Abstract

Liver glycogen formation can occur via the direct (glucose → glucose-6-phosphate → glycogen) or indirect (glucose → C₃ compounds → glucose-6-phosphate → glycogen) pathways. In the present study we have examined the effect of hyperglycemia on the pathways of hepatic glycogenesis, estimated from liver uridine diphosphoglucose (UDPglucose) specific activities and on peripheral (muscle) glucose metabolism in awake, unstressed control and 90% pancreatectomized, diabetic rats. Under identical conditions of hyperinsulinemia (~550 μU/ml), 2-h euglycemic (6 mM) and hyperglycemic (+5.5 mM and +11 mM) clamp studies were performed in combination with [3-3H, U-14C]glucose, [6-3H, U-14C]glucose, or [3-3H]glucose and [U-14C]lactate infusions under postabsorptive conditions. Total body glucose uptake and muscle glycogen synthesis were decreased in diabetic vs. control rats during all the clamp studies, whereas glycolytic rates were similar. By contrast, hyperglycemia determined similar rates of liver glycogen synthesis in both groups. Nevertheless, in diabetic rats, the contribution of the direct pathway to hepatic glycogen repletion was severely decreased, whereas the indirect pathway was markedly increased. After hyperglycemia, hepatic glucose-6-phosphate concentrations were increased in both groups, whereas UDPglucose concentrations were reduced only in the control group. These results indicate that in the diabetic state, under hyperinsulinemic conditions, hyperglycemia normally stimulates liver glycogen synthesis through a marked increase in the indirect pathway, which in turn may compensate for the reduction in the direct pathway. The increase in the hepatic concentrations of both glucose-6-phosphate and UDPglucose suggests the presence, in this diabetic rat model, of a compensatory "push" mechanism for liver glycogen repletion. (J. Clin. Invest. 1992. 89:36-45.) Key words: diabetes • gluconeogenesis • glycogen • hyperglycemia • liver

Introduction

When a glucose load is administered in the fasting or postabsorptive state, the liver switches from net glucose production to net glucose uptake (1–6), and liver glycogen stores are repleted. However, it is now well established, that, after glucose administration, in both animals (7–20) and humans (21–24), a significant portion of liver glycogen is not repleted through the classical direct pathway (i.e., glucose is taken up by the liver and directly converted into glucose-6-phosphate → glucose-1-phosphate → uridine diphosphoglucose (UDPglucose) → glycogen), but through an indirect pathway, in which the glucose carbon skeleton is first cleaved to C₃ compounds, reconstituted through the gluconeogenic pathway to glucose-6-phosphate, and finally converted into glucose-1-phosphate → UDPglucose → glycogen. Several studies suggest that in non–insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM), the contribution of gluconeogenesis to hepatic glucose output is increased, and plays a major role in the augmented plasma glucose concentration and hepatic glucose production during the postabsorptive state (25–27).

Recent observations also suggest that the inhibition of lactate/alanine gluconeogenesis by insulin may be mediated via diversion of three-carbon compounds toward other pathways, namely oxidation and glycogen formation (28), rather than via an insulin-induced reduction of the influx of these substrates into the liver. Thus, insulin may inhibit the gluconeogenic flux to plasma glucose at the level of glucose-6-phosphate, where it directs the newly formed glucose to glycogen instead to plasma. Consistent with this hypothesis, if gluconeogenesis is increased in the diabetic state, the contribution of the indirect (gluconeogenic) pathway to the liver glycogen repletion would also be expected to be altered. In fact, since physiological insulin concentrations have been shown to decrease the hepatic mRNA level of phosphoenolpyruvate-carboxy-kinase (29), independently of glucagon and cyclic AMP, it may be suggested that prolonged insulin deficiency and/or hepatic insulin resistance may determine an increase in overall gluconeogenic flux, involving both hepatic glucose output and glycogen formation. However, an alternative hypothesis may predict a diversion of gluconeogenic flux away from glycogen synthesis and toward glucose-6-phosphatase, at the level of the glucose-6-phosphate pool, thus without any alteration in the contribution of this pathway to liver glycogen repletion.

