Modulation of T-cell responses to alloantigens
by TR6/DcR3
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TR6 (DcR3) is a new member of the TNF receptor (TNFR) family that lacks a transmembrane domain in its sequence, indicating that it is a secreted molecule. TR6 can bind to FasL and prevent FasL-induced apoptosis; it can also associate with LIGHT, another TNF family member. The role of TR6 in immune responses was investigated in this study. According to flow cytometry, recombinant human TR6-Fc binds to human LIGHT expressed on 293 cells or on activated human T cells and competes with the LIGHT receptor TR2 for the binding to LIGHT on these cells. Human TR6 could cross-react with mouse LIGHT in immunoprecipitation. TR6-Fc also downregulates cytotoxic T lymphocyte activity in vitro and graft-versus-host responses in mice. Moreover, TR6-Fc modulates lymphokine production by alloantigen-stimulated mouse T cells. TR6-Fc ameliorated rejection response to mouse heart allograft. These results indicate that TR6 can dampen T-cell responses to alloantigens. Such regulatory effects of TR6 probably occur via interference with interaction between pairs of related TNF and TNFR family members, LIGHT/TR2 being one of the possible candidate pairs.


Introduction
TR6 (also called DcR3) is a new member of the TNF receptor (TNFR) family. TR6 lacks an apparent transmembrane domain in its sequence and is likely a secreted protein (1). The mRNA of TR6 is expressed at high levels in several normal human tissues such as the stomach, spinal cord, colon, lymph node, and spleen (1, 2), whereas its mRNA expression in the thymus is weak, and in peripheral blood lymphocytes is undetectable. Recombinant TR6 fused with an IgG1 Fc domain can inhibit the interaction between Fas and FasL and prevent FasL-induced apoptosis in lymphocytes and several tumor cell lines (1). The latter suggests that certain tumors may escape FasL-dependent immunocytotoxic attack by overexpressing TR6.

TR6 can also bind to LIGHT, which is a member of the TNF family (3). LIGHT is a type II transmembrane protein, and its protein is expressed on activated T cells (4) and immature dendritic cells (5). It is a ligand for both TR2/HVEM and LTβR (4). LIGHT was found to induce apoptosis in cells expressing both TR2 and LTβR, but not in cells expressing only TR2 or only LTβR (6). However, a recent report by Rooney et al. (7) raised doubt about this conclusion by showing that LTβR is necessary and sufficient for LIGHT-mediated apoptosis of tumor cells. In any case, as LTβR is not expressed on lymphocytes (8), LIGHT has no demonstrated or perceived apoptotic effect on these cells.

Recent studies show that LIGHT can modulate T-cell responses via TR2, which is constitutively expressed at both protein and mRNA levels in most lymphocyte subpopulations including CD4 and CD8 T cells (9, 10). Soluble LIGHT enhances a three-way MLR (11). LIGHT expressed on COS cells or anchored on solid phase augments T-cell proliferation and lymphokine production (5, 12). Molecules that can presumably interfere with the interaction between LIGHT and TR2 were found to downregulate T-cell responses. For example, an antagonistic mAb against TR2 represses proliferation and lymphokine production by CD4 T cells (9); soluble recombinant TR2-Fc inhibits a three-way MLR (9, 10) or dendritic cell–stimulated alloresponse of the T cells (5); soluble LTβR-Fc inhibits solid-phase LIGHT-augmented T-cell proliferation (12); and in vivo administration of LTβR-Fc leads to amelioration of mouse graft-versus-host disease (12).
In the latter two cases, human Fc was used in constructing the mouse recombinant LTβR-Fc, and the protein was tested in a mouse model.

Because of the observation that TR6 is able to associate with LIGHT, and that LIGHT can regulate T-cell responses, we asked whether TR6 could modulate certain T-cell functions. Our data show that TR6, in addition to its previous reported role as a decay receptor in apoptosis, may also play an important role in regulation of immune responses.

**Methods**

**Mice.** Two- to four-month-old female C57BL/6 (H-2b), BALB/c (H-2d), and BALB/c x C57BL/6 F1 (H-2b x H-2d) mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, Maine, USA) or Charles River (LaSalle, Quebec, Canada). 2C TCR transgenic mice were bred in our animal facility as described elsewhere (13).

**Expression and purification of the human TR6-Fc fusion protein.** