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Abstract

Although hepatocytes produce collagen in vitro, their contribution to hepatic collagen synthesis in vivo is unknown. To answer this question, we injected rats intraperitoneally with \(^{3}H\)proline and \(^{14}C\)ornithine. \(^{3}H\)Proline labeled prolyl-t-RNA in both hepatocytes and nonparenchymal cells. In contrast, \(^{14}C\)ornithine was rapidly converted to \(^{14}C\)arginine via the urea cycle only in hepatocytes, labeling arginyl-t-RNA. ~60% of the \(^{14}C\) in albumin and transferrin was present as arginine while the remainder was found in proline and related amino acids. As expected for proteins that have the same proline/arginine ratio and that are produced solely by the hepatocyte, the \(^{3}H\)Pro/\(^{14}C\)argine ratio was very similar in albumin and transferrin. Conversely, in nonparenchymal cells a negligible percentage of \(^{14}C\) was present as arginine. A sizeable percentage of the \(^{14}C\) in hepatic collagen was present as arginine; given the greater proline/arginine ratio in hepatic collagen, our data indicate that in normal rats, hepatocytes contribute most of newly synthesized hepatic collagen.

Introduction

In cirrhotic livers, all collagen types present in normal livers are increased severalfold (1–3). Although hepatocytes (4–6) and nonparenchymal cells (4, 7–9) can produce collagen in vitro their relative contribution to hepatic collagen synthesis in vivo is unknown (10, 11). Obviously, the behavior of these cell types with regard to collagen production, when they are placed under the architectural and nutritional constraints of the intact organ, may bear no relation to their behavior in culture.

We devised a method to assess the hepatocyte contribution to in vivo hepatic collagen production. We injected rats intraperitoneally with a purified isotope mixture containing \(^{3}H\)Pro and \(^{14}C\)Orn (or \(^{3}H\)Pro and \(^{3}C\)O), both labeled Pro and labeled Orn would be transported into hepatocytes and nonparenchymal cells. We reasoned that upon uptake \(^{3}H\)Pro would label prolyl-t-RNA in both hepatocytes and nonparenchymal cells; and that only in the hepatocyte \(^{14}C\)Orn would be rapidly converted, via the urea cycle to \(^{14}C\)Arg (12), labeling arginyl-t-RNA. Because the nonparenchymal cells lack a complete urea cycle they would not produce \(^{14}C\)Arg.

In consequence, proteins exclusively produced by the hepatocyte such as albumin (ALB) (13) and transferrin (TFR) (14) and any collagen if produced by this cell would be labeled with \(^{3}H\)Pro and \(^{14}C\)Arg. On the other hand, proteins produced by nonparenchymal cells including any collagen produced by these cells would contain \(^{3}H\)Pro but not \(^{14}C\)Arg. Proteins produced by both cell types would have an intermediate composition of labeled amino acids. We found that in normal rats, hepatocytes produce collagen in vivo and they contribute most of the newly synthesized hepatic collagen.

Methods

Materials. Uniformly labeled I-\(^{14}C\)proline (273 mCi/mmol) and L-[2,3-\(^{3}H\)]ornithine (20 mCi/mmol) were obtained from New England Nuclear, Boston, MA; and L-[\(^{14}C\)]ornithine (55 mCi/mmol), L-[\(^{3}H\)]proline (22 mCi/mmol), and aqueous counting scintillant (ACS) fluid were from Amersham Corp., Arlington Heights, IL. Sources of other chemicals were: metrizamide (Grade 1) and pepsin, from Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO; pronase, from Calbiochem-Behring Corp., La Jolla, CA; rabbit anti-rat transferrin, from Cappel Laboratories, Cochranville, PA; phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, from Biotech Research Labs., Inc., Rockville, MD; ultra pure special enzyme grade ammonium sulfate, from Schwarz/Mann, Div., Becton-Dickinson & Co., Orangeburg, NY; BCA protein assay reagent, albumen standard, and Brij 35, from Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL; ethyl alcohol, from Aaper Alcohol and Chemical Co., Shelbyville, KY; electrophoresis grade sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), urea, high molecular weight protein standards, AG50W-X8 (100–200 mesh), Bio-Gel P-2 (100–200 mesh), Bio-Gel A 0.5 m, and Affigel-Blue (150–300 µm), from Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, CA; glacial acetic acid (AR), from Mallinkrodt, Inc., Paris, KY; and collagenase form III, from Advanced Biofactures Corp., Lynbrook, NY. All other chemicals and biochemicals were commercially available analytical-grade reagents.