To delineate the impact of the diabetic state on the direct versus indirect pathways of liver glycogen repletion, we studied control and diabetic conscious rats under identical conditions of hyperinsulinemia and measured the specific activity of hepatic UDPglucose, the direct precursor of glycogen, at the end of the insulin clamp study. This allowed us to examine the pathways of liver glycogen repletion independently from the basal glycogen concentration. Because the circulating plasma glucose concentration is believed to be the major determinant of the pathways by which liver glycogen is repleted in normal rats (14, 17), we also examined the relationship between the plasma glucose concentration and hepatic glycogenesis by the direct vs. indirect pathway in conscious unrestrained control and diabetic (90% pancreatectomized) rats.
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1. Abbreviations used in this paper: PEP, phosphoenolpyruvate; UDPglucose, uridine diphosphoglucose.
Animals. Two groups of male Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River Breeding Laboratories, Inc., Wilmington, MA) were studied: group I, sham-operated controls (n = 26); group II, partially pancreatectomized rats (n = 18). At 3–4 wk of age, all rats (80–100 g) were anesthetized with phenobarbital (50 mg/kg body wt i.p.) and in group II 90% of the pancreas was removed according to the technique of Foglia (30), as modified by Bonner-Weir et al. (31). Group I underwent a sham pancreatectomy in which the pancreas was disengaged from the mesentery and gently rubbed between the fingers. Immediately after surgery (i.e., pancreatectomy or sham pancreatectomy) rats were housed in individual cages and subjected to a standard light (6 a.m. to 6 p.m.)–dark (6 p.m. to 6 a.m.) cycle. Based on prior experience, rats received the identical daily allotment of rat Chow (Ralston-Purina Co., St. Louis, MO) in an amount (0.1 g/g body wt per d) that sustained normal growth and was completely consumed by all of the animals. After surgery rats were weighed twice weekly and tail vein blood was collected for the determination of nonfasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations at the same time (8 a.m.). The fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations also were determined weekly on tail vein blood.

Euglycemic clamp study. Whole-body glucose uptake was measured in awake, unstressed, chronically catheterized rats using the euglycemic clamp in combination with [3-3H,U-14C]glucose infusion as previously described (32–36). Briefly, 5 wk after pancreatectomy or sham pancreatectomy, rats were anesthetized with an injection of phenobarbital (50 mg/kg body wt i.p.) and indwelling catheters were inserted in the right internal jugular vein and in the left carotid artery. The venous catheter was extended to the level of the right atrium and the arterial catheter was advanced to the level of the aortic arch (32–36). 1 wk after catheter placement (6 wk after pancreatectomy or sham-operation) rats received an infusion of insulin at 18 mU/kg·min for 2 h. A variable infusion of 25% glucose solution was started at time 0 and adjusted to clamp the plasma glucose concentration at ~6 mM (euglycemic clamp), ~11.5 mM (+5.5 mM hyperglycemic clamp), or ~17 mM (+11 mM hyperglycemic clamp). A prime-continuous infusion of [3-3H,U-14C]glucose (New England Nuclear, Boston, MA) was initiated at time 0 and continued throughout the study (32-36). Eight additional clamp studies were performed in control rats with infusion of [3-3H]glucose and [U-14C]lactate (n = 4, +11 mM) or [6-3H,U-14C]glucose (n = 4, +5.5 mM). Five control and five diabetic 24-h fasted rats received a saline infusion in combination with [3-3H]glucose and [U-14C]lactate infusions, to allow us the measurement of basal hepatic glucose production and gluconeogenesis. Plasma samples for determinations of [3H, 14C]lactate, [14C]lactate, and tritiated water specific activities were obtained at 5–10-min intervals throughout the insulin clamp study. Plasma samples for determination of plasma insulin concentrations were obtained at time 30, 0, 60, 90, and 120 min during the study. The total volume of blood withdrawn was <3.0 ml per study. To prevent volume depletion and anemia, insulin was diluted in a solution (1:1 vol/vol) of ~4 ml of fresh blood (obtained by heart puncture from a littermate of the test animal) and heparinized saline (10 U/ml). At the end of the 120-min study rats were injected with phenobarbital (60 mg/kg body wt), the abdomen was quickly opened, samples of portal vein blood and urines were collected, and the liver, abdominal rectus, and pancreas were removed. Tissues were frozen with aluminum plates precooled in liquid nitrogen. All tissue samples were kept frozen at ~80°C for subsequent analysis. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio.

Whole-body glucose uptake. Data for total body glucose uptake and suppression of hepatic glucose production represent the mean values during the last 30 min. Residual hepatic glucose production was calculated by subtracting from the rate of total body glucose disposal during each insulin clamp the glucose infusion rate during the same time period. The urinary glucose losses during the +11 mM clamp were 2.2 ± 0.2 µmol/kg·min in diabetic rats and 8.4 ± 1.6 µmol/kg·min in control rats.

