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Abstract

Anti-idiotypic MAb were raised in syngeneic mice against a mouse MAb recognizing G\textsubscript{D3} ganglioside (MAb R24). Two anti-idiotypic MAb, designated BEC2 and BEC3, recognized distinct determinants on MAb R24 that mapped near or within the G\textsubscript{D3}-binding site. New Zealand white rabbits, which express G\textsubscript{D3} on normal tissues, were immunized with either BEC2, BEC3, or control MAb FLOPC-21. All rabbits developed high and equivalent titers of antibodies against mouse immunoglobulins. Immunization with BEC2 and BEC3 induced rabbit antibodies expressing R24 idiotype as demonstrated by their ability to inhibit BEC2 binding to R24. Antibodies (IgG and IgM) reacting with G\textsubscript{D3} developed in five of eight rabbits immunized with BEC2 but not in rabbits immunized with BEC3 or with control MAb. Serum antibodies against G\textsubscript{D3} did not cross-react with other gangliosides. These results show that MAb BEC2 can mimic G\textsubscript{D3} ganglioside and can induce antibodies against G\textsubscript{D3} ganglioside despite expression of G\textsubscript{D3} on normal rabbit tissue. (J. Clin. Invest. 1991. 88:186–192.) Key words: melanoma • immunization • internal image • glycolipid

Introduction

Gangliosides are polymorphic glycolipids found on surface membranes of most cell types and, as a rule, are weakly immunogenic (1–3). The major antigenic determinants of gangliosides are carbohydrates which typically generate T cell-independent humoral immune responses. However, in certain circumstances, gangliosides can function as autoantigens: (a) antibodies against gangliosides have been detected in sera of patients with autoimmune diseases (4); (b) antibodies against the gangliosides G\textsubscript{M2} and G\textsubscript{D2} have been found in the sera of melanoma patients (5), and human IgM MAb against gangliosides have been isolated from B cells of melanoma patients (6–8); (c) immunization with selected purified gangliosides has been shown to induce antibody responses in mice (1); and (d) immunization with G\textsubscript{M3} and BCG adjuvant has induced IgM antibodies against G\textsubscript{M2} in a majority of patients with metastatic melanoma (9).

G\textsubscript{D3} is a ganglioside abundantly expressed on most human melanoma cells (10). G\textsubscript{D3} has received attention as a possible target for active and passive immunotherapy of human melanoma and other neuroectoderm-derived tumors (2, 11–13). In mice, which express little G\textsubscript{D3} on normal tissues (1), antibodies against G\textsubscript{D3} can readily be induced by immunization with purified G\textsubscript{D3} plus adjuvant (1). However, in humans and other mammalian species, G\textsubscript{D3} is more abundantly expressed on selected normal tissues, including the central nervous system, adrenal medulla, and other tissues derived from the neuroectoderm (14, 15). In these species, immunization with purified G\textsubscript{D3} plus adjuvant does not generally induce antibodies against G\textsubscript{D3} (1).

An alternative strategy for inducing antibodies against gangliosides involves the use of anti-idiotypic MAb (16). This approach requires that a polypeptide Ig variable region can mimic a carbohydrate determinant, providing a surrogate immunogen. Anti-idiotypic MAb that mimic other carbohydrate antigens, such as bacterial LPS (17–19) and β2→6 fructan (20), have been produced. In the LPS system, anti-idiotypic MAb can be superior to the original antigen in priming neonatal mice to respond (17). We describe an anti-idiotypic MAb that mimics antigenic determinants on G\textsubscript{D3} and induces antibody responses in a species (rabbits) that express G\textsubscript{D3} on normal tissues.

Methods

Animals. Mice were purchased from Charles River Professional Services, Wilmington, MA and New Zealand White (NZW)\textsuperscript{y} rabbits were from Hazelton Research Products (Denver, PA) or from Cocalico Biologicals, Inc. (Reamstown, PA).

Materials. Cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 with 10% fetal bovine serum or 10% Serum Plus (Hazelton Research Products, Inc., Lenexa, KS). Media was supplemented with 2 mM glutamine, 1% nonessential amino acids, penicillin (100 U/ml), and streptomycin (100 U/ml).

