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Abstract

It was the aim of this study to determine whether FFA inhibit insulin-stimulated whole body glucose uptake and utilization in patients with non–insulin-dependent diabetes. We performed five types of isoglycemic (~11 mM) clamps: (a) with insulin; (b) with insulin plus fat/heparin; (c) with insulin plus glycerol; (d) with saline; (e) with saline plus fat/heparin and two types of euglycemic (~5 mM) clamps: (a) with insulin; (b) with insulin plus fat/heparin. During these studies, we determined rates of glucose uptake, glycolysis (both with 3\(^2\)H\(\)glucose), glycogen synthesis (determined as glucose uptake minus glycogenesis), carbohydrate oxidation (by indirect calorimetry) and nonoxidative glycolysis (determined as glycolysis minus carbohydrate oxidation). Fat/heparin infusion did not affect basal glucose uptake, but inhibited total stimulated (insulin stimulated plus basal) glucose uptake by 40–50% in isoglycemic and in euglycemic patients at plasma FFA concentration of ~950 and ~550 \(\mu\)M, respectively. In isoglycemic patients, the 40–50% inhibition of total stimulated glucose uptake was due to near complete inhibition of the insulin-stimulated part of glucose uptake. Proportional inhibition of glucose uptake, glycogen synthesis, and glycolysis suggested a major FFA-mediated defect involving glucose uptake and/or phosphorylation. In summary, fat produced proportional inhibitions of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake and of intracellular glucose utilization. We conclude, that physiologically elevated levels of FFA could potentially be responsible for a large part of the peripheral insulin resistance in patients with non–insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. (J. Clin. Invest. 1995. 96:1261–1268.) Key words: free fatty acids • glycogen synthesis • glycolysis • nonoxidative glycolysis • glucose oxidation

Introduction

There is considerable evidence indicating that fat, either in the form of excessive storage (obesity) or as fat feeding, is associated with insulin resistance. For instance, more than 80% of patients with non–insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM)\(^1\) are obese and insulin resistant. In addition, fat feeding has been shown to cause insulin resistance in healthy volunteers (1) and diabetes in rats with impaired \(\beta\) cell function (2).

There are reasons to believe that plasma FFA are the cause for the association between fat and insulin resistance. In normal subjects, recent work from several laboratories has established that fatty acids exerted acute inhibitory actions on insulin-stimulated glucose uptake (for review see references 3–5). These findings could provide a key for a better understanding of the role of fat in the pathogenesis of insulin resistance in obese subjects and in patients with NIDDM, many of whom have elevated plasma FFA levels (6). In contrast to these studies with normal subjects, however, efforts by several investigators to find inhibitory effects of fatty acids on insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in patients with NIDDM (7–9) and in obese non-diabetic women (10) have been unsuccessful. This has led to the conclusion that in patients with NIDDM, excessive utilization of FFA cannot be held responsible for their insulin resistance (7). In all these studies, the effect of fatty acids plus insulin, on glucose uptake, was tested for only 2 h. As shown by us, the inhibitory effect on glucose uptake only becomes apparent after 3–4 h of fat infusion (3). Thus, the failure to observe inhibitory effects may have been the result of inappropriately short lipid plus insulin infusions.

In the present study, we have, therefore, investigated effects of physiological elevations of plasma FFA concentrations on insulin-stimulated whole body glucose uptake in patients with NIDDM. In addition, we have examined effects of FFA on glucose fluxes through all major pathways of intracellular glucose metabolism including glycogen synthesis, glycolysis, carbohydrate (CHO) oxidation, and nonoxidative glycolysis using methods which we have recently validated (5, 11).

Methods

Subjects

The clinical characteristics of the patients with NIDDM participating in seven study protocols are shown in Table I. All patients had been treated with sulfonylurea drugs and 13 received in addition small doses of NPH insulin (5–15 U) at bedtime. Insulin and all other medications were discontinued the day before the studies. The patients' weights were stable for at least 2 mo, their diets contained a minimum of 250 grams/ d of carbohydrate for at least 2 d before the studies. Informed written consent was obtained from all after explanation of the nature, purpose, and potential risks of these studies. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Temple University Hospital.

