Studies on the Exaggerated Natriuretic Response to a Saline Infusion in the Hypothyroid Rat
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Abstract The exaggerated natriuresis of hypothyroid rats receiving a 5% saline infusion was studied to determine the mechanism and the site within the nephron responsible for this increase in sodium excretion. Sodium clearance (CNa) and fractional sodium excretion were both demonstrated to be greater in hypothyroid rats for any amount of sodium infused. The rate of increase in fractional sodium excretion in response to saline loading was 3.4 times greater in hypothyroid animals. At the conclusion of the diuresis some of the hypothyroid animals excreted greater than 45% of the filtered sodium load, while no control animal excreted more than 12% of the filtered sodium load.

The mean clearance of insulin during the saline diuresis was 36.6% lower (P < 0.001) in the hypothyroid rats. D-Aldosterone given to hypothyroid animals 3 hr before the experiment did not alter the magnitude or rate of increase in fractional sodium excretion. Inulin space determinations in nephrectomized rats revealed that extracellular fluid volume was contracted by 17.1% in the hypothyroid rats (P < 0.01). Plasma sodium was not significantly different in hypothyroid and control animals.

A limit on solute free water reabsorption (T\textsubscript{\textsuperscript{\textdegree}H\textsubscript{2}O}) per osmolar clearance (C\textsubscript{\textdegree}H\textsubscript{2}O) was demonstrated in the hypothyroid rats when these animals excreted greater than 12% of the filtered osmotic load. The limit on T\textsubscript{\textdegree}H\textsubscript{2}O formation was associated with an acceleration in the rate of sodium excretion and a decline in the rate of potassium excretion. Early in the diuresis when C\textsubscript{\textdegree}H\textsubscript{2}O, CNa, and T\textsubscript{\textdegree}H\textsubscript{2}O were comparable in hypothyroid and control rats, the filtered sodium load was 31% lower (P < 0.01) in the hypothyroid animals.

These findings indicate that diminished thyroid hormone activity decreases renal sodium reabsorptive capacity. Indirect evidence suggests that the distal and possibly the proximal tubules are the sites of this diminished sodium reabsorption in hypothyroid animals.

Introduction

Hypothyroidism has profound effects on salt and water metabolism in the rat. These are manifested by an increase in water consumption and excretion (1), inability to elaborate a maximally concentrated urine (2), exaggerated natriuresis during a water or saline diuresis (3, 4), and impaired ability to conserve sodium, resulting in a negative sodium balance and death when sodium intake is restricted (5). Fregly, Cade, Waters, Straw, and Taylor (4, 6) have demonstrated a decreased secretory rate and tubular response to aldosterone in hypothyroid rats, and they have proposed that thyroid insufficiency influences sodium reabsorption through this indirect mechanism. Reville and Stephan (7), however, have recently presented evidence in adrenalectomized hypothyroid rats which suggested that the alteration of sodium metabolism observed in hypothyroid animals was independent of adrenal function. In the present study several of the factors which regulate sodium reabsorption were evaluated with the hope of determining what mechanism might be responsible for this natriuresis. Also an attempt was made to localize the site(s) within the nephron responsible for this decrease in sodium reabsorption.

Methods

Female, Wistar rats fed on a standard diet (Lab Blox, Allied Mills, Inc., Chicago) ad libitum were employed for all
studies. Control and hypothyroid rats were matched for age, and consequently, the slower growing hypothyroid rats had a lower mean weight than controls (234 g vs. 288 g, respectively), although there was considerable overlap in the weight ranges.

