Abnormal Secretion of Insulin and Glucagon by the In Vitro Perfused Pancreas of the Genetically Diabetic Chinese Hamster
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ABSTRACT Hereditary insulin-deficient diabetes mellitus occurs in certain sublines of nonobese Chinese hamsters. Several characteristics of this syndrome are similar to those seen in insulin-deficient human diabetics. Therefore, to characterize pancreatic islet function, dynamic insulin and glucagon release from normal and nonketotic diabetic hamster pancreases in response to glucose (300 mg/100 ml) and theophylline (10 mM), infused singly and together, was studied in vitro.

20-min glucose infusions of normal hamster pancreases caused biphasic insulin release, consisting of a rapid first peak and a gradually rising second phase, similar to that reported for man in vivo. Both phases were significantly reduced in the diabetic pancreases. Theophylline alone stimulated similar nonphasic insulin release in both the normal and the diabetic pancreases. Glucose and theophylline together caused greater insulin release than either stimulant alone in both normals and diabetics; however, the diabetic response was still subnormal.

Glucose suppressed glucagon release from normal pancreases; suppression was significantly impaired in diabetics. Theophylline stimulated nonphasic glucagon release in both the normals and diabetics. Glucose partially suppressed the theophylline-stimulated release in both groups.

Insulin/glucagon molar ratios of the diabetics were consistently subnormal, although individual hormone levels often overlapped into the normal range.

In summary, the pancreases of genetically diabetic Chinese hamsters perfused in vitro showed: (a) decreased first and second phase insulin release in response to glucose-containing stimuli—only partially ameliorated by theophylline—, and (b) impaired suppression of glucagon in response to glucose, resulting in (c) a decreased insulin/glucagon molar ratio. These data support the suggestion that both alpha and beta cells of diabetic pancreases may be insensitive to glucose.

INTRODUCTION

Overt diabetes mellitus in nonobese humans is generally characterized by a diminished insulin response to glucose (1). However, impaired insulin secretion may not be the only factor responsible for the abnormal glucose metabolism. Fasting hyperglucagonemia (2, 3), lack of suppression of plasma glucagon by glucose (4, 5), and excessive glucagon responses to amino acids (2, 6, 7) have been reported to occur in diabetics. These observations suggest that abnormal pancreatic alpha-cell function may also be involved. The cause of these abnormalities and their interrelationship is unclear, since investigations have been hindered by clinical heterogeneity, uncertainties introduced by therapeutic intervention, and the lack of an appropriate animal model. In 1959, Yerganian and Meier (8) reported the occurrence of spontaneous diabetes among certain inbred sublines of Chinese hamsters. The syndrome is, at least in part, genetically determined, and its spectrum ranges in severity from intermittent glucosuria to ketoacidosis. Although prediabetic hamsters are hyperphagic (and may be mildly obese) when young (9, 10), the adults are the only spontaneously diabetic laboratory animals that are not obese (11, 12). They develop neuropathy...
(13), nephropathy (14), retinopathy (15), and abnormal lipid metabolism (16), closely resembling the complications of human diabetes. Moreover, the impaired insulin responses to glucose (16-18), diminished pancreatic insulin content (16, 19, 20), and abnormal islet morphology (21) reported in these animals parallel features found in lean human diabetics of both maturity-onset and juvenile-onset types. Although no animal may be identical to the human, the diabetic Chinese hamster may be a particularly useful model for the nonobese insulin-deficient human diabetic.

There are few studies of in vitro pancreatic insulin secretion in the diabetic Chinese hamster (17, 18) and no reported data of in vitro glucagon secretion. Therefore, the present investigation was undertaken to study dynamic insulin and glucagon release from the isolated perfused pancreases of normal and nonketotic diabetic Chinese hamsters in response to glucose and theophylline (alone and in combination).

**METHODS**

*Animals (Table I).* Adult nonketotic diabetic Chinese hamsters (Cricetulus griseus), 9–17 mo old, were

**Table I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Subline</th>
<th>Generation</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Body weight</th>
<th>Pancreas weight</th>
<th>Fasting blood glucose</th>
<th>Pancreatic insulin content</th>
<th>Duration of diabetes</th>
<th>Mean urine (Testape 0–4+)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CU</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CU</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CU</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diabetics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Subline</th>
<th>Generation</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Body weight</th>
<th>Pancreas weight</th>
<th>Fasting blood glucose</th>
<th>Pancreatic insulin content</th>
<th>Duration of diabetes</th>
<th>Mean urine (Testape 0–4+)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>XA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>AB</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>0.402</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>0.528</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>XA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>AB</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X | 8 | 12.9 | 28.2 | 186 | 326 | 0.373 | 10.9 | 3 |
| SE | 1 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 7 | 38 | 0.067 | 0.6 | 0 |

*P <

* Versus normals, two-sided Student t.
TABLE II

Insulin and Glucagon Secretion from Normal and Diabetic Hamster Pancreases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Glucose</th>
<th>Theophylline</th>
<th>Glucose plus Theophylline</th>
<th>Glucose</th>
<th>Theophylline</th>
<th>Glucose plus Theophylline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>219.1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>101.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>409.7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>310.4</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>503.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>230.4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>296.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>145.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>322.8</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>12.84</td>
<td>7.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>261.8</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>397.7</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>9.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>213.1</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>296.7</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>18.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>257.0</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>315.6</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>6.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>225.2</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>321.3</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>16.31</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>126.2</td>
<td>218.9</td>
<td>506.9</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>16.55</td>
<td>9.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \bar{x} )</td>
<td>177.0</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>360.1</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>12.05</td>
<td>5.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>233.6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>316.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>197.6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>100.6</td>
<td>378.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>13.91</td>
<td>5.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>139.0</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>364.6</td>
<td>13.71</td>
<td>43.34</td>
<td>12.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>225.7</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>6.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>107.9</td>
<td>135.9</td>
<td>319.2</td>
<td>13.47</td>
<td>18.77</td>
<td>33.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>208.7</td>
<td>173.0</td>
<td>388.5</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>49.09</td>
<td>21.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \bar{x} )</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>181.5</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td>26.41</td>
<td>15.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>5.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\( \dagger P < 0.05 \) | NS       | 0.01         | NS                         | 0.02    | NS           | NS(0.1)                    |

* Calculated from area under the curve.
† Versus normals, two-sided Student \( t \).

studied. All had fasting hyperglycemia and glucosuria but no ketonuria since onset of diabetes at age 6-14 wk. The untreated diabetics came from seven sublines that had been brother-sister inbred for 3-17 generations. Once categorized at 5 mo of age, these animals rarely progress to a more severe or less severe form of diabetes.\(^1\) Nondiabetic hamsters from four normal inbred sublines of the same colony that had exhibited no glucosuria for at least five generations served as controls. There was no difference between normals and diabetics in mean age, body weight, or pancreas weight. Food was withheld about 18 h before sacrifice. All diabetics were markedly hyperglycemic at laparotomy (326±38 mg/100 ml) when compared with the normals (94±8 mg/100 ml), \( P < 0.0001 \).

**Perfusion system.** The dissection procedure and apparatus were adapted from the system of Grodsky, Bennett, Smith, and Schmid (23) and Grodsky and Fanska (24) with the following alterations: the surgical procedure, apparatus, and tubing were adjusted to handle 0.2-g hamster pancreases instead of 1.2-g rat pancreases. A constant 1.5-ml/min flow of unrecycled perfusate was pumped through the pancreases by a multispeed peristaltic finger pump (Harvard Apparatus Co., Inc., Millis, Mass.). Peris-

---

\(^1\) Gerritsen, G. C., and W. E. Dulin. Personal communication.
talsis from this pump (0.5–1.0 mm Hg) is negligible. Arterial/venous oxygen tension was measured during several perfusions, and oxygen uptake was calculated; uptake (0.06 ml O2/g dry wt/min) was constant and similar to that of the perfused rat pancreas (25). Oxygen uptake, gastric juice secretion, and duodenal peristalsis remained constant throughout the perfusions. Concentrated glucose was added via sidearm syringe in buffer at a rate of 0.1 ml buffer/min. Since anhydrous theophylline was not readily soluble in small amounts of perfusate, a parallel pumping system was used to supply perfusate containing 10 mM theophylline dissolved in buffer. The portal vein effluent, collected in an ice bath at 1-min intervals by automatic fraction collector, was stored until assay (within 4 wk) at −20°C. After the perfusion, the pancreas was dissected free from the duodenum and other tissues, weighed, and frozen until extraction.

Experimental design. After approximately 15 min equilibration and collection of two zero-time samples (min –1 and 0), the pancreases from a total of 11 normal and 17 diabetic hamsters were exposed to a 20-min glucose infusion (300 mg/100 ml; 16.7 mM). To obtain more information with the limited number of animals available, some of the pancreases were subsequently perfused with additional stimuli during which insulin (10 normals, 9 diabetics) and glucagon* (6 normals, 5 diabetics) responses were measured (Table II). 10-min rest periods, found adequate in similar perfusions of rat pancreases, were interposed between stimuli to minimize the effects one stimulation might have on the next. In these experiments, pancreases were exposed to the following agents: (a) glucose, 300 mg/100 ml (16.7 mM), 20 min, followed by (b) theophylline, 10 mM (180 mg/100 ml), 20 min, and (c) glucose plus theophylline (same concentrations as above), 20 min.

Insulin assay. Serial dilutions of pancreatic extracts from Chinese hamsters were compared with rat, beef, and pork insulin standards by using a solid phase modification of a single antibody radioimmunoassay (26), incorporating the Automated Pipetting Station (Micromedic Systems, Inc., Philadelphia, Pa.). Proportional cross-reaction was obtained with pork or beef but not rat insulin standards. Since pork insulin was slightly better than beef for the concentration ranges involved, all samples of perfusate were assayed against pork insulin standards.

Glucagon assay. Serial dilutions of pooled pancreatic extracts from Chinese hamsters gave proportional results when compared with beef-pork standards. Therefore, glucagon was measured against beef-pork glucagon standards by using a radioimmunoassay system (27) similar to that employed for the perfused rat pancreas (28). The Unger antiserum, 30K, which is highly specific for pancreatic glucagon (29), was employed. In brief, duplicate samples (0.2 ml) of perfusate collected on ice in tubes containing 15% EDTA (0.02 ml) were added to 0.6 ml glycine buffer, pH 8.8, containing approximately 16 pg of [3H]glucagon (Cambridge Nuclear Corp., Cambridge, Mass.). To this mixture, 0.4 ml antiserum (final dilution 1:40,000) was added. After incubation at 4°C for 4 days, bound and free glucagon were separated by dextran-coated charcoal. The minimal sensitivity of this assay was 0.025 ng/ml. Between 0.1 and 0.2 ng/ml, the interassay coefficient of variation was 15% and the intrassay coefficient was 10%. The glucagon secretion rates per gram of pancreas reported here are comparable to those from normal perfused rat pancreases in this laboratory (28).

To evaluate the possible degradation of glucagon in our perfusion system, [3H]glucagon was perfused for 60 min through both a normal and diabetic preparation. At various critical times, arterial and venous samples were collected in an ice bath, frozen at −20°C for 24 h, allowed to stand from 4 to 4 h at 4°C, and then subjected to hydrodynamic flow chromatography with a 0.05 M barbital buffer (26). Similar and minor degradation (mean < 10%) was observed at all times (min –10, −5, 0, 5, 15, 25, 35, and 45) throughout these typical experiments.

