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INTRODUCTION

Early in this century, several investigators demonstrated that histamine injected into skin resulted in signs and symptoms identical to those found in urticaria (1, 2), and that urticarial skin reactions could be effected by antibody-antigen interaction (3). Subsequently, it has generally been assumed that chronic urticaria represents an allergic reaction (4), even though the etiology of most cases cannot be determined. While various pharmacologic agents and mediators of inflammation can produce vasodilatation and increased capillary permeability (5-9), histamine produces the pruritus characteristic of this condition. Thus, urticaria is considered to be largely the result of localized histamine release within a circumscribed area of the skin. Current opinion holds that while every effort must be made to exclude an allergenic etiology, it seems probable that in the majority of chronic urticaria patients, antigen-IgE interactions are playing no part. If this view is correct, an understanding of the intracellular enzymatic and biochemical events controlling or leading to histamine release may result in better methods for control of urticaria, regardless of specific etiology. Recently, Greaves et al. (10) reported that the extent of basophil leukocyte histamine release caused by anti-human IgE (i.e., reversed in vitro anaphylaxis [11]) is significantly lower in chronic urticaria patients than in matched controls. The studies reported here were undertaken in an attempt to confirm these results and explore the nature of any demonstrated defect in histamine release found in urticaria patients.

METHODS

Subjects. 21 patients, 15 female and 6 male, with a history of chronic urticaria were referred for study. All reported at least weekly recurrences of transient lesions (<48 h). All had symptoms for over 6 wk with a mean duration of 36.3 mo (range 2-168 mo). Ages ranged from 15 to 75 yr (average 37.7 yr). 12 patients were Caucasian and 9
were Black, 4 patients were considered to be atopic on the basis of a personal history of seasonal pollinosis, asthma, and/or atopic dermatitis. 4 additional patients had a family history of one of these conditions. 13 patients used antihistamines, 1 patient used systemic corticosteroids, and 3 patients used both agents regularly as therapy for their urticaria. All urticaria patients had discontinued antihistamines and/or systemic corticosteroid therapy at least 48 h before blood collection. 5 patients had active lesions at the time of study. 24 subjects, 16 female and 8 male, who had never had urticaria, asthma, hay fever, or atopic dermatitis served as controls. 18 were Caucasian and 6 were Black. Their average age was also 37.7 yr. None were taking antihistamines or systemic corticosteroids. All blood collections were performed between 8 a.m. and 9 a.m.

Anti-human IgE. Rabbit anti-human IgE, produced by immunization with the Fc fragment of a human IgE myeloma protein and then absorbed with IgE Fab fragments, as previously described (12), was graciously supplied by Dr. Kimishige Ishizaka.

White blood cell and basophil counts. White blood cell counts were performed on EDTA-treated whole blood with a Coulter counter (model-S, Coulter Electronics, Inc., Hialeah, Fla.). Blood smears were observed after Wright's staining, and the number of basophils per 500 white cells was determined. Final results were calculated to give the number of basophils per milliliter of whole blood.

Anti-IgE-induced leukocyte histamine release. Histamine release from human leukocyte preparations was performed as previously described (13, 14). Briefly, 50 ml of peripheral blood was drawn into a mixture of dextran, EDTA, and glucose. The cells were allowed to sediment at room temperature for approximately 90 min, and the supernatant fluid containing plasma, platelets, and white blood cells was aspirated and centrifuged gently (100 g) to sediment the white blood cells, leaving the platelets in the fluid phase. The resulting pellet of white blood cells was washed twice in a Tris-saline buffer (NaCl, 120 mM; KCl, 5 mM; Tris, 25 mM, pH 7.4, supplemented with 0.33% human albumin) (Tris-A) and suspended in the same buffer with added calcium (0.6 mM) and magnesium (1.0 mM) (Tris-ACM) at a concentration of approximately 10⁶ cells/ml (13). Aliquots of the cell suspension were delivered to tubes containing the appropriate concentration of anti-IgE and/or pharmacologic agents being studied, and histamine release was allowed to proceed for 60 min at 37°C. Tubes were run in duplicate, and to ascertain spontaneous histamine release, each experiment contained cell aliquots to which only buffer was added. Each experiment also contained cell aliquots lysed in perchloric acid to determine the total histamine content. After incubation, reaction tubes were centrifuged, and the quantity of histamine released into the supernate was determined by a fluorometric method modified from that of Shore et al. (15). Histamine release less than 10% was not considered significant in these experiments, and these patients were defined as "unresponsive." Reproducibility of histamine release curves in two subjects is demonstrated in Fig. 1.

