Quantitation of cis-Diaminedichloroplatinum II (Cisplatin)-DNA-Intrastrand Adducts in Testicular and Ovarian Cancer Patients Receiving Cisplatin Chemotherapy
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Abstract

The antitumor activity of cis-diaminedichloroplatinum II (cisplatin) is believed to be related to its covalent interaction with DNA where a major DNA binding product is an intrastrand N²-bidentate adduct on adjacent deoxyguananosines. A novel immunnoassay was used to quantitate this adduct in buffy coat DNA from testicular and ovarian cancer patients undergoing cisplatin therapy. 44 out of 120 samples taken from 45 cisplatin patients had detectable cisplatin-DNA adducts. No adducts were detected in 18 samples of DNA taken from normal controls, patients on other chemotherapy, or patients before treatment. The quantity of measurable adducts increased as a function of cumulative dose of cisplatin. This was observed both during repeated daily infusion of the drug and over long-term, repeated 21-28 d cycles of administration. These results suggest that adduct removal is slow even though the tissue has a relatively rapid turnover. Patients receiving cisplatin for the first time at 56-d cycles, and those given high doses of cisplatin as a "salvage" regimen, did not accumulate adducts as rapidly as patients on first time chemotherapy on 21- or 28-d cycles. Disease response data, evaluated for 33 cisplatin-treated patients, showed a positive correlation between the formation of DNA adducts and response to drug therapy. However, more data will be required to confirm this relationship. These data show that specific immunological probes can readily be applied to quantitate DNA adducts in patients undergoing cancer chemotherapy.

Introduction

cis-Diaminedichloroplatinum II (cisplatin),¹ a potent antican-
cer agent, is the principal agent in the treatment of testicular (1) and ovarian cancers (2, 3), and is useful for several other malign-
nancies (4–7). Novel methods of drug administration have al-
lowed dosages to increase with acceptable toxicity (8–11), thus broadening the potential clinical spectrum. In vitro studies have shown that cellular lethality is proportional to cisplatin-DNA modification, which includes formation of interstrand cross-links and intrastrand adducts (12, 13). A bidentate, N²-deoxyGpG) intrastrand platinum adduct is found in DNA from cultured cells and from animal tissues following cisplatin exposure (14–16). In cisplatin-exposed cultured L1210 cells, this adduct comprises up to 60% of the total cisplatin-DNA modification determined by high-pressure liquid chromatography (15). We have prepared and characterized a rabbit antiserum with primary specificity for the N²-deoxy(GpG) intrastrand adduct (16). Using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), with modifications outlined below, it has been possible to quantitate this adduct in DNA isolated from several different sources at a sensitivity of one adduct in 10⁶ nucleotides or 25 attomol/μg DNA (14, 16, 17). This level of detectability has provided the unique opportunity to attempt to measure DNA modification in tissues from patients receiving cisplatin chemotherapy, and to look for a correlation between adduct formation and disease response. While tumor samples would be the most appropriate to measure, such tissues are not readily obtainable and would not allow for repetitive samplings. Therefore we chose to monitor cisplatin-DNA adduct formation in nucleated cells obtained from the buffy coat of the readily accessible peripheral blood of chemotherapy patients.

Methods

Cisplatin administration to patient groups. Individuals studied were being treated for either ovarian or testicular cancer by the Medicine Branch of the National Cancer Institute. These patients were given cisplatin therapy as part of approved experimental protocols and comprised the following groups: (a) cisplatin, velban, bleomycin (PVB)/cisplatin, epi-
dophyllotoxin, bleomycin, velban (PVB), testicular cancer patients receiving their first course of chemotherapy on 21-d (PVB) or 28-d (PVB) cycles; (b) cytoxan, cisplatin (CTX/DDP), ovarian cancer pa-
tients receiving their first course of chemotherapy on 28-d cycles; (c) cytoxan, hexamethylmelamine, irradiation, cisplatin, with or without radio-
sensitizer [CHIP(S)], ovarian cancer patients receiving their first course of chemotherapy on 56-d cycles; and (d) high-dose cisplatin (HD-DDP), ovarian cancer patients receiving cisplatin as single-agent therapy on 28- d cycles, having failed one or more courses of previous platinum or nonplatinum chemotherapy. Cisplatin dose varied with protocol and was 20 mg/M² per day × 5 (PVB), 30 mg/M² per day × 5 (CHIPS), or 40 mg/M² per day × 5 (PVB, CTX/DDP, and HD/DDP). Control groups were untreated normal volunteers and patients on platinum combination chemotherapy for non-Hodgkins lymphoma. Some of the patients were studied for adducts before receiving any cisplatin or other chemotherapy and therefore served as their own controls.

Cisplatin chemotherapy was given in courses comprised of three to five cycles. In each cycle the drug was administered as a 30-min daily intravenous infusion on each of five consecutive days and no cisplatin was given for the remainder of the cycle. The drug was administered in standard fashion (1) for dose regimes of 20 mg/M² per day × 5 and 30

¹ Abbreviations used in this paper: cisplatin, cis-diaminedichloroplatin-
um II; CHIP(S), cytoxan, hexamethylmelamine, irradiation, cisplatin, with or without radio-
sensitizer; CTX/DDP, cytoxan, cisplatin; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; HD-DDP, high-dose cisplatin; PVB, cisplatin, velban, bleomycin; P(Ve)B, cisplatin, epidophyllotoxin, bleomycin, velban; WBC, white blood cell count.
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mg/M² per day × 5, and in “high dose” fashion (9) for dose regimens of 40 mg/M² per day × 5. In standard cisplatin administration, the drug is reconstituted in normal saline just before intravenous infusion and hydration is with 3 liters/d of normal saline. In high dose administration, the drug is reconstituted in 3% saline and hydration is with 6 liters/d of normal saline. More detailed accounts of these regimens may be found elsewhere (1, 9).

On the morning following an infusion, 35–50 ml of blood was obtained via venipuncture, centrifuged (20 min, 5,000 g, 4°C), and the nucleated cells (the buffy coat) were aspirated and frozen at −20°C until DNA isolation. During the days of therapy, patients’ white blood cell counts ranged between 3,000 and 10,000/ml.

**DNA preparation and ELISA.** DNA was extracted from buffy coat cells by CsCl gradient centrifugation (18) within 1 mo of the time the blood was drawn. Gradients were at neutral pH (7.4), maintained with modifications. Polyaninechloride U-bottom microtiter plate wells (Dynatech, Inc., Alexandria, VA) were coated sequentially with DEAE-dextran and 0.5 mg of calf thymus DNA, either unmodified or modified to 4.3% with cisplatin. In separate tubes, antibody to cisplatin-modified DNA (diluted 1:60,000) was reacted with standard or sample DNAs for 30 min at 37°C. Subsequently, the mixture was added to the microtiter plates so that remaining free antibody could bind cisplatin-DNA coated on the plate. After 90 min of further incubation, soluble antigen-antibody complexes were removed by three washes with phosphate-buffered saline–Tween. Excess alkaline phosphatase conjugate (goat–anti-rabbit–IgG, Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) was then added to the wells and incubated 90 min to interact with the residual bound rabbit anti–cisplatin-DNA antibody. After washing again, 1 M diethanolamine buffer at pH 8.6, containing excess p-nitrophenyl-phosphatase, was added to each well. The extent of hydrolysis of p-nitrophenolphosphate to p-nitrophenol was proportional to the quantity of bound rabbit anti–cisplatin-DNA. A Titertek Multiskan colorimeter microtiter plate reader was used at 405 nm to determine p-nitrophenol. The quantity of cisplatin DNA in unknown samples was inversely related to the degree of substrate conversion, and dependent upon comparison with a standard curve. The ELISA standard curve 50% inhibition, under the assay conditions described, was at 10±4 fmol of cisplatin-DNA (mean±range). DNA samples were assayed as native, with 30–35 μg of sample DNA per well and 35 μg of unmodified calf thymus DNA in the standard curve wells. Each unknown DNA sample was assayed 2–3 times by ELISA. Samples were not considered positive unless they produced >20% inhibition in the assay.