Muscle glycogen formation in vivo. Muscle glycogen synthesis was quantitated by using the incorporation of [3-3H]glucosyl or [14C]glucose counts into glycogen, and (only in sham-operated rats) by determining the increment in cold glycogen concentration above fasting levels. The techniques utilized for measuring glycogen concentration and radioactivity are identical to those for muscle glycogen. Coefficients of variation and recovery tests are also superimposable. The fasting glycogen concentration in the liver was measured in a separate group of 30 rats who were treated in an identical fashion. Hepatic glycogen concentration in 24-h fasted control rats was 25.5 ± 0.2 mmol of glucosyl units per kilogram of tissue weight. The increment in glycogen content was subtracted from those obtained in group I at the end of the clamp studies. Hepatic glycogen concentration in 24-h fasted diabetic rats was 121.6 ± 39.4 mmol of glucosyl units per kilogram of tissue weight. The glycogen synthetic rate was obtained by dividing the [3H]glucosyl radioactivity or the [14C]glucosyl radioactivity in glycogen (disintegrations per minute per kilogram of tissue weight) by the liver [3H]UDPGlucose or the [14C]UDPGlucose specific activities (disintegrations per minute per micromole), respectively. To verify that hepatic glycogen synthesis occurs in a linear fashion in diabetic rats, liver glycogen synthesis was also measured in prolonged hyperglycemic clamp studies (up to 5 h) and was shown to be similar to that measured at 2 h.

Liver Glycogen Repletion in Diabetic Rats
Contribution of direct and indirect pathways. When [3-3H]glucose was infused, the contribution of the direct pathway was calculated as the product of the liver glycogen synthetic rate (micromoles of glucose in glycogen per kilogram of body weight) and the factor obtained from the ratio of [3-3H]UDPglucose and [3-3H]glucose (80% portal vein, 20% artery) specific activities (both in disintegrations per minute per micromole). It should be noted that, in this study, the plasma glucose specific activity was virtually identical in the peripheral and in the portal circulation. Therefore, the percent contribution of the portal vein blood flow to the hepatic blood flow, which was reported to be 72–80% by other investigators (2–4, 6), has a minimal impact on the calculation of the direct pathway of liver glycogen synthesis. In these studies, the contribution of the indirect pathway was estimated as the difference between the total liver glycogen synthesis and the calculated contribution of the direct pathway (both in micromoles of glucose in glycogen per kilogram of body weight). When [6-3H]glucose was infused, the maximal contribution of the direct pathway was calculated with the factor obtained from the ratio of [6-3H]UDPglucose and [6-3H]glucose (80% portal vein, 20% artery) specific activities (both in disintegrations per minute per micromole). In the experiments in which [3-3H]glucose and [U-14C]lactate were infused, the gluconogenic pathway was independently (from 3H data) measured as the product of liver glycogen synthetic rate (micromoles of glucose in glycogen per kilogram of body weight) and the factor obtained from the ratio of [14C]UDPglucose and twice the [14C]phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) specific activities (both in disintegrations per minute per micromole). The latter factor provides the percent contribution of the PEP gluconeogenesis to the hepatic glucose-6-phosphate pool and thus to both total glucose output and glycogen synthesis. Total glucose output (TGO) = hepatic glucose production (HGP) + glucose cycling (GC). The ratio of [3-3H]UDPglucose and [3-3H]glucose specific activities measures the percentage of the hepatic glucose-6-phosphate pool which is derived from plasma glucose. Thus, this ratio also measures the percent contribution of glucose cycling to TGO. Therefore, TGO can be calculated from the equation TGO = HGP + GC, by substituting GC with ([3-3H]UDPglucose/[3-3H]glucose activity) x TGO. The gluconeogenic flux to plasma glucose was calculated as [14C]UDPglucose specific activity/2 x [14C]PEP specific activity x TGO.

Analytical procedures. Plasma glucose was measured by the glucose oxidase method (Glucose Analyzer II, Beckman Instruments, Inc., Palo Alto, CA) and plasma insulin by radioimmunoassay using rat and porcine insulin standards. Plasma [3H]glucose radioactivity was measured in duplicate on the supernatants of Ba(OH)2 and ZnSO4 precipitates (Somogyi procedure) of plasma samples after evaporation to dryness to eliminate tritiated water. Plasma [3H]glucose and [14C]lactate radioactivities were measured in duplicate after separation with ion-exchange resins, as previously described (1). Plasma lactate concentrations and liver glucose-6-phosphate concentrations were measured spectrophotometrically as described by Noll (41) and by Michal (42). UDPglucose and PEP concentrations and specific activities in the liver were obtained through two sequential chromatographic separations, by a modification of the method previously described (43). Briefly, the first is performed on a solid-phase, strong anion-exchange cartridge, with the use of phosphate buffers of increasing molarity. This first purification allows to partially separate the two components of interest from all nonpolar and the most of the polar intracellular substrates with optimal recoveries. The second step is a reversed phase, ion pairing HPLC isocratic method, on a C18 column (Fig. 1). In order to provide evidence for the identity and purity of the chromatographic peaks, specific precolumn enzymatic conversions of UDPglucose and PEP were carried out. When these samples were injected into the HPLC system, no interfering peaks were eluted within the retention volumes of both PEP and UDPglucose (43).