G\textsubscript{D3} was purified from bovine brain. Other purified gangliosides were purchased from Calbiochem-Behring Corp., La Jolla, CA. R24, an IgG3 MAb raised in a [C57BL×BALB/c]F\textsubscript{1}, mouse against human melanoma, specifically recognizes the ganglioside G\textsubscript{D3} (10). V1-R24 is a variant of R24 in which both light chains have been substituted by MOPC-21 light chains derived from the NS-1 myeloma fusion partner. These light chains alter the antigen binding site enough to decrease the avidity for G\textsubscript{D3} by 40-fold (21). The BALB/c myeloma proteins MOPC-21, MOPC-104E, MOPC-141, MOPC-315, UPC-10, TEPC-15, TEPC-183, Y5606, and FLOPC-21 were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO. MAb 3P8 and 455 were gifts from Dr. Nai-Kong Cheung and Dr. John Mendelsohn, respectively (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York). MAb A33, HT29-15, S22, F23, and 34-417 were gifts from Dr. Sydney Welt (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center). OKB7 and M195 were gifts from Dr. David Scheinberg (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center). MAb

1. Abbreviations used in this paper: NZW, New Zealand white.
2G10 was a gift from Dr. Piergiorgio Natali (Regina Elena Cancer Institute, Rome, Italy). F36/22 was from Dr. T. Ming Chu (Roswell Park Memorial Institute, Buffalo, NY). MAb 6H4 and 14G2a were gifts from Dr. Ralph Reifseid (Scirpp Clinics and Research Foundation, La Jolla, CA). ME361 was a gift from Dr. Meenhard Herlyn (The Wistar Institute, Philadelphia, PA). MAb M111, C350, TA99, C5, and K9 have been previously reported (10, 22). MAb 11A is an anti-Gd3 MAb recently produced in our laboratory. SK-MEL-256 is a human melanoma cell line that expresses cell surface Gd3.

Production of anti-idiotypic MAb against R24. Mice syngeneic to R24 (C57BL × BALB/cF1) were immunized with weekly intraperitoneal injections of R24 (100 μg/R24/injection) adhered to fixed Staphylococcus aureus cells (Calbiochem-Behring Corp.). After three intraperitoneal immunizations, mice were boosted intravenously with R24 in PBS in 1 and 3 wk later. With every immunization, the mice received an i.p. injection of 1 μg recombinant human IL-1β (a gift from Robert C. Newton, DuPont Glenolden Lab, Glenolden, PA). 3 d after the last boost, splenocytes were collected and fused with SP2/0 mouse myeloma cells using standard fusion techniques. Selected hybridomas (see below) were subcloned by limiting dilution and grown as ascites-producing tumors in syngeneic mice. Anti-idiotypic MAb were purified either by protein A or protein G affinity chromatography.

ELISA. To measure anti-idiotypic reactivity, R24 F(ab′)2 fragments, prepared as previously described by peptic digestion (23), were diluted in 0.05 M borate, pH 8.6, and adsorbed to 96-well plates (1 μg/well) overnight at 4°C. After blocking with 5% nonfat milk, material to be tested for anti-idiotypic reactivity was diluted in PBS/1% BSA (wt/vol)/0.05% polyoxyethylene sorbitan monolaureate (Tween 20) (vol/vol) and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Bound antibody was detected using an alkaline phosphatase-conjugated secondary antibody specific for the Fc portion of mouse IgG (Cappel Organon Teknika Corporation, West Chester, PA). Plates were developed with p-nitrophenyl phosphate substrate in a diethanolamine buffer and absorbance monitored at 405 or 410 nm using an ELISA plate reader.