**Determination of the effect of a heterogenous cell population on DNA recovery and cisplatin-DNA adduct recovery.** L1210 cells were grown in suspension culture in RPMI 1630 medium supplemented with 15% fetal calf serum. Cellular DNA was radiolabeled in the exponential growth phase with [3-¹⁴C]thymidine (58 μCi/mmol, New England Nuclear, Boston, MA) for 20 h at 37°C at 0.01 μCi/ml. Radioactive label was removed by centrifugation of cells and resuspension in fresh medium. After incubation in fresh medium for 2 h, cisplatin was dissolved in 50 ml of normal saline and added to 1 liter of cell suspension to form a cisplatin concentration of 200 μM and a cell concentration of 1.2 × 10⁶/ml. Cisplatin exposure for 2 h at 37°C was followed by centrifugation of cells and resuspension in fresh medium. 10 aliquots of 1 × 10⁶ cells were made, and then total radioactive counts per minute and total cell number were determined for each aliquot. Cell number was measured using a Coulter counter, model ABI (Coulter Electronics, Inc., Hialeah, FL). Counts per minute were quantitated by liquid scintillation spectrometry.

Separately, 35 cm² of peripheral blood was obtained via venipuncture from each of six normal volunteers and buffy coats were prepared. One buffy coat preparation was added to each of six L1210 cell aliquots, mixed by inverting six times, and frozen overnight before DNA isolation. Four L1210 aliquots were used as controls. DNA was prepared by buoyant density CsCl gradient centrifugation of all 10 samples concurrently, and DNA recovery was determined by measurements of radioactivity and A₂₆₀. Cisplatin-DNA adducts were determined by ELISA, and adduct recovery was calculated as the ratio of attomoles of adduct per cpm.

**Data analysis.** Adduct analyses were performed on 138 samples collected from a total of 53 individuals. Patients in the treatment groups outlined above were studied one or more times during their course of cisplatin chemotherapy. Individuals in the control groups were generally studied only once. Linear regression analyses of data points in each patient group were performed by computer. Statistical significance was calculated by the Fisher Exact Test as described by Armitage (31). Results of the two-sided test are given. P values of ≤0.05 are considered statistically significant. Disease response criteria were defined as complete response (eradicating of all known disease), partial response (>50% reduction in mass of all known disease), or no response.

**Results**

**Assay conditions and sensitivity.** A summary of the results from ELISA of 138 DNA samples, grouped by treatment, is shown in Table I. Most patients were studied during every cycle of chemotherapy. Occasionally, patients were entered on the study while they were neutropenic such that insufficient DNA was obtained to perform the assay. The limit of sensitivity of the assay, using the assay modifications noted above, was ~25 attomol (10⁻¹⁸ M) of adduct per microgram of DNA. As shown in Table I, all samples were negative when DNA was assayed from normal volunteers, patients on nonplatinum chemotherapy, and patients before any platinum chemotherapy was administered. Thus the assay provided extreme sensitivity and high specificity, both requirements for the desired clinical studies and consistent with the experimental studies reported previously (16, 17).

**DNA adduct formation.** Since there existed no published data on the formation of cisplatin-DNA adducts in human cancer patients prior to this study, initial blood samples were drawn at

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>Number of individuals</th>
<th>Number of individuals positive ≥ once</th>
<th>Blood samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PVB/PVeBV</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTX/DDP§</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIP(S)§</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD-DDP§</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal volunteers</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients on non-cisplatin therapy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samples taken from cisplatin-treated patients before any chemotherapy was given</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Lower limit of sensitivity is 25 attomol of adduct/g DNA. Testicular cancer patients on 21- or 28-d cycles.

§ Ovarian cancer patients on 28-d cycles.

Ovarian cancer patients on 56-d cycles.