**Hypothyroid rats.** Hypothyroidism was produced in the following manner: rats weighing approximately 200 g were maintained on a Remington "low iodine" diet (Nutritional Biochemicals Corp., Cleveland, Ohio) for 10 days, and then injected intraperitoneally with 1 mCi of carrier-free Sodium Radio Iodide 1-131 (E. R. Squibb and Sons, New York). The following day these rats were returned to the standard diet for the duration of the experiment. Rats were judged to be hypothyroid by the three following criteria: (a) failure of these animals, as pointed out above, to gain weight as rapidly as controls, (b) inability to identify thyroid tissue at autopsy in the hypothyroid group of rats, and (c) a significant drop in triiodothyronine (T₃) uptake by resin-sponge 7 wk after ¹³¹I administration. T₃ uptakes were performed with Triosorb—131 kits (Abbott) before and after ¹³¹I treatment in 12 rats. Blood for these determinations was obtained from the partially amputated tail in rats anesthetized with sodium pentobarbitol. Results are presented in Table I. The decline in T₃ uptake after ¹³¹I administration was highly significant (P < 0.001). T₃ uptake has previously been demonstrated to be a reliable index of thyroid function in hypothyroid rats (8). The results of clearance studies in a second group of hypothyroid rats, who did not have blood drawn for T₃ uptake determinations, were indistinguishable from the results obtained in the first group with these determinations. Radio iodide used in this manner has been shown to produce the same renal ultrastructural changes as seen in hypothyroidism induced with propylthiouracil (9), and does not permanently alter parathyroid structure (10). Neither hypothyroidism nor radio iodide altered plasma urea (Table III). Neither the degree (as judged from depressions of T₃ uptake) nor the duration (6-16 wk after ¹³¹I administration) of hypothyroidism altered the results of clearance studies; therefore, results from all hypothyroid animals are reported together.

**Clearance studies.** The clearance studies were performed using the method of Buckalew, Ramirez, and Goldberg (11). After 24 hr of dehydration with free access to food, anesthesia was induced with sodium pentobarbital (5 mg and 3.5 mg/100 g of body weight in controls and hypothyroids, respectively, due to the decreased tolerance of anesthesia by hypothyroid animals). Through a small suprapubic incision No. 50 polyethylene catheter was inserted and secured into the bladder. Urine was collected in syringes graduated to the nearest 0.01 ml, and direct visualization assured complete bladder emptying by gentle pressure. Since micturition was induced before anesthesia, residual urine at the time of catheterization represented maximally concentrated urine (U₀₀₀). A tracheostomy was performed, and a No. 50 polyethylene catheter was inserted into the external jugular vein for the administration of priming and sustaining infusions. Sufficient inulin was given to attain blood levels of approximately 100 mg/100 ml, and Pitressin was delivered at 0.01 U/hr per 100 g of body weight. These substances were infused at a constant rate of 0.0209 ml/min throughout the study. After a 1 hr equilibration period, a 5% saline infusion was begun at rates varying from 0.110 to 0.250 ml/min, and urine was collected every 5-10 min

---

Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rat No.</th>
<th>T₃ uptake before ¹³¹I</th>
<th>T₃ uptake 7 wk after ¹³¹I</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.45*</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean = -0.0825 ± 0.0130†

(*Ratio of T₃ uptake by resin sponge to the total T₃ added at the initiation of the incubation. †S.E.M.)

Table I contains the results of clearance studies in rats before and after ¹³¹I administration. The changes in T₃ uptake were highly significant (P < 0.001). The decline in T₃ uptake after ¹³¹I administration was highly significant (P < 0.001). T₃ uptake has previously been demonstrated to be a reliable index of thyroid function in hypothyroid rats (8). The results of clearance studies in a second group of hypothyroid rats, who did not have blood drawn for T₃ uptake determinations, were indistinguishable from the results obtained in the first group with these determinations. Radio iodide used in this manner has been shown to produce the same renal ultrastructural changes as seen in hypothyroidism induced with propylthiouracil (9), and does not permanently alter parathyroid structure (10). Neither hypothyroidism nor radio iodide altered plasma urea (Table III). Neither the degree (as judged from depressions of T₃ uptake) nor the duration (6-16 wk after ¹³¹I administration) of hypothyroidism altered the results of clearance studies; therefore, results from all hypothyroid animals are reported together.

**Adrenocortical studies.** 3 hr before initiation of the saline infusion, three hypothyroid rats were injected subcutaneously with 50 µg/100 g of body weight of u-aldosterone in 38% ethanol (Ciba Pharmaceutical Co., Summit, N. J.), and a fourth rat received an additional infusion of 25 µg/100 g per hr intravenously throughout the study. Results of the clearance studies in all four rats were similar and are reported together.