The specificity of both anti-idiotypic MAb was tested by inhibition assay in which purified anti-idiotypic MAb was preincubated with serial dilutions of inhibiting MAb for 1 h. The mixture was then added to 96-well plates coated with R24 F(ab′)2 fragments and assayed by ELISA as above. The concentration of inhibiting MAb that inhibited 50% of anti-idiotypic MAb binding to R24 F(ab′)2 fragments (IC50) was calculated and compared with the IC50 of R24 assayed as a positive control. An MAb was considered to be inhibitory if the MAb IC50/R24 IC50 ratio was less than 10. To map binding sites, cross-blocking experiments were performed using anti-idiotypic MAb biotinylated using either biotin hydrazide (24) or N-hydroxysuccinimidobiotin (both from Sigma Chemical Co.). Nonbiotinylated, blocking anti-idiotypic MAb was added to 96-well plates coated with R24 (1 μg/well). After 1 h, biotinylated anti-idiotypic MAb was then added. Plates were washed after 1 h and wells were incubated with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated avidin for 15 min. Plates were developed as above.

The expression of R24 idiotype by rabbit antibodies was measured by inhibition assay in which various dilutions of rabbit sera were preincubated with biotinylated BEC2 (0.38 μg/ml) and the mixture was added to 96-well plates coated with R24 (1 μg/well). After 1 h, wells were washed and bound BEC2 was detected by using alkaline phosphatase-conjugated avidin and developed as above.

To measure anti-Gd3 reactivity in the serum of immunized rabbits, purified Gd3 was adsorbed to 96-well plates (100 ng/well). After blocking wells with 5% nonfat milk, test serum was diluted in PBS/1% BSA/0.05% Tween 20 and added to wells for 1 h. Plates were washed and bound rabbit antibody was detected using alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat antiserum specific for rabbit IgG (Sigma Chemical Co.). Anti-Gd3 serum titers were defined as the highest serum dilution yielding an absorbance reading greater than three standard deviations above the mean background reading. Rabbits were considered to have developed anti-Gd3 antibodies if a fourfold or greater rise in titer above preimmune serum was observed in serum samples taken from at least two separate time points.

Rabbit anti-mouse antibodies were measured by adding test serum to 96-well plates coated with mouse IgG (Sigma Chemical Co.) (0.5 μg/well). Bound antibody was detected with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG as above.

Immunizations with anti-idiotypic MAb. Rabbits received five injections of MAb (100 μg/injection) at intervals of at least 3 wk over the course of 3 mo. For the initial immunization, MAb was mixed with Freund's complete adjuvant and administered subcutaneously at multiple sites. The second and third injections were also given subcutaneously but with Freund's incomplete adjuvant. For the final two injections, MAb was injected intramuscularly without adjuvant.

Inhibition of R24 binding to melanoma cells. R24, diluted in PBS with 5% gamma globulin-free FCS, was preincubated with various dilutions of anti-idiotypic MAb. After 1 h, each mixture was plated onto SK-MEL-256 cells growing in 60 × 10 Nunclon microtiter plates (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark). Plates were incubated 1 h and then washed with PBS/2% gamma globulin-free FCS. Protein A-conjugated mouse erythrocytes were added for 45 min as previously described (22). The plates were washed again and the percentage of target cells rosetted was scored by phase microscopy. Wells in which more than 20% of target cells were rosetted were considered positive.

Immunoblotting. Reactivity of rabbit anti-Gd3 antibodies against various gangliosides was measured by immunoblotting. Purified ganglioside was applied to nitrocellulose strips (Schleicher & Schuell, Inc., Keene, NH) as distinct dots (1 μg/dot) and allowed to dry. Strips were blocked with PBS/1% BSA/0.05% Tween 20 or with 5% nonfat milk. The strips were then incubated overnight in a 1:10 dilution of test serum. After washing, bound rabbit IgG was detected by incubating nitrocellulose strips with 125I-protein A (New England Nuclear, Boston, MA) and exposing strips to Kodak X-Omat film (Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, NY). To detect bound IgM, strips were incubated with peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgM (Cappel Organon Teknika) and developed with the chromogen 4-chloronaphthol.