Ovarian cancer patients on salvage chemotherapy and in 28-d cycles. Control groups.
random during the treatment cycle. Fig. 1 shows adduct accumulation in DNA samples from previously untreated patients studied on the first cycle of their first course of cisplatin chemotherapy. There is a greater proportion of positive samples toward the end of a cycle, suggesting that adduct levels increase with successive doses of cisplatin. Since 35–50 ml of blood was drawn for each adduct determination, it was not feasible to study a single patient on each of the five days following cisplatin infusions. However, these data demonstrated that maximum adduct levels could be expected the day after the last infusion, and subsequent samples were obtained at this time. Even at this point, only 60% of the patients were positive by this assay. Measurable cisplatin-DNA adducts in positive samples from patients in each of the four treatment groups is plotted in Fig. 2 as adduct level vs. cumulative cisplatin dose. Pretreatment values for each group are at the origin. Clearly, adducts increase with dose in patients receiving their first course of cisplatin therapy on 21- or 28-d cycles (Fig. 2, A and B), while the correlation is less clear in patients on 56-d cycles or those who have failed previous chemotherapy (Fig. 2, C and D). Linear regression analyses of the data for testicular (Fig. 2 A) and ovarian (Fig. 2 B) cancer patients receiving their first course of chemotherapy on 21- or 28-d cycles shows that blood cell DNA adducts appeared to increase in a dose-dependent fashion. The slopes and correlation coefficients for the adduct accumulation data in the two groups are virtually identical. For patients receiving their first course of chemotherapy on 56-d cycles (Fig. 2 C), the data points show considerable scatter, resulting in a correlation coefficient of only 0.48. This increase in measurable adduct levels appears to be less consistent when patients have a longer cycle time interval, suggesting that substantial adduct removal does occur within the 56-d time period. For samples from patients receiving cisplatin as a single agent, having failed one or more courses of chemotherapy previously (Fig. 2 D), adducts increased slowly with cisplatin dose. This is illustrated by the slope which is less than half of, and the correlation coefficient which is substantially lower than, values for the groups in Fig. 2 A and B.

Combined values for positive samples from all patients receiving chemotherapy for the first time on 21- or 28-d cycles (Fig. 2, A and B) are presented in Fig. 3 as a function of cumulative cisplatin dose or (B) the cycle of treatment. Linear regression analysis of the data in Fig. 3 B shows good linear fit, illustrating that adducts accumulate as a function of cycle. These data imply that cisplatin-DNA adduct removal is slow, and that some adducts persist for at least 28 d.

Correlation between response to cisplatin treatment and adduct formation. Disease response data are available on 33 of the cisplatin patients from whom blood was drawn for ELISA. Of these, 12 were given cisplatin as a single agent, and 21 were exposed to combination chemotherapy that included cisplatin. Table II shows disease response data for these patients, grouped by single agent vs. combination chemotherapy, and separated by groups into those who never showed measurable adduct levels and those who had at least one positive adduct determination.
during cisplatin chemotherapy. Of a total of 12 patients on single-agent therapy, eight formed adducts on at least one cycle of their treatment course and four of these responded to therapy, while four patients did not have measurable adduct levels and did not respond to therapy. For 21 patients on combination therapy, 3 of 6 who never formed measurable adducts responded to treatment, whereas 13 of 15 who formed adducts on one or more cycles responded to treatment. Combination of the data for single agent and multiple agent therapy shows that 17 of 23 who formed adducts on one or more cycles of treatment responded to therapy, whereas only 3 of 10 of the patients who never showed measurable adduct levels responded to treatment ($P = 0.048$). These three patients were treated with combination chemotherapy, leaving open the possibility that the other drugs in the regimen may have been responsible for the response seen. The table also shows that both the responding and nonresponding groups received essentially the same amount of cisplatin in their therapeutic regimens.

Lack of correlation between adduct level and the relative number of long-lived peripheral blood cells. Because peripheral blood has several different types of nucleated cells, we sought to determine if a relative change in the number of short-lived or long-lived cells might explain variation in adduct levels in the samples studied. To address this question, we reviewed the medical records of 9 patients whose blood DNA was never positive in the assay and 10 patients from matched treatment protocols having the highest adduct levels in their respective groups. Information on the white blood cell counts (WBC) and differential on the days that samples were taken were obtained on nine patients who never had measurable adducts, and 10 protocol-matched, adduct-forming individuals. These data are summarized in Table III. Granulocytes, bands, and metamyelocytes were grouped together and characterized as short-lived cells; lymphocytes, monocytes, eosinophils, and basophils were grouped together and characterized as long-lived cells. Since the relative percentage of long- and short-lived cells are the same in both groups, the tendency to develop measurable levels of cisplatin-DNA adducts in peripheral blood cell DNA is independent of the relative proportion of long-lived cells that may be present.