Deuteronium oxide enhancement of anti-IgE-induced leukocyte histamine release. Tris-ACM made with deuterium oxide (30% vol/vol) and anti-IgE (0.333 µg antibody N/ml) were added to aliquots of cells from 16 patients and 13 control subjects, and the percent histamine released was determined similarly (16).

Calcium ionophore-induced leukocyte histamine release. Aliquots of the same cells used for anti-IgE-induced histamine release were incubated at 37°C for 60 min with the calcium ionophore A23187 in a final concentration of 6.66 µg/ml, and histamine release was determined (17).

Serum IgE concentration. Serum IgE protein was determined by a modification of the direct (noncompetitive binding) radioimmunosorbent test, as described by Wide (18). Briefly, sera were incubated with a suitable dilution of goat anti-human IgE antibody insolubilized to Sepharose 4-B (Pharmacia Fine Chemicals, Inc., Piscataway, N. J.) by the cyanogen bromide method. Dilutions of a reference serum of known IgE content (standardized from WHO reference preparation numbers 68/341) were included in each assay to provide a standard curve. After 24 h of incubation at room temperature on an orbital rotator, the immunosorbent was washed and incubated for a second period of 16-24 h with 125I-labeled rabbit anti-Fcγ. After washing, bound radioactivity was counted and IgE content determined by reference to the standard serum. Results are expressed as international units (converted to nanograms by multiplying by 2.4).

Leukocyte cyclic AMP levels. Washed cell aliquots of the same preparations used in the anti-IgE-induced histamine release studies from 13 patients and 16 control subjects were incubated at 37°C for 20 min and then centrifuged. The cell pellet was then lysed with 5% trichloroacetic acid, and cyclic AMP determinations were carried out by the technique described by Brown et al. (19). Cell counts were performed on the washed cells and the cyclic AMP levels calculated per 10⁶ leukocytes.

Isoproterenol stimulation of leukocyte cyclic AMP. Washed cell aliquots of the same preparations used in the anti-IgE-induced histamine release studies from the 20 patients and 23 control subjects whose mean histamine release curves are shown in Fig. 2 were incubated at 37°C for 20 min with or without isoproterenol (1.6 µmol) and then centrifuged. The cell pellet was then lysed with 5% trichloroacetic acid, and cyclic AMP determinations were carried out as noted above. Cell counts of the washed leukocytes were not performed, but each preparation served as its own control, in terms of changed cyclic AMP.

**Figure 1** Leukocyte histamine release curves of a control subject and a patient with chronic urticaria. The three determinations for each subject were performed over a 10-wk period and indicate the highly reproducible nature of the histamine release assay. Ab, antibody.
PASSIVE SENSITIZATION STUDIES. Passive sensitization of human leukocytes from 10 patients and 7 control subjects was performed as described by Levy and Osler (20). In these studies, the washed leukocytes were incubated with a 1:5 dilution (Tris-A) of serum from known biologically active IgE antibody against phospholipase A (PLA) (the main allergen in honey bee venom), in the presence of EDTA and heparin for 90 min at 37°C. Cells were washed twice, resuspended in Tris-ACM, incubated with PLA for 45 min, and histamine release was determined.

**1H-I-anti-IgE Autoradiography.** The method for basophil leukocyte autoradiography has been described (21). Briefly, 30 ml of peripheral blood from each subject was drawn into a glass flask containing 1.5 ml of 10% EDTA. 1 vol of the anticoagulated blood was mixed with 3 vol of normal saline, and 10 ml of the suspension was layered over 3 ml Hypaque-Ficoll mixture (d = 1.077) in a 13 x 125-mm tube. The tubes were centrifuged at 20°C for 40 min at a speed sufficient to produce approximately 450 g at the interface. The mononuclear cell layers containing the majority of the basophil leukocytes were collected and incubated at 0°C for 30 min with 1H-I-labeled anti-IgE in Tris-A buffer containing 0.01 M EDTA (22). The cells were washed five times with the same buffer at 0°C, and smears of the cells were then stained with Wright's stain. The stained slides were then coated with 68% cellulose dissolved in a mixture of absolute ethanol and ether. After drying, the slides were covered with Nuclear Track emulsion NTB 2 (Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, N. Y.), and slides from each subject were developed after 24, 48, and 72 h and examined by light microscopy. The radioactive grains overlying identifiable basophil leukocytes (presence of metachromatic granules) and bounded by the cell's plasma membrane were counted (Table IV).