1. Abbreviations used in this paper: CHO, carbohydrate; FFM, fat free mass; HGP, hepatic glucose production; NIDDM, non–insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus.
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Table I. Patients and Study Protocols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Euglycemia</th>
<th>Insulin</th>
<th>Insulin &amp; fat</th>
<th>Isoglycemia</th>
<th>Insulin</th>
<th>Insulin &amp; fat</th>
<th>Insulin &amp; glycerol</th>
<th>Saline</th>
<th>Saline &amp; fat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>0/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>65.9±3.9</td>
<td>64.9±3.6</td>
<td>75±6.6</td>
<td>64.7±2.4</td>
<td>64.7±2.4</td>
<td>72.0±2.7</td>
<td>68.0±2.8</td>
<td>165±2.3</td>
<td>165±2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>160±1.4</td>
<td>166±2.2</td>
<td>163±6.4</td>
<td>165±2.3</td>
<td>165±2.3</td>
<td>175±4.2</td>
<td>175±4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>78.4±7.3</td>
<td>75.6±3.1</td>
<td>74±5.8</td>
<td>84.0±2.2</td>
<td>84.0±2.2</td>
<td>99.3±8.7</td>
<td>99.3±8.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFM (kg)</td>
<td>46.8±4.6</td>
<td>52.0±3.0</td>
<td>50.9±7.0</td>
<td>55.0±5.0</td>
<td>55.0±5.0</td>
<td>70.7±6.2</td>
<td>70.7±6.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>30.4±2.4</td>
<td>28.0±0.3</td>
<td>33.0±2.0</td>
<td>33.0±2.0</td>
<td>33.0±2.0</td>
<td>32.2±1.8</td>
<td>32.2±1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI = body mass index.

Experimental design

All subjects were admitted to Temple University Hospital’s General Clinical Research Center on the morning of the studies which began at ~8:00 a.m. after an overnight fast with the subjects reclining in bed. A short polyethylene catheter was inserted into an antecubital vein for infusion of test substances. Another catheter was placed into a contralateral forearm vein for blood sampling. This arm was wrapped with a heating blanket (~70°C) to arterialized venous blood. We have found in preliminary experiments that this resulted in near identical arterial and venous forearm blood glucose concentrations. Three different types of studies were performed.

**Euglycemic—hyperinsulinemic clamps.** We performed nine studies with insulin and five studies with insulin plus fat/heparin. To lower plasma glucose from the prevailing hyperglycemia (~11.5 mM) into the euglycemic range, regular human insulin (Humulin R; E. Lilly & Co., Indianapolis, IN) was infused intravenously at a rate of 6 pmol/kg per min for 5 h starting at ~90 min. Glucose concentrations were clamped at ~5 mM starting at 0 min for 4 h by a feedback-controlled glucose infusion. In the studies with fat/heparin, Liposyn II (Abbott Laboratories, N. Chicago, IL) a 20% triglyceride emulsion (10% safflower, 10% soybean oil) containing 2.14 grams glycerol/100 ml plus heparin (0.4 U/kg per min) was infused at rate of 1.5 ml/min for 4 h together with insulin and glucose.

**Isoglycemic—hyperinsulinemic clamps.** Seven studies were performed with insulin, seven with insulin plus fat/heparin, and four with insulin plus glycerol. The glycerol infusion studies were needed as a second control because plasma glycerol levels rose during all Liposyn II infusions (see Table II). Glucose concentrations were clamped from 0~240 min at these patient’s prevailing plasma glucose concentrations (~11.5 mM) by a feedback controlled glucose infusion. The remainder of the protocol was the same as that used in the euglycemic clamps. **Isoglycemic clamps without insulin infusion.** Four patients with NIDDM were studied twice, once with saline and once with fat/heparin. Liposyn II plus heparin or saline, both without insulin, were infused for 4 h starting at 0 min. Glucose concentrations were clamped at ~11 mM with a variable rate glucose infusion.

