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Abstract

The chronic contact of glucose-containing dialysate and proteins results in the deposition of advanced glycation end products (AGEs) on peritoneal tissues in patients treated by peritoneal dialysis (PD), yet plasma levels of the AGE pentosidine are significantly lower in PD than in hemodialysis (HD). We measured glycation of peritoneal proteins in patients on PD over the time course of intraperitoneal equilibration of fresh peritoneal dialysate. The glycated content of peritoneal proteins (furosine method) was initially identical to plasma but increased 200% within 4 h due to in situ glycation as also demonstrated in vitro. In contrast, peritoneal proteins contained a 2–4 × greater content of the AGE pentosidine at all equilibration time points. Plasma protein furosine content was identical in patients on PD and on HD. Fractionation by gel filtration of serum from patients on PD and HD revealed that > 95% of the pentosidine was linked to proteins > 10,000 mol wt; < 1% to proteins < 10,000 mol wt; and < 1%, free. Neither HD nor PD affected protein-bound pentosidine. The HD treatment decreased free and < 10,000 mol wt bound pentosidine. However clearance of protein-associated pentosidine by the peritoneal membrane may explain lower steady state levels in patients treated by PD. (J. Clin. Invest. 1996:97:728–735.) Key words: pentosidine • furosine • hemodialysis • peritoneal dialysis • peritoneal transport

Introduction

The Maillard reaction is ubiquitous in nature. Glucose reacts nonenzymatically with primary amino groups on proteins to form glycated residues, called Amadori products. Amadori products undergo a series of dehydration and fragmentation reactions to form the stable covalent adducts called advanced glycation end products (AGEs).1 Recently, the accumulation of AGEs in tissues of the human body has been implicated in the complications of diabetes, aging, and renal failure (1, 2). In this study we used the chemically defined AGE pentosidine (a lysine-arginine cross-link formed during glycoxidation of Amadori products) as a marker of the advanced glycation reaction. The pentosidine content of collagen-rich tissues has been demonstrated to increase with age (1). Both skin and plasma content is further increased in the presence of diabetes, where levels are found to correlate with severity of complications (3–5). The highest levels of pentosidine are found on tissue and circulating proteins from patients with renal failure (1, 6, 7).

Peritoneal dialysis (PD) differs from hemodialysis (HD) in that the patient’s peritoneum, not an artificial kidney, forms the dialyzing membrane. Commercially available PD solutions contain supraphysiologic concentrations of glucose. The chronic contact of glucose-containing dialysate and proteins results in glycation of peritoneal tissues in patients treated by PD (7). We hypothesized that peritoneal dialysis would result in accelerated formation of early and advanced glycation end products, due to the in vivo incubation of peritoneal proteins and dialysate glucose. To test this hypothesis we measured Amadori products (early glycation) by performing acid hydrolysis of peritoneal and plasma proteins in order to measure the stable product furosine (8). We used both in vivo and in vitro kinetic modeling to gauge the impact of high peritoneal glucose concentrations on the formation and clearance of Amadori and AGE products on peritoneal proteins. Paradoxically, plasma protein levels of the AGE pentosidine are significantly lower in patients treated by PD than HD (7). Therefore, we also compared the effectiveness of PD and HD on the clearance from serum of glycated fractions of serum proteins separated by gel filtration.

Methods

Study patients

Peritoneal equilibration testing was performed as part of routine care in 28 patients with end stage renal failure treated by PD. In accordance with guidelines provided by the Institutional Review Board of University Hospitals of Cleveland, verbal consent was obtained to process dialysate and plasma samples for research as well as routine testing. Blood was obtained for plasma furosine and pentosidine levels with the consent of an additional 21 patients before the HD treatment, and an additional 10 patients before performing the morning PD exchange. Blood was also obtained both before and after (at 3 h) a HD treatment or PD exchange in a third group of 6 patients treated by HD and 6 treated by PD. There were no statistical differences in the composition of these groups of study patients with regard to race, sex, or prior history of diabetes. The PD patients had a mean age of 51.4 yr (range 25–82); HD, 55.1 (range 22–83). Among the patients treated by peritoneal dialysis there were 18 males and 26 females, 9 males and 19 females underwent kinetics testing. The patients treated by HD included 12 males and 15 females. The PD group included 24 African Americans, 19 Caucasians, and 1 Oriental, compared to 21 African Americans and 6 Caucasians in the HD group. Diabetic subjects comprised 39% of the PD and 44% of the HD group. All HD patients received routine treatment with polysulfone hollow-fiber di-
alyses filters (F8 or F90; Fresenius USA, Concord, CA) and bicarbonate buffer dialysate. Dialyzers are re-used after reprocessing on a Renatron II system from Renal Systems (Minneapolis, MN) using Renalin®. The peritoneal equilibration tests were performed within 1 mo of initiation of peritoneal dialysis in 20 of the patients. No differences were found in peritoneal equilibration test results between these subjects and the 8 individuals in whom tests were performed after 6–18 mo of PD. To determine the peritoneal fluid protein content of pentosidine and furosine in individuals with normal renal function, peritoneal fluid was obtained by aspiration at the time of laparoscopy in healthy women undergoing elective laparoscopic tubal ligation. All laparoscopy subjects provided signed informed consent, and also allowed the collection of blood for the determination of plasma levels of pentosidine and furosine. The subjects consisted of 9 women, with a mean age of 38.8 yr (range 22–59); 5 were of African-American and 4 of Caucasian origin.

In vitro and in vivo kinetics

In vitro kinetics studies were performed by incubating known quantities of protein from three sources with commercial dialysate (Dianean®, 2.5% glucose; Baxter Corp., McGaw Park, IL) or a solution of 2.5% glucose in water for various time periods. The sources of the protein were whole serum from a healthy individual, spent peritoneal dialysate pooled from the drained overnight dwells of 20 patients on PD, and HSA purchased from Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, MO. At the end of each incubation time period, the samples were applied to a 3,000 mol wt Centricon size-selective filter purchased from Amicon, Inc., Beverly, MA and centrifuged at 7,000 rpm, 4°C for 120–240 min. The low molecular weight fraction (containing glucose) was discarded, and the high molecular weight fraction (containing protein) frozen at ~80°C until assay.

Dialysate samples were obtained from the overnight dialysate bag (~10 h of incubation, also called “pretest”), at time 0 immediately after an exchange with Dianean® (2.5% glucose), and at 2 and 4 h of dwell time. A 5-ml sample of whole blood in EDTA was obtained at the midpoint of the peritoneal equilibration test. Dialysate samples were placed on ice for transport to the laboratory. Within 10–15 min of withdrawal from the peritoneum, each sample was applied to a 3,000 mol wt Centricon size-selective filter and processed as described for in vitro kinetics above.

Free pentosidine

We measured free pentosidine levels in plasma obtained before and after hemodialysis (at 3 h) in six patients treated with polysulfone hollow-fiber dialyzers (F80). For comparison we obtained serum from six patients before and at 3 h after a peritoneal dialysis exchange. We also examined the free pentosidine content of serum and peritoneal dialysate from the peritoneal equilibration tests of 6 of the 28 patients.

The processing of plasma and dialysate in order to measure “free” non–protein bound pentosidine was modified from the methods of Takahashi (9, 10). Plasma (0.5 ml) was subjected to precipitation with an equal volume of 100 g/liter perchloric acid on ice followed by centrifugation at 1,000 g for 15 min. The supernatant of the plasma precipitation procedure or an aliquot of peritoneal dialysate (0.125 ml) was added to 15 ml of water and applied to a tC18 SepPak column from Waters Division of Millipore, Marlborough, MA. The 15 ml volume passed through the column was then dried under a vacuum and resuspended in 300 μl of 0.01 M heptafluorobutyric acid purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. for injection onto the HPLC as described below. Free plasma or peritoneal dialysate pentosidine was expressed per milliliter of initial sample volume.

Gel filtration fractions of serum and dialysate

Serum was obtained before and after dialysis (at 3 h for patients treated by HD, or 3 h after an exchange in patients on PD). Spent dialysate was obtained from the discarded overnight drain bags of 20 patients and combined to form a pool. 250 μl of whole serum from two patients, both without diabetes, one treated by PD and the other by HD or 1 ml of the pooled peritoneal dialysate was applied to a Bio-gel P30 column (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Melville, NY) (50 × 1.5 cm; fraction size 3 ml, flow rate 0.296 ml/min, using a solvent composed of 0.05 M Hepes from Bio-Whittaker [Walkersville, MD] and 0.15 M NaCl, pH 7.4). Optical density was measured by spectrophotometer at 280 nm. The fractions were calibrated using mol wt standards (thyroglobulin 670k, γ-globulin 185k, ovalbumin 44k, myoglobin 17k, and insulin 5.8k) obtained from Bio-Rad. Fluorescence was measured in each fraction with an excitation of 355 nm, emission 385 nm. Based on the OD signal, 5–8 fractions of the serum or pooled dialysate were combined. Pentosidine was measured as described below, and expressed as pmol per 3 ml fraction.

Serum, obtained both before and after dialysis, and a sample of pooled spent peritoneal dialysate was applied to a 10,000 mol wt Centricon size-selective filter and centrifuged at 7,000 rpm, 4°C for 120–240 min. 200 μl of the low molecular weight fractions from six serum samples obtained from patients on HD before treatment, HD after treatment (3 h), PD before exchange, and PD at 3 h after exchange were combined to form four samples of 1.2 ml each. Each combined sample and 1.2 ml of the < 10,000 mol wt fraction of the pooled peritoneal dialysate was applied to a Bio-gel P6 column (45 × 1.5 cm; fraction size 3 ml, flow rate 0.25 ml/min). Mol wt standards applied to the P6 column included myoglobin (17k), insulin (5,807.6 mol wt), and vitamin B12 (1,355 mol/wt). The fraction containing free pentosidine standard (379 mol wt) was identified as a fluorescence peak. Fluorescence and OD were measured as above. The protein in each fraction was precipitated and acid hydrolyzed. Pentosidine was measured as described below, results expressed as pmol per 3 ml fraction.

Assay procedures

Plasma and dialysate total protein was assayed in a microassay modification of the Bradford method using Coomassie brilliant blue G250 obtained from Bio-Rad Laboratories, Melville, NY. Plasma dialysate glucose was measured by the clinical laboratory at University Hospitals of Cleveland using an autoanalyzer method. β-2-microglobulin was measured in a commercial ELISA purchased from Kabi Pharmacia Diagnostics, Piscataway, NJ.

HPLC method for pentosidine. Pentosidine was measured using a modification of the method of Odetti et al. (11). Plasma or dialysate protein was subjected to acid hydrolysis. Protein was precipitated on ice with 10% TCA from Fisher Scientific Co., Pittsburgh, PA. The pellets were washed twice with 5% cold TCA and acid hydrolyzed in 1 ml 6 N HCl for 16 h at 110°C in borosilicate tubes with Teflon coated screw caps. Acid was removed by vacuum centrifugation, Savant Instruments Inc., Farmington, NY. The hydrolyzed pellet was dissolved in 250 μl of water/0.01 M heptfluorobutyric acid purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. The hydrolysate was filtered with 0.45-μm nylon microfilterfuge tube obtained from Rainin Instrument Co., Woburn, MA. The equivalent of 0.8 mg of plasma protein was injected onto an HPLC system, Waters Division of Millipore. The column used was a 25 × 0.46 cm C-18 Vydac type 218TP (10 μm) column purchased from Separations Group, Hesperia, CA. The HPLC was programmed with a linear gradient from 0 to 35 min of 10–17% acetonitrile (chromatography grade obtained from Fisher Scientific Co.) in HPLC water and 0.1% heptfluorobutyric acid as a counter ion. Pentosidine eluted at ~30 min as monitored by fluorescence excitation at 335 nm and emission at 385 nm. Pentosidine prepared according to published procedure was used as a standard (3). Results were calculated per milligram added protein or milligram collagen protein. The intraassay coefficient of variation for a pentosidine standard of 15 pmol was 8.6% (Mean 14.98 ± 0.9). The interassay coefficient of variation for a pooled sample of spent peritoneal dialysate processed and assayed in each of nine assays was 15.9 (mean 36.81 ± 5.84 pg/mg protein).