Effect of the heterogenous WBC population on cisplatin-DNA adduct recovery. In an attempt to determine whether or not the presence of buffy coat cells caused a loss in recovery of cisplatin-DNA adduct, [14C]dTDR labeled L1210 cells were treated with cisplatin and mixed with buffy coat cells from normal volunteers. Cisplatin-DNA adduct recovery was determined by calculating the ratio of [14C]counts (indicating quantity of L1210 cell DNA) to attomoles of adduct measured in a given portion of DNA. In aliquots of L1210 cells mixed with human buffy coats, the attomole adduct/cpm ratio was 29.9±7 (mean±SD). In aliquots of the L1210 controls the ratio was 34.6±7. Thus the presence of the buffy coat cells did not affect the yield of cisplatin-DNA adducts. In addition, the yield of DNA was not altered by the

| Table II. Disease Response in All Patients Grouped by Treatment and by Adduct Status |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Treatment                  | Number of patients | Number CR | Number PR | Number no response | Percent responding (CR + PR) | Cumulative cisplatin dose (mean±SD) |
| A                          | 12                | 4         | 0         | 0                | 0 (0/4)           | 756±445          |
| Single agent               |                   |           |           |                  |                  |                  |
| Never positive             | 6                 | 1         | 2         | 3                | 50% (3/6)         | 543±184          |
| Positive ≥ once            | 23                | 7         | 10        | 6                | 30% (3/10)        | 575±219          |
| B                          | 21                | 6         | 3         | 2                | 87% (13/15)       |                  |
| Combination therapy        |                   |           |           |                  |                  |                  |
| Never positive             | 15                | 6         | 2         | 7                | 30% (3/10)        |                  |
| Positive ≥ once            | 10                | 1         | 2         | 7                | 2 (0/4)           |                  |
| A + B                      | 35                | 13        | 9         | 9                | 87% (12/14)       | 1049±257         |
| CR, complete response (see text); PR, partial response (see text). |

CR, complete response (see text); PR, partial response (see text).

| Table III. White Blood Cell Counts and Differentials in Matched Patient Groups: Those Who Did Not Form Adducts in Peripheral Blood Compared with Those Who Formed the Highest Adduct Levels* |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Patient group                         | No. patients | No. determinations | WBC count | Short-lived | Long-lived ‡ | Mean cumulative cisplatin dose (mean±SD) |
| Never pos                             | 9           | 23                   | 5.7±3.5   | 78±9    | 22±9         | 587               |
| Pos ≥ once                            | 10          | 10                   | 5.2±1.8   | 76±12   | 24±12        | 583               |

Units are thousands of cells per cubic millimeter. * WBC and differential counts expressed as mean±2 SD from the mean. ‡ The percentages of the total number of WBCs that were short-lived and long-lived cells, respectively (see text).
presence of the buffy coat cells. 35 cm\(^3\) of normal donor buffy coat cells alone will yield 300–350 µg of DNA (data not shown). In this experiment, the L1210 cells alone yielded 698.5±57 (mean±SD) µg of DNA, while the yield from combined cells was 1038.0±121 µg of DNA. Thus, by difference, the usual quantity of buffy coat cell DNA was obtained, and the yield of L1210 DNA was the same in both groups.

Discussion

Using a highly sensitive immunoassay specific for an intrastrand, N\(^2\)-deoxy(GpG)-diaminodichloroplatinum adduct, it has been possible to monitor levels of this adduct in buffy coat DNA of cancer patients under conditions where adduct recovery is complete. These studies clearly demonstrate that adduct levels increase with cumulative cisplatin dose. In patients who have received no previous chemotherapy, adducts also increase with each drug treatment when cycles are within 28 d. However, when cycle time is extended to 56 d, an increase in measurable adducts is not obvious. In addition, there appears to be a positive correlation between the formation of measurable adduct levels and disease response to cisplatin treatment, although this relationship requires further investigation. Since adducts increase as a function of 21- and 28-d cycles of treatment (Fig. 3), the data suggest that at least some adducts persist for longer than 28 d. Pharmacokinetic studies measuring free cisplatin and protein-bound cisplatin in cancer patients (19, 20) have been invaluable in the study of drug clearance, but these measurements have demonstrated limited utility for understanding the molecular basis by which cisplatin effects tumor-cell death in the intact host. In vitro studies have clearly shown that cisplatin-induced cell death is directly related to cisplatin-DNA modification (12, 13, 21, 23), and the ability to monitor adducts may make a similar correlation possible in vivo.

Several different types of cisplatin-DNA modification have been observed (12, 13, 15, 21), but in most cases their proportion of the total cisplatin-DNA binding and their relative contributions to the total biologic effect are unknown. Zwelling et al. (21) have shown that cell death in L1210 cells correlates directly with interstrand cisplatin-DNA crosslinks, but the method used, alkaline elution, measures only ~1% of the total quantity of cisplatin bound to DNA (12, 13). The intrastrand adduct measured in this study has been shown to comprise ~60% of the total cisplatin-DNA binding products in cisplatin exposed L1210 cells (15), and is probably not the only cisplatin-DNA adduct formed in human DNA. Other adducts formed in vivo have not been extensively studied. Additional studies will be required to establish the relative importance of this adduct. It is reasonable to assume that multiple cisplatin-DNA adducts (23, 29) may interplay in causing mutagenesis and cell death.

The results reported here, quantitating adduct formation in human DNA, are influenced by two factors of importance in the interpretation of the data: the high turnover rate of the tissue studied, and the dose schedule of cisplatin. The increase in adduct levels seen with the cumulative dose of cisplatin possibly reflects not only adduct accumulation in lymphocytes, but also DNA damage incurred in the bone marrow in granulocyte precursor cells. Reutilization of adduct is probably less likely here since a preformed bidentate adduct is an unlikely precursor for nucleic acid synthesis. Granulocytes have a blood half-life of <1 d (24) and consistently accounted for 55–80% of the nucleated cells in specimens we collected. Human T lymphocytes have a mean life span of 4.4 yr (25) and there is no current data on the life span of B lymphocytes (32). Total lymphocytes in our samples comprised 15–40% of the nucleated cells. The fact that an increase in adduct concentration occurs in a tissue with such high turnover is remarkable, particularly when there appears to be no correlation between a patient’s relative number of lymphocytes and his tendency to form adducts. Adducts appear to increase in those patients treated on 21- or 28-d cycles, but not in patients treated on 56-d cycles (Fig. 2 C). This suggests that substantial removal of this adduct from circulating cells occurs within the 56-d cycle interval. As regards the dose regimen, the data presented here lends itself to several projections. Many cancer centers currently treat certain malignancies with a cisplatin regimen of a single infusion per cycle with a dose >100 mg/M\(^2\), including lung cancer (4), head and neck malignancies (5), and others (6). How adduct persistence may be affected by single cisplatin doses of this magnitude is unclear. In one study on ovarian cancer, similar disease response rates were seen between two cisplatin regimens, one containing a single cisplatin infusion per cycle and the other having daily infusions for 5 d (30). The former regimen resulted in half the total cisplatin dose of the latter. If further study should bear out the value of adduct measurements, one could ascertain the adduct profiles generated by different treatment regimens and perhaps treat with the less toxic regimen while assuring comparable antitumor activity.

Of the many possible sequelae cisplatin may produce, one of the most ominous is the generation of second malignancies, reports of which have begun to appear in the literature (26, 27). It is possible that the slow rate of intrasstrand adduct removal may contribute to the chemotherapeutic potency of cisplatin. However, this adduct persistency may also be related to the eventual development of treatment-related neoplasia since similar phenomena are known to occur in animal models. For example, in neonatal rats exposed to ethylnitrosourea, a neurocognic effect in brain tissue has been shown to correlate directly with the slow rate of repair of the ethylnitrosourea-DNA adduct (28). If reports of cisplatin-related neoplasia become more frequent, this adduct persistency may well pose a difficult therapeutic dilemma. Data generated in studies such as ours may be useful in determining dose-response relationships and adduct accumulation levels associated with risks for second malignancies.

Studies using the ELISA to quantitate adducts in animal models may become useful adjuncts for designing drug treatment protocols and for elucidating mechanisms underlying drug efficacy in vivo. Concomitant studies using human cancer cell lines should be useful for studying mechanisms of drug resistance on a molecular level, and for investigating how that resistance may be overcome. Together these approaches may lead to more effective modes of treatment in the clinic.
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