Entactin Stimulates Neutrophil Adhesion and Chemotaxis through Interactions between Its Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) Domain and the Leukocyte Response Integrin
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Abstract

Entactin is an integral component of basement membranes that plays a major role in basement membrane assembly through its ability to bind avidly to both laminin and type IV collagen. Because neutrophil (PMN) interactions with entactin have not been examined, we investigated the ability of natural and recombinant entactin to mediate PMN adhesion and chemotaxis. With both forms of entactin, we observed that entactin-coated surfaces promoted PMN adhesion and that entactin stimulated PMN chemotaxis. The increase in adhesion to entactin over control was two to threefold whereas the chemotactic response to 15 ng/ml (1 × 10⁻¹⁰ M) entactin was equivalent to the chemotactic response elicited with 1 × 10⁻⁸ M fMLP. HL-60 cells, after differentiation with dimethylsulfoxide, also demonstrated adhesion and chemotaxis to entactin. A synthetic peptide of the Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) domain in entactin, SIGFRGDBGQC (S-RGD), mediated PMN adhesion and chemotaxis, and preexposure of PMN to S-RGD blocked PMN adhesion and chemotaxis induced by entactin without diminishing the adhesive and chemotactic activities of fMLP. In contrast, preexposure to peptides SIGFRGEGQTC or SIGFKGDGQTC had no effect. The findings with synthetic peptides were confirmed with a recombinant entactin mutant in which aspartic acid at residue 674 was replaced with glutamic acid, thus converting the RGD sequence of entactin to RGE. RGE-entactin was neither adhesive nor chemotactic for neutrophils. Monoclonal antibodies to the leukocyte response integrin (LRI) and the integrin-associated protein blocked entactin-mediated adhesion and chemotaxis whereas monoclonal antibodies to β₁ and β₂ integrins had no effect and PMN from an individual with leukocyte-adhesion deficiency adhered normally to entactin-coated surfaces. These data demonstrate that entactin mediates biologically and pathologically important functions of PMN through its RGD domain and that LRI, which has been shown previously to mediate RGD-stimulated phagocytosis, is also capable of mediating RGD-stimulated PMN adhesion and chemotaxis. (J. Clin. Invest. 1992.90:2251–2257.) Key words: basement membrane • inflammation • phagocytosis • HL-60 cells • site-directed mutagenesis

Introduction

Acute inflammation is a complex response to local injury or infection (1). The hallmark of acute inflammation is the rapid emigration of (PMNs) from the vasculature into the site of injury or infection. An early, critical event in the acute inflammatory response is adhesion of PMN to the endothelium at the site of inflammation. PMN-endothelial cell adhesion is mediated by specific plasma membrane molecules on both cell types and is markedly regulated by numerous protein and lipid factors specifically synthesized at sites of inflammation or infection (2, 3). Because PMN-endothelial adhesion is necessary for the development of the host response, it has been the focus of intense investigation (4, 5). Inhibitors of PMN adhesion to endothelium have shown a marked protective effect in experimentally induced acute inflammation in vivo (6).

Less well defined have been the events subsequent to PMN-endothelial cell adhesion, involving diapedesis of PMN through the endothelial basement membrane into the underlying tissue. Recent studies suggest that emigrating PMN cause focal disruption of the basement membrane, however, the mechanisms for producing the defects are obscure since inhibitors of neutrophil proteinases and endoglycosidases are without effect (7).

Previous investigations have shown that at least two components of the basement membrane, laminin and type IV collagen, stimulate PMN chemotaxis, adhesion, and enhanced phagocytosis of IgG opsonized targets (8–14). Entactin is a more recently described basement membrane protein that binds to both type IV collagen and laminin and to cells (15–17). Interactions between PMN and entactin have not been investigated. In the present report we demonstrate that entactin promotes PMN adhesion and chemotaxis and that both of these effects of entactin involve interactions between the Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD)1 sequence in entactin with the leukocyte response integrin (LRI), a recently described integrin (10). Monoclonal antibodies to the β₁ and β₂ integrins do not diminish the adhesive or chemotactic activity of entactin, and PMN that lack β₂ integrin expression adhere normally to entactin-coated surfaces. These findings suggest that interactions between PMN and entactin could be an important event in PMN passage through endothelial basement membranes.