HPLC method for furosine. Protein (0.25 mg) from plasma and dialysate was processed as described above. The hydrolyzed protein pellet was resuspended with 400 μl 0.4% acetic acid. The equivalent
of 125 µg of filtered hydrolysate was injected onto the HPLC system. The chromatographic conditions were modified from the method of Resmini et al. (12), as described by Wu et al. (8). Before column analysis, the injected sample was filtered with a Guard-Pak in line filter obtained from Waters Division of Millipore. The column used was a furosine-dedicated C8 column (250 × 4.6 mm) obtained from Alltech Inc., Milan, Italy. The solvents used were 0.4% acetic acid (solvent A) and 0.27% potassium chloride dissolved in solvent A (solvent B). The elution gradient was expressed as the proportion of solvent B: 0–12.5 min, 0%; 12.5–22 min, 50%; 22–32 min, 0%. The flow rate was 1.2 ml/min. Furosine elutes at 29 min as monitored by an LC Spectrophotometer 481 UV detector (Waters Division of Millipore) set at 280 nm, 0.01 AUFS. The interassay coefficient of variation for a pooled sample of spent peritoneal dialysate processed and assayed in each of three assays was 0.144 (mean 1196±172 pg/mg protein).

Calculations and statistics

Peritoneal mass transfer area coefficient (MTAC) was calculated for urea, creatinine, β-2 microglobulin, total protein, free pentosidine, and furosine using the following equation (13):

\[ MTAC = -\left(\frac{4 \text{ h drain volume}}{240 \text{ min }}\right) \times \log_e \left(1 - \frac{4 \text{ h } D}{P}\right) \]

All data are expressed as mean±SD. Comparisons between time points in the peritoneal equilibration tests were made by ANOVA. A P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. D/P stands for dialysate/plasma ratio.

Results

Fig. 1 shows the results of the standard peritoneal equilibration test for total protein, β-2 microglobulin, and glucose, in the 28 subjects. The typical pattern of the loss of the glucose osmotic gradient with time is contrasted with the increasing concentration of intraperitoneal total protein and β-2 microglobulin. The in vitro kinetic model using 2.5% Dianeal® differs from our in vivo model that intraperitoneal buffers readily balance the initial low pH of 5.4 at time 0 to pH 7.5 at 30 min (data not shown). The pH remains constant at 7.4–7.6 throughout the remainder of the peritoneal equilibration test. Fig. 1 also demonstrates the changing relationships of concentrations of glucose and protein throughout the time period of peritoneal equilibration. From a kinetic viewpoint, it is important to realize that the time 0 glucose concentration is > 140 mM which is 25 times higher than physiological concentrations. The concentration of glucose falls throughout the intra-peritoneal dwell period but is still 50 mM 4 h later. The glucose concentration of the commercial dialysate before infusion into the peritoneum was 2,456±127 mg/100 ml. From Fig. 1, one can estimate that the average peritoneal glucose concentration is ~30 mM. Thus the peritoneum is in a constant state of marked hyperglycemia.