Results

General characteristics of the animals. There were no differences in the mean body weights between control and diabetic rats (Table I). Both the fasting (P < 0.05) and post-meal (P < 0.01) plasma glucose concentrations during the 2-wk period before the insulin clamp studies were significantly higher in the diabetic compared to the control group (Table I). The fasting plasma insulin concentrations were similar, whereas the post-meal plasma insulin concentration were significantly diminished in diabetic rats compared to controls (P < 0.01). Fasting free fatty acid and lactate concentrations were also similar in the two groups (Table I). Basal hepatic glucose production (42.5±3.9 vs. 35.5±2.1 μmol/kg·min; P < 0.05), glucose cycling (8.1±0.8 vs. 3.9±0.3 μmol/kg·min; P < 0.01), and PEP gluconeogenesis (39.4 vs. 31.2 μmol/kg·min; P < 0.01) were increased in diabetic compared to control rats.

Insulin clamp studies. Steady-state plasma glucose and insulin concentrations during the insulin clamp studies were similar in the two groups (Table II). Steady-state conditions for both plasma glucose concentration and specific activity were reached within ~30 min (Fig. 2). The coefficient of variation in plasma glucose level was >5% in all studies. Hepatic glucose production was almost completely suppressed during all the clamp studies in both diabetic (3.1±1.6, 2.9±0.6, and 2.8±1.3 μmol/kg·min) and control rats (3.0±1.8, 2.2±0.9, and 2.1±1.0 μmol/kg·min during the euglycemic, +5.5 mM and +11 mM clamp, respectively). During the euglycemic, hyperinsulinemic clamp study, the tissue glucose uptake (Fig. 3 A) was significantly decreased in diabetic compared to control rats (144.4±8.3 vs. 188.9±8.3 μmol/kg·min, P < 0.01). When hyperglycemia (+5.5 mM and +11 mM) was added over hyperin-

Table I. General Characteristics of Control and Diabetic Rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Diabetic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (g)</td>
<td>312±5</td>
<td>302±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose (mM)</td>
<td>5.9±0.2</td>
<td>7.1±0.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma insulin (μU/ml)</td>
<td>31±5</td>
<td>27±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting free fatty acids (μM)</td>
<td>483±51</td>
<td>502±18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma lactate (μM)</td>
<td>541±42</td>
<td>598±68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonfasting plasma glucose (mM)</td>
<td>7.4±0.3</td>
<td>17.9±1.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonfasting plasma insulin (μU/ml)</td>
<td>65±6</td>
<td>37±4*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P < 0.01 vs. controls
sulinenia (Fig. 3 A), the tissue glucose uptake in the diabetic rats, compared to control rats, was again significantly reduced (+5.5 mM—221.7±7.8 vs. 266.8±8.4 μmol/kg·min; +11 mM—276.1±18.3 vs. 352±14.0 μmol/kg·min; diabetic vs. control rats, respectively). However, the increment in glucose uptake above the euglycemic condition, during the +5.5 mM or the +11 mM hyperglycemic clamp studies, were similar in diabetic vs. control rats (+5.5 mM—77.3±7.8 vs. +77.9±8.4 μmol/ kg·min; +11 mM—131.7±18.3 vs. 162.9±14.0 μmol/ kg·min; P = NS).

**Muscle glycogen synthesis.** After the 24-h fast, the muscle glycogen concentration was similar in the control (30.1±0.2 mmol/kg tissue wt) compared to the diabetic (29.4±0.2 mmol/kg tissue wt) group. The determination of the rate of net muscle glycogen synthesis from the tracer incorporation in glycogen and from the increment in cold glycogen concentration, gave similar results (32–36). Fig. 3 B shows the results estimated from the increment in the cold glycogen concentration. During the euglycemic clamp, the increment in muscle glycogen concentration from fasting level in the diabetic rats was only 45% of that obtained in control rats (9.2±0.7 vs. 20.7±2.0 mmol/kg tissue wt, diabetic vs. control rats, respectively; P < 0.001). Net muscle glycogen synthesis was significantly stimulated during all the clamp studies in both groups (Fig. 3 B), but was severely impaired in diabetic animals compared to controls (euglycemia—30.6±2.2 vs. 69.0±6.7 μmol/kg·min; +5 mM—81.1±6.7 vs. 125.1±16.0 μmol/kg·min; +11 mM—111.1±8.3 vs. 166±12.0 μmol/kg·min; diabetic vs. control rats, respectively; P < 0.01 or less). In the hyperglycemic studies, the increase in muscle glycogen synthesis above that observed during the euglycemic clamp was similar in the two groups (+5.5 mM—15.1±2.0 vs. +16.8±4.8 mmol/kg tissue wt; +11 mM—24.1±2.5 vs. +29.1±3.6 mmol/kg tissue wt; diabetic vs. control rats, respectively, P = NS).