**Measurements**

**Glucose turnover.** Glucose turnover was determined with [3H]glucose. The tracer infusion (40 μCi over 1 min followed by 0.4 μCi/min) was started 90 min before initiation of the clamp to assure isotope equilibration. Glucose was isolated from blood for determination of [3H]glucose specific activity as described (12). Changes in specific activity during hyperinsulinemia were avoided by adding [3H]glucose to the unlabeled glucose which was infused at variable rates to maintain euglycemia or isoglycemia (13). Rates of total body glucose appearance and disappearance were calculated using Steele’s equation for steady state conditions (14).

**Glycolytic flux.** Glycolytic flux was determined according to Rossetti and Giacca with minor modifications (15). Tritium in the 3-carbon position of glucose is lost into water during glycolysis. We have recently validated the assumption that the rate of tritiated water formation in human plasma reflects the intracellular detritiation of [3H]glucose (11). The rate of glycolysis was obtained by dividing the whole body H₂O production rate by the specific activity of its precursor i.e., plasma [3H]glucose (11).

**Glycogen synthesis.** Whole body glycogen synthesis rates were obtained by subtracting rates of glycolysis from rates of glucose uptake. We have documented the validity of this noninvasive approach by demonstrating that results obtained with this method were comparable to rates of glycogen synthesis determined by incorporation of tritium from [3H]glucose into muscle glycogen (11) and to rates of glycogen synthesis calculated from glycogen synthase activity and glucose-6-phosphate concentrations in muscle biopsies (5, 11).

**CHO and lipid oxidation.** CHO and lipid oxidation were determined by indirect calorimetry (16) with a metabolic measurement cart (Beckman Instruments, Inc., Palo Alto, CA). Rates of protein oxidation were estimated from the urinary N excretion after correction for changes in urea N pool size (17). Rates of protein oxidation were used to determine the nonprotein respiratory quotient (nPRQ). It was assumed that for each gram of N excreted in the urine, 6.02 liters of O₂ were consumed and 4.75 liters of CO₂ were produced (RQ = 0.79). Rates of CHO and fat oxidation were determined with the nPRQ tables of Lusk, which are based on an nPRQ of 0.707 for 100% fat oxidation and 1.00 for 100% CHO oxidation.

**Hepatic glucose output.** Hepatic glucose output was calculated as the difference between the isotopically determined rates of glucose appearance and the rates of glucose infused to maintain euglycemia or isoglycemia during the clamps.

**Nonoxidative glycolysis (lactate/alanine flux).** Nonoxidative glycolysis (lactate/alanine flux) was calculated as the difference between rates of total body glycolysis and CHO oxidation.

**Leg blood flow.** Leg blood flow was determined by venous occlusion plethysmography with a mercury strain gauge apparatus (model EC-5R; Hokanson Inc., Issaquah, WA) (18).

**Body composition.** Body composition was determined by underwater weighing with correction for residual lung volume (19) which was determined after immersion in a sitting position with a closed circuit O₂ dilution method (19).

**Analytical procedures.** Plasma glucose was measured with a glucose analyzer (Beckman Instruments, Inc.). Serum insulin (20) and glucagon (21) were determined by radioimmunoassay. Blood urea nitrogen (22) was measured enzymatically. Urinary nitrogen was measured by the method of Kjeldahl (23). Fatty acids were determined by gas chromatography (model 5730A, Hewlett-Packard Co., Palo Alto, CA) as the sum of seven individual fatty acids (myristate, palmitate, palmitoleate, stearate, oleate, linoleate, and arachidonate). Heptadecanoic acid was used as internal standard. Glycerol was measured colorimetrically (24).