Results

Anti-idiotypic MAb against R24. Syngeneic mice were immunized with MAB R24 (as described in Methods). Three mice demonstrating the highest titer serum reactivity against R24 F(ab′)2 fragments were selected for fusions. 651 wells were screened from three separate fusions. Two hybridomas were identified and subcloned that produced MAB recognizing fragments of R24. The hybridomas and the MAb produced by them were designated BEC2 (IgG2b) and BEC3 (IgG3). The specificities of BEC2 and BEC3 were determined by inhibition assays using a panel of MAb (Table I). MAb BEC2 recognized determinants expressed on the anti-Gd3 MAb C5 (IgG3) and 11A (IgM) as demonstrated by IC50/R24 IC50 ratios of less than 10. BEC2 did not specifically recognize any other MAb in the panel that included other MAb against Gd3 and MAb against other gangliosides. These results demonstrated that BEC2 recognized an idiotope expressed on some anti-Gd3 MAb but not all. BEC2 did not recognize V1-R24, a low avidity variant of R24 containing R24 heavy chains and MOPC-21 light chains, which implies that the idiotope recognized by BEC2 requires specific light chain domains. BEC3 appeared to recognize a slightly different idiotope, which was present both on R24 and on V1-R24, because both of these MAb inhibited binding of BEC3 to R24 F(ab′)2 fragments. However, the IC50 for V1-R24 was approximately ninefold higher than the IC50 for R24. This result implies that BEC3 recognizes an idiotope on R24 that is also present on V1-R24, perhaps in a different conformation, resulting in a ninefold lower binding affinity.
Table I. Specificity of BEC2 and BEC3 Anti-idiotypic MAb
as Measured by Inhibition of Binding to R24

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhibiting MAb</th>
<th>Inhibition (MAb IC50 R24 IC50 ratio)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isotype</td>
<td>Specificity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOPC104E</td>
<td>(\mu) (\alpha 1 \rightarrow 3 ) dextran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11A</td>
<td>(\mu) GD3 ganglioside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K9</td>
<td>(\mu) GD3 ganglioside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEPC183</td>
<td>(\mu) ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOPC315</td>
<td>(\alpha) Dinitrophenol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOPC315</td>
<td>(\alpha) Phosphoryl choline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT29-15</td>
<td>(\gamma_1) Adenocarcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>455</td>
<td>(\gamma_1) EGF receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M111</td>
<td>(\gamma_1) gp110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S22</td>
<td>(\gamma_1) ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C350</td>
<td>(\gamma_1) gp180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14G2a</td>
<td>(\gamma_2) GD2 ganglioside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPC10</td>
<td>(\gamma_2) (\beta 2 \rightarrow 6) fructosean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA99</td>
<td>(\gamma_2) gp75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A33</td>
<td>(\gamma_2) ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M195</td>
<td>(\gamma_2) CD33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G10</td>
<td>(\gamma_2) gp75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F23</td>
<td>(\gamma_2) CD13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME361</td>
<td>(\gamma_2) GD2/GD3 gangliosides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOPC141</td>
<td>(\gamma_2) ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OKB7</td>
<td>(\gamma_2) CD21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLOPC21</td>
<td>(\gamma_3) ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y5606</td>
<td>(\gamma_3) AMP/purines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F36/22</td>
<td>(\gamma_3) Breast carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34-417</td>
<td>(\gamma_3) Sialylated Lewis A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3F8</td>
<td>(\gamma_3) GD3 ganglioside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6H4</td>
<td>(\gamma_3) GD3 ganglioside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>(\gamma_3) GD3 ganglioside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1-R24*</td>
<td>(\gamma_3) GD3 ganglioside</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Specificity was assessed by the ability of inhibiting MAb to block binding of BEC2 or BEC3 to R24 F(ab)_2 fragments. In general, a three- to sixfold excess of R24 concentration was sufficient for 50% inhibition (IC50). The numbers listed in parentheses represent the ratios of the IC50 observed for the inhibiting MAb divided by the IC50 for R24. For MAb tested multiple times, the mean value is listed. The absence of an IC50 ratio denotes that less than 50% inhibition was observed at all concentrations tested and an IC50 for that MAb could not be calculated. NT, not tested; EGF, epidermal growth factor. *A variant of R24 containing two MOPC-21 light chains derived from the NS-1 myeloma fusion partner. V1-R24 has 40-fold lower avidity for GD3 compared with R24.

The determinants recognized by BEC2 and BEC3 were further defined by experiments that showed both BEC2 and BEC3 could cross-inhibit binding of each other to R24 (Fig. 1). BEC2 efficiently inhibited BEC3 binding to R24 while BEC3 was less efficient at inhibiting BEC2 binding to R24. It may be that the idiotopes recognized by BEC2 and BEC3 only partially overlap. Alternatively, BEC2 may bind to R24 with much higher affinity than does BEC3. In experiments to further characterize the R24 idiotopes recognized by BEC2 and BEC3, we found that binding of R24 to purified GD3 or to a GD3+ melanoma cell line was blocked by preincubation with either BEC2 or BEC3.

Fig. 2 shows a representative experiment testing the ability of BEC2 and BEC3 to inhibit binding of R24 to the GD3+ melanoma cell line SK-MEL-256. Both BEC2 and BEC3 could inhibit R24 binding, and 50% inhibition of R24 binding could be achieved with either a 60-fold excess of BEC2 or a 100-fold excess of BEC3. Similar results were obtained in ELISA assays measuring the ability of BEC2 or BEC3 to block binding of R24 to purified GD3 (data not shown). The concentration of anti-idiotypic MAb required for inhibition of R24 binding to GD3 implies the relative avidity of R24 for GD3 is higher than the avidity of either anti-idiotypic MAb for R24.

 Taken together, these results show that BEC2 and BEC3 are anti-idiotypic MAb against R24. Differences in specificity show that BEC2 and BEC3 recognize different idiotopes on R24, although both idiotopes are located near the GD3-binding site of R24.

BEC2 but not BEC3 carries the internal image of GD3. NZW rabbits were chosen to test whether BEC2 or BEC3 carried an internal image of GD3 ganglioside. Rabbits provide a reasonable model for immunization of humans based on the following features: (a) mouse MAb are xenogeneic both in rabbits and humans; (b) purified GD3 is not immunogenic in either

Figure 1. Cross-inhibition of BEC2 and BEC3 binding to R24. Various concentrations of BEC2 (●—●) or BEC3 (○—○) were added to 96-well plates coated with R24 (1 ug/ml). Biotinylated BEC2 (0.13 ug/ml) was added to wells blocked with BEC3, while biotinylated BEC3 (33 ug/ml) was added to wells blocked with BEC2. Bound anti-idiotypic MAb was detected using alkaline phosphatase-conjugated avidin as described in Methods. Percentage inhibition was calculated by comparison with uninhibited wells.

Figure 2. Inhibition of R24 binding to melanoma cells by anti-idiotypic MAb. R24 was inhibited with various concentrations of either BEC2 (●—●) or BEC (○—○) and then plated onto SK-MEL-256 melanoma cells. Bound R24 was detected using a mixed hemadsorption assay (see Methods). The data are expressed as the mean percentage of target cells rosetted in duplicate wells (y axis) versus the fold excess of inhibiting anti-idiotypic MAb (x axis). For BEC2, the final R24 concentration was 2.5 ug/ml and uninhibited control wells showed 95% rosetting. For BEC3, the final R24 concentration was 1.25 ug/ml and uninhibited wells showed 85% rosetting. Anti-id, anti-idiotypic.
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Sera were assayed for IgG antibodies against G_{D3} ganglioside. Five of eight rabbits immunized with BEC2 developed IgG antibodies reacting with purified G_{D3} by ELISA, but no antibodies reacting with G_{D3} were induced in six rabbits immunized with either BEC3 or with FLOPC-21 (P = 0.028, Fisher's exact probability test). Fig. 3 illustrates the mean preimmunization and peak postimmunization IgG reactivity against G_{D3} for all animals immunized. Immunization with BEC2 induced IgG against G_{D3} with a mean peak titer of 1:160 (range, 1:80 to 1:640) (Fig. 3 A). In contrast, after immunization with either

BEC3 or FLOPC-21, no detectable reactivity against G_{D3} was induced in any rabbit (Fig. 3, B and C).

We confirmed that the antibody response to G_{D3} was IgG class. First, the antibody response against purified G_{D3} could be detected using ^{35}S-protein A (see Fig. 5 B below). Because rabbit IgG, but not IgM, binds protein A (28), this reactivity is presumably due to IgG. Second, G_{D3}-reactive rabbit antisera was subjected to 45% ammonium sulfate precipitation and separation by size-exclusion chromatography using a FPLC Superose 6 column (Pharmacia Fine Chemicals, Piscataway, NJ). Antibody reactivity against purified G_{D3} eluted in a molecular mass range of ~ 150,000 D, within the range of monomeric IgG but not pentameric IgM (data not shown). Since IgM anti-G_{D3} could be detected in whole serum by immunoblot (see below), it is likely that IgM was not efficiently precipitated or that IgM anti-G_{D3} was inactivated after ammonium sulfate precipitation.

Fig. 4 shows the typical time course of the IgG response against G_{D3} in a rabbit immunized with BEC2. IgG antibodies against G_{D3} were generated after the second, third, and fourth immunizations with BEC2. In some rabbits, titers appeared to decline after the fifth immunization. It should be noted that the last two immunizations were administered without adjuvant. However, IgG antibodies against G_{D3} could be detected as long as 107 days after the last immunization (data not shown), demonstrating that the anti-G_{D3} IgG response could be long lived. While sera with anti-G_{D3} reactivity could inhibit R24 binding to G_{D3}, this effect was not specific. Sera from rabbits immunized with BEC3 or with FLOPC-21 could also inhibit R24 binding to G_{D3} suggesting that this effect was due to rabbit anti-mouse antibodies.

It is not uncommon for antibody responses against gangliosides to cross-react with carbohydrate determinants on distinct gangliosides (6). For this reason, we were interested in analyzing the specificity of the anti-G_{D3} reactivity induced by BEC2. Immunoblot analysis of sera from selected rabbits immunized with BEC2 showed the presence of both IgM (Fig. 5 A) and IgG antibodies (Fig. 5 B) against G_{D3}. These antibodies did not cross-react with the other gangliosides tested, including the ganglioside G_{A3}, which differs from G_{D3} by the absence of a single sialic acid moiety. Overall, these data demonstrate that, although immunization with BEC2 and BEC3 MAb induced high and equivalent titers of anti-mouse IgG, only immunization with BEC2 induced an IgG response against G_{D3}.

Expression of R24 idioype by Ab3. In order to determine whether rabbit antibodies induced by BEC2 expressed an R24
idiotypic, the ability of rabbit antisera to inhibit binding between BEC2 and R24 was tested (Fig. 6). All eight rabbits immunized with BEC2 developed antibodies that could inhibit BEC2 binding to R24. These inhibitory antibodies were induced even in the rabbits that did not develop anti-GD3 reactivity. Rabbits immunized with BEC3 also developed antibodies capable of inhibiting BEC2 binding to R24, but the titer of these antibodies was considerably lower. Immunization with FLOPC-21 did not induce antibodies capable of inhibiting BEC2-R24 binding, despite the fact that FLOPC-21 induced a comparable level of rabbit anti-mouse antibodies. These data show that immunization with BEC2, and to a lesser extent BEC3, induces antibodies in rabbits that express the R24 idiotypic.

In summary, these data show that BEC2 carries an internal image of GD3 ganglioside, based on the finding that immunization of NZW rabbits with BEC2 induced IgG responses against GD3 ganglioside. This anti-GD3 response occurred despite the expression of GD3 by normal rabbit tissues. It is interesting to note that none of the rabbits showed clinical or pathological evidence of adverse effects due to immunization with BEC2. Necropsy specimens of selected rabbits with IgG antibody response against GD3 after immunization with BEC2 showed no histologic evidence of immune cell infiltration or tissue destruction in organs that express GD3, such as brain, adrenal gland, liver, and small intestines.

**Discussion**

Jerne proposed that the immune system can be regulated through a network of antibody/anti-idiotypic interactions (29). One of the implications of this model is the existence of anti-idiotypic antibodies that carry internal images of every recognizable determinant. Consistent with this model, anti-idiotypic MAb can be identified that carry the internal image of nonprotein determinants (17-20, 30). In tumor antigen systems, anti-idiotypic MAb have usually been used to mimic protein antigens (16, 31, 32) although Viale and co-workers have described an anti-idiotypic MAb that carries the internal image of an undefined saccharide determinant expressed on tumor-associated glycolipids and glycoproteins (33). We present data that the anti-idiotypic MAb BEC2 carries an internal image of the ganglioside GD3. Presumably BEC2 mimics a determinant that includes a terminal N-acetyl neuraminic acid because this moiety is critical for R24 binding to GD3 (34).

---

*Figure 5. Specificity of antibodies against GD3 induced by BEC2. After immunization with BEC2, selected rabbit sera showing anti-GD3 reactivity by ELISA was diluted 1:10 and reacted with various purified gangliosides dried on nitrocellulose filter strips. (A) Bound IgM was detected using peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgM and (B) bound IgG was detected using 125I-protein A. LacCer, lactosylceramide.*

*Figure 6. Expression of R24 idiotypic by rabbit antibodies induced after immunization with BEC2, BEC3, or FLOPC-21. Dilutions of rabbit sera were preincubated with biotinylated BEC2 (final concentration, 0.38 ug/ml) before being added to 96-well plates coated with R24 (1 ug/well). Bound BEC2 was detected using alkaline phosphatase-conjugated avidin. Data is expressed as the percentage inhibition of BEC2 binding. Sera was obtained from rabbits immunized with BEC2 (—•—•), BEC3 (—○—○), or FLOPC-21 (—△—△). No inhibition was observed with preimmune rabbit sera.*
A number of investigators have shown that anti-idiotypic MAb can be used as surrogate antigens to induce immunity (16). In infectious disease models, there is evidence that selected anti-idiotypic MAb can be better immunogens compared to the original antigen (17). Previous work has shown that purified G_{D3} is a poor immunogen in species that express G_{D3} on normal tissues (1–3), possibly due to a state of relative B cell tolerance to cell surface G_{D3}. The experiments with BEC2 immunization demonstrate that B cells that can secrete antibodies against G_{D3} remain in the immune repertoire of the rabbit.

Titers of anti-G_{D3} antibodies induced by BEC2 were relatively low. Immunoglobulin genes in reactive B cells may have undergone appropriate rearrangement of variable, diversity, and junctional regions, but not somatic mutation necessary for production of high affinity antibodies. The question remains whether these B cells could undergo appropriate and selective somatic mutation, a step presumably requiring T cell help. The ability of BEC2 to induce an IgG response suggests recruitment of T cell help. This may be analogous to a hapten-carrier protein model in which T cells recognizing peptide determinants within the carrier protein (e.g., murine-specific determinants on BEC2) provide help for the B cell population specific for hapten (e.g., the BEC2 idiotope that mimics G_{D3}).

There appeared to be limitations to the IgG response induced by immunization with BEC2 using this route, schedule, and adjuvant. Rabbits generating IgG antibodies against G_{D3} did not show histological evidence of inflammation in G_{D3} tissues. It is possible that the affinity and titer of these anti-G_{D3} antibodies were too low or that immunization with BEC2 selected IgG antibodies with little or no effector functions. Although a majority of rabbits immunized with BEC2 developed IgG against G_{D3}, there was a subset of rabbits in which the anti-G_{D3} response was too weak to be scored as positive. We presume that the lack of response in this subset was related to an inability of BEC2 to stimulate the appropriate B cell clones.

It will be important to determine whether BEC2 or other anti-idiotypic MAB can elicit delayed-type hypersensitivity to carbohydrate antigens, which are classically T cell independent. However, it is not clear how carbohydrate antigens could be recognized by T cells, because T cell receptor binding appears to require presentation of antigen by MHC molecules, and binding of carbohydrates to MHC has not been demonstrated. Further studies will be necessary to test whether the immunogenicity of BEC2 can be augmented by evaluating different schedules and routes of immunization, adjuvants, and immune modulators such as interleukins and cyclophosphamide.
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