**Inulin space.** Inulin space was determined by the method of White and Rolf (12) in five control and four hypothyroid rats. After dehydration and anesthetization as above, a bilateral nephrectomy was performed through a flank incision. Approximately 0.2 ml of insulin solution was injected via the femoral vein, and the volume infused was determined to the nearest 0.001 ml by weight. After exactly 1 hr of equilibration a blood sample was withdrawn from the heart. Inulin space was calculated by the following formula:

\[
\text{volume of inulin} \times \text{inulin concentration of injected (ml)} \times \text{this solution (mg/cc)} \div \text{plasma concentration of inulin (mg/cc)}
\]

and the result is expressed in ml/100 g of body weight.

**Analytical procedures and calculations.** Osmolality was determined on a Fiske G-62 osmometer (Fiske Associates, Inc., Uxbridge, Mass.), sodium and potassium on an IL-143 flame photometer with internal lithium standard, and inulin (13) and urea (14) on a Technicon auto analyzer.

---

We are indebted to Dr. Jonathan Miller of Abbott Laboratories, North Chicago, Ill., who kindly supplied a number of these Triosorb Kits.
Figure 1 Sodium clearance in nine hypothyroid and seven control rats. The mean \( C_{Na} \pm SEM \) for each increment of 500 \( \mu \text{Eq} \) of infused sodium is plotted against the mean cumulative sodium infused \( \pm SEM \) for this same increment. * indicates those means of the \( C_{Na} \) which are significantly different \( (P < 0.05 \text{ or less}) \) when hypothyroid and control values are compared.

All urine flow rates (V) are expressed in microliters per minute per 100 g of body weight. Previously it has been demonstrated that renal mass/100 g of body weight is decreased in the hypothyroid compared to the control rat (4), and consequently the quantity of functional renal tissue is overestimated, if anything, in the hypothyroid rat when the clearance data is adjusted to 100 g of body weight. The clearance of sodium \( (C_{Na}) \), clearance of inulin \( (C_{in}) \), osmolar clearance \( (C_{osm}) \), and solute free water reabsorption \( (T'_{fr0}) \) were calculated by the standard formulas (15). Cumulative sodium infused at any point during the course of the diuresis represents the product of the sodium concentration of the infusate \( \times \) infusion rate \( \times \) duration of the infusion, and is expressed as the microequivalents of sodium infused per 100 g of body weight. Group means and paired data were analyzed statistically by the Student's \( t \)
test and the means reported ±1 SEM (16). The regression equations and the error mean squares (EMS) were computed in the Biostatistics Branch of the Federal Health Programs Service (17). * We are indebted to Mr. Louis Bromer and Mr. David Evans for their assistance in this endeavor.

RESULTS

Sodium excretion. Comparisons of the Cₙa and the fractional excretion of sodium in seven control and nine hypothyroid rats are shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. The data are presented as the mean Cₙa or the mean frac-
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The natriuretic response of the hypothyroid rat differs, not only in the amount, but also the rate at which sodium is cleared or excreted. Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 both suggest that the slopes of the C_Na and fractional sodium excretion curves are greater in the hypothyroid rat. A more precise analysis is possible by comparing the equations obtained when the regression of fractional sodium excretion on cumulative sodium infused is calculated. The second order regression equations (line of best fit) for controls and hypothyroids are \( \dot{\bar{y}} = -4.91 + 0.0063 x - 0.0000058 x^2 \) with EMS = 4.48 and \( \dot{\bar{y}} = -15.007 + 0.022 x - 0.0000022 x^2 \) with EMS = 73.52, respectively (\( P < 0.01 \)). The slope of the fractional sodium excretion curve is approximately 3.4 times greater in the hypothyroid than control group of rats within the diuretic range of the present studies. Since the slope of the curve is the rate of increase in fractional sodium excretion, it follows that fractional sodium excretion was increasing about 3 times more rapidly in the hypothyroid animals in response to a saline infusion. Although five of the nine hypothyroid rats were infused with 5% saline at a more rapid rate than controls to compensate for the increased rate of sodium excretion, four of the nine hypothyroid animals had 5% saline infused at the same rate as control rats. Since the more rapid increase in fractional sodium excretion was observed in both of these groups of hypothyroid rats, the rate at which saline was infused does not explain the differences in the rate of sodium excretion between hypothyroid and control animals.