**Whole-body glycolytic flux.** During the hyperinsulinemic, euglycemic clamp studies, the whole-body glycolysis in control rats represented 52.9% of the whole-body glucose uptake, whereas it was 65.4% in the diabetic rats. However, the total
glycolytic flux (Fig. 3 C) was similar in the two groups (94.4±8.3 vs. 100±7.8 μmol/kg·min; diabetic vs. control rats, respectively; P = NS). When hyperglycemia was added over hyperinsulinemia, whole-body glycolysis was not significantly increased in either group. Tritiated water specific activity was also measured in liver, kidney, skeletal muscle, and urine at the end of the studies (n=4 for each glycemic level). The highest specific activity was detected in skeletal muscle and the lowest in urine and kidney in all studies. This suggests that skeletal muscle is the major site of glycolysis under the present experimental conditions.

Liver glycogen synthesis. In control rats, the rates of liver glycogen synthesis derived from the tracers (ratio of the rate of accumulation of $^3$H or $^{14}$C radioactivity in liver glycogen over $[^3]$H- or $[^{14}]$CUDPG specific activities) and from the increment in the cold glycogen concentration gave similar results. On the contrary, the rate of liver glycogen synthesis cannot be accurately calculated from the increment in the cold glycogen concentration in diabetic rats, owing to the large variability in the basal hepatic glycogen concentration after the 24-h fast. Thus, the tracer-derived rates of liver glycogen synthesis are shown in Fig. 4. During the euglycemic, hyperinsulinemic clamp, the rates of liver glycogen synthesis were not statistically different from zero in both groups. However, during hyperglycemia, liver glycogen synthesis was significantly and equally stimulated in the two groups (+5.5 mM—442±31 and 409±30 μmol/kg tissue wt·min; +11 mM—598±42 and 514±45 μmol/kg tissue wt·min; control and diabetic rats, respectively).

**Pathways of liver glycogen synthesis.** The contribution of the direct and indirect pathway to liver glycogen repletion in control rats was evaluated by three independent means (Fig. 5). In a series of studies, $[3-^3]$Hglucose and $[U-^{14}]$C lactate were infused (n=4) and the ratio of the specific activities between $^3$H-labeled hepatic UDPglucose and portal vein plasma glucose furnished a measure of the direct pathway, while the ratio of specific activities between $^{14}$C-labeled hepatic UDPglucose and PEP measured the percent contribution of the indirect pathway. As shown in Table III, the sum of the contribution of the direct pathway, as calculated from the tritiated UDPglucose specific activity, and of the indirect pathway, calculated from the $[^{14}]$CUDPG/$[^{14}]$CPEP specific activities ratio, accounted for ~93% of the overall liver glycogen synthesis in all studies. The contribution of glucose cycling and PEP gluconeogenesis to overall glucose output can also be calculated from the data in Table III. The hepatic glucose production was 2.5±0.3 μmol/kg·min in this subset of rats; the glucose cycling was not different from basal (4.2±0.4 vs. 3.9±0.3 μmol/kg·min) and the PEP gluconeogenesis was 2.1 μmol/kg·min. The ratio of portal vein plasma lactate and hepatic PEP specific activities provides a measure of the dilution of the $^{14}$C label in the oxalacetate pool, and was 1.76 (n=4). In separate experiments, $[3-^3]$H,$[U-^{14}]$C glucose was infused, and a minimal estimation of the contribution of the indirect pathway to glycogen repletion was obtained by comparing the ratio of the relative specific activities of $^3$H- and $^{14}$C-labeled UDPglucose and portal vein plasma glucose while the contribution of the direct pathway was calculated from the ratio of specific activities of $^3$H-labeled UDPglucose and plasma glucose. The minimal esti-
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Table IV. Substrate Specific Activities of [6-3H]- and [3-3H]Glucose Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>[3H]UDP glucose</th>
<th>[6H]glucose</th>
<th>%Dir</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[6-3H] no. 1</td>
<td>8.58</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[6-3H] no. 2</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>51.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[6-3H] no. 3</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>55.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[6-3H] no. 4</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[6-3H] mean</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>53.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3-3H] mean</td>
<td>8.04±0.57</td>
<td>15.7±2.8</td>
<td>51.2±4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: %DIR percent direct pathway, calculated as the ratio of [3H]UDPglucose and [6H]glucose. These results were obtained at the end of the hyperglycemic (+5.5 mM) hyperinsulinemic clamp studies.