Pancreatic insulin content. Whole frozen pancreases obtained after perfusion were thawed, homogenized in cold trichloroacetic acid, and extracted for insulin by using a modification of an acid-alcohol, alkalinization, and ether-alcohol technique (30). Recovery of added [3H]porcine insulin was about 80%.

Blood glucose measurement. Blood glucose was determined by a glucose oxidase technique with the Ames Reflectance Meter (Ames Co., Div. Miles Labs., Inc., Elkhart, Ind.) on heparinized samples obtained from the vena cava or abdominal aorta during laparotomy.

Statistics. Linear regression and two-sided paired analysis or Student’s t tests were used to analyze data.

RESULTS

Insulin and glucagon responses to glucose (300 mg/100 ml) (Fig. 1 and Table II)

Insulin. Basal (unstimulated) secretion was similar in all animals. The normal hamster pancreases responded

*Assay of glucagon became available after normals (nos. 1–5) and diabetics (nos. 1–12) had already been studied.

Figure 1 Mean (±SE) insulin and glucagon responses of normal (○) and diabetic (●) Chinese hamster pancreases to glucose infusion (300 mg/100 ml). Insulin measurements from normals (nos. 1–11) and diabetics (nos. 1–17); glucagon measurements from normals (nos. 6–11) and diabetics (nos. 13–17), as designated in Table I. (*) P < 0.05.
to continuous glucose infusion in a biphasic manner: the first phase consisted of a spikelike release that reached maximal levels within 4 min; this was followed by a nadir and the second phase, a more prolonged response. The first peak, or phase, was taken as the area under the curve during the first 7 min of stimulation, and the second phase as the area thereafter. Despite a wide overlap of normal and diabetic responses, the mean (±SE) diabetic first and second phases of insulin release were significantly reduced to a similar extent (54±15% and 50±13% of normal, respectively). In both individual normal and diabetic animals, the amount of insulin released in the first phase was positively correlated to second phase release ($r = 0.79$, $P < 0.005$; $r = 0.94$, $P < 0.0005$, respectively).

Other studies from this laboratory indicate that both phases begin simultaneously and overlap during the first few minutes of stimulation (31). In many cases, simply separating the phases at the nadir overestimates the first phase. Theoretically, it is more accurate to extrapolate the second phase to zero and subtract the overlap from the first phase (32); however, extrapolation is often difficult and arbitrary. With this approach, applied to the mean data in Fig. 1, inhibition of first and second phases was 53 and 49% of normal, respectively.

Mean pancreatic insulin content after perfusion of the diabetic pancreases (0.373±0.067 U/g) was significantly less than that in the normals (1.054±0.240 U/g, $P < 0.01$) (Table I). However, when individual animals were compared, pancreatic insulin content, number of inbred generations, age, body weight, fasting blood glu-
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**Figure 2** Relation between in vitro insulin responses and the degree of hyperglycemia of individual normal (○) and diabetic (■) Chinese hamsters. Insulin secretion refers to total release during a 20-min glucose infusion (300 mg/100 ml) from the individual pancreases summarized in Fig. 1. Glucose values were from blood collected from animals during laparotomy immediately before pancreatic removal (Table I). Glucose determinations were performed in 9 of 11 normal and 11 of the 17 diabetic animals.
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**Figure 3** Mean (±SE insulin and glucagon responses of normal (○) and diabetic (●) Chinese hamster pancreases to 20-min infusions of glucose (300 mg/100 ml), theophylline (10 mM), and glucose (300 mg/100 ml) plus theophylline (10 mM). Insulin measurements from 10 normals (nos. 2-11) and 9 diabetics (nos. 9-17); glucagon measurements from 6 normals (nos. 6-11) and 5 diabetics (nos. 13-17). (*) $P < 0.05$.

