Protective responses in the ischemic myocardium
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Acute coronary syndromes and heart failure arising as a consequence of ischemic injury to the myocardium account for a large proportion of all hospital admissions and of all causes of death in industrialized nations. Medical and surgical management of these conditions consumes enormous resources each year, but current therapeutic measures fall far short of reducing death and disability from ischemic heart disease to acceptable levels. Several recently successful advances in therapy of acute coronary syndromes are based on limiting the extent of myocardial damage that ensues following occlusion of a major coronary artery by rapid restoration of blood flow. However, many patients are not suitable candidates for thrombolytic drugs or revascularization procedures, and these approaches often are applied too late to prevent irreversible damage to the myocardium. A greater understanding of the mechanisms of ischemic injury, and of endogenous defense mechanisms, could foster additional improvements in clinical care.

Mechanisms of cell injury during myocardial ischemia and reperfusion

Cells of all tissues undergo irreversible injury and death when deprived of oxygen and other nutrients, and many of the responses to ischemia are shared among all cell types. However, quantitative and kinetic features of ischemic injury and of cytoprotective responses to injury are distinctive for each specialized cell type and tissue, and certain responses may be unique. In the case of the mammalian heart, an extensive literature has defined a stepwise series of events that follow coronary occlusion and that determine whether cells live or die.

Immediately upon cessation of blood flow, concentrations of high-energy phosphate compounds (ATP and creatine phosphate) in cardiomyocytes fall and pH rises as cells shift from oxidative metabolism to anaerobic glycolysis. Within seconds or minutes, these abnormalities become sufficiently severe to reduce the contractile force generated by actin-myosin crossbridge formation, and subsequently to impair the function of energy-dependent ion pumps in cellular membranes. Intracellular concentrations of free calcium increase, but cytosolic levels of calcium are buffered, at least initially, by uptake of calcium into mitochondria. As mitochondrial respiration ceases, FFAs and modified lipid or phospholipid intermediates accumulate.

These changes are reversible, without cell death, if blood flow is restored promptly. However, with increasing durations of ischemia, cardiomyocytes fail to maintain the integrity of cellular membranes and cell death becomes inevitable, even if blood flow is restored fully. The time course with which injury becomes irreversible is a function of many variables, including the species under study, the severity of ischemia (total versus partial), mechanical and metabolic demands on the heart, and the antecedent state of the myocardium. Clinical and experimental observations suggest that ischemic injury to the human myocardium may become irreversible within an hour, although there is clinical benefit to restoring blood flow even after longer periods of total coronary occlusion.

Restoration of coronary blood flow following a transient period of ischemia (reperfusion), though necessary for cell survival, introduces a separate series of stresses that can exacerbate cell injury. Reactive oxygen species (ROSs) generated during reperfusion damage proteins and membrane structures within cardiomyocytes and can activate signal transduction pathways that lead to apoptosis (1, 2). Adherence of leukocytes to posts ischemic endothelial cells both clogs capillaries (the “no reflow” phenomenon) and releases inflammatory mediators. Upon reperfusion, the influx of activated complement, catecholamines, and other signaling molecules contained in plasma or elaborated locally within the myocardial wall also may influence the course of events within cells of the myocardium. As with the direct consequences of ischemia, reperfusion injury is an important feature of acute coronary syndromes. Such injury occurs both spontaneously, as a result of fibrinolysis of coronary thromboses, and as a consequence of fibrinolytic drugs or acute angioplasty, treatments that are now commonly used to open occluded vessels.

At a molecular level, several classes of events provide final common pathways to cell death resulting from ischemia and reperfusion. Unfolding or pathological posttranslational modifications of critical proteins create a burden from which the cell cannot recover (3). Massive de novo resynthesis of proteins (see Bush et al.,
this Perspective series, ref. 4) carries a major energetic
cost, and restoration of normal concentrations of key
regulatory proteins may come too late to maintain the
intracellular milieu in a manner consistent with sur-
vival. Events within ischemic cells also can target pro-
teins for proteolytic destruction, and the accumulation of
proteolytic fragments of certain proteins can have
biological consequences (5). Damage to cell mem-
branes, in addition to proteins, may preclude func-
tional recovery. Calcium overload can impair recovery
of mitochondrial function and can produce ischemic
contractures with ensuing mechanical stresses, com-
pounding the metabolic abnormalities (6). Finally,
ischemia and reperfusion can trigger apoptosis, as a
consequence of damage to mitochondria and leakage
of cytochrome c, or through activation of receptor-
mediated apoptotic signaling cascades (7).

Cellular and molecular defenses against
ischemic injury
These mechanisms of ischemic cell injury in the heart
are opposed by a diverse and remarkable set of cellular
defenses that are induced during or after coronary
occlusion and reperfusion. Most of these cytoprotect-
eve mechanisms are not unique to the heart, and cer-
tain stress responses are of ancient evolutionary origin
and are conserved even in plants, yeast, and bacteria.
Nevertheless, just as different mechanisms of ischemic
Cellular and molecular defenses against
cell injury assume lesser or greater importance in dif-
ferent cell types, cellular and molecular defense mech-
annisms also are deployed in a manner that, in quanti-
tative and kinetic terms, is unique to myocardial cells.

Owing periods of transient ischemia reduce the extent of
myocardial injury resulting from a subsequent and more
prolonged ischemic episode. Of particular interest from
both a biological and a clinical perspective is a phenom-
menon termed the ischemic preconditioning response. As
noted originally in 1986 (8), the extent of myocardial
infarction resulting from a sustained coronary occlusion
is diminished if the heart has been subjected previously
to brief periods of sublethal ischemia (i.e., precondition-
ing). A considerable literature describes efforts to under-
stand the molecular basis for this phenomenon, and sev-
eral signaling molecules have been found to play
important roles. The literature on preconditioning is
vast, and its interpretation is complicated by the diversi-
ty of experimental models and designs, and by different
outcome measures (e.g., protection against infarction
versus protection against stunning). Here we briefly
summarize some general principles on which there
seems to be a reasonable consensus.

The protective effects of a preconditioning stimulus
are apparent within minutes and persist for days, but
different mechanisms of cytoprotection take preced-
ence in the early phase (first window of protection)
and the late phase (second window of protection) of the
preconditioning response. Within the early phase, cyto-
}

Salutary effects in the later phases of the precondition-
ing response are mediated also by changes in gene
expression and include events dependent on nitric oxide
(9). Many signaling molecules have been impli-
cated, but important pathways that mediate precondition-
ing responses are driven by adenosine-dependent
activation of protein kinase Cδ (PKCδ) or PKCe (10),
resulting in activation of ATP-dependent potassium
channels (KATP) in mitochondria (11). Protein tyrosine
kinases that activate p42 mitogen-activated protein
kinase (p42MAPK) or p38MAPK also have been impli-
cated (12) and may act upon the protein chaperone
Hsp27 (13). In addition, ceramide, TNF-α, or
bradykinin activates JNK, NF-κB, and inducible nitric
oxide synthase (iNOS). NO-dependent effects on KATP
channels (1, 14) have been shown in some models to
function as signals that promote cytoprotective effects
as components of the preconditioning response.

From the literature on preconditioning, as well as from
other studies of biological mechanisms that limit
ischemic injury in the heart, several general categories
of cellular and molecular defenses can be described. Dis-
tinctions between these categories are not at all rigid,
since there is substantial overlap and cross-talk among
them, and these mechanistic distinctions may become
blurred within the complex set of stresses presented to
cells of the ischemic heart. Nevertheless, an attempt to
categorize these cytoprotective responses remains useful
in the effort to develop new therapeutic or preventive
measures to enhance survival and recovery of cardiomy-
ocyes following coronary occlusion.

Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 and its targets. An important
defense mechanism is triggered directly by a deficien-
cy of molecular oxygen, such that the ensuing adapta-
tions render cells more capable of surviving and func-
tioning within a hypoxic environment. The molecular
mechanisms of this protective response are centered
around the activation of hypoxia-inducible factor 1
(HIF-1), a heterodimeric transcription factor made up
of HIF-1α and aryl hydrocarbon nuclear translocator
(ARNT) (HIF-1β) proteins (see Semenza, this Perspec-
tive series, ref. 15). In normoxic cells, HIF-1α is unsta-
able, and few if any HIF-1 heterodimers are formed.
During hypoxia, however, HIF-1α is stabilized, leading
to accumulation of the active HIF-1α:ARNT heter-
dimer, which binds to specific recognition elements
within promoter/enhancer regions of many target
genes, the induction of which generates this cytopro-
tective process. The set of genes induced in this man-
ner includes iNOS (16), which drives cytoprotective
events mediated by NO. Other important classes of
HIF-1 target genes in many cell types include those
encoding glucose transporters and glycolytic enzymes,
thereby enhancing the capacity for anaerobic produc-
tion of high-energy phosphates, and angiogenic
growth factors, which drive increased capillary density of the hypoxic tissue, serving to reduce the degree of hypoxia. In the heart, this defense mechanism is likely to function both in acute coronary syndromes (via NO generation) and in more stable adaptive responses to chronic or repeated bouts of ischemia. Several promising biotechnological strategies to enhance collateral blood flow to the ischemic heart are based on components of this hypoxic tolerance mechanism.

Protection from ROSs. Another defense mechanism is based on the increased synthesis of enzymes, driven by several different transcriptional control mechanisms, that allow the cell to reduce or detoxify ROSs. This cytoprotective mechanism is called into play in the preconditioning response, and transgenic animal models support the notion that an increased capacity to detoxify mediators of oxidative stress limits ischemic injury (17).

The mitochondrion in cytoprotective preconditioning. Other adaptive responses converge to protect the integrity of mitochondria, serving both to limit cytochrome c release and activation of the terminal events of apoptosis, and to permit more rapid restoration of oxidative phosphorylation and ATP synthesis within cardiomocytes once blood flow is restored to the myocardium. A number of signaling pathways triggered by IGF-1, cardioprophrin-1, FGF, PDGF, or TNF-α have been shown, at least under some conditions, to limit the extent of injury resulting from a period of coronary occlusion (18, 19). The downstream events that mediate these cytoprotective responses are complex but include the generation of antiapoptotic mediators that are targeted to mitochondria, as well as the modification of mitochondrial proteins such as KATP channels. Measures to reduce the degree of calcium overload by altering the activity of sarcolemmal or mitochondrial ion channels (11) or to stimulate anaerobic metabolism of citric acid cycle intermediates may also limit the extent of mitochondrial damage and dysfunction.

Induction of protein chaperones. Defense mechanisms based on the expression, activation, or redistribution of
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**Figure 1**
Heat shock transcription factor 1 (HSF1) has a central role in regulating transcription of genes important for cellular responses to ischemia and reperfusion. Under unstressed conditions, HSF1 is sequestered as an inactive monomer within a hetero-oligomeric complex containing Hsp90, Hsp70, FK506 binding protein 52, and p23 (33). Stimuli arising as a consequence of ischemia and reperfusion, which include ROSs, disrupt this complex and promote formation of HSF homotrimers, which bind to promoter/enhancer sequences of target genes. DNA binding of HSF1 exerts positive or negative effects on transcription of different sets of target genes, by means of recruitment of coactivator or corepressor proteins. HSF1 transactivates genes encoding heat shock proteins (HSPs), including those identified as components of the classical heat shock response (HSR). Full activation of HSF1 requires its phosphorylation by nuclear MAPK or extracellular signal–related kinase proteins, whereas phosphorylation by glycogen synthase kinase 3 (GSK3) has negative regulatory effects by promoting release of HSF1 from DNA and attenuation of the HSR. A recently described and complementary function for HSF1 is to suppress expression of proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α and IL-1β in vitro and in vivo (36). It has not been established whether the function of HSF1 as a negative regulator is restricted to specific cell types (e.g., blood-borne monocytes and macrophages). HSF1 is essential for activation of the protein chaperone defense stimulated by thermal stress (29) or by other conditions (e.g., inhibition of proteosome function) that increase the concentration of unfolded proteins within the cell (37). HSE, heat shock element; P, phosphorylated amino acid residues.
molecular chaperone proteins counteract one of the most fundamental mechanisms of ischemic injury, the unfolding, misfolding, or pathological modification (e.g., peroxidation) of critical proteins in various intracellular compartments. Conformational alterations of individual proteins in ischemic cells become manifest rapidly at higher levels of organization as rearrangements of cytoskeletal structures and organelles, and as physiological dysfunction, ultimately threatening cell survival. All cells have evolved distinct molecular pathways to limit or to reverse the effects of protein unfolding or misfolding, and these defense mechanisms are strategically positioned within major subcellular compartments such as the cytoplasm, mitochondria, peroxisomes, nucleus, and endoplasmic reticulum. In cardiac myocytes, specialized stress proteins also are associated with sarcomeric structures (3). This category of defense mechanism within the ischemic heart shares many features with the heat shock response, one of the most ancient and conserved countermeasures by which cells of all organisms respond to environmental stress.

The heat shock response is conserved from bacteria to humans (20), and it represents a fundamental endogenous mechanism to antagonize protein unfolding or misfolding. A distinct but related pathway termed the “unfolded protein response” has been recently described for handling protein misfolding specifically within the endoplasmic reticulum, a major site for processing of membrane-bound and secreted proteins (21). A central feature of the heat shock response that is shared with the unfolded protein response, as well as with the aforementioned cellular response to hypoxia, is activation of a specific transcription factor, the function of which is tightly regulated in response to stress conditions encountered during ischemia/reperfusion.

Individual genes that are the downstream targets of the heat shock response pathway include several members of the heat shock protein (HSP) multigene family. Many of the proteins encoded by these genes function as molecular chaperones to promote the folding and assembly of nascent polypeptides, and to facilitate the repair or degradation of unfolded proteins (22). The major inducible stress protein Hsp70 directly protects against myocardial ischemic damage, improves metabolic recovery, enhances functional recovery, and reduces infarct size in hearts of transgenic mice (24). Likewise, reversible damage after simulated or myocardial ischemia can be mitigated by overexpression of the small HSPs αB-crystallin (3, 25) and Hsp27 (14).

In addition to their functions as molecular chaperones, HSPs may act as signaling molecules. A recent report describes a cytokine-like function for Hsp70 in human monocytes (26). Increased expression of TNF-α, IL-1, and IL-6 was stimulated by high-affinity binding of Hsp70 to plasma membrane receptors that include CD14. Upregulation of target genes by this stimulus was attributable both to an increase in intracellular calcium and to activation of NF-κB. The function of Hsp70 as a signaling molecule, in addition to its role as protein chaperone, may prove to be important in the context of ischemic injury to the myocardium.

**Transcriptional control of the protein chaperone defense**

Synthesis of HSPs is rapidly induced in response to several forms of cell stress, including hyperthermia, hypoxia, ischemia, and mechanical stretch applied to cells or intact organs (27). This response requires heat shock transcription factor 1 (HSF1), the primary mediator of the heat shock response (Figure 1). Under stressful conditions, homotrimers of HSF1 bind to triplet repeats of a nucleotide recognition motif (nGAAn) within promoter/enhancer regions of downstream target genes that encode the classical HSPs, and other proteins as well. Three other heat shock transcription factor isoforms (HSF2–4), products of distinct genes (28, 29), are present in mammalian cells but are not sufficient to preserve the heat shock response in the absence of HSF1 (30). Disruption of the Hsf1 gene in mice eliminates the heat shock response, abolishes acquired thermotolerance in vitro, and increases the susceptibility of HSF1-deficient cells to heat-induced apoptosis (30). It is likely that stress-inducible expression of HSPs mediated by HSF1 plays a key role in protective responses to myocardial ischemia, including the late preconditioning response, and this premise is under active investigation using Hsf1 knockout mice. An impairment in the heat shock response during ischemia, in association with decreased DNA binding by HSF1, has been observed in hearts of older animals as compared with young animals. This age-related change may contribute to an increased vulnerability to ischemic injury in elderly individuals.

**HSF1 activation during ischemia.** Binding of HSF1 to its recognition element within DNA is increased in cells subjected to conditions that simulate tissue ischemia (30). A decrease in the concentration of high-energy phosphate compounds appears to be sufficient to evoke this response (31), but intracellular acidosis may serve as an additional stimulus. Using a novel in vitro system, Wu and coworkers demonstrated direct initiation of HSF1 trimerization leading to full transcriptional potency by increased temperature and/or oxidative stress (32). Other chemical inducers that activate the heat shock response in intact cells (e.g., alcohol, salicylate, and arsenite) were incapable of activating HSF1 in vitro. A common consequence of stimuli that activate HSF1 is an increase in the concentrations of unfolded proteins within the cell, which may provide a proximate stimulus for induction of HSP gene expression.