**Calculations and statistical analysis.** All data were expressed as the mean±SEM. Rates of glucose uptake, glycogen synthesis, glycolysis, nonoxidative glycolysis, and CHO oxidation were expressed per kilogram fat free mass (FFM) since most of the intravenous-infused glucose
Table II. Basal and Clamp Hormone and Substrate Concentrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Insulin</th>
<th>Glucagon</th>
<th>Glucose</th>
<th>FFA</th>
<th>Glycerol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pM</td>
<td>ng/ml</td>
<td>mM</td>
<td>μM</td>
<td>mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Isoglycemic clamps without insulin</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>183±63</td>
<td>117±15</td>
<td>11.2±0.2</td>
<td>601±41</td>
<td>0.10±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>165±60</td>
<td>101±10</td>
<td>10.8±0.2</td>
<td>526±104</td>
<td>0.10±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal + fat</td>
<td>130±60</td>
<td>125±20</td>
<td>11.1±0.7</td>
<td>674±116</td>
<td>0.10±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Isoglycemic clamps with insulin</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>201±62</td>
<td>80±17</td>
<td>11.4±0.8</td>
<td>539±97</td>
<td>0.08±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>921±216</td>
<td>65±17</td>
<td>11.0±0.3</td>
<td>46±16</td>
<td>0.03±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin + fat</td>
<td>960±204</td>
<td>73±15</td>
<td>11.5±0.1</td>
<td>1064±170</td>
<td>0.54±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin + glycerol</td>
<td>903±178</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.6±0.9</td>
<td>28±19</td>
<td>0.35±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Euglycemic clamps with insulin</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>131±32</td>
<td>89±12</td>
<td>11.9±1.2</td>
<td>514±74</td>
<td>0.11±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>545±48</td>
<td>63±12</td>
<td>4.8±0.1</td>
<td>95±19</td>
<td>0.05±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin + fat</td>
<td>572±144</td>
<td>79±21</td>
<td>5.2±0.2</td>
<td>1450±79</td>
<td>0.59±0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Basal: values obtained during clamping. All other values were obtained during the last hour of clamping.

is metabolized in muscle (25). Statistical significance was assessed using ANOVA and Student’s two-tailed paired or unpaired t test where applicable.

**Results**

Blood hormone and substrate levels (Table II). Serum insulin concentrations during the last hour of isoglycemic clamps without insulin were 165±60 and 152±58 pM with saline and with fat infusions, respectively. Serum insulin concentration during isoglycemic clamps with insulin were 921±216, 960±204, and 903±178 pM with insulin, insulin and fat, and insulin and glycerol, respectively. Insulin concentrations during euglycemic clamps with insulin were 545±48 and 572±144 pM for studies with insulin and with insulin and fat, respectively.

Plasma glucose concentrations were clamped at concentrations of between 11 and 12 mM in all isoglycemic studies and at ~5 mM in the euglycemic studies.

Last hour plasma FFA concentrations during isoglycemic clamps without insulin were 526±104 and 1,175±57 μM, respectively, for studies without and with fat. During isoglycemic clamps with insulin, plasma FFA concentrations were 46±16, 1,064±170, and 28±19 μM, respectively, for studies with insulin, insulin plus fat, and insulin plus glycerol.

Basal plasma glycerol concentrations were 0.1±0.01 mM. Glycerol concentrations decreased during insulin infusions to 0.03±0.01 and 0.05±0.01 mM during iso- and euglycemic clamping, respectively. Serum glycerol concentrations increased during fat infusions to 0.47±0.06 and 0.54±0.08 mM, respectively, during iso- and euglycemic clamping. During glycerol infusions, last hour plasma glycerol concentration was 0.35±0.06 mM which was not significantly different from the glycerol concentrations during the fat infusion studies.

Effect of fat on basal glucose uptake (Fig. 1). To investigate whether fat can inhibit basal glucose uptake, we performed isoglycemic clamps in four patients with NIDDM with either fat/heparin or with saline alone. Postabsorptive glucose uptake decreased nonsignificantly by 16% (from 21.9±1.9 to 18.3±1.4 μmol/kg FFM per min, NS) during the 4-h fat infusion and by 16% (from 17.7±2.4 to 14.8±0.7 μmol/kg FFM per min, NS) during saline infusion. The lack of difference between fat and saline infusions indicated that fat did not inhibit glucose uptake at basal glucose and insulin concentrations.