RESULTS

Mean basophil counts in our patients and control subjects averaged 0.8% of the white blood cells and were within normal limits in all subjects (Table I). The mean total serum IgE level was significantly higher in patients than in controls (P < 0.05), although the mean concentration was within normal limits in both groups. None of the control subjects were atopic, whereas four of the urticaria subjects had a personal history of asthma, seasonal pollinosis, and/or atopic dermatitis. If the serum IgE concentrations of atopic patients are omitted from the calculations, the mean serum IgE levels of urticaria patients remains significantly higher (P < 0.05) than controls (Table I).

The total histamine content of approximately 107 white cells in patients and control subjects was not significantly different, although the cells of patients had slightly less histamine than those of the controls (Table II). Spontaneous release from the cells of both groups also did not differ significantly and was under 5% in all cases. However, if responders and nonresponders of both patient and control groups are considered separately, then significant differences in leukocyte histamine content are found (Table II) between responders and nonresponders as a whole (P < 0.05), and between responding controls and either nonresponding patients (P < 0.05) or controls (P < 0.05).

Five-point histamine release determinations with anti-IgE were carried out on the isolated leukocytes of patients and control subjects. Typical results are shown in Fig. 1, which illustrates a control response and the complete lack of any histamine release with the cells obtained from a patient with chronic urticaria. The figure also illustrates the reproducibility of these findings: the three curves are from separate experiments carried out over 10 wk.

A summary of data obtained in patients and controls is shown in Fig. 2. At all concentrations of anti-IgE used, the mean histamine release from leukocytes of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I</th>
<th>Serum IgE Level and Basophil Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serum IgE</td>
<td>1U/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urticaria</td>
<td>(21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>(24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SEM. Numbers of subjects are in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table II</th>
<th>Histamine Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responders</td>
<td>Nonresponders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Patients (14)</td>
<td>111 ± 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Controls (19)</td>
<td>148 ± 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Total (30)</td>
<td>135 ± 26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison of all possible groups reveals B and D, E and H, and G and H to be significantly different (P < 0.05). Values are means ± SEM.
patients with chronic urticaria was less than the mean histamine release of the control group. This difference was significant at anti-IgE concentrations of 1.66 ($P < 0.005$), 0.33 ($P < 0.01$), 0.066 ($P < 0.02$), and 0.013 ($P < 0.05$) μg antibody N/ml. At each concentration, two to three times as many controls showed significant (> 10%) histamine release as patients, but there was overlap, with some patients releasing a high percentage of histamine, and some controls releasing little. This is graphically illustrated in Fig. 3, which indicates the maximum percent histamine release in all subjects at any anti-IgE concentration tested. Although 14 of 20 patients (70%) release less than 20% of their total available leukocyte histamine, 4 of 23 controls (17%) also release less than this amount. The mean histamine release of responding subjects (> 10% release) was lower in urticaria patients than in controls at all but the lowest anti-IgE concentration tested (Table III), indicating that the observed difference is not due solely to the presence of an increased incidence of nonresponsive leukocytes within the urticaria patients.

The addition of D2O increased IgE-induced histamine release. The mean increase in percent histamine release caused by D2O was similar in patients (15%) and controls (16%). The percent histamine release with and without D2O is shown for each subject in Fig. 4. The striking finding in these experiments is that if the cells from a subject, whether patient or control, did not respond to anti-IgE (i.e., less than 10% histamine release), D2O did not change the lack of response, whereas if there was significant histamine release, D2O increased the response in most instances.

To study further the terminal events in the histamine release sequence, cells from patients and controls were challenged with the calcium ionophore A23187 at a dose known to produce a wide range of histamine release in most subjects (17). The mean percent histamine release produced by this agent was not significantly different in the two groups (value±SD: control, 65±20%; urticaria, 68±22%).