1. Abbreviations used in this paper: E, erythrocytes; fMLP, formylmethionyl-leucyl-phenylalanine; hpg, high power grid; IAP, integrin-associated protein; LAD, leukocyte adhesion deficiency; LRI, leukocyte response integrin; RGD, Arg-Gly-Asp; RGE-entactin, mutant recombinant entactin in which aspartic acid at residue 674 has been replaced with glutamic acid; S-RGD, SIGFRGDBGQTC; S-RGE, SIGFRGEGQTC; S-KGD, SIGFKGDGQTC; S99 cells, Spodoptera frugiperda cells.
Methods

Cells. PMN were isolated from peripheral venous blood of healthy adult volunteers and one individual with leukocyte-adhesion deficiency (LAD) using Ficoll-Hypaque. HL-60 cells were purchased from the American Type Tissue Collection (Rockville, MD) and maintained in RPMI supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Tissue Culture Support Center, Washington University Medical Center, St. Louis, MO). To promote neutrophil differentiation, HL-60 cells were incubated with 1.3% (vol/vol) dimethylsulfoxide for 48 to 72 hr (18, 19).

Entactin, SIGFRGDGGQTCS (S-RGD) and related peptides, and for-myl-methionyl-leucyl-phenylalanine (fMLP). Entactin was purified from M1356-B3 extracellular matrix preparations (20). Recombinant entactin was obtained from the baculovirus system previously described (21). S-RGD was synthesized by Multiple Peptide Sys. (San Diego, CA). SIGFRGDGGQTCS (S-RGD'), SIGFRGEGGQTCA (S-RGE), and SIGFKGDGGQTCA (S-KGD) were synthesized using 9-fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl chemistry and the Ramps system (Du Pont Co., Wilmington, DE) (22). Peptides were purified by reverse phase HPLC after synthesis. Both S-RGD and S-RGD' were used in all experiments involving S-RGE or S-KGD and their effects were indistinguishable. fMLP was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO).

RGE-entactin mutant. The single RGD sequence of entactin is located at amino acid residues 672–674 (23). An RGE-entactin mutant (RGE-entactin) was made in which the aspartic acid at residue 674 was replaced with glutamic acid (D→E). The mutagenic oligonucleotide was 5′ AGT CGT CCC CTC TGC GAA 3′. Site-directed mutagenesis was performed as described (24–26). The blueprints cDNA clone E663 containing nucleotides 1,661–3,520 of the entactin sequence and which coded for the RGD peptide (23) was transformed into a dcut ung” Escherichia coli strain CJ236 (a gift from Dr. Duncan Groebe and also available from Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, CA). The transformed CJ236 bacteria were grown in the presence of an excess amount of uracil to generate uracil containing single-stranded DNA template. The exponentially growing bacteria were infected with the helper phage M13KO7 (Bio-Rad Laboratories) at MOI 20 to package the single-stranded phagemid DNA. After 1 h of infection, kanamycin was added to the culture medium and incubation continued over-night. The phage particles were collected by polyethylene glycol/NaCl precipitation (27). To check the level of uracil incorporation into the single-stranded DNA, the titer of the phage preparation was determined on both CJ236 (dut ung”) and JM109 (dut ung”) by following previously described procedures (26). A plaque ratio $\geq 10^{14}$ indicates that enough uracil has been incorporated into the DNA templates.

The mutagenic oligomers were first phosphorylated using polynu-cleotide kinase (Bethesda Research Laboratories, Gaithersburg, MD) and then annealed to the single-stranded templates over a period of 30 min. For the second-strand synthesis, the oligomer annealed to the template was extended with the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase (Bethesda Research Laboratories) and the ends were ligated using T4 DNA ligase (Bethesda Research Laboratories). The resultant hetero-duplex was subsequently transformed into JM109 (dut ung”), and the mutants were screened by DNA sequence analysis using the di-deoxy chain-termination method.