Effect of fat on glucose uptake stimulated by insulin (Fig. 2, Table III). To investigate whether fat can inhibit insulin-stimulated glucose uptake, we performed hyperinsulinemic clamps. To examine whether the effects of fat were different in uncorrected diabetes as compared to diabetes compensated...

**Figure 1.** Effect of fat or of saline on basal glucose uptake. Basal hyperglycemia (~ 11 mM) was maintained with a variable glucose infusion. Shown are mean±SEM of four studies with fat and four studies with saline infusions. Values are obtained before and at the end of the 4-h studies. All four patients with NIDDM underwent both studies. The decreases in glucose uptake during fat and saline infusions were not statistically significant (P > 0.05).
by hyperglycemia, we performed these clamps at euglycemic (~5 mM) and at isoglycemic (~11.5 mM) conditions. Fat infused together with insulin decreased total (insulin stimulated plus basal) glucose uptake by 37% (from 60.3 to 38.2 μmol/kg FFM per min, P < 0.05) during isoglycemic clamping. Compared to the insulin plus glycerol controls, the fat-mediated inhibition was 50% (from 76.3 to 38.2 μmol/kg FFM per min, P < 0.01). During euglycemic clamping, fat decreased glucose uptake by 46% (from 26.9 to 14.4 μmol/kg FFM per min, P < 0.05). The decrease in isoglycemic patients with NIDDM represented an almost complete inhibition (~86%) of the insulin stimulated part of glucose uptake. In euglycemic patients, we could determine only total (insulin stimulated plus basal) stimulated glucose uptake. Basal glucose uptake could not be determined because insulin had to be infused to lower their glucose concentrations into the euglycemic range; thus, the insulin stimulated part of glucose uptake could not be calculated.

Effect of fat on leg blood flow (Fig. 3). To determine whether fat affected blood flow, we determined leg blood flow during the euglycemic- and isoglycemic–hyperinsulinemic clamps. Basal leg blood flow was 3.9±0.4 ml/dl leg tissue in isoglycemic patients. Insulin increased leg blood flow to 5.6±0.5 ml/dl during euglycemic clamping and to 6.3±0.4 ml/dl (P < 0.05) during isoglycemic clamping. Infusion of fat had no significant effect on insulin stimulated leg blood flow during isoglycemic (6.3±0.7 ml/dl) or euglycemic clamping (6.3±0.4 ml/dl).

Figure 3. Leg blood flow (ml/dl leg tissue) before (basal) and at the end of isoglycemic–hyperinsulinemic (ISO) and euglycemic–hyperglycemic (EU) clamps in patients with NIDDM. Shown are mean±SEM. Number of studies as in Table III. Statistical analysis: * P < 0.05; † P < 0.01 comparing basal vs insulin or basal vs insulin + fat.

#### Table III. Glucose Uptake and Utilization during Hyperinsulinemic Clamps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glucose uptake</th>
<th>Glycogen synthesis</th>
<th>Glycolysis</th>
<th>CHO oxidation</th>
<th>Nonoxidative glycolysis</th>
<th>Hepatic glucose production</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>Basal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>μmol/kg FFM min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Euglycemic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin + fat</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Isoglycemic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin + fat</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin + glycerol</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shown are mean±SEM. Basal glucose utilization data could not be obtained in euglycemic patients with NIDDM as insulin was infused to normalize their glucose concentrations.
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Figure 4. Effects of fat on rates of insulin stimulated glucose uptake (Gupta), glycogen synthesis (GS), glycolysis (GLS), CHO oxidation (CHO-OX), and nonoxidative glycolysis (NO-GLS) in patients with NIDDM during isoglycemic clamping (n = 7). The total lengths of bars represent insulin stimulated values (total-basal) after 4 h of insulin infusion; solid bars represent insulin stimulated values after 4 h of insulin plus fat infusions. Positive values indicate insulin-mediated stimulation, negative values indicate inhibition.