The level of cyclic AMP in leukocyte preparations from patients and controls was determined to ascertain if there was a difference in base-line level or in responsiveness to beta adrenergic stimulation with isoproterenol. Base-line levels of leukocyte cyclic AMP were determined in 13 patients and 16 control subjects. Anti-IgE histamine release in this subset of original subjects was performed simultaneously. Special attention was given to those technical factors that might affect intracellular cyclic AMP levels, such as the subject’s state of fasting, the time of day blood was collected, and time of cell sedimentation. While the mean histamine release curves of these patients and controls were significantly different at anti-IgE concentrations of 1.66 ($P < 0.05$), 0.33 ($P < 0.05$), 0.066 ($P < 0.05$), and 0.03 ($P < 0.02$) μg antibody N/ml, the base-line cyclic AMP levels per 10^6 cells were not (Table IV). Cell counts were not performed on the aliquots used for isoproterenol stimulation. Each set of cells served as its own control. The percentage increase of leukocyte cyclic AMP on isoproterenol treatment was significant in both patients and controls at all concentrations tested.

![Figure 3](image)

**Figure 3**: Maximum leukocyte histamine release in urticaria patients (upper) and control subjects (lower) at any anti-IgE concentration tested.

**Table III**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anti-IgE Concentration (μg antibody N/ml)</th>
<th>0.0026</th>
<th>0.013</th>
<th>0.066</th>
<th>0.333</th>
<th>1.666</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% histamine release</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>(17)</td>
<td>43.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>(17)</td>
<td>49.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>(17)</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers of patients are in parentheses.

* Over 10% histamine release.
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Nonresponders (6)

Responders
sensitization of PLA-induced patients release histamine to medium induced histamine

FIGURE 4 Deuterium oxide enhancement of anti-IgE leukocyte histamine release (HR). Each patient (upper) and control subject (lower) is represented by a pair of bars indicating leukocyte histamine release induced by anti-IgE at a concentration of 0.33 μg antibody N/ml with and without the presence of heavy water.

proterenol stimulation was similar in both groups (increase: patients, 494%; controls, 456%).

To determine whether decreased histamine release with anti-IgE is due to the absence of normal IgE in the patients with chronic urticaria, passive sensitization studies were performed with known biologically active IgE antibody against the main allergen in honey bee venom (PLA). D2O was included in the release medium to maximize the response. Subsequent antigen-induced histamine release revealed that no significant histamine release was demonstrable in any of the six patients or two controls who had less than 10% histamine release with anti-IgE. However, the four patients and five control subjects who had more than 10% anti-IgE-induced histamine release did demonstrate significant PLA-induced histamine release after passive sensitization of their leukocytes (Fig. 5).

125I-anti-IgE autoradiographic studies of the peripheral leukocytes from three patients and one control subject were performed to determine if a gross quantitative defect in the basophil-bound IgE was responsible for the decreased anti-IgE-induced histamine release observed in urticaria patients. These subjects were chosen because leukocytes from the urticaria patients released less than 10% of their total available histamine in the histamine release studies, whereas the leukocytes of the control subject released over 70% of available histamine on anti-IgE challenge. Light microscopy revealed that basophils from the chronic urticaria patients and the control subjects bound significant quantities of labeled anti-IgE (Fig. 6). The average number of radioactive grains overlying 30 basophils from the urticaria patients and control subject is presented in Table V.

14 subjects were reevaluated clinically and their anti-IgE-induced leukocyte histamine release was tested approximately every 2 mo over 8–10 mo. Five of the urticaria patients demonstrated significant clinical improvement over this period, and leukocyte histamine release during a period of relative quiescence in their disease revealed a dramatic increase in responsiveness (histamine induced by 0.33 μg antibody N/ml anti-IgE: active urticaria, 19, 1, 0, 0, and 8%; quiescent period, 46, 19, 22, 21, and 74%, respectively).

DISCUSSION

These studies were initiated in an attempt to gain some insight into the pathogenesis of chronic urticaria with the expectation that progress toward the control of this disease may be achieved by viewing the problem not as due to innumerable "specific etiologies," but rather

**TABLE IV**

**Base-Line Leukocyte Cyclic AMP Level**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cyclic AMP</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pmol/10⁶ cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responders</td>
<td>(7) 9.3±4.7</td>
<td>(12) 10.2±3.6</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresponders</td>
<td>(6) 9.5±2.7</td>
<td>(4) 12.4±6.0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>(13) 9.4±3.7</td>
<td>(16) 10.8±4.2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are means±SD.