**HSF1 suppression under unstressed conditions.** Several regulatory mechanisms have been shown to repress DNA binding and transcriptional activation by HSF1 in
unstressed cells. Structural studies indicate that eukaryotic HSF1 is organized into functional domains, beginning with a conserved DNA-binding region at the NH2-terminal, an adjacent oligomerization domain containing leucine zipper motifs, a nuclear localization signal, and a COOH-terminal transactivation domain (27). Although HSF1 is present in the nucleus of unstressed cells, this regulator is held in an inactive conformation by strong intramolecular interactions involving a COOH-terminal hydrophobic repeat domain. In addition, in unstressed cells HSF1 forms a complex in association with Hsp90, Hsp/c70, FK506 binding protein 52, and p23 proteins (33). Hetero-oligomeric complexes in which HSF1 is bound to Hsp90 may provide a conformational switch required for rapid activation of HSF1 in a manner that mimics the kinetics of steroid receptor pathways mediated by co-chaperones. Finally, phosphorylation of HSF1 provides additional regulatory control over its functional activity. Both MAPK and extracellular signal-related kinase and glycogen synthase kinase (GSK) activities are induced by ischemia and inflammatory cytokines and alter the phosphorylation state of HSF1. In particular, increased expression of GSK attenuates HSF1 activity and reduces the duration of the heat shock response (34).

Transcriptional repression of inflammatory responses. In human monocytes, activation of HSF1 correlates with suppression of proIL-1β transcription after LPS stimulation. This reduction in cytokine production is associated with increased DNA-binding activity of HSF1, as assessed by electrophoretic mobility shift assays using a sequence element from the IL1β promoter. A dose-dependent suppression of TNFα promoter activity, accompanied by increased DNA binding of HSF1, also is produced in response to LPS stimulation of macrophages (35). TNF-α production in response to endotoxin is significantly greater in intact animals devoid of HSF1 (Hsf1−/− mice) compared with heterozygous controls, and the exaggerated production of TNF-α in Hsf1−/− mice after endotoxin challenge is associated with increased mortality (36). These recent studies reveal a previously unsuspected role for HSF1 as a negative transcriptional regulator in vivo, in addition to its well established function in transactivation of genes encoding cytoprotective HSPs.

Other physiological roles for mammalian HSF1. Mammalian HSFs have been proposed to serve unique physiological functions during development and reproduction of complex organisms (27). Hsf1−/− mice survive to adulthood but exhibit multiple phenotypes that include female infertility, placental defects, and postnatal growth retardation, even under presumptively unstressed conditions. Expression of HSF2, which shares 40% sequence identity with HSF1, is unimpaired by Hsf1 inactivation, but this regulator does not rescue the heat shock response (29). However, extraembryonic abnormalities caused by HSF1 inactivation are dissociated from appreciable changes in the expression of major HSPs (36), suggesting that HSF1 functions to control transcription of other genes not currently identified as HSPs. This principle may prove pertinent to the myocardium as well.

Implications for medical therapeutics

Our understanding of cellular defense mechanisms that limit myocardial injury following ischemia and reperfusion has progressed markedly over the past several years. In particular, we can now point with confidence to a number of specific signaling molecules and terminal effectors of pathways that exert important cytoprotective functions. Additional mechanisms of cellular defense remain to be identified, and many features of the known pathways have not been fully elucidated. Nevertheless, current knowledge provides a reasonable base of information on which novel pharmacologic or genetic countermeasures to ischemic injury can be rationally based. Recent editorials in prominent cardiovascular journals call for an aggressive program of clinical research to achieve this goal. Translating mechanistic information into clinically relevant therapeutic or preventive strategies is no small task and is complicated by the distinct potential of certain cytoprotective mechanisms to have deleterious consequences if stimulated in excess. Nevertheless, it seems likely that meaningful new therapies can emerge from this promising field of investigation.
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