formation of the indirect pathway obtained by the relative specific activities of 3H- and 14C-labeled UDPglucose and portal vein plasma glucose was 59.3±5.2 at +5.5 mM and 50.3±4.7 at +11 mM plasma glucose in diabetic rats, and 39.4±2.9 at +5.5 mM and 31.8±2.8 at +11 mM plasma glucose in diabetic rats. In a separate group of control rats (n = 4), a maximal estimate of the direct pathway was also calculated with the infusion of [6-3H,U-14C]glucose (Table IV). The direct pathway of liver glycogen formation was significantly less stimulated in diabetic rats during both the hyperglycemic clamp studies (+5.5 mM—32±5% vs. 51±4%; +11 mM—38±3% vs. 63±4%; diabetic vs. control rats, P < 0.01). Fig. 5 depicts the contribution of the two pathways. [3-3H]UDPglucose specific activity in skeletal muscle was similar to peripheral plasma glucose specific activity in all the studies.

Concentrations of glucose-6-phosphate and UDPglucose in the liver. The glucose-6-phosphate concentrations (Fig. 6 A) measured in liver homogenates collected at the end of the 120-min euglycemic clamp studies, were not statistically different between the two groups (182±14 and 196±20 μmol/kg tissue wt, control and diabetic rats, respectively). At the end of both the hyperglycemic clamp studies the glucose-6-phosphate concentration was markedly and significantly increased, when compared with euglycemia, in both groups (+5.5 mM—316±24 and 347±34 μmol/kg tissue wt; +11 mM—326±31 and 325±43 μmol/kg tissue wt, control and diabetic rats, respectively). Liver UDPglucose concentrations (Fig. 6 B) at the end of the 120-min euglycemic clamp studies were similar between the two groups (285±24 and 266±23 μmol/kg tissue wt, control and diabetic rats, respectively). However, when hyperglycemia was added over hyperinsulinemia, liver UDPglucose concentrations were markedly and progressively decreased in control rats (+5.5 mM—163±19 μmol/kg tissue wt; +11 mM—113±29 μmol/kg tissue wt), whereas in diabetic rats the hepatic UDPglucose concentration was unchanged during the +5.5 mM (326±22 μmol/kg tissue wt) and was significantly decreased only during the +11 mM hyperglycemic clamp (214±27 μmol/kg tissue wt; P < 0.05 vs. euglycemia). At both hyperglycemic levels the hepatic UDPglucose concentration was twofold increased in diabetic compared to control rats (P < 0.01).

Discussion

In the present study we examined the effect of hyperglycemia, under identical conditions of hyperinsulinemia, on the major pathways of glucose metabolism in conscious control and diabetic rats.

As previously reported (32, 34, 35), under euglycemic hyperinsulinemic conditions, diabetic rats demonstrate a major defect in skeletal muscle glycogen deposition, which completely accounts for the reduction in insulin-mediated whole-body glucose metabolism. When hyperglycemia was added over hyperinsulinemia, glycolysis was not further stimulated in either group, whereas muscle glycogen synthesis was markedly increased. This is consistent with the notion that, when the effect of hyperglycemia on glucose disposal is evaluated at high insulin concentrations, it determines a selective stimulation of glycogen synthesis, although the glycolytic pathway is not further increased over the level achieved with hyperinsulinemia alone (44). Diabetic rats displayed a significant decrease in insulin-mediated glucose uptake and in muscle glycogen synthesis at all the plasma glucose concentrations examined. However, the increment in skeletal muscle glycogen deposition induced by the increase in blood glucose concentration was similar in diabetic compared to control rats. This suggests that, in diabetic rats, under conditions of identical hyperinsulinemia, the stimulatory effect of hyperglycemia on the whole-body glucose disposal and on muscle glycogen synthesis is normal. The mass effect of hyperglycemia on overall and skeletal muscle glucose metabolism in the diabetic state has been examined by several investigators (44–53). Baron et al. (46) showed that the mass effect of hyperglycemia is preserved in insulin-resistant individuals. A similar conclusion was reached by the forearm balance technique by Capaldo et al. (47), who suggested that the mass effect of hyperglycemia on forearm glucose
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uptake in non-insulin-dependent diabetics is actually increased and compensates for insulin resistance, and by Revers et al. (48) and Henry et al. (49) at the whole-body level.