The mutant forms of E663 were sequentially restricted with Sphl and HindIII to yield a 3.8-kbp fragment that included the blueprints vector sequence and a segment derived from entactin which contained the mutant sequence. This fragment was isolated by electrophoresis on an agarose gel. An Sphl–Sacl fragment was removed from a previously described full-length cDNA clone of entactin in blueprints (20) and ligated to the 3.8-kbp mutant fragment to generate a new vector. An Nco1–NcoI fragment of this new vector, which contained the mutated sequences, was isolated. This fragment was then used to replace the corresponding fragment in the recombinant baculovirus transfer vec-tor PAcEn (20), thereby generating the mutant entactin sequence in the transfer vector.

2.5 x 10⁴ Spodoptera frugiperda cells (SF9) (20) were cotransfected with 12 µg of a 1:5 mixture of baculovirus DNA and the mutant PAcEn. The procedures were as described by Tsao et al. (20), except that for the transfection Lipofectin (Bethesda Research Laboratories) was used instead of calcium phosphate (28). After 7 d of culture, the cul-ture media were collected and screened for the recombinant virus (20, 28). Briefly, SF9 cells were seeded in 96-well microtiter plates and serially diluted virus was added to the wells. After 7 d of culture, the media were saved and cells lysed and blotted onto nitrocellulose membrane using a dot blot apparatus (28). The blots were then hybridized to a 32P-cDNA entactin probe. The media from positive clones were diluted and used for the next round of screening.

SF9 monolayers were infected with culture medium containing re-combinant virus and grown for 3 d. Cells were then harvested and rinsed briefly in PBS containing protease inhibitors. Cell pellets were resuspended in 0.25% Chaps in PBS, vortexed, and incubated on ice for 1 h. The mixture was centrifuged and the resulting pellet resuspended by vortexing in 6 M urea, 50 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 2 mM EDTA, and 2 mM DTT plus protease inhibitors. Entactin was extracted from the mixture by stirring overnight at 4°C. The insoluble materials were re-moved by centrifugation and the supernatant solution was collected and further purified by gel electrophoresis (20). Silver stain of RGE-entactin subjected to PAGE revealed a single band at 150 KD.

Antibodies. Monoclonal antibodies B6H12, directed against the in-TEGRIN-associated protein (1AP), and 7G2, directed against β₃ integrins were prepared as previously described (10). 1A15 and 4B4, anti-β₁, beta-chain integrin monoclonal antibodies, were obtained from Dr. Martin Hemler, Dana-Farber Institute, Boston, MA and Coulter Corp., Hialeah, FL, respectively. Monoclonal antibody 1B4 directed against β₃ integrins (29) was prepared as described (30).

Chemoattract assay. Chemotaxis was performed using modified Boyden chambers and a dual-filter system, consisting of 2-µm polycarbonate filters (Nuclepore, Pleasanton, CA) overlying 0.45-µm cellulose nitrate filters (Millipore Corp., Bedford, MA), as described previously (12). After fixation and staining, the membrane pairs were evaluated by light microscopy, X400, for the number of cells that migrated through the upper membrane per high power grid (hpg) during a 40-min incubation. Each assay was run in triplicate. Positive controls con-sisted of fMLP at 1 x 10⁻⁸ M in the lower compartment; negative controls consisted of media only in the lower compartment. Results are reported as net cells, that is, cell counts corrected for the negative control.

Adhesion assay. PMN adhesion was assayed in 72-well Terasaki plates (Nunc HLA plates 76511; Thomas Scientific, Swedesboro, NJ) using a modification of the procedure described by Wright et al. (31). Wells were filled with 5 µl of PBS alone or 5 µl of PBS with one of the following: 2.5 µg HSA, 25% (Armour Pharmaceutical Company, Kan-NSe, IL); fMLP, 1 x 10⁻⁸ M; entactin, 2.5 µg; S-RGD; 1 x 10⁻⁷ M; or fibrinogen, 2.5 µg. The plates were incubated for 60 min at room temperature, washed with cold PBS, put on ice, and used immediately. PMN were suspended at 2 x 10⁴ cells in Dulbecco's PBS containing human serum albumin, 0.5 mg/ml, 3 mM glucose and incubated for 15 min at 37°C. For studies involving either antibodies or PMN desen-sitization with entactin, S-RGD, S-RGE, or S-KGD, PMN were incu-bated for an additional 15 min at room temperature. 5 µl of PMN cell suspension was added to each well after which the plates were incubated for 30 min on ice and then for 30 min at 37°C. The plates were washed by dipping twice in PBS, covering with paraffin, inverting on a plate holder (PN 11065 carrier; Sorvall Instruments Div., Du Pont Co., Newton, CT) and spinning at 600 rpm in a refrigerated centrifuge (RT 6000; Sorvall Instruments) for 1.5 min. The liquid in each well was removed by blotting and then glutaraldehyde, 2.5% (vol/vol), was added to each well for 30 min. The glutaraldehyde was removed and the wells washed twice with distilled water and left filled with distilled water. The adherent cells in each well were quantified by phase-con-trast microscopy. Six wells were assayed for each parameter assessed.