Evidence for the presence of an intraperitoneal state similar to diabetes is apparent from the glycation data in the top of Fig. 2. In nondiabetic patients (open bars), the content of protein which is glycated (measured as furosine) at time 0 is not different from plasma, suggesting that less completely glycated plasma proteins move across the peritoneal membrane in response to the infusion of fresh hypertonic dialysate. A rapid increase in glycation (P < 0.003) by 66% occurs within 2 h followed by a 200% peak at 4 h (P < 0.0001). Although higher than plasma (P < 0.04), the furosine content of the 10-h sample is significantly lower than the 4 h peak (P < 0.05), suggesting either equilibration with plasma glycated proteins or fur-

**Figure 1.** Peritoneal equilibration test. Changes in dialysate glucose (●), total protein (□), and β-2 microglobulin levels (△) during peritoneal equilibration testing in 28 patients on peritoneal dialysis. The figure contrasts the relative concentrations of glucose and protein in the peritoneum during the period of observation.

**Figure 2.** Kinetics of formation and clearance of glycated proteins during peritoneal equilibration test. Top: Furosine content of protein from the peritoneal equilibration tests of 20 peritoneal dialysis patients. Striped bars represent diabetics (n = 10) and open bars represent patients without diabetes (n = 10). Comparisons made within groups (diabetic or nondiabetic): *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005, ***P < 0.0001 for differences in furosine content of dialysate proteins at times indicated compared to furosine content of dialysate proteins at time 0. †P < 0.05 comparing plasma furosine levels in diabetic and nondiabetic patients on PD (Also see Fig. 4). Bottom: Pentosidine content of protein from the peritoneal equilibration tests of 28 peritoneal dialysis patients. Solid black bars represent diabetics (n = 12) and stippled bars represent patients without diabetes (n = 16). ***P < 0.0001 comparing plasma pentosidine level to pentosidine content of dialysate protein at 2 h, 4 h, and 10 h.
Patients with diabetes (hatched bars, top, Fig. 2) demonstrate a similar pattern of significantly increased glycation of peritoneal proteins at time 0 ($P < 0.001$) and 4 ($P < 0.001$) h of intraperitoneal incubation. However, the 10-h sample of dialysate protein, although lower than the 4 h peak, is not statistically different from the furosine content of plasma protein. In addition, the diabetic individuals have a significantly elevated furosine content of plasma proteins compared to nondiabetics ($P < 0.05$). Interestingly, the furosine content of plasma proteins from diabetic subjects is significantly greater than the furosine content of their peritoneal proteins at time 0 ($P < 0.005$), suggesting differences in the transport across the peritoneum of proteins altered by the Amadori rearrangement.

The peritoneal equilibration of protein-associated pentosidine is shown in the bottom of Fig. 2. In contrast to furosine, no difference is found between diabetic (solid black bars) and nondiabetic (stipped bars) subjects with respect to plasma or dialysate levels of pentosidine. At time 0, in contrast to the furosine data which demonstrated equilibrium between glycated plasma proteins and glycated peritoneal proteins, there is a highly significant difference between the pentosidine content of plasma and peritoneal proteins. Over the time of intraperitoneal incubation, the pentosidine content of peritoneal proteins shows only a minor nonsignificant increase beyond the levels found at time 0.

We modeled the kinetics of modification of peritoneal proteins which may occur in PD by in vitro incubations of proteins (obtained from the serum of a healthy individual and from an aliquot of spent peritoneal dialysate pooled from the 10-h dwell of 20 patients) with fresh 2.5% Dianeal® for periods equivalent to the 10 h peritoneal equilibration test. Incubations in the presence of 2.5% Dianeal® resulted in increases in protein glycation as measured by the furosine assay, Fig. 3, top. In addition, the rate of glycation of peritoneal proteins is greater than that of proteins from normal serum (top). By contrast, the pentosidine levels in healthy serum and in proteins from pooled peritoneal dialysate remained unchanged with incubation in 2.5% Dianeal®, Fig. 3, bottom. Thus, pentosidine formation rate in vitro is very slow, a finding which is important for the subsequent interpretation of the in vivo glycation data.