Over the last several years, numerous studies have suggested that, when a glucose load is administered to animals (7–20) or humans (21–24), a large portion of the liver glycogen is repleted by the indirect pathway. This issue has been addressed by several isotopic approaches, mainly based upon the determination of glucose in the indirect pathway, or the determination of the randomization of labeling carbons in UDPglucose (extracted from urine glycoconjugates) or glucosyl units in glycogen. In the present study, the contribution of the two pathways to liver glycogen repletion has been determined from the specific activities of hepatic UDPglucose and PEP, measured by HPLC (43). In this study, the contribution of the direct pathway to hepatic glycogen synthesis during the +5.5 mM and the +11 mM hyperglycemic clamp studies was severely decreased in diabetic compared to control rats (32% vs. 51% and 38% vs. 63%, respectively). Moreover, the net rate of liver glycogen synthesis was normal in diabetic rats and the absolute gluconeogenic flux to glycogen was markedly increased. These findings suggest that gluconeogenesis is the predominant mechanism by which diabetic rats replete their hepatic glycogen stores and may compensate for the decreased direct incorporation of glucose into glycogen. However, it should be noted that the contribution of the pathways to liver glycogen repletion may vary as a function of the plasma insulin concentration and of the route of glucose administration (2).

The specific activity of UDPglucose will reflect the specific activity of the newly formed glycogen and measures the contribution of the direct pathway to liver glycogen repletion at a specific time point. A major advantage of this method is its independence from the basal glycogen concentration. This is particularly important when evaluating hepatic glycogen synthesis in diabetic rats, in that they present high and variable glycogen concentrations even after 24–48 h fast (54, 55). The choice of the tracers serves some comments. The ideal tracer for the determination of the direct pathway of liver glycogen repletion would be the one whose label is retained only in the reactions glucose → glucose-6-phosphate → glucose-1-phosphate → UDPglucose → glycogen, and lost in water in every other pathway. The two tracers that better respond to these criteria are [3-3H]glucose and [6-3H]glucose (11, 12, 14, 15, 17–24, 56–72). The [6-3H]glucose carbon skeleton, however, retains a small percentage of the tritium label during its metabolism through glycolysis and in the tricarboxylic acid cycle. Thus, with the use of this tracer, the direct pathway of liver glycogen repletion may be overestimated. This may represent a problem particularly if a portion of the indirect pathway is intrahepatic and if the extent of this tracer error is predicted to be different in the experimental groups, i.e., diabetic state. [3-3H]Glucose loses completely the label in its passage through glycolysis. However, a small percentage of [3-3H]glucose may also enter the pentose phosphate pathway, undergo degradation at the ribulose-5-phosphate level, and reenter in the glucose-6-phosphate pool (56, 57). In fact, for each molecule of [3-3H]glucose that enters the pentose phosphate pathway (generating three CO₂ and one glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate), about two other converted molecules of [3-3H]glucose-6-phosphate may reenter the glucose-6-phosphate pool and therefore dilute its specific activity. Thus, this tracer will determine an underestimation of the direct pathway that is in direct proportion to the rate of the pentose phosphate cycle. Because the pentose phosphate cycle has been shown to be negligible in these experimental conditions (24) and, perhaps more importantly, it has been reported to be normal or decreased in diabetic animals (57, 72), this isotopic approach allows a reliable measure of the pathways of liver glycogen repletion in diabetic compared to control animals. Since the [6-3H]glucose provides a maximal and [3-3H]glucose provides a minimal estimate of the direct pathway of liver glycogen repletion, we compared these two tracers in a subgroup of control rats. During hyperglycemic (+5.5 mM) clamp studies the contribution of the direct pathway to liver glycogen synthesis was 54% with the [6-3H]glucose and 51% with the [3-3H]glucose (Table IV), suggesting that both tracer “errors” mentioned above are quantitatively negligible.

In order to validate our estimation of the indirect pathway, we performed additional hyperglycemic insulin clamp studies with [3-3H]glucose and [U-14C]lactate infusions, and both liver UDPglucose and PEP specific activities were measured. With this methodology, the direct and indirect pathways of liver glycogen repletion could be independently measured. The sum of liver glycogen repletion through the PEP gluconeogenic pathway and the direct pathway accounted for 93% of the total glycogen synthesis, thus suggesting that, in these experimental conditions, the sum of the pentose phosphate pathway and the non-PEP gluconeogenesis represent <7% of the glycogenic flux. The results obtained with the infusion of [U-14C]lactate, by which a minimal estimate of the contribution of the indirect pathway can be calculated, seems to further validate the present findings.

Our results confirm that in the postabsorptive state the indirect pathway of hepatic glycogen repletion plays a predominant role (7–24). In control rats, the per cent contribution of the direct pathway to hepatic glycogen repletion was 13% and 51% during the euglycemic and the +5.5 mM hyperglycemic insulin clamp studies respectively, in close agreement with previous results obtained, under identical experimental conditions, by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (7). As suggested by Newgard et al. (12), Lang et al. (14), and Shulman et al. (7), the relative contribution of the direct pathways in control rats is mainly determined by the plasma glucose concentration and by the rates of hepatic glucose uptake.