Effect of fat on intracellular glucose utilization (Fig. 4, Table III). In isoglycemic–hyperinsulinemic clamps, fat reduced rates of glycogen synthesis from 39.3±10.8 (insulin) and 53.6±18.4 (insulin plus glycerol) to 20.3±4.0 μmol/kg FFM per min (P < 0.02). During euglycemic–hyperinsulinemic clamps, fat reduced glycogen synthesis from 9.7±3.1 to 6.2±1.5 μmol/kg FFM per min (NS).

During euglycemic–hyperinsulinemic clamps, fat reduced rates of glycolysis from 21.0±2.2 (insulin) and 22.7±3.9 (insulin plus glycerol) to 17.9±2.1 μmol/kg FFM per min (P < 0.05). During euglycemic–hyperinsulinemic clamps, fat reduced rates of glycolysis from 17.3±1.2 to 8.2±1.2 μmol/kg FFM per min (P < 0.01).

Fat reduced rates of CHO oxidation from 15.5±2.9 to 7.3±3.0 μmol/kg FFM per min (P < 0.01) in isoglycemic–hyperinsulinemic clamps and from 11.4±1.8 to 2.6±1.4 μmol/kg FFM per min (P < 0.01), in euglycemic–hyperinsulinemic clamps.

Fat increased rates of nonoxidative glycolysis from 5.5±2.6 to 10.6±3.3 μmol/kg FFM per min (P < 0.05) in isoglycemic patients, while it had no effect in euglycemic patients (5.9±1.3 to 5.6±0.9 μmol/kg FFM per min).

Since fat appeared to affect selectively insulin-stimulated processes, we analyzed its effects on the insulin-stimulated part (total-basal) of glucose uptake and intracellular glucose utilization. This was only possible for the isoglycemic studies because, as pointed out above, basal flux rates could not be obtained in the euglycemic studies. As seen in Fig. 5, fat rather uniformly reduced the insulin effect on rates of glucose uptake (−86%), glycogen synthesis (−75%), glycolysis (−140%), CHO oxidation (−90%), and nonoxidative glycolysis (−77%).

Effect of fat on hepatic glucose production (Table III). Postabsorptive hepatic glucose production (HGP) was 31.5±4.0 (before insulin) and 28.6±6.0 (before insulin plus glycerol) μmol/kg FFM per min. Insulin-suppressed rates of HGP during isoglycemia were 7.9±2.8 (insulin) and 9.9±3.2 (insulin plus glycerol) μmol/kg FFM per min. Insulin-suppressed HGP during euglycemia was 10.1±0.9 μmol/kg FFM per min.

Fat infusion increased HGP during euglycemic clamping (from 3.9 to 10.1 μmol/kg FFM per min P < 0.05). During isoglycemic clamping the increase in HGP (from 7.9 to 9.1 μmol/kg FFM per min, NS) was not statistically significant.
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Moreover, a similar increase occurred with infusion of glycerol without fat (from 7.9 to 9.9 μmol/kg FFM per min, NS).

**Discussion**

*Inhibition of glucose uptake by fat.* The results of this study showed that in patients with NIDDM, fat inhibited total (basal plus insulin stimulated or basal plus insulin and glycerol stimulated) glucose uptake during isoglycemic clamping by 37 and 50%, respectively, and by 46% during euglycemic clamping. These effects were similar to results previously reported in non-diabetic subjects (3–5). Fat did not, however, impair insulin stimulation of leg blood flow. To the extent that leg blood flow was representative of blood flow to muscle in general, this indicated that the fat-induced insulin resistance was not a vascular phenomenon (26) but occurred at the cellular level. Our findings were in disagreement with three other reports which failed to observe inhibition of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake during intravenous infusion of fat in patients with NIDDM (7–9). The discrepancy can be explained by a crucial difference in the experimental study designs. We have recently demonstrated that the inhibitory effect of fat on glucose uptake developed after 3–4 h (3, 5). The length of fat plus insulin infusion was only 2 h in the other three studies (7–9) which presumably was too short for the inhibitory effect of fat to develop.