The in vivo data emphasize the greater pentosidine content of proteins in the peritoneal dialysate than the plasma, ~20 pmol/mg at time 0. Therefore we looked for evidence of the

![Figure 3](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Figure 3.** In vitro kinetics of formation of glycated proteins. *Top:* Glycation kinetics of normal serum protein (○) and proteins obtained from pooled spent dialysate (▲) during in vitro incubation with fresh commercial 2.5% dextrose dialysate (Dianeal®). The control condition (●) is normal serum incubated in water. *Bottom:* Kinetics of the increase in pentosidine content of normal serum protein (○) and proteins obtained from pooled spent dialysate (▲) during in vitro incubation with fresh commercial 2.5% dextrose dialysate (Dianeal®).

| Table I. Normal Plasma and Peritoneal Fluid Protein Content of Pentosidine and Furosine* |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                 | Protein         | Pentosidine     | Furosine        |
|                 | mg/ml           | pmol/mg protein | pmol/mg protein |
| Plasma          | 66.0±16         | 1.29±0.58       | 1010±166        |
| Peritoneal Fluid| 32.2±7          | 7.1±2.78        | 1227±214        |

*Values expressed as means±SD; †P < 0.0001 compared to plasma; ‡P < 0.005 compared to plasma.

![Figure 4](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Figure 4.** Effect of diabetes on plasma protein furosine and pentosidine content. Plasma levels of furosine and pentosidine in patients with and without diabetes mellitus treated with HD and PD. In top, **P < 0.005** comparing plasma furosine levels in patients with diabetes to levels in nondiabetic patients treated with either HD or PD. There are no significant differences in furosine with respect to dialysis modality. In bottom, **P < 0.005** comparing plasma pentosidine levels in PD patients with HD patients for the same diabetic status. There are no significant differences in pentosidine levels with respect to diabetic status.
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presence of a plasma to peritoneal gradient for protein with a high pentosidine content in subjects without renal failure. The pentosidine and furosine content of proteins obtained from the peritoneal fluid of nine women with normal renal function is compared with their plasma protein content in Table I. The aspirated fluid has a lower protein content than plasma, but the protein has a markedly elevated pentosidine content and significantly elevated levels of furosine. These observations lend further strength to the idea that a mechanism may exist which results in the selective intraperitoneal segregation of proteins with higher AGE content than serum.

To compare the effects of HD and PD on the clearance of AGES, we measured plasma levels of glycated and pentosidine-rich proteins in patients undergoing HD and PD. We found that the extent of plasma protein glycation is identical in both groups, being primarily dictated by the presence of diabetes (top, Fig. 4). In contrast, the pentosidine content of plasma proteins is substantially lower in patients on PD regardless of the presence of diabetes (bottom, Fig. 4). To determine which fractions of circulating glycated proteins are affected by dialysis, we performed gel filtration of serum samples obtained before and after dialysis. The insets in Fig. 5 demonstrate no difference between HD or PD before (solid circles) and after (open circles) treatment or exchange was subjected to column chromatography using a Bio-Gel P6 column. Fractions were measured for absorbance at 289 nm (top row), fluorescence (excitation 335 nm, emission 385 nm) (second row), or pentosidine (pmol per 3 ml fraction) (bottom row). Calibration standards: myoglobin (17K), insulin (5,807.6 mol wt), and vitamin B12 (1,355 mol wt). The fraction containing free pentosidine standard (mol wt 379) was identified as a fluorescence peak. The insets show the results of column chromatography of whole serum using a Bio-Gel P30 column. Individual fractions with a mol wt > 40K are shown and are pooled for pentosidine. Note the difference in scales for ultraviolet and pentosidine measurements.
cence and pentosidine signals are greater in HD than in PD. This figure presents visual evidence that fluorescence and pentosidine signals are at “steady state” for patients treated with PD, whereas patients on HD experience higher predialysis peak levels and lower postdialysis trough levels.