During the course of these studies, it was observed that the hypothyroid rats had a greater tolerance to the hypertonic saline infusion. Tolerance is defined here as the amount of cumulative sodium infused before the animal deteriorated. Six of nine hypothyroid, compared to two of seven control rats, tolerated an infusion of greater than 3000 \( \mu \)Eq of Na per 100 g before V, C_Na, and C_in began to fall abruptly. This incidental observation provides further evidence of the difference in the response of hypothyroid and control rats to a salt infusion.

**Aldosterone response.** Also presented in Fig. 2 is the fractional sodium excretion data for four additional hypothyroid rats treated with 50 times the dose of D-aldosterone previously found to be effective in reducing sodium excretion in hypothyroid rats (4). Inspection of the curve reveals that it is very similar in contour to that observed in untreated hypothyroid rats, but it is displaced to the right. The regression equations of fractional sodium excretion on cumulative sodium infused for the aldosterone-treated and untreated hypothyroid rats (\( \dot{\bar{y}} = -29.43 + 0.024 x - 0.0000022 x^2 \), EMS = 37.58; \( \dot{\bar{y}} = -15.007 + 0.022 x - 0.0000022 x^2 \), EMS = 73.52, respectively) are not significantly different from each other, but both are significantly different

**Table II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C_{in}</th>
<th>Inulin space</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \mu ) l/min per 100 g</td>
<td>ml/100 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothyroid</td>
<td>628 ± 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 9)</td>
<td>(n = 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>990 ± 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 7)</td>
<td>(n = 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P ) value</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n = number of animals studied.
* The C_{in} represents the mean of the mean of all clearance periods after the saline infusion was begun.
† SEM.
TABLE III
Base Line Plasma and Urine Determinations in Hypothyroid and Control Rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Plasma*</th>
<th>PNa*</th>
<th>Purea*</th>
<th>UOsm†</th>
<th>Uures †</th>
<th>U nonurea† solute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypothyroid</td>
<td>144 ±2.3§</td>
<td>3.27 ±0.15</td>
<td>33 ±2.1</td>
<td>2109 ±144</td>
<td>1182 ±148</td>
<td>927 ±76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>148 ±2.2</td>
<td>3.67 ±0.10</td>
<td>30 ±2.9</td>
<td>3172 ±109</td>
<td>2163 ±105</td>
<td>1009 ±113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>&gt;0.40</td>
<td>&gt;0.10</td>
<td>&gt;0.60</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&gt;0.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n = number of animals studied.
* Plasma concentration just before starting saline infusion.
† Urine concentration after 24 hr dehydration.
§ SEM.

(P < 0.01) from the equation calculated for the untreated control rats ($y = -4.91 + 0.0063 x - 0.00000058 x^2$, EMS = 4.48). A comparison of the regression equations for the aldosterone-treated and untreated hypothyroid rats confirms that the slopes of the fractional excretion curves are practically identical and that the curve for the aldosterone-treated hypothyroid rats is shifted to the right. Likewise, the maximum values for fractional sodium excretion at the end of the diuresis were not significantly different in untreated and aldosterone-treated hypothyroid animals, with some animals in both groups excreting as much as 45% of the filtered load of sodium.

**Extracellular volume.** Inulin space has previously been demonstrated to be a reliable measure of extracellular volume in the nephrectomized rat (12) and was...
used for that purpose in these studies. The results are presented in Table II. The mean inulin space as determined in five control rats was 14.50 ml/100 g of body weight, while the mean inulin space in four hypothyroid rats was 12.01 ml/100 g of body weight $(P < 0.01)$. This represents an extracellular volume contraction of 17.1% in the hypothyroid rat.