*Fat selectively inhibits insulin stimulated glucose uptake.* The 40–50% fat induced inhibition of total (basal plus insulin stimulated) glucose uptake amounted to a near complete reduction in the insulin stimulated part of glucose uptake during isoglycemic clamps (Fig. 2). The nonsuppressed part consisted of basal, postabsorptive glucose uptake. In addition, we have demonstrated (Fig. 1) that fat did not inhibit glucose uptake under basal hyperglycemic (~11 mM) conditions in patients with NIDDM. We have previously shown that fat infusions did not inhibit glucose uptake under basal euglycemic conditions (~5 mM) in nondiabetic subjects (27). Together, these data supported the concept that fat selectively inhibited the insulin stimulated part of glucose uptake.

*Effects of fat on intracellular glucose utilization.* Since fat appeared to interfere selectively with insulin action, we analyzed its effect on the insulin stimulated part of glucose utilization. In the isoglycemic clamp studies, we found a surprising similarity of inhibition by fat of insulin stimulation of all major pathways of CHO utilization including glucose uptake, glycogen synthesis, glycolysis, oxidation, and nonoxidative glycolysis (Figs. 4 and 5). The reason for this uniform inhibition of different insulin actions was not clear. The possibility of a primary defect involving glucose entrance into cells, i.e., glucose transport and/or phosphorylation was supported by the proportional inhibition of glucose uptake, glycogen synthesis, and glycolysis and by previous findings in nondiabetic subjects, showing that the defect in glucose uptake, which appeared after 4 h of fat infusion, was associated with normal muscle glycogen synthase activity (5). Another explanation for the proportional inhibition of all glucose pathways would be a fat-produced defect early in insulin signal transduction affecting many insulin actions.

Therefore, it appeared that there were at least three independent fat-induced defects; an early, quantitatively minor defect (4, 28, 29) of CHO oxidation which was first described by Randle et al. (30) and which has since been widely confirmed (5, 7, 9, 31); a second, major defect involving glucose transport and/or phosphorylation and a third defect, which we had reported previously, involving muscle glycogen synthase activity which developed after 4 h of fat infusion (5).

*Nonoxidative glycolysis.* Independent determination of rates of glycolysis and of CHO oxidation allowed estimation of rates of nonoxidative glycolysis which consists essentially of lactate and alanine fluxes (32). The results showed that ~76% of the postabsorptive glycolytic flux in isoglycemic patients with NIDDM entered nonoxidative glycolysis while the remaining 24% was oxidized. Insulin-stimulated CHO oxidation more than threefold (to 74% of glycolytic flux). Since insulin did not significantly increase glycolytic flux, the increase in CHO oxidation occurred essentially at the expense of nonoxidative glycolysis. Fat reversed the effects of insulin, i.e., it increased nonoxidative glycolysis. In euglycemic–hyperinsulinemic clamp studies, the fat-mediated reduction in CHO oxidation was associated with a similar reduction in glycolysis and hence, no change in nonoxidative glycolysis. These results suggested that changes in CHO oxidation did not affect glycolytic flux but rather altered its distribution into oxidation or lactate/alanine formation. This may explain why the early inhibition of CHO oxidation by fat failed to affect glucose uptake.

Based on the widely held belief that rates of nonoxidative glycolysis are negligible, it has been customary to estimate rates of glycogen synthesis as nonoxidative glucose uptake (glucose uptake minus CHO oxidation). This method does not consider nonoxidative glycolysis and can result in substantial overestimation of glycogen synthesis (estimated as nonoxidative glucose uptake). For instance, in the present study, glycogen synthesis in isoglycemic patients after an overnight fast was 12.2 μmol/kg FFM per min. Estimated as nonoxidative glucose uptake, however, it would have been more than two times higher (26.6 μmol/kg FFM per min).