In contrast to plasma proteins with a high pentosidine content, free pentosidine was detected in plasma of patients treated both by HD and PD. Fig. 6 shows that free pentosidine (mol wt 379) accumulates in the peritoneal fluid with a kinetic pattern similar to other solutes close to its size, dialysate levels approaching equilibrium with plasma. Fig. 7 represents the measurement of free pentosidine before and after dialysis in each of the six patients on HD and six on PD. A marked decrease in free pentosidine levels from patients on HD (black squares) is noted from 105.2 ± 37.9 to 53.6 ± 30.7 pmol/ml (P < 0.05), whereas levels in patients on PD (open triangles) make no significant change, from 63.9 ± 31 to 60.3 ± 29.3 pmol/ml.

We delineated the pentosidine content of fractions of pooled spent peritoneal dialysate of various molecular weights, separated by gel filtration. Table II summarizes these results, expressed as picomoles of pentosidine per milliliter of sample. The table also provides a comparison of spent dialysate with serum from patients on PD and HD. Gel filtration of serum obtained before a PD exchange or HD demonstrates that fractions > 10,000 mol wt include free pentosidine, as well as pentosidine bound to small proteins. Free pentosidine represents ∼ 3–4% of the total circulating pentosidine for both patients on PD and HD, whereas pentosidine bound to small proteins alone is ∼ 1%. The protein content of the pooled spent peritoneal dialysate is 0.9 mg/ml (80-fold less than plasma). In spent dialysate, 49% of the pentosidine is present on proteins > 10,000 mol wt, 51% on proteins < 10,000 mol wt of which 52% is free pentosidine (27% of the total).

Table III summarizes clearances, dialysate/plasma ratios and MTACs at 4 h of incubation for protein containing pentosidine or furosine and for free pentosidine, compared with urea, creatinine, β-2 microglobulin, and total protein. High D/P ratios for protein containing pentosidine and furosine suggest either intraperitoneal formation or active transport of both Amadori product and AGE across the peritoneum (furosine and pentosidine). MTAC cannot be calculated for protein-bound pentosidine because plasma values are lower than peritoneal values at every time point. The MTAC for protein-associated furosine is similar to urea, suggesting a large peritoneal surface area on which these nonenzymatic chemical reactions may take place. Calculated clearances of protein containing furosine and pentosidine are 30–300 × higher than the clearances of β-2 microglobulin or total protein, and 3–5 × higher than the clearances of urea and creatinine. In contrast, the peritoneal clearance of free pentosidine is similar to creatinine, providing evidence that free pentosidine enters the peritoneal cavity by simple diffusion.

**Discussion**

The purpose of this study was to take advantage of the unique intraperitoneal hyperglycemic state which results from performing peritoneal dialysis to study the kinetics of in vivo protein glycation. Our data show that the plasma level of furosine is dependent on diabetic status for both patients on PD and on HD. In contrast we demonstrate no relationship between diabetic status and plasma pentosidine levels. Similarly, in vitro incubation data demonstrates increases in furosine but not pentosidine content of albumin or plasma proteins within the time frame of the peritoneal equilibration test.

**Table II. Distribution of Pentosidine in High and Low Molecular Weight Fractions of Plasma and Peritoneal Dialysate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein content</th>
<th>&gt; 10,000 mol wt</th>
<th>&lt; 10,000 mol wt excluding free pentosidine</th>
<th>Free pentosidine (mol wt 379)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mg/ml</td>
<td>pmol per ml% protein</td>
<td>pmol per ml% protein</td>
<td>pmol per ml% protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemodialysis Serum</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>2,085/95.4%</td>
<td>21/0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peritoneal Dialysis Serum</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>1,387/96.4%</td>
<td>14/0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooled Spent Peritoneal Dialysate</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>28/50%</td>
<td>13/23.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 6. Peritoneal equilibration of free pentosidine.** Free pentosidine concentration in dialysate from the peritoneal equilibration tests of six peritoneal dialysis patients. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005, ***P < 0.0001 comparing plasma free pentosidine concentration to pentosidine content of dialysate protein at times indicated.