Plasma sodium and potassium. The mean plasma sodium (PNa) and plasma potassium (Pk) for control and hypothyroid rats at the initiation of the saline diuresis are presented in Table III. PNa and Pk were not significantly different between the two groups $(P > 0.40$ and $P > 0.10$, respectively).

Solute free water reabsorption. In Fig. 3 $T^{\text{H}_{2}O}$/100 g of body weight is plotted against $C_{\text{osm}}$/100 g of body weight for the same control and hypothyroid rats described above. Below a $C_{\text{osm}}$ of 90 $\mu l$/min per 100 g, or over approximately the first third of the diuretic range observed in hypothyroid animals, there was no statistical difference in $T^{\text{H}_{2}O}$ formation in hypothyroid and control rats. However, as $C_{\text{osm}}$ continued to increase from 90 to 150 $\mu l$/min per 100 g, $T^{\text{H}_{2}O}$ failed to increase as rapidly in hypothyroid as control rats. The lower $T^{\text{H}_{2}O}$ values found in hypothyroid animals over this $C_{\text{osm}}$ range were significantly different. It should be recalled that at approximately this same point in the diuresis, a mean $C_{\text{osm}}$ of 95 $\mu l$/min per 100 g, the Ck started to increase more rapidly and became significantly greater in the hypothyroid rats. As $C_{\text{osm}}$ continued to increase in hypothyroid animals, $T^{\text{H}_{2}O}$ formation remained relatively constant. Many of the individual hypothyroid rats achieved an actual plateau in the $T^{\text{H}_{2}O}$/100 g curve, but this cannot be appreciated on a mass plot; therefore, a composite of curves from individual rats is presented in Fig. 4. Unfortunately, it was not possible to achieve a $C_{\text{osm}}$ of greater than 130 $\mu l$/min per 100 g in any control animal, and consequently the last half of the diuretic range achieved by the hypothyroid rats cannot be compared to controls. Since the present experimental protocol was designed after the method of Buckalew et al. (11), it is interesting to note that these investigators found $T^{\text{H}_{2}O}$ formation to increase linearly in some controls up to a $C_{\text{osm}}$ of 240 $\mu l$/min per 100 g.

Because of the lower GFR in the hypothyroid animals $T^{\text{H}_{2}O}$/CIN X 100 per $C_{\text{osm}}$/CIN X 100 was compared in

Attempts to increase $C_{\text{osm}}$ in controls by increasing salt content of the diet, pretreatment with acetazolamide, and chronic aldosterone administration were uniformly unsuccessful. No explanation is available to explain the present failure to achieve $C_{\text{osm}}$ values as great as those previously reported for some control animals (11).

Figure 4 Individual $T^{\text{H}_{2}O}$ per $C_{\text{osm}}$ curves for hypothyroid rats. The shaded area is a composite of the $T^{\text{H}_{2}O}$ per $C_{\text{osm}}$ curves for control rats.
hypothyroid and control rats. Although the results are not presented graphically, it can be stated that the limit on fractional $T^{\text{H}_{2}O}$ formation by hypothyroid rats in the last half of the diuresis was still demonstrable. No difference in fractional $T^{\text{H}_{2}O}$ formation between hypothyroid and control animals could be discerned in the first one third of the diuretic range obtained in hypothyroid rats.

In Fig. 5, the mean values for $C_{\text{Na}}$, $C_{\text{osm}}$, $T^{\text{H}_{2}O}$, and filtered sodium load for all clearance periods below a $C_{\text{osm}}$ of 90 $\mu l/min per 100 g$ are compared in hypothyroid and control animals. It can be seen that the mean $C_{\text{Na}}$, $C_{\text{osm}}$, and $T^{\text{H}_{2}O}$ were not significantly different in these two groups of rats over approximately one third of the diuretic range observed in hypothyroid animals. However, while sodium and osmolar clearances, as well as solute free water reabsorption, were comparable in hypothyroid and control rats at this stage in the diuresis, filtered sodium load was on the average 31% lower ($P < 0.01$) in the hypothyroid animals.