...ose at laparotomy, (Fig. 2), and duration of diabetes did not correlate with the amount of insulin released in response to glucose in either normals or diabetics. Impaired insulin release in the diabetics was correlated with mean urine sugar, measured every 2 wk during life ($r = 0.441$, $P < 0.05$).

**Glucagon.** Basal secretion was similar in both normal and diabetic groups. Glucose suppressed glucagon secretion in normals within 5 min; there was maximum suppression to a mean of less than 10% of zero-time by 10 min. In the diabetics, glucagon release was suppressed to only 56% during the same period. Total glucagon secretion during this interval (Table II) was significantly greater ($P < 0.02$) in the diabetics than in the normals. After the glucose infusion, both normal and diabetic glucagon levels did not return to the preglycose values, but established a new lower basal level (Fig. 3).

**Insulin and glucagon responses to theophylline (10 mM) (Fig. 3 and Table II)**

**Insulin.** Theophylline caused similar, small but significant, nonphasic release from both normal and diabetic pancreases.

**Glucagon.** Theophylline caused nonphasic glucagon release in both groups. Although the mean glucagon response of the diabetic pancreases exceeded that of the normals, this difference was not significant. In additional experiments, theophylline, introduced as the initial stim-
Comparison of total insulin and glucagon release and insulin/glucagon molar ratios

Total insulin and glucagon released (area under the curve for 0–80 min) from the individual pancreases are summarized in Fig. 5. Two diabetics had total insulin responses within the range observed for the normal animals but had excessive glucagon release; two diabetics had glucagon responses within the range of the normals but had lower insulin levels; no diabetic had both normal insulin and normal glucagon responses. This resulted in the diabetics consistently having decreased insulin/glucagon molar ratios for the total 80-min interval (Fig. 6). There was no evidence that those diabetics with the highest insulin release had the lowest glucagon release. Rather, those diabetics with the highest insulin release also had the highest glucagon release (Fig. 5).

Influence of subline on insulin release

A total of four normal and seven diabetic Chinese hamster sublines were “sampled” during these experiments (Table I). Although too few animals were studied to give a large n in each subline, several sublines showed large variations in hormone release from one animal to the next, while one particular subline, X, consistently showed poorer insulin release in response to glucose. There was no correlation in the diabetics between the mean insulin response of the subline and the number of generations that subline had been inbred, blood glucose at laparotomy, or mean biweekly urine sugar.

DISCUSSION

The diabetic Chinese hamster may represent a useful model for studying the pathogenesis of a genetic diabetic

---

**Figure 4** Mean (±SE) insulin and glucagon responses of normal (○) and diabetic (●) Chinese hamster pancreases stimulated by theophylline alone (10 mM) without any prior stimulus. The pancreases were obtained from similar animals and were involved in other studies to be reported in detail elsewhere.

**Figure 5** Comparison of individual total insulin and glucagon release (as area under the curve) during the 80-min experimental period (Fig. 3) from normal (○) and diabetic (●) Chinese hamster pancreases. Shaded areas are for visual convenience. Horizontal area shows the range of insulin responses observed among the normal pancreases; vertical area shows the range of glucagon responses observed among normal pancreases.

---

**Insulin.** The insulin response of the normals was biphasic and significantly greater than during either glucose or theophylline alone. The response of the diabetics to this combined stimulation was also greater than to either stimulant alone, total insulin release being quantitatively similar to that in the normals stimulated by glucose alone. However, the diabetics' response was still significantly less (P < 0.01) than the normals' response to this same combined stimulation.

**Glucagon.** In the normals and diabetics, glucose plus theophylline tended to cause less glucagon release than did theophylline alone. The diabetics' glucagon responses to glucose plus theophylline were greater than the normals'; however, the statistical significance of this difference (P = 0.08) was not as definitive as when the pancreases were exposed to glucose alone (P = 0.02).
syndrome similar to that occurring in nonobese insulin-deficient humans. The present investigation had the fol-
lowing objectives: (a) to ascertain whether dynamic insulin responses to glucose in vitro were abnormal and
whether there was a preferential defect in early or late insulin release; (b) to determine whether abnormal glu-
cagon secretion also occurred in this diabetic animal, since abnormalities in pancreatic glucagon secretion
have been shown to occur in human diabetes (3-7, 33); and (c) to determine the effects of theophylline on in-
sulin and glucagon secretion, since similar agents have been reported to ameliorate impaired insulin release in
human diabetics (34).