**Figure 7. Effect of dialysis on plasma free pentosidine levels.** Plasma levels of free pentosidine before and after hemodialysis (black squares) and before and at 3 h after a peritoneal dialysis exchange (open triangles). Predialysis HD levels are greater than the steady state PD levels (P < 0.05), but there is no difference in the postdialysis (postexchange) values between HD and PD.
In general quantitatively more low molecular weight than high molecular weight proteins are lost into the peritoneal dialysate during PD (21). Korbet et al. have reported peritoneal dialysate levels for low molecular weight AGE peptides measured by ELISA (13). Using the AGE-ELISA, dialysate/plasma (D/P) ratios and MTAC were found to be quite comparable to values obtained for inulin, mol wt 5,000. An eventual equilibrium between dialysate and plasma levels of AGES was attained. Our kinetics data demonstrate a similar equilibrium between dialysate and plasma for furosine at the 10-h time period. However we also provide evidence for in situ glycation. The speed of in vitro glycation does not completely account for the magnitude of the in vivo increase in glycation. Thus a comparison of the in vivo and in vitro kinetic data for furosine provides additional strength to the hypothesis that glycated proteins enter the peritoneum at a rate faster than can be explained by the combination of diffusion and in vitro formation.

High circulating levels of AGES which correlate positively with elevated creatinine levels have been described in renal failure patients when measured both by ELISA (13, 22, 23) and by the biochemical methods described in this paper (1, 7). The estimated molecular weight of AGES measured by Maki’s ELISA is between 2,200 and 6,000 (15, 22) and by Papnastasiou’s assay between 1,500 and 2,000 (24). Vlassara has used the term “AGE-peptides” to describe AGES of these molecular weights (25). Unlike protein-bound pentosidine (7), AGE-peptides can be removed from plasma in small amounts by hemodialysis (22). For these reasons we compared the effectiveness of HD and PD on the clearance of AGES from serum by measuring the pentosidine content of fractions of various molecular weights obtained by gel filtration.

Whereas neither HD nor PD causes a significant change in the levels of pentosidine bound to high molecular weight proteins (> 40,000 mol wt), both HD and PD result in removal of pentosidine associated with proteins of < 10,000 mol wt. Fig. 5 demonstrates a steady state for serum pentosidine fractions in PD. In contrast HD induces a marked decrease in ultraviolet and fluorescence signals and a threefold decrease in the pentosidine content of the fractions. In addition the predialysis samples from patients treated by HD show peak fluorescence which is 2 × and pentosidine which is 1.5 × that found in patients treated by PD. The magnitude of these relationships is quite similar to previous reports comparing levels of low molecular weight AGES by ELISA in patients on PD and before and after HD (23). Circulating low molecular weight AGE fractions and, by implication, free pentosidine derive from breakdown of AGES on tissue or on high molecular weight proteins (23). Direct reactivity of these break-down products has been demonstrated in vitro and in vivo (23). Therefore the accumulation of AGE fragments in patients with renal failure may mediate many uremic toxic effects.

In summary our kinetics data provide both in vivo and in vitro evidence for the formation of glycated proteins (furosine) in the peritoneal cavity during the time course of a routine peritoneal equilibration test. We show a consistently elevated content of pentosidine in proteins within the peritoneal cavity.
but no in vitro evidence for the formation of pentosidine during the period of observation. Peritoneal dialysate also contains free pentosidine and fluorescent low molecular weight products which are cleared from the blood by diffusion. Therefore PD, using glucose-based dialysate, is associated with the intraperitoneal formation, accumulation, and clearance of AGEs. In addition the patterns of clearance of serum fractions containing pentosidine differ markedly between PD and HD. Circulating levels of protein-bound and free pentosidine are lower in patients treated by PD than in those treated by HD. The two major treatment modalities for end stage renal failure differ with respect to clearance of AGEs and its breakdown products. The long-term systemic consequences of these complex differences between therapies remain to be determined.
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