**Potassium excretion.** In Fig. 6 potassium excretion ($U_{xV}$) per 100 g of body weight is plotted against sodium excretion ($U_{xV}$) per 100 g of body weight. Early in the diuresis $U_{xV}$ increased rapidly in both groups of animals. $U_{xV}$ reached a maximum and then seemed to decline in hypothyroid rats, while it continued to increase in controls over the entire range of the diuresis. This difference in $U_{xV}$ did not become
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marked until $U_{NaV}$ was greater than 12 $\mu$Eq/min per 100 g, which corresponded to a $C_{Os}$ of approximately 90 $\mu$L/min per 100 g in the hypothyroid rats.

**Urine concentration.** An analysis of maximally concentrated urine in hypothyroid and control rats is presented in Table III. The lower urine concentration was due almost entirely to a lower urea concentration, since nonurea solutes were not significantly different. In Fig. 7, $V$ and $U_{urea}$ are compared in hypothyroid and control rats during the equilibration period and throughout the diuresis. No difference in $U_{urea}$ between these two groups of animals was apparent if $U_{urea}$ was related to $V$. Although not shown here, $C_{urea}/C_{in}$ vs. $V$ was no different in control and hypothyroid rats.

**DISCUSSION**

The response of hypothyroid rats to a saline infusion was found to differ in several respects from that observed in controls. It was demonstrated that the kidney of the hypothyroid rat cleared more sodium both absolutely and fractionally for any given amount of sodium infused. A comparison of the regression equations for the fractional excretion of sodium in hypothyroid and control rats reveals that the rate of increase in fractional sodium excretion is approximately 3 times greater in the hypothyroid rat. These results indicate that the kidney of the hypothyroid rat is reabsorbing a smaller percentage of the filtered sodium load than the kidney of control rats at any time during the course of saline loading, and that some site(s) along the nephron is responding to the saline infusion by decreasing sodium reabsorption more rapidly in the hypothyroid animals.

Renal sodium reabsorption is known to be influenced by a number of factors, such as, glomerular filtration rate (GFR), aldosterone, extracellular fluid volume, plasma sodium concentration, and certain physical factors. The finding of an exaggerated natriuretic response to saline loading in the hypothyroid rat may be
explained on the basis of one of these mechanisms or it may be the direct result of an insufficiency of thyroid hormone. Some reports in the literature suggest an indirect effect (4, 6), while others suggest a direct effect (7, 19), but none of these investigations explored systematically the mechanisms which are presently felt to control sodium reabsorption.

Since the $C_{in}/100$ g of body weight was on the average 36.6% lower in the hypothyroid rats, the greater $C_{Na}/100$ g observed in these animals cannot be attributed to an increase in the $C_{in}/100$ g. The only previous report of GFR in the hypothyroid rat (20) found similar reductions in the $C_{in}$ per body weight, but these investigators correlated this finding with a smaller kidney mass per body weight in the hypothyroid rat. If in the present studies the $C_{in}$ had been related to kidney rather than body weight, it is possible that the differences in the $C_{in}$ between hypothyroid and control rats may have been decreased; however, such a maneuver would have exaggerated the differences in the $C_{Na}$ and could not, therefore, account for the observed differences in $C_{Na}$. Also, the increased rate of sodium clearance observed in hypothyroid animals cannot be explained by GFR since the $C_{in}$ did not increase during the course of the saline diuresis.

It has been observed that hypothyroid rats have a decreased secretory rate and a decreased tubular response to aldosterone (4, 6), and from these results it has been suggested that a lack of aldosterone action was responsible for the exaggerated natriuretic response. However, the magnitude of the fractional sodium excretion demonstrated in hypothyroid rats in the present study makes this explanation seem unlikely for the following two reasons. First, complete adrenalectomy in-