Phagocytosis assay. The effect of entactin-derived peptides on fi-brinogen-stimulated phagocytosis was determined by a fluid-phase as-say as described (10, 32). Sheep erythrocytes (E) were obtained from
Whittaker Bioproducts (Walkersville, MD). Opsonized E (ElgG) were prepared as described using a 1:50 dilution of rabbit IgG anti-E (Diamex Corp., Miami, FL). PMN were suspended in HBSS containing 4.2 mM NaHCO₃, 10 mM Hepes, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 1.5 mM CaCl₂, and 1% ovalbumin, pH 7.4. PMN (1.0 × 10⁵) were incubated with 12.5 μg of either entactin peptide, S-RGD, or a control peptide, peptide 32, in the presence of 5,000 U of catalase for 15 min at room temperature in a volume of 50 μl. Without washing, 50 μl of either buffer or the indicated concentrations of human fibrinogen (American Diagnostica Inc., Greenwich, CT) diluted in buffer was added and the mixture was incubated with 15 μl of ElgG (5 × 10⁷ E) for 30 min at 37°C. The noningested E were lysed with 0.83% (wt/vol) ammonium chloride. Phagocytosis was assessed by light microscopy and quantified as a phagocytic index, the number of ElgG ingested/100 PMN.

Results

Entactin mediates PMN adhesion and chemotaxis. Entactin-coated surfaces promoted a two- to threefold increase in PMN adhesion compared with uncoated surfaces or surfaces coated with HSA (Fig. 1). Entactin from M1536-B3 extracellular matrix was indistinguishable from recombinant entactin (data not shown). Both recombinant and M1536-B3 entactins also stimulated neutrophil chemotaxis, with a peak response at a concentration of 15 ng/ml (1 × 10⁻¹⁰ M) (Fig. 2). The cell migration to either type of entactin preparation was equivalent to the chemotactic response elicited with the optimal chemotactic concentration of fMLP, 1 × 10⁻⁸ M. “Checkerboard analysis” confirmed that PMN migration to entactin was a chemotactic response (Table I). The directed migration to entactin was specific because preincubation of PMN with excess entactin blocked chemotaxis to entactin but not to fMLP.

Differentiated HL-60 cells show adhesion and chemotaxis to entactin. Native HL-60 cells did not exhibit adhesion or chemotaxis to entactin or fMLP; however, after 72 h of incubation with DMSO, they did demonstrate adhesion (Table II) to both ligands that was comparable to PMN, and they also responded chemotactically to both ligands. The chemotactic results with fMLP replicate observations of others (18).

The RGD domain of entactin mediates PMN adhesion and chemotaxis to entactin. Since S-RGD, which consists of RGD and flanking amino acids in entactin, partially blocks attachment of mouse mammary tumor cells to entactin-coated surfaces (21), we speculated that the S-RGD domain of entactin might also be involved in PMN responses to entactin. As shown in Fig. 1, PMN demonstrated enhanced adhesion to surfaces coated with S-RGD. This finding suggested that the adhesive properties of entactin for PMN might be mediated by the S-RGD domain of entactin. To test this possibility, and to look specifically at the role of the RGD sequence in S-RGD, PMN were preincubated with S-RGD, S-RGE, or S-RGD and then tested for adhesion to entactin. Controls for these observations were cells preincubated with entactin or S-RGD. As shown in Table III, preincubation with S-RGD blocked adhesion to entactin and S-RGD, but preincubation with either S-RGD or S-RGE had no effect on adhesion to entactin or S-RGD. Importantly, none of the preincubations had any effect upon the adhesiveness of the cells to fMLP.