Our experimental design allows the evaluation of the pathways of hepatic glycogen synthesis under identical hyperglycemic and hyperinsulinemic conditions in diabetic compared to control rats. Interestingly, diabetic rats, despite a reduced contribution of the direct pathway to liver glycogen synthesis, maintained similar rates of hepatic glycogen formation. Since glucose-6-phosphate can be formed in the liver either by the direct phosphorylation of glucose or via the gluconeogenic pathway, this may suggest that, in diabetic rats, the increased gluconeogenic flux in the glucose-6-phosphate pool may compensate for the decreased direct uptake of glucose. Recent studies suggest the presence of an homeostatic mechanism which regulates hepatic glucose production in normal dogs (73) and humans (74, 75). Our findings suggest that a similar process may be involved in the modulation of hepatic glycogen repletion as well, and that this proposed homeostatic mechanism may be acting at the level of the glucose-6-phosphate pool. In fact, in the present study, consistent with a previous report by
Newgard et al. (76), in normal rats the presence of hyperglycemia determines a significant decrease in the intrahepatic UDPglucose concentration, and a gradual increase in the glucose-6-phosphate concentration. These results suggest that, under hyperinsulinemic conditions, hyperglycemia activates glycogen synthase, which in turn diverts glucose-6-phosphate into liver glycogen, the so called “pull” mechanism. Since the glucose-6-phosphate concentration does not mirror the fall in the UDPglucose concentration, a simultaneous inhibition of the glucose-6-phosphatase by insulin and/or glucose may also play a role in the observed changes in the intrahepatic substrate concentrations. By contrast, in diabetic rats, despite normal liver glycogen synthesis, the activity of the enzyme glycogen synthase is presumably reduced. In fact, the hepatic glucose-6-phosphate concentration was similar to controls, but the UDPglucose concentration was markedly increased, therefore maintaining high carbon flux through the glycogen synthetic pathway, by a “push” mechanism. It is tempting to speculate that the simultaneous increase in the gluconeogenic flux may be involved in this compensatory adjustment. The apparent decrease in glycogen synthase activity in diabetic rats may be related to the increased basal glycogen observed in this group. However, we could not demonstrate any correlation between the basal glycogen concentration and either the rate of glycogen deposition or the UDPG concentration.

Several factors may determine increased gluconeogenic flux to glycogen in diabetic rats. First, the gluconeogenic flux may be increased as a consequence of the reduced direct phosphorylation of glucose, in keeping with a homeostatic mechanism regulating the pathways of hepatic glycogen repletion. However, it is also possible that a primary activation of the gluconeogenic pathway would increase its flux to UDPglucose and glycogen and secondarily determine a reduction in the direct pathway. Glycogenemia may be stimulated by an increase in plasma glucagon or free fatty acid (FFA) concentration. However, although plasma glucagon and FFA concentrations were not measured in the present study, we have previously shown that this diabetic rat model presents normal basal and postinsulin plasma glucagon and FFA concentrations (36, 54). Additionally, the increment in plasma glucagon and FFA concentration would be expected to simultaneously stimulate gluconeogenesis and decrease the net glycogen synthesis (77–81). A potential mechanism underlying the increased indirect pathway of glycogen repletion in diabetic rats is an increased supply of gluconeogenic precursors. Although the plasma concentration of alanine and glycerol were not measured in the present study, the plasma lactate concentration and the whole-body glycolytic flux were similar in diabetic and control rats. However, we cannot exclude that an increased hepatic delivery of gluconeogenic precursors may contribute to the preferential increase in the indirect pathway. Finally, the alteration in the pathways of hepatic glycogen formation may be the consequence of the chronic hypoinsulinemia, through the activation of the gluconeogenic enzymes (29, 82, 83) and the decrease in glucokinase activity (84).

The present study provides a new quantitative approach to the measurement of the pathways of liver glycogen repletion in conscious rats. This methodology is particularly useful in conditions associated with high basal hepatic glycogen concentration, such as the fed or the diabetic states. Our data suggest that the glucose concentration is the major determinant of the relative contribution of the direct pathway to liver glycogen repletion in both control and diabetic rats. However, the presence of prolonged moderate hyperglycemia and hypoinsulinemia determines a marked reduction in the ability of glucose to stimulate the direct pathway in this diabetic rat model. Nevertheless, the rates of hepatic glycogen synthesis were similar in diabetic and control rats, when measured at equal hyperglycemic and hyperinsulinemic levels. The increased gluconeogenic flux and a “push” mechanism for hepatic glycogen synthesis in diabetic rats may reconcile this apparent paradox. In conclusion, these results suggest that in the diabetic state a chronic activation of the carbon flux through the gluconeogenic pathway can promote glucose production or glycogen synthesis dependent on the hormonal and metabolic signal presented to the liver.
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