Malaisse, Malaisse-Lagae, Gerritsen, Dulin, and
Wright (17) and Chang (18) have reported impaired
insulin release from isolated pancreatic tissue and islets
from diabetic Chinese hamsters. Their studies, however,
did not permit clear evaluation of the individual phases
of insulin release. Simpson, Benedetti, Grodsky, Karam,
and Forsham (35) suggested that early insulin release
may be preferentially diminished in human diabetics,
but they did not study second phase release. Cerasi and
Luft (36) recently found that both phases of insulin
release are significantly impaired in human diabetics and
suggested that the mechanisms governing both phases of
insulin responses to glucose are similar. Evidence for a
common glucose signal for both phases is derived from the
observation that in normal rats (31) and man (36) the
glucose $K_m$ for the first and second phases are the
same. Both phases of insulin release were impaired with
equal frequency and to a similar extent in our diabetic
hamsters. These findings are thus consistent with the
concept of an early defect of glucose action, possibly in-
volving a glucose receptor (36, 37) rather than a
preferential defect in some mechanism underlying one
of the phases. This defect appears relatively specific for
glucose, since theophylline both stimulated insulin re-
lease and augmented glucose-stimulated insulin release
similarly in both normals and diabetics. Although total
pancreatic insulin was less in the diabetics, this did not
appear to be a major cause of their decreased glucose-
stimulated insulin release, since response to theophylline
was normal and since there was no correlation between
insulin release and stored insulin within either the nor-
mal or diabetic groups. Our findings are also in ac-
cord with those in man by Robertson and Porte (37),
who found that, despite abnormal insulin responses to
glucose, diabetics' insulin responses to isoproterenol
were normal, suggesting a defect specific for glucose-
mediated insulin secretion.

Elevated fasting glucagon levels have been reported
in human diabetics with (3, 6, 33) and without (38)
concomitant hyperglycemia. From the diabetic hamster
pancreases, basal glucagon secretion (at zero glucose)
was similar to normals. These “basal” data probably are
not directly comparable to fasting hormone levels in man
where glucose concentrations are not zero. Further-
more, since initial glucagon levels were higher than
during any of the subsequent zero glucose rest periods,
it is possible that surgery and the absence of glucose
during the equilibration periods may have acted as a
combined stress and hypoglycemic stimulus, permitting
the normal pancreases to increase secretion up to the
diabetic levels. This high early glucagon release was not
due to artifacts caused by pancreatic degradative pro-
cesses, since the integrity of added $[^{38}S]$glucagon re-
mained constant at all times during a typical perfusion.

Müller, Falloona, and Unger (39) reported that hyper-
glycemia in normal dogs made diabetic by alloxan failed
to suppress glucagon secretion normally unless exogen-
ous insulin was given. Human diabetics, however,
show poor glucagon suppression by glucose even when
supplemented with abundant exogenous insulin (5). In
the present experiments, glucose did not suppress glu-
cagon secretion as readily in the genetic diabetics as in
the normal hamsters, even though some of the diabetic
animals had normal insulin responses to glucose and to
theophylline. Furthermore, glucagon suppression did not
correlate with pancreatic insulin content. Although glu-
cagon responses to theophylline were substantially sup-
pressed by glucose in the normal hamsters, suppression
was not as great in the diabetics. These results suggest
that the cause for the lack of glucagon suppression in the
spontaneously diabetic Chinese hamster and the allox-
andabetic dog may be different, and that the abnormal
glucagon secretion from the hamster pancreas is not
secondary to a lack of insulin per se. As has been sug-
gested for human diabetics (5), it is possible that there
may be a primary defect of glucose recognition in dia-