Similar results were obtained in chemotaxis assays. S-RGD was chemotactic for PMN and preincubation of PMN with S-RGD blocked the chemotactic response to entactin, whereas preincubation with S-RGE had no effect (Fig. 3). At concentrations that reduced chemotactic responsiveness to entactin, however, S-RGD had no effect upon the chemotactic responsiveness of the cells to fMLP, indicating that this peptide was not inducing a generalized loss of chemotactic responsiveness.

To further analyze the role of the RGD sequence in entactin in PMN adhesion and chemotaxis to entactin, the RGE-entactin was tested for PMN adhesion and chemotaxis. RGE-entactin did not produce an increase in adhesion over the control, in contrast to the findings described above with entactin purified from M1536-B3 extracellular matrix or recombinant entactin shown in Fig. 1. Similarly, unlike entactin with the RGD sequence, RGE-entactin was not chemotactic (Fig. 4).

Table I. Checkerboard Analysis of the Neutrophil Chemotactic Activity of Entactin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entactin, lower compartment</th>
<th>Entactin, upper compartment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSA</td>
<td>27±4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fMLP</td>
<td>82±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entactin</td>
<td>166±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-RGD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Net cells/hpg±SEM, n = 15; fMLP, 1 × 10⁻⁸ M, net cells = 153±6.
From the results of the adhesion and chemotaxis assays, we conclude that the RGD-containing domain of entactin has a major role in entactin-mediated PMN adhesion and chemotaxis.

**Entactin-mediated PMN adhesion and chemotaxis are independent of the integrin β₁ and β₂ families.** Because the adhesive interaction between entactin and PMN was dependent on the RGD domain of entactin, we reasoned that a member(s) of the integrin family of adhesive receptors was responsible (33–35). To examine this possibility, we investigated the ability of monoclonal antibodies against β₁ integrins to inhibit entactin-mediated adhesion and chemotaxis. Incubation of PMN with two different monoclonal antibodies to the beta subunit of the integrin β₁ family (A1A5 and 4B4) showed no effect upon the capacity of entactin to mediate PMN adhesion and chemotaxis, although these antibodies blocked laminin-stimulated phagocytosis of ElgG, confirming their potency. Similarly, these antibodies did not affect the capacity of S-RGD to mediate adhesion and chemotaxis.

We used two approaches to investigate whether the β₂ family of integrins is involved in PMN adhesion and chemotaxis to entactin. First, we examined PMN from an individual with complete LAD, in a condition in which there is deficient production or maturation of the β chain of β₂ integrins (36). As shown in Table IV, LAD PMN adhered to entactin-coated surfaces like normal PMN, although, as expected, they did not adhere to fibrinogen-coated surfaces since this event requires β₂ integrins (29). Second, we examined the effect of the monoclonal antibody 1B4 on adhesion and chemotaxis to entactin and found that it had no effect.

**The LRI and the IAP mediate PMN adhesion and chemotaxis to entactin.** Recently, a novel integrin has been shown to mediate RGD-stimulated phagocytosis (10). This integrin, designated LRI, has not been assigned to an integrin family, but a monoclonal antibody to the beta chain of β₂ integrins (7G2) inhibits the ability of LRI to amplify the phagocytic activity of RGD-stimulated PMN. We observed that 7G2 inhibited PMN adhesion (Fig. 5) and chemotaxis to entactin with minimal effect upon reducing PMN adhesion to fMLP. An isotype control antibody had no effect on adhesion or chemotaxis to entactin. To further examine the possible role of LRI in mediating entactin responses, we took advantage of the fact that LRI recognizes the RGD sequence within numerous proteins, includ-

---

**Table II. Adhesion of HL-60 Cells before and after 72-h Exposure to DMSO, 1.3% (vol/vol)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface coating</th>
<th>Before DMSO</th>
<th>After DMSO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>21±4</td>
<td>81±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSA, 500 µg/ml</td>
<td>5±2</td>
<td>75±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-RGD, 500 µg/ml</td>
<td>32±8</td>
<td>239±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entactin, 500 µg/ml</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>217±12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mean±SEM of two experiments, n = 12 for each condition.