**FIGURE 5** Histamine release (HR) induced by honey bee PLA in leukocytes from urticaria patients and control subjects passively sensitized to this antigen. The patient and control groups are each divided into two subgroups dependent upon their leukocyte response to anti-IgE.
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as a basic biochemical defect resulting in localized cutaneous histamine release.

We have confirmed the observation of Greaves et al. (10) that circulating basophils from patients with chronic, undifferentiated urticaria release less histamine on the average than those of control subjects when challenged with anti-IgE. These investigators reported that the serum IgE in chronic urticaria patients was higher than in controls, but not significantly so. Our results show a significant increase. Greaves et al. also showed that maximal histamine release was obtained in both patients and controls at a similar anti-IgE concentration. From these data, it was proposed that the decreased anti-IgE histamine release is due to a qualitative abnormality in the basophil leukocyte-bound IgE of chronic urticaria patients (10).

We have extended these observations with studies designed to investigate the mechanism and explain the unresponsiveness noted in the cells obtained from urticaria patients. This decreased average response is largely due to the previously unappreciated fact that most patients with urticaria (70% in this group) have basophils that respond poorly (≤20% histamine release) or not at all to the challenge with anti-IgE. Even

### Table V

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Number of grains/basophil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 24-h exposure.
in those who do respond, however, the average release is less than in the control group (Table III). A curious finding, previously noted in a study of ragweed-sensitive children (11), was that cells of a small percentage of healthy donors, without a history of urticaria, were also unresponsive to anti-IgE. On the basis of the studies we have carried out, we cannot differentiate the mechanism of this unresponsiveness from that of the urticaria patients.

This unresponsiveness is not due to an abnormally low number of basophils; there was no significant difference between patients and the control group, and the values of both fell into the normal range. The fact that only five patients had active lesions when studied may explain our failure to demonstrate the previously reported decrease in the number of basophils present in chronic urticaria patients (23). We do not believe that the unresponsiveness is due to low concentrations of IgE since, in contrast to previously reported results (24), the patients had significantly higher IgE levels than controls.

We do not believe that the unresponsiveness is due to an abnormal IgE. Since the assay for IgE is an immunosassay, this suggests that the IgE molecules of patients and controls are not substantially different. However, minor differences in IgE, which might affect the cell binding of this molecule, are not ruled out.

Since it has been established that mediator release in allergic conditions is controlled by the intracellular level of cyclic nucleotides (25), we examined the baseline cyclic AMP levels in cells from patients and controls. Although the average histamine release caused by anti-IgE in these patients and controls was different, there was no significant difference in the base-line leukocyte cyclic AMP levels. Analysis of responders and nonresponders without reference to group also showed no difference.

Another possible explanation for the decreased basophil histamine release in patients with chronic urticaria is that they suffer from beta adrenergic "blockade," similar to that shown in asthmatic patients, whose peripheral leukocytes, when challenged with a beta adrenergic agonist, are less responsive than those of control individuals (26). If this lesion existed in urticaria patients, mediator release would occur more easily and the state of desensitization (see below) might be more easily encountered. Studies with the beta adrenergic agonist, isoproterenol, clearly demonstrated that the response of peripheral leukocytes, in terms of percent increase in cyclic AMP levels, was not deficient in urticaria patients.

Leukocytes challenged with anti-IgE may release only 5-10% of their total available histamine. In this instance, the cell is stimulated, but the stimulus is too "weak" to provide significant mediator release. Deuterium oxide alone does not usually result in histamine release, but markedly enhances low levels of antigen or anti-IgE-induced release in most individuals. We utilized this reagent to ascertain whether cells were being stimulated by anti-IgE. Previous studies have shown that heavy water acts late in the release sequence; various lines of evidence suggest that its enhancing ability is largely due to effects on the microtubule-associated events leading to the secretion of histamine (16). In the present study, D2O did not potentiate release from cells of patients or controls who had anti-IgE-unresponsive basophils. This result may be explained either by a lack of stimulation, or by a lesion causing unresponsiveness at some point early in the sequence of release after the signal has been appreciated.