**Figure 7** Urinary urea concentration relative to urine flow rate. Values for $U_{urea}$ and $V$ represent data obtained during the equilibration period and throughout the saline diuresis.
creases fractional excretion by only 1–2% (21), while fractional sodium excretion was increased by 330% in the hypothyroid rats; second, totally adrenalectomized rats undergoing a saline-mannitol diuresis excrete only 9% of the filtered sodium load (22), while in the present studies on much as 45% of the filtered load was excreted by some of the hypothyroid rats. A comparison of the curves and regression equations of fractional sodium excretion in aldosterone-treated and untreated hypothyroid animals reveals that the more rapid rate of increase in fractional sodium excretion was not altered in hypothyroid rats by pretreatment with aldosterone. Likewise, the maximum values obtained for fractional sodium excretion at the conclusion of the diuresis were not significantly different in aldosterone-treated and untreated hypothyroid animals. However, the onset of the natriuresis in aldosterone-treated hypothyroid animals was delayed and this suggests that the aldosterone was effective. Because of this delay in onset, the curves for fractional sodium excretion in aldosterone-treated hypothyroid and untreated control rats partially overlapped. Since the regression equations for fractional sodium excretion in aldosterone-treated hypothyroid and untreated control rats are significantly different and a similar delay in the onset of the natriuresis may have been observed if control rats had been treated with such large doses of aldosterone, the physiologic significance of the overlap in fractional sodium excretion between these two groups of rats seems doubtful. Therefore, it appears that the characteristic renal response of hypothyroid rats to a saline infusion, a large and rapid increase in sodium excretion, is not altered by exogenous administration of effective doses of aldosterone. This indicates that the observed changes in secretory rate and tubular response to aldosterone are not the primary factors responsible for the hypothyroid animals’ exaggerated natriuretic response to a saline infusion.

In addition to GFR and aldosterone, alterations in the extracellular fluid volume are recognized to be important determinants of sodium excretion. In rats undergoing a saline diuresis, sodium excretion was demonstrated to vary directly with changes in extracellular volume (23). Under the present experimental conditions extracellular volume, as measured by inulin space, was demonstrated to be 17.1% lower in the hypothyroid animals. The finding of an inverse relationship between sodium excretion and extracellular volume in the hypothyroid rat does not explain the exaggerated natriuresis observed in these animals.

Plasma sodium concentration, independent of extracellular volume expansion, has also been shown to alter sodium excretion (24). Since $P_{Na}$ was not significantly different in control and hypothyroid rats, it is not likely that this is the explanation for the greater saluresis observed in hypothyroid animals.

Hemodynamic and physical factors can also influence salt excretion (25). Koehn, Schindler, and Stanton (26) demonstrated that aortic perfusion pressure was reduced by approximately 17% in radiothyroidectomized rats; Reville and Stephan (7, 19) found an elevated plasma protein concentration in dehydrated hypothyroid rats; and Osorio and Zaduaisky (20) demonstrated that the clearance of Diodrast was decreased by 66% in conjunction with a 33% reduction in the $C_{r}$ and resulted in an increased filtration fraction in these animals. These reports in nondiuretic hypothyroid rats suggest that physical factors are not responsible for the exaggerated natriuretic response of these animals because the observed alterations in physical parameters are the reverse of those required to explain an increase in sodium excretion. Such a mechanism, however, cannot be definitely excluded until these parameters are measured in hypothyroid and control rats during a saline diuresis.

The explanation for the exaggerated natriuretic response of hypothyroid rats was not found in the present investigations into the mechanisms which control sodium reabsorption. On the contrary, the finding of increased sodium excretion in animals with a contracted extracellular volume suggests that some factor is operating in these animals to oppose a potent stimulus for sodium reabsorption. Because no other explanation is forthcoming, it is proposed that this factor responsible for the exaggerated natriuresis found in the hypothyroid animals is an insufficiency of thyroid hormone. This suggests that a certain minimal amount of thyroid hormone is necessary to maintain the maximum sodium reabsorptive capacity of the kidney. A direct effect of thyroxine on sodium transport has been demonstrated in the isolated toad bladder (27, 28), and the present studies are compatible with a direct effect of thyroid hormone on the renal tubule. However, the data do not exclude an indirect action through some unknown or as yet unexplored mechanism, such as a natriuretic hormone (29) or a redistribution of renal blood flow (30, 31).