Animal studies. Sprague-Dawley male rats (Charles River Breeding Laboratories, Inc., Wilmington, MA) weighing 170–225 g had their food removed 2 h before experimentation but had free access to water. Animals were injected intraperitoneally with 0.5 ml of sterile saline containing 400 µCi of [2,3-\(^{3}H\)]ornithine, or with a mixture of either 2 mCi of [5-\(^{3}H\)]proline and 130 µCi of [\(^{14}C\)]ornithine, or 400 µCi of [\(^{3}H\)]proline and 400 µCi of [2,3-\(^{3}H\)]ornithine. One-half of the isotope mixture was injected at time 0 and the other half was injected at either 2 or 3 h for 4-h or 6-h experiments, respectively. The [\(^{14}C\)]ornithine had a negligible percentage of radioactivity eluting as Arg on ion exchange chromatography. The [\(^{3}H\)]ornithine was purified by AG50W ion exchange chromatography with elution of contaminants before and after the Orn peak.

1. The radioactivity present in collagen is considered to reflect net production resulting from incorporation during biosynthesis and loss due to degradation. It can be presented as: Production = Biosynthesis − Degradation.

2. Abbreviations used in this paper: ALB, albumin; COLL, collagen; DTT, dithiothreitol; NPC, nonparenchymal cells; PAGE, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; TFR, transferrin.
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The [3H]Orn fractions were pooled and evaporated. The isolate was reconstituted immediately before its use.

**Protein purification.** Blood samples were obtained for purification of serum proteins. Serum (0.2 ml) was diluted 1:5 with distilled water and applied to a 2-ml affigel-blue column. 5 ml of 0.05 M Tris, 5 mM EDTA, pH 7.5, was passed through the column. Then the column was washed with the same buffer containing 0.5 M NaCl. The ALB fraction was eluted with buffer containing 4.5 M NaCl and the elution was monitored by spectroscopy at 280 nm and measurement of radioactivity by liquid scintillation spectroscopy.

Portions of serum (1 ml) were passed through a 0.9 × 10 cm protein A-agarose column and washed with 70 ml of 50 mM Tris, 4 mM EDTA, pH 7.5 at a flow rate of 0.6 ml/min. The γ-globulin fraction was eluted between 3 and 8 ml with 0.2 N sodium citrate, pH 2.2, and precipitated with 66% ethanol at −20°C. The precipitate was dissolved in 2 ml of 30 mM Tris, 4 mM EDTA, pH 7.5 and passed through a 5-ml affigel column to remove any contaminating ALB. The γ-globulin free serum was reacted with rabbit-anti rat TFR antibody for 2 h at 25°C. The TFR-antibody complex was purified by affinity to a protein A column as described above.

**Hepatic collagen purification.** Purification of hepatic collagen (1, 2, 15) was performed as follows. After the animals were sacrificed with an ether overdose the liver was immediately removed and suspended in ice-cold buffer containing 50 mM Tris, 15 mM EDTA, 1 M NaCl, 1 mM PMFS, 5 mM NEM, pH 7.5 (buffer A). The liver was homogenized at speed setting 7 for 1 min at 4°C in a Sorvall Omni Mixer (E. I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co., Inc., Wilmington, DE). The suspension was washed several times with buffer A and centrifuged at 3,000 g, 4°C for 30 min. The precipitate was dissolved in 0.5 N acetic acid containing peptin (10 mg/g) and incubated at 4°C for 6 h with stirring. The suspension was spun at 3,000 g, 4°C for 1 h and the supernatant adjusted to pH 7.5 with 2 N NaOH. The peptin digestion was repeated two more times. The supernatants were combined and precipitated with (NH₄)₂SO₄, pH 8 (176 mg/ml). After centrifugation at 3,000 g, 4°C for 1 h, the precipitate containing collagen was further purified by a second (NH₄)₂SO₄ precipitation (176 mg/ml). After the second (NH₄)₂SO₄ precipitation, the precipitates were washed with 10 ml 70% ethanol and dissolved in 0.1 N NaOH.

Hepatic collagen purification was assessed by gel filtration (16); the sample was applied to a 10-ml A 0.5 cm column equilibrated with 0.2 N NaCl, 1 mM PMFS, 0.05 M Tris, pH 7.5. Fractions (0.7 ml) were collected at a flow rate of 0.35 ml/min. Elution of proteins was monitored using dual label techniques (17–20). SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) was used to assess protein purification (21). A vertical slab gel (LKB Instruments, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD) was employed with SDS buffer on a discontinuous system. Samples that were reduced were boiled 2 min with 100 mM dithiothreitol (DTT). Electrophoresis was carried out at 45 mA for 2 h. Identification of bands was done with either 0.25% Coomassie dye in 50% methanol, 10% acetic acid, or silver staining, using protein standards. In addition, collagen was identified by its sensitivity to purified bacterial collagenase as previously described (22).

**Isolation of hepatocytes and nonparenchymal cells.** After the labeling period, animals were anesthetized intramuscularly with a mixture containing 0.1 mg of acepromazine, 11 mg of ketamine, and 0.6 mg xylazine. For isolation of nonparenchymal cells, the following procedure was used (23, 24). The liver was perfused via the portal vein with 60 ml of Ringers lactate, pH 7.5 (10 ml/min) and then with the addition of 0.2% pronase to 60 ml of buffer and finally with 0.1% pronase in 100 ml of buffer. The liver was removed, cut in small pieces, and further digested with 0.1% pronase, Ringers lactate at 37°C for 1 h, maintaining the pH at 7.4 with 2 N NaOH. The cells were filtered through a cotton gauze and spun at 450 g for 7 min at 21°C. The cells were resuspended in 10 ml of Ringers and separated on a double layered metrizamide gradient (18 and 13%) of the gradient was centrifuged at 750 g, 21°C for 17 min. The metrizamide top layer and 15 ml of the metrizamide middle layer were carefully removed. Both fractions were diluted with Ringers and collected by centrifugation at 750 g for 15 min. The cells were lysed in the Ringers containing 0.1% SDS and boiled for 2 min. Proteins were precipitated with 66% ethanol at −20°C. Albumin contamination was largely eliminated by extensive chromatography on an affigel blue column.

For isolation of hepatocytes a 16-gauge catheter was inserted into the portal vein and 100 ml of G11–free Hanks’ buffer (37°C) was perfused at 10 ml/min (25, 26). Then 100 ml of the buffer containing 0.7 mg/ml of collagenase was infused and recirculated for 20 min. The liver was removed and suspended in the collagenase solution with shaking for 10 min. The suspension was applied to a metrizamide gradient as described above. Only a lower layer of hepatocytes was recovered. The hepatocytes were lysed in 0.1% SDS by sonication with a microprobe. Proteins were precipitated with 66% ethanol at −20°C twice and collected by centrifugation at 3,000 g, 4°C for 30 min.

**Amino acid analyses.** Proteins were hydrolyzed in 6 N HCl at 120°C for 3 h (22) and evaporated to dryness. The low molecular weight fractions of sera and tissues (66% ethanol supernatants) were evaporated to dryness dissolved in distilled water and the amino acids were further purified on a P2 column. Amino acid analyses were performed on a filtered 1 × 45-cm AG50W × 8 column at 50°C equilibrated with 0.7 N sodium citrate, pH 8.6, containing 0.1% Brij 35. Samples were resuspended in 0.1 N sodium citrate, 0.1% Brij 35, pH 2.2, applied to the column, and eluted at a flow rate of 1 ml/min collecting 4 ml fractions. After 120 ml of 0.7 N sodium citrate, pH 8.6, elution of Arg was accomplished using 0.2 N NaOH. Radioactivity was measured, after adding 14 ml of ACS, in a Mark III scintillation counter with automatic correction for spillover of ¹⁴C radioactivity into the ³H channel and efficiencies.

**Hepatocyte contribution to hepatic collagen production.** After animals were injected with an isotope mixture containing [3H]Pro and [¹⁴C]Orn (or [³⁵S]Pro and [4]HOrn), the relative contribution of hepatocytes to hepatic collagen production in vivo was determined by a dual-label method by comparing the ratio of [³⁵S]Pro/[³⁵S]Arg (or [¹⁴C]Pro/[¹⁴C]Arg) in hepatic collagen to the same ratio in albumin. First, we calculated the predicted [³⁵S]Pro (or [¹⁴C]Pro) radioactivity in hepatic collagen produced by hepatocytes, by the following formula:

Hepatocyte [³⁵S]Procoll 

= [¹⁴C]Argcoll × [³⁵S]Proalbum 

[¹⁴C]Argalbum 

× (Pro[+Hyp]/Argcoll).

The ratio of (Pro[+Hyp]:Arg) is ~3.5 times greater in hepatic collagen than in ALB (3, 11, 27).

The relative contribution of hepatocytes to hepatic collagen production was calculated by the following formula:

Hepatocyte contribution (%) = 

Hepatocyte [³⁵S]Procoll 

× 100.

Hepatic [³⁵S]Procoll


Hepatocytes were identified by their sensitivity to purified bacterial collagenase as previously described (22).

**Results.** In the first series of experiments we analyzed the composition of labeled amino acids, by ion exchange chromatography of purified serum ALB, our index hepatocyte protein. We found that between 60 and 90% of the label derived from Orn was present as Arg, while the remainder was found in Pro and related amino acids (probably Glu and Asp) (Fig. 1). We achieved a linear increase in serum ALB specific activity for up to 6 h, maximal period of labeling used in our experiments.

When purified serum TFR was analyzed, we found a pattern of labeled amino acids similar to that observed in ALB (Fig. 2). This would be expected for proteins such as ALB and TFR that have a similar Pro/Arg ratio (27), and that are produced exclusively by the hepatocyte (13, 14). Indeed, the distribution of labeled amino acids in TFR was essentially the same as in ALB,
as shown in Fig. 3, for the ratios of \([^3H]Pro/[^{14}C]Arg\) (103±6%) and \([^{14}C]Arg/[^{14}C]total\) (99±5%). Also, when we injected \([^{14}C]Pro\) and \([^{3H}]Orn\) to animals, similar ratios of \([^{3H}]Arg/[^3H]Pro\) (≈1.1) and \([^{3H}]Arg/[^3H]total\) (≈75% at 4 h and ≈62% at 6 h) were found in ALB and TFR. Furthermore, when we isolated hepatocytes by collagenase perfusion, the hepatocyte proteins also had ≈70% of the label derived from Orn present as Arg (Fig. 4).

Conversely, a small percentage of labeled Arg was found in nonparenchymal cells. We analyzed the free amino acid pool of nonparenchymal cells isolated by pronase perfusion and metrizamide gradient centrifugation. We found in two groups of nonparenchymal cells (Fig. 5), that almost all of the label derived from Orn was present as Orn, Pro, and related amino acids, but a negligible percentage was present as Arg. In addition, we also found a small percentage of labeled Arg in the amino acid pool of serum (Fig. 6 B) and extrahepatic tissues (data not shown) as well as in the γ-globulin (Fig. 6 A), a major serum protein produced by B lymphocytes (28). Finally, the analysis of labeled amino acids in nonparenchymal cell proteins showed that essentially all of the label derived from labeled Orn was found as Pro (and related amino acids) (Fig. 7). These combined findings clearly indicate that spillover of labeled Arg, produced by the hepatocyte, is negligible.

In order to determine whether or not hepatocytes produce collagen in vivo in normal rats, we analyzed the distribution of labeled amino acids in hepatic collagen. We purified hepatic collagen, judging by its characteristics on SDS-PAGE (Fig. 8) and a 0.5 m chromatography (Fig. 9), and by its susceptibility to purified bacterial collagenase (Figs. 8 and 9). When hydrolyzed hepatic collagen was analyzed on an AG50 column the most important finding was the presence of a sizeable percentage of labeled Arg, derived from the labeled Orn injected into the animals (Fig. 10), which indicates production by the hepatocytes. ≈20% of the radioactivity in hepatic collagen, derived from the label Orn, was present as Arg (Fig. 11 B).
To what extent do the hepatocytes contribute to hepatic collagen production in vivo? To answer this question, first, it must be remembered that the frequency of Pro(±Hyp) relative to Arg is ~3.5 times greater in hepatic collagen than in ALB (as calculated from references 3, 11, 27). Therefore, given the relative frequency of amino acids, we would predict a ratio of [3H]Pro/[^14C]Arg (or [3H]Pro/[3H]Arg) ~3.5 times greater in hepatic

Figure 5. Analysis of labeled amino acids in the free pool of nonparenchymal cells. After the injection of an isotope mixture containing 100 μCi of [14C]Pro and 200 μCi of [3H]Orn, the liver was perfused at 4 h via the portal vein with Ringers lactate, pH 7.5 containing 0.2% pronase (37°C). The cells were isolated on a double layered metrizamide gradient (18 and 13%) at 750 g. Cells on the metrizamide top (top) and metrizamide medium (bottom) layers were lysed in 0.1% SDS by sonication, and proteins were precipitated with 66% ethanol. The labeled amino acids in the free pool were analyzed as described in Fig. 1.

Figure 4. Analysis of labeled amino acids in hepatocyte proteins. After intraperitoneal injection of an isotope mixture containing 100 μCi of [14C]Pro and 200 μCi of [3H]Orn, hepatocytes were isolated at 4 h by infusion of collagenase via the portal vein. The hepatocytes were lysed in 0.1% SDS by sonication and proteins were precipitated with 66% ethanol. Hydrolyzates of hepatocyte proteins were analyzed as described in Fig. 1.

Figure 6. Analysis of labeled amino acids in serum γ-globulin and in the free pool of serum. (A) After the intraperitoneal injection of 400 μCi of [3H]Orn, serum was obtained at 6 h, and passed through a 0.9 × 10 cm protein A column that was washed with 70 ml of 50 mM Tris, 4 mM EDTA, pH 7.5. The γ-globulin was eluted with 8 ml of 0.2 N sodium citrate, pH 2.2, precipitated with 66% ethanol, and passed through an affigel-blue column to remove any contaminating ALB. Hydrolyzates of γ-globulin were analyzed as described in Fig. 1. (B) The low molecular weight fraction of serum (66% ethanol supernatant) was further purified by gel filtration on a P2 column. The labeled amino acids were analyzed as described in Fig. 1.
collagen produced by hepatocytes than in ALB or TFR. In our experiments, the actual ratio of $[^3H]Pro/[^{14}C]Arg$ (or $[^3H]Pro/[^7H]Arg$) in hepatic collagen was very close to the predicted ratio (Fig. 11 A). In consequence, our data indicate that the hepatocyte contributes a significant percentage (88±8%) of the newly synthesized hepatic collagen.

**Discussion**

The important issue of which cell types are responsible for the in vivo hepatic production of collagen, and to what extent, in normal rats, was not known before this study (10, 11). It has been suggested that hepatocytes (4–6) and nonparenchymal cells, including fibroblasts (7), myofibroblasts (9), and sinusoidal cells (4, 8), may all play a role in the excessive collagen production of cirrhosis. However, the evidence available to incriminate any of these cell types in the pathogenesis of hepatic fibrosis, is based on morphological observations (5, 29) or in vitro determination of connective tissue protein production (4–9).

Using indirect immunofluorescence techniques, Diegelmann and co-workers (5) concluded that only under pathological conditions, such as experimental bile duct ligation, does the hepatocyte produce type IV collagen. This phenomenon was not observed under normal physiological conditions. Even with electron immunohistochemical studies, Martinez-Hernandez (30) was unable to determine in normal rats which cells were responsible for the synthesis of collagen types I, IV, laminin, and fibronectin. Nonetheless, in rats with carbon-tetrachloride-induced cirrhosis, collagen I was identified in the endoplasmic reticulum of hepatocytes, while collagen IV was found in endothelial, smooth muscle, and Ito cells (31).

The approach used in this study provides information in normal rats regarding the cell type responsible for the production of hepatic collagen. In addition, the new method offers the possibility of assessing hepatocyte contribution to fibrogenesis in cirrhosis. The rationale for our method is that only in the hepatocyte is labeled Orn converted, via the urea cycle, to labeled Arg. Note that Orn is not incorporated into proteins. Our data clearly indicate that hepatocytes rapidly metabolized the labeled Orn to labeled Arg which is incorporated into ALB, TFR, and hepatocyte proteins. Conversely, only a small percentage of the radioactivity was present as Arg in the free amino acid pool of nonparenchymal cells, serum, and extrathymic tissues, as well as

![Figure 8. SDS-Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of hepatic collagen. Purified hepatic collagen was reduced with 100 mM DTT for 2 min at 100°C (lane 1) or pretreated with bacterial collagenase (lane 2). High molecular weight protein markers are also shown (lane 3). The gel was fixed and stained with Coomassie blue as described in Methods.](image)

![Figure 9. Gel filtration of hepatic collagen. Purified hepatic collagen was applied with (c) or without (e) collagenase pretreatment to an A 0.5 m column as described in Methods.](image)

![Figure 10. Analysis of labeled amino acids in hepatic collagen. After the intraperitoneal injection of an isotope mixture containing 2 mCi of $[^3H]Pro$ and 130 $\mu$Ci of $[^14]C$Orn, hepatic COLL was purified at 6 h. Hepatic COLL was extracted from 3,000 g in Fig. 1. Hepatic COLL was extracted from 3,000 g precipitates of liver homogenates (in 50 mM Tris, 15 mM EDTA, 1 M NaCl, 1 mM PMSF, 5 mM NEM, pH 7.5) in 0.5 N acetic acid with pepsin (10 mg/g) for 6 h at 4°C, three times. The combined 3,000 g supernatants were precipitated twice with (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ (176 mg/ml). Hydrolyzates of hepatic COLL were analyzed as described in Fig. 1.](image)

![Figure 11. Distribution of labeled amino acids in albumin and hepatic collagen. Animals were injected intraperitoneally with an isotope mixture containing either 2 mCi of $[^3H]Pro$ and 130 $\mu$Ci of $[^14]C$Orn, or 400 $\mu$Ci of $[^14]C$Pro and 400 $\mu$Ci of $[^3H]Orn$. After 6 h, serum ALB and hepatic COLL were purified as described in Fig. 1 and Fig. 10, respectively. Hydrolyzates of ALB and hepatic COLL were analyzed as described in Fig. 1. The ratio of labeled Pro/labeled Arg (expressed as a percentage of ALB values) (A) and of labeled Arg/total radioactivity derived from labeled Orn (B) are shown. Values are mean±SE for ALB ($n = 5$) and COLL ($n = 5$).](image)
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as in nonparenchymal cell proteins and γ-globulin. These findings indicate that spillover of labeled Arg from the hepatocytes is negligible.

From the $[^{3}H]Pro/[^{14}C]Arg$ ratio in ALB we accurately predicted a similar ratio in TFR since the ratio of Pro/Arg is almost the same in both proteins (27), and they are exclusively produced by the hepatocytes (13, 14). We found a sizeable percentage of the radioactivity derived from labeled Orn as Arg, in purified hepatic collagen, which indicates production by the hepatocyte. Given the sensitivity of our methods and the relative frequency of Pro+(+Hyp)-Arg in hepatic collagen and ALB (3, 11, 27), our data indicate that in normal rats most of the hepatic collagen is produced by the hepatocytes.

Although the dual-label method was accurate irrespective of variation in the $^{3}H/^{14}C$ ratio in ALB and TFR, for hepatic collagens which are produced at lower rates and have a lower frequency of Arg relative to Pro, as compared with ALB, enough labeled Orn should be injected to minimize potential errors when analyzing the distribution of labeled amino acids.

Potential sources of error in our method include variable contamination of purified proteins with hepatocyte proteins, in particular, ALB. A large percentage of ALB contamination can be eliminated by affigel-blue chromatography. In addition, extensive purification of hepatic collagen is required since a relative small contamination with proteins of higher specific activity could introduce significant errors in the analysis of labeled amino acids. Another potential source of error in our method is the contamination of purified proteins with radioactive free Arg. However, the absence of radioactive Orn in the protein hydrolyzes argues strongly against that possibility. In the analysis of amino acids, column overloading with either peptides (following incomplete hydrolysis) or amino acids could lead to elution of radioactivity in the Arg region. However, in these instances, the spurious co-elution of labeled Pro with Arg will be detected.

We emphasize that our method estimates relative contribution of hepatocytes (HEP) and nonparenchymal cells (NPC) toward hepatic collagen (COLL) production based on the ratios of labeled Pro and Arg, as described in Methods. However, to determine the contribution of hepatocytes and nonparenchymal cells to hepatic collagen production in molar terms, the following equation would be required:

\[
\text{Absolute hepatic COLL production} = \frac{\text{Hepatocyte } [^{3}H]Pro_{\text{COLL}}}{\text{Sp. act } [^{3}H]Proyl-t-RNA_{\text{HEP}}} \times \frac{\text{NPC } [^{3}H]Pro_{\text{COLL}}}{\text{Sp. act } [^{3}H]Proyl-t-RNA_{\text{NPC}}}
\]

Therefore, if the calculated contribution of hepatocyte to hepatic collagen production is ~90%, as in our experiments, even an eventual twofold, threefold, or 10-fold higher prolyl-t-RNA specific activity in hepatocytes than in nonparenchymal cells would bring the hepatocyte contribution in molar terms to 82, 75, and 47%, respectively. We were unable to measure the prolyl-t-RNA specific activity in isolated nonparenchymal cells, even by using the sensitive $[^{14}C]dansyl-Cl$ method (32, 33). The extensive procedure required to isolate nonparenchymal cells may affect the stability of the aminoaacyl-t-RNA.

However, since our results indicate that most of the labeled hepatic collagen is contributed by hepatocytes, even a large difference in the prolyl-t-RNA specific activity of hepatocyte and nonparenchymal cells would not modify the conclusions of the study.

In conclusion, this study provides direct evidence that in normal rats hepatocytes produce collagen in vivo, and that they contribute most of the newly synthesized hepatic collagen.
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