---

**Figure 3. The effect of preincubation of PMN with RGD-containing peptides upon the PMN chemotactic response to recombinant entactin.** PMN were incubated with 1 × 10⁻⁷ M peptide ( ), S-RGD ( ), S-RGE ( ) or medium only (○) for 15 min at room temperature, washed, and tested for chemotactic activity to entactin or fMLP. Control cells showed a response of 19±8 cells/hpg to 1 × 10⁻⁸ M fMLP. Cells preincubated with S-RGD or S-RGE showed the same migratory responses to 1 × 10⁻⁸ M fMLP as control cells. The data are from a representative experiment done in triplicate, mean and SEM; n = 15 at each data point. Cell counts are corrected for migration to negative controls, 81±3 cells/hpg.

---

**Table III. Effects of Preincubation with Entactin or Entactin-related Peptides upon PMN Adhesion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preincubation</th>
<th>PBS</th>
<th>HSA</th>
<th>fMLP</th>
<th>Entactin</th>
<th>S-RGD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preincubation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>99 (8)*</td>
<td>97 (6)</td>
<td>255 (15)</td>
<td>236 (20)</td>
<td>280 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entactin</td>
<td>125 (7)</td>
<td>99 (7)</td>
<td>224 (12)</td>
<td>125 (9)</td>
<td>128 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-RGD</td>
<td>116 (7)</td>
<td>113 (9)</td>
<td>239 (7)</td>
<td>125 (9)</td>
<td>137 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-RGE</td>
<td>163 (10)</td>
<td>140 (7)</td>
<td>267 (13)</td>
<td>268 (20)</td>
<td>260 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-RGD</td>
<td>128 (11)</td>
<td>142 (7)</td>
<td>265 (16)</td>
<td>250 (15)</td>
<td>274 (17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* SEM is indicated in parenthesis. PMN were preincubated for 30 min at room temperature with entactin, 1 × 10⁻⁴ M, or 1 × 10⁻⁷ M of one of the following peptides: S-RGD, S-RGD, S-RGE. The adhesive surfaces were prepared with 500 µg/ml of HSA, entactin, or S-RGD, or 1 × 10⁻⁸ M fMLP. Data are the mean and SEM of cell counts for two separate experiments with six wells counted for each condition in each experiment.

---

**Figure 4. Comparison of the chemotactic activity of entactin ( ) and RGE-entactin (○).** The data are from a representative experiment done in triplicate, mean and SEM; n = 15 at each data point. The positive control, fMLP, 1 × 10⁻⁸ M, yielded 175±5 cells/hpg. Cell counts are corrected for migration to negative controls, 89±4 cells/hpg.
Table IV. Adhesion of LAD PMN and Control PMN to Entactin- and S-RGD-coated Surfaces and the Effect of B6H12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface coating</th>
<th>LAD</th>
<th>LAD + B6H12</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Control + B6H12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>59±6</td>
<td>68±5</td>
<td>79±5</td>
<td>93±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSA</td>
<td>58±8</td>
<td>57±6</td>
<td>99±5</td>
<td>96±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinogen</td>
<td>13±2</td>
<td>8±1</td>
<td>286±17</td>
<td>132±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entactin</td>
<td>181±12</td>
<td>71±7</td>
<td>281±15</td>
<td>99±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-RGD</td>
<td>156±17</td>
<td>65±7</td>
<td>229±8</td>
<td>85±10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are mean±SEM, n = 6. * Cells were preincubated with B6H12, 10 μg/ml, for 15 min at room temperature.

ing fibrinogen, and that fibrinogen enhances PMN phagocytosis. Fibrinogen-stimulated ingestion is a well-established assay of LRI function (10). Accordingly, we examined the ability of S-RGD to inhibit fibrinogen-stimulated phagocytosis of ElG. As shown in Fig. 6, preincubation of PMN with S-RGD, but not with a control peptide, inhibited fibrinogen-stimulated ingestion.

Recently, a 50-kD plasma membrane molecule with the capacity to block RGD-stimulated phagocytosis has been identified on a wide variety of cell types (22). This protein has been labeled IAP. Phagocytosis stimulated by RGD peptides, fibrinogen, and other RGD-containing ligands can be blocked by antibody to IAP and by antibody to β3 integrins, suggesting that the function of IAP is coordinated with β3 integrins. We found that B6H12, an anti-IAP monoclonal antibody, inhibited PMN adhesion and chemotaxis mediated by entactin (Fig. 7) without reducing PMN adhesion and chemotaxis to fMLP. We also observed that B6H12 abolished the adhesion of LAD PMN to entactin and S-RGD (Table IV).