The site(s) within the nephron responsible for the exaggerated natriuretic response of hypothyroid animals was examined through a comparison of $T_{\text{H}_{2}O}$ in hypothyroid and control rats. $T_{\text{H}_{2}O}$ formation increased linearly in control rats throughout the study, while the hypothyroid rats demonstrated an impaired ability to generate $T_{\text{H}_{2}O}$ above a $C_{o}$ of 90 $\mu$L/min per 100 g. Concomitant with the failure for $T_{\text{H}_{2}O}$ to rise the absolute and fractional $C_{r}$ were increasing more rapidly in the hypothyroid rats. The only other report in the literature dealing with solute free water reabsorption in
hypothyroid and control rats had a different experimental design and studied rats during a hypertonic mannitol diuresis. Still, the results indicated that $T^m_{Na}$ formation was depressed in conjunction with an increase in sodium excretion in the hypothyroid rat (32). Although it cannot be excluded that an increase in medullary blood flow and/or a decrease in water permeability at the distal tubular sites were partly responsible for the limit on $T^m_{Na}$ formation, it seems probable that a decrease in sodium reabsorption in some portion of the distal nephron of hypothyroid animals is partly responsible for the limit on $T^m_{Na}$ formation and the associated increase in the rate of sodium excretion. Likewise, the concomitant increase in the rate of sodium excretion and decrease in the rate of potassium excretion demonstrated in hypothyroid animals suggests that the distal convoluted tubule may be contributing to the natriuresis, since one of the factors known to regulate potassium secretion is the electrical gradient generated by sodium reabsorption in the distal convoluted tubule (33). Whether the entire distal nephron (the loop of Henle, convoluted tubule, and collecting duct) or only part of it is responsible for the natriuresis observed in hypothyroid animals cannot be determined by the present studies.

Because some of the hypothyroid rats excreted greater than 45% of the filtered sodium load, this suggested that the proximal tubule may also be contributing to the natriuresis (34). When $C_\text{on}$, $C_{\text{in}}$, and $T^m_{Na}$ were compared over that range of the diuresis where $T^m_{Na}$ was increasing linearly in both hypothyroid and control rats, it was found that the mean $C_{\text{in}}$ and $C_\text{on}$ were not significantly different in these two groups, while the mean filtered sodium load was 31% lower in the hypothyroid animals. This indicates that fractional sodium reabsorption was decreased in some part of the nephron in the hypothyroid animals. Since sodium reabsorption in the distal nephron appears to be comparable in both groups of rats at this stage in the diuresis (comparison of $T^m_{Na}$ per $C_\text{on}$), this might imply that fractional sodium reabsorption in the proximal tubule was inhibited to a greater extent in the hypothyroid animals. Proof of this, however, must await more direct studies.

The inability of hypothyroid rats to elaborate a maximally concentrated urine was confirmed, and a lower urea concentration was demonstrated to be largely responsible for this. Since the V/100 g of hydropenic hypothyroid rats is greater than controls (18) and $U_{\text{ures}}$ is known to depend on V (35), a comparison of $U_{\text{ures}}$ and V was made in control and hypothyroid rats during the saline diuresis. No difference in the renal handling of urea was demonstrated when $U_{\text{ures}}$ or $C_{\text{ures}}/C_{\text{in}}$ was compared to V. No explanation for the diminished $U_{\text{max}}$ in hypothyroid rats is obvious from the present studies, but an alteration in sodium transport by the ascending limb, distal tubular permeability to water, or medullary flow seems unlikely since $T^m_{Na}$ formation is not impaired over a moderate range of diuresis. It may be speculated that hypothyroid rats, even under basal conditions, are undergoing a solute diuresis secondary to an increase in sodium excretion and this in turn causes the lower $U_{\text{max}}$, but the present studies do not permit any firm conclusions to be drawn concerning this defect observed in hypothyroid animals.

The results presented in this report indicate that a certain minimal amount of thyroid hormone is necessary to maintain the maximum sodium reabsorptive capacity of the kidney. Indirect evidence suggests the distal and possibly the proximal tubules are the sites responsible for the diminished sodium reabsorption found in hypothyroid animals.
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