*Hepatic glucose production.* Postabsorptive rates of HGP were higher in patients with NIDDM than in previously reported normal controls (31, 34, and 29 vs 14 μmol/kg FFM per min) (5), while suppression of HGP by hyperinsulinemia appeared to be normal. Similar results have been reported by others (33). Normal suppression of HGP, however, did not exclude hepatic insulin resistance, since the clamp insulin concentrations (~1,000 pM) were ~5 times higher than the normal ED₉₀ for insulin suppression of HGP (34). Fat increased HGP during insulin infusion in euglycemic and isoglycemic patients (from 3.9 and 7.9 to 10.1 and 9.1 μmol/kg FFM per min respectively). However, infusion of Liposyn II resulted not only in increased FFA but also in increased plasma glycerol concentrations (as a result of lipolysis and of glycerol present in the fat emulsion). When plasma glycerol levels, comparable to those seen during fat/heparin infusions, were reproduced by infusion of insulin together with glycerol, HGP increased to the same extent as during fat/heparin infusions. Hence, the cause for the increase in HGP during fat infusion appeared to be the increased availability of glycerol, which is known to be an excellent substrate for gluconeogenesis (35, 36).

*Metabolic defects in NIDDM not related to fat.* Comparing euglycemic and isoglycemic diabetic patients with normal controls from a previous study (5) under comparable low plasma FFA conditions, revealed several abnormalities not related to fatty acids. First, as has been shown in numerous studies (for review see reference 37), total stimulated glucose uptake in euglycemic patients was less than half (47%) compared to non-diabetic controls. Thus, whereas our data indicated that high
but physiologic plasma FFA concentrations (∼1,000 μM) in patients with NIDDM abolished insulin stimulated glucose uptake, they also showed that some of the insulin resistance in these patients was unrelated to fatty acids.

Second, rates of glycogen synthesis in euglycemic diabetic patients were decreased to an even greater degree than rates of glucose uptake (−72 vs −53%). This observation was in agreement with reports by others showing decreased rates of nonoxidative glucose uptake or decreased glycogen synthesis activity in patients with NIDDM (32, 38). On the other hand, rates of glycolysis (−17%) and CHO oxidation (−27%) were less inhibited than rates of glucose uptake (−53%). We attributed this to shunting of glucose away from glycogen synthesis and into glycolysis and CHO oxidation.

In contrast to findings by Thorburn et al. (39) and Del Prato et al. (40) but in agreement with reports from several other groups (41–43), we found that total stimulated rates of CHO oxidation were normal in euglycemic and isoglycemic patients with NIDDM. The reason for this discrepancy was not clear. It may have been due to heterogeneity of the patients with NIDDM, as Thorburn et al. and Del Prato et al. studied obese, while we studied obese patients. Moreover, Del Prato et al. reported only marginally lower oxidation rates in patients with NIDDM during hyperinsulinemic clamping compared to controls (16.7±0.6 vs 19.5±0.8 μmol/kg FFM per min) and did not measure the insulin stimulated part of CHO oxidation but instead calculated it using assumed basal rates of CHO oxidation (40).

Summary and clinical relevance. This study showed that fat infusion in patients with NIDDM did not affect basal postabsorptive glucose uptake, but selectively inhibited insulin stimulated glucose uptake. The fat mediated inhibition of the insulin stimulated part of glucose uptake appeared to be dose dependent and was near 100% at high physiologic FFA concentrations (∼1,000 μM). This effect could account for much but not all of the peripheral insulin resistance in these patients who were partially insulin resistant even at very low (<100 μM) plasma FFA concentrations.

Proportional inhibition of glucose uptake, glycogen synthesis, and glycolysis suggested that fat caused a major defect involving glucose transport and/or phosphorylation.

These data suggested a significant role for fatty acids in the pathogenesis of peripheral insulin resistance in NIDDM. The reciprocal relationship between plasma FFA and insulin-stimulated glucose uptake may be of particular importance in obese patients in whom therapy directed towards lowering of high plasma FFA concentrations should have beneficial effects on glucose tolerance.
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