These data with 7G2 and B6H12, together with the finding that S-RGD inhibits fibrinogen-stimulated phagocytosis, strongly suggest that LRI and IAP have a role in mediating signal transduction for PMN adhesion and chemotaxis to entactin.

Discussion

Basement membranes are complex structures composed principally of type IV collagen, laminin, entactin, and heparan sulfate proteoglycans. Current concepts of basement membrane composition and structure have been presented in several recent reviews (37, 38). Previously, two basement membrane components, laminin and type IV collagen, have been shown to display specific interactions with PMN (8, 9, 11–14). In the present studies we observed that entactin, an integral 150-kD sulfated glycoprotein basement membrane component that binds to type IV collagen and laminin and to several cell types (15–17), promotes PMN adhesion in the absence of exogenous PMN stimulation and has potent chemotactic activity for PMN at subnanomolar concentrations. The use of recombinant entactin was an important feature of the present studies because it excluded the possibility that the results might reflect the effects of contaminating laminin or type IV collagen.

Figure 5. The effect of incubation with 7G2, 10 μg/ml, upon the adhensive response of PMN to recombinant entactin. The antibody was incubated with the cells for 15 min at 37°C and then the mixture of cells and antibody was used in the adhesion assay. Data are from a representative experiment and are the mean and SEM of cell counts for six different wells for each condition.

Figure 6. The effect of S-RGD on fibrinogen-stimulated ingestion of ElG. PMN (1.0 × 10^5) were incubated with 12.5 μg of either S-RGD (o) or an irrelevant control peptide (•) for 15 min at room temperature. Additional buffer or the indicated concentrations of fibrinogen were added and the mixture was incubated with ElG for 30 min at 37°C. Ingestion was assessed by light microscopy as a phagocytic index, the number of ElG ingested/100 PMN.

Figure 7. The effect of incubation with B6H12, 3 μg/ml, upon the chemotactic response of PMN to recombinant entactin. Antibody or media only was incubated with the cells for 15 min at room temperature and then the mixture of cells and antibody or cells and media only was loaded into the upper compartment of the chemotaxis chambers. (○) with antibody; (●) media only. Chemotaxis to 10^-8 M fMLP was 220±4 cells/hpg in the control and 230±9 cells/hpg in the presence of B6H12. Cell counts are corrected for migration to negative controls, 50±2 cells/hpg.
We observed that the adhesive and chemotactic properties of entactin for PMN are associated with the single RGD domain in entactin (23). A synthetic peptide that incorporates RGD and several of the amino acids that flank the RGD sequence present in entactin was able to mediate PMN adhesion and chemotaxis and could block the capacity of entactin to mediate these activities. Substitutions for individual amino acids in the RGD sequence of this peptide, such as RGE and KGD, drastically reduced the peptide's capacity to block the adhesive or chemotactic activity of entactin and therefore point specifically to the RGD sequence as critical. The importance of the RGD sequence in entactin, located at amino acid residues 672–674, in conferring adhesive and chemotactic activity was thoroughly established by studying RGE-entactin, a mutant entactin having glutamic acid substituted for aspartic acid at residue 674. Entactin having this single amino acid substitution did not display either adhesive or chemotactic activity for PMN. Accordingly, entactin appears to be a ligand in which recognition is based upon its RGD sequence, like fibronectin, fibrinogen, von Willebrand's factor, and vitronectin. It is noteworthy that the recombinant entactin derived from the baculovirus expression system is not glycosylated (20) so that the adhesive and chemotactic activities can not be attributed to possible lectin-like properties. Because native entactin does have carbohydrate (15), however, and because carbohydrate may significantly influence interactions between extracellular matrix components and cells, as recently observed in studies of laminin (39), for example, we also examined the chemotactic and adhesive properties of entactin isolated from extracellular matrix. This entactin demonstrated the same biological activities of PMN adhesion and chemotaxis that we observed with recombinant entactin, thus excluding the possibility that the effects observed with the recombinant entactin were peculiar to the recombinant molecule.