**Figure 6** Individual and mean ($\pm$SE) molar ratios of
total secreted insulin and glucagon from normal (■) and
diabetic (□) Chinese hamster pancreas, $P < 0.005$. Data
taken from Fig. 5.
diabetic Chinese hamster pancreatic alpha cells. However, one still cannot exclude the possibility that this defect of the alpha cell was caused by acute or chronic insulin deficiency. It would thus be instructive to see if any abnormalities of glucagon secretion remained after in vitro addition of insulin or after prolonged control of the blood glucose with insulin in vivo.

The present studies demonstrate that theophylline not only increases insulin secretion but is also a stimulator of glucagon release, consistent with the observations of Jarrousse, Rançon, Rosselin, and Freychet (40) using the newborn rat pancreas. Thus, both the pancreatic alpha and beta cell may be positively modulated by increased cyclic AMP—a conclusion also recently suggested for man (41).

The similar responses to theophylline in normal and diabetic pancreases suggest that the mechanism through which theophylline acts (presumably through elevating intracellular cyclic AMP) is relatively intact in both the beta and alpha cell of the genetically diabetic hamster. Our results are similar to Cerasi and Luft’s finding (34) that aminophylline could partially “normalize” some human prediabetics’ insulin responses to glucose. Our experiments, however, showed that theophylline augmented, but did not quantitatively normalize, the glucose-stimulated insulin release in the diabetics.

Certain diabetic pancreases had total insulin release within the range of the normals but excessive glucagon secretion; others had subnormal insulin release with normal glucagon secretion. None had responses in the range of the normals of both hormones. This relationship could be expressed as a significantly ($P < 0.02$) decreased insulin/glucagon ratio. Thus, in agreement with Unger (42), the insulin/glucagon molar ratio, although not a significant number in itself, since it suggests an unproven linear relationship, may nevertheless represent the status of pancreatic responses more accurately than measurement of the level of either hormone alone. Moreover, the insulin/glucagon molar ratio may have clinical applicability by demonstrating in a single individual an inappropriate level of insulin for a given glucagon level and vice versa.

Diabetes is often attributed to genetics when identical twins both develop the disease and to environment when they do not. There are several sublines of Chinese hamsters which have been brother-sister inbred for 10 or more generations and thus have, theoretically, more than 90% genetic homozygosity (43). Nevertheless, these animals are not 90% phenotypically identical with regard to severity of their diabetes, suggesting a contribution by environmental factors. Despite our careful selection for nonketotic diabetics of similar severity, there was a wide overlap of the individual normal and diabetic insulin responses to glucose. This variation in insulin release was noted both between and within sublines, suggesting what is often suspected of human diabetes mellitus—that we may be dealing with several different genetic contributions to the diabetic disease. The fact that one subline with consistently low insulin (X) was no more diabetic than the others supports the probability that impaired beta-cell function is not solely responsible for genetic diabetes. The recent series represents the first attempt to examine hormone secretion from different sublines, but more animals must be studied in each diabetic hamster subline before definite conclusions can be drawn.

In conclusion, the diabetic Chinese hamster may be a valuable experimental model for studying the metabolic abnormalities of a genetic diabetic syndrome not easily measured in man in vivo. In the diabetic hamster, both phases of insulin release in response to glucose were reduced; insulin and glucagon responses to theophylline were normal; theophylline enhanced but did not completely normalize glucose-stimulated insulin release; inhibition of glucagon secretion by glucose was impaired and did not appear to be correlated with insulin deficiency; and insulin/glucagon molar ratios were reduced despite occasional normal levels of one or the other hormone. These results suggest that both alpha and beta cells of the diabetic pancreas may have a relatively specific impaired sensitivity to glucose.
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