATES (FROM 25 TO 50% OF THE FILTERED LOAD). HOWEVER, A SUSTAINED DECREASE IN TRANSPORT AT ONE OF THESE SITES WOULD BE EXPECTED TO DISRUPT THIS BALANCE AND ALTER THE STABILITY OF $C_{H_2O}$ (13). THE FAILURE OF THE SUSTAINED INHIBITION OF DISTAL TRANSPORT INDUCED BY THIAZIDE (15, 16, 22) TO ALTER THE STABILITY OF $C_{H_2O}$ DURING THE SUBSEQUENT SALINE DIURESIS (FIGURES 2, 3) IS, THEREFORE, DIFFICULT TO RECONCILE WITH THE PROPOSAL OF A BALANCE OF DISTAL EFFECTS (13).

IT HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY SUGGESTED THAT UNDER HYDRATED CONDITIONS SODIUM AND WATER MAY BE REABSORBED IN THE DISTAL TUBULE AT ISOSMOTIC PROPORTIONS (6, 18). A FRACTION OF THE RISE IN $C_{\text{osm}}$ OR $V$ WITHOUT ANY CHANGE IN $C_{H_2O}$ MIGHT RESULT FROM AN INHIBITION OF SODIUM TRANSPORT AT SUCH A DISTAL SEGMENT (6), BUT THE EXTENT, IF ANY, TO WHICH THIS OCCURS COULD NOT BE EVALUATED.

THE PRESENT EXPERIMENTS MAY PERMIT AN ANALYSIS OF SEVERAL FACTORS THAT AFFECT TUBULAR FUNCTION DURING SALINE LOADING IN DOG. THE MANNOIT STUDIES DEMONSTRATE THAT INCREASING DISTAL FLOW RATES, PER SE, DO NOT LIMIT SODIUM TRANSPORT IN THE DISTAL TUBULE. THEREFORE, CHANGES IN TUBULAR GEOMETRY CONSEQUENTIAL TO HIGH DISTAL FLOW RATES DO NOT APPEAR TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DISTAL EFFECT EVIDENT DURING SALINE LOADING. IT WOULD APPEAR, INSTEAD, THAT THROUGH AN UNKNOWN MECHANISM SALINE LOADING IMPOSES A LIMIT ON DISTAL SODIUM TRANSPORT THAT MAY NOT EXIST UNDER OTHER CONDITIONS. IN ANY EVENT, THE MAJOR INCREMENT IN SALT EXCRETION PROVOKED BY SALINE LOADING APPARENTLY DEVELOPS ONLY AFTER SALINE ALTERS THE CAPACITY OF THE DISTAL TUBULE TO TRANSPORT SODIUM.
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