Since the adhesive and chemotactic activities of entactin were mediated by RGD sequences, we tested whether integrins were involved in transducing these activities (33–35). We found that neither $\beta_1$ nor $\beta_2$ integrins appear to be receptors for the adhesive and chemotactic responses elicited by entactin because monoclonal antibodies to $\beta_1$ and $\beta_2$ integrins had no effect upon these entactin-mediated activities, and PMN from an individual with LAD, a condition in which leukocytes do not express $\beta_2$ integrins, adhered normally to entactin-coated surfaces. In this respect, entactin somewhat resembles thrombospondin, another component of the extracellular matrix that promotes neutrophil motility (40). PMN adhesion to thrombospondin does not involve $\beta_2$ integrins (41).

The observations that neither $\beta_1$ nor $\beta_2$ integrins are involved in entactin-mediated adhesion and chemotaxis raised the possibility that these events involve LRI, a newly described integrin. LRI is an RGD-dependent integrin that mediates the enhanced IgG-associated phagocytosis by PMN observed when PMN are exposed to fibronectin, fibrinogen, von Willebrand's factor, type IV collagen, and vitronectin (10). Although LRI is not well characterized biochemically, it is immunologically related to $\beta_2$ integrins. The signal transduction function of LRI to enhance phagocytosis is dependent on a distinct 50-kD plasma membrane protein known as IAP (21). Three lines of evidence suggest that LRI has a central role in mediating PMN adhesion and chemotaxis in response to entactin. First, 7G2, an anti-$\beta_1$ monoclonal antibody known to inhibit RGD binding to LRI, inhibited PMN adhesion and chemotaxis to entactin. Second, B6H12, an anti-IAP antibody, which also inhibits LRI function (22), was as potent as 7G2 at inhibition of PMN adhesion and chemotaxis to entactin. Finally, S-RGD inhibited fibrinogen-enhanced phagocytosis, a known function of LRI (10). Thus, we postulate that entactin is a ligand for LRI. In addition, the present findings extend the known functions of LRI to include signal transduction for adhesion and chemotaxis, as well as regulation of phagocytosis. It should be stressed, however, that these studies do not exclude the possibility that other PMN responses may be elicited by entactin and that these could be mediated by integrins other than LRI and also by nonintegrin receptors. Recent studies of laminin receptors emphasize the extraordinary diversity of receptors that may be responsible for interactions between cells and a single extracellular matrix component (42).

The adhesive activity of entactin for PMN is expressed by cells that have not been activated. Accordingly, interactions between PMN and entactin could serve as an important early event in the migration of PMN out of the vasculature. In this regard it is intriguing to note that entactin also causes release of a 92-kD metalloprotease from PMN (R. Senior, unpublished observations). This metalloprotease is contained in the specific granules of the PMN, a PMN compartment that is readily mobilized by a variety of signals (43, 44). Since this protease has the capacity to degrade a number of extracellular matrix components, including type IV collagen, elastin, and proteoglycans (45, 46), there is the interesting possibility that, in addition to mobilizing PMN, entactin also initiates processes that can lead to degradation of extracellular matrix. However, the situation in vivo in the context of an intact basement membrane may be much more complex since other basement membrane components may suppress neutrophil activation (47).

Interactions between entactin and PMN have not been reported previously, but entactin has been shown to be adhesive for several cell types, including mouse mammary tumor cells, human melanoma cells, 3T3 cells, and osteosarcoma cells (17, 21). In those studies, as in the present work with PMN, synthetic peptides incorporating the RGD sequence and several of the flanking amino acids in entactin displayed cell adhesive activity and competed with entactin for adhesion. Thus, PMNs are not the only cell type for which entactin has adhesive activity; however, it remains to be determined whether LRI and IAP are involved in mediating the effects of entactin upon other cell types. Recent studies with human prostate carcinoma cells indicate that for this cell type the integrin $\alpha_5\beta_1$ is the entactin receptor (48).

Considering its presence in basement membranes, the adhesive and chemotactic properties of entactin may be important in acute inflammation. Moreover, recent studies demonstrating that entactin binds fibronectin (49) and fibrinogen (50) add further support for the possibility that entactin may interact with and thereby influence a variety of molecules known to be involved in inflammation and wound healing.
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