A similar type of experiment was carried out with the calcium ionophore. This antibiotic provides a general secretory stimulus in many systems (27) and, in particular, releases histamine from the leukocytes of all individuals studied (17). In fact, ionophore produces significant histamine release from leukocytes previously desensitized in vitro (17). The mechanism of release by ionophore has been described in detail: ionophore appears to bypass the early stages in the secretory process but involves the later phases of histamine release (28). The fact that after ionophore challenge, the cells from both patients and controls release an equivalent percentage of available histamine reinforces the notion that whatever the lesion may be, it is not in the late (second) stage of mediator release, but rather occurs in the early (first) stage of the secretory process, similar to the lesion producing desensitization (13). Greaves et al. reached a similar conclusion but based on experiments with 48/80. In fact, their data does not bear on this conclusion, since the mechanism of 48/80 histamine release in man is not known.

One explanation offered by Greaves et al. (10) for decreased anti-IgE-induced histamine release was that the IgE molecules of chronic urticaria patients might be conformationally defective. We attempted to examine this question by providing the unresponsive cells with an IgE antibody preparation known to be biologically active. For this, we selected serum from a patient highly sensitive to the PLA derived from honey bee venom. In every instance passive sensitization with IgE anti-PLA and subsequent challenge with PLA (in the presence of D2O to amplify the response (29)) produced clear-cut histamine release from cells of patients and controls who had anti-IgE-responsive cells. In both patients and controls who had anti-IgE-unresponsive cells, passive sensitization was not achieved. This suggests that the deficit in responsiveness is not due to the absence of normal IgE in chronic urticaria patients. It does not rule out the possibility that these patients have cells that fail to bind IgE.
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To explore the possibility of a defective basophil IgE receptor in the nonresponding urticaria patients, 125I-anti-IgE autoradiographic studies were performed. The results indicate that a significant anti-IgE-IgE interaction occurs on the surface of basophils from patients whose leukocytes do not release histamine upon anti-IgE challenge. Radiolabeled grain counts were performed (Table V), but because of the small number of subjects studied, it is impossible to state that a quantitative defect in IgE does not exist. However, the number of grains overlying the basophils and the variability noted is consistent with previously published data concerning the number and variability of basophil IgE molecules in normal subjects (30).

The data we have presented do not, therefore, provide a clear explanation of the nature of the unresponsive cells found in urticaria patients. Our studies not only extend Greaves' et al. observations but also lead us to consider a quite different mechanism. We believe the data indicate that the decreased anti-IgE-induced histamine release observed from basophils of chronic urticaria patients is due to a defect that occurs after initial anti-IgE-IgE interaction but before the actual (second-stage) release process. Our hypothesis is that the lesion represents a biochemical abnormality early in the sequence of histamine release, comparable to the phenomenon of desensitization. It has been shown that cells subjected in vitro to low doses of antigen, or to antigen in an inappropriate medium, become activated but release only a small percentage of their histamine. When challenged later with antigen or anti-IgE, they are totally unresponsive (13). Similar results can be obtained in vivo in patients on immunotherapy for allergic rhinitis (31). In chronic urticaria, we do not suggest that the releasing-desensitizing event is necessarily immunologic. If, however, urticaria can be explained as repetitive histamine release by unknown stimuli, then a phenomenon similar to desensitization may occur (32). The basophils, having released some part of their histamine in vivo, would be unresponsive when challenged in vitro with anti-IgE. The significant difference in leukocyte histamine content between anti-IgE responsive and nonresponsive cells is consistent with this hypothesis.

While in most instances cells of urticaria patients remain unresponsive, in a few instances cells have been observed to respond as urticaria symptoms spontaneously subside over a period of time, an observation compatible with desensitization. Similarly, we have noted one individual whose cells were responsive at one time and later become unresponsive. This fluctuation argues for a transient biochemical lesion and distinctly argues against the presence of a permanent abnormality. There is, unfortunately, at present no "marker" that allows us to differentiate a desensitized cell from a cell unresponsive for another reason. We have no explanation for the few controls who have unresponsive cells. Whether they are predisposed to develop urticaria remains to be seen.

These studies, therefore, provide only a beginning in delineating the nature of chronic urticaria. This is, however, the first clear-cut biochemical difference between chronic urticaria patients and normal individuals that has been observed, and this difference exists in a mediator system that almost certainly plays a role in the pathogenesis of this disease. We have tested and ruled out several possible explanations for these unresponsive cells; we are continuing this investigation with the hypothesis that the cells are desensitized due to in vivo stimulation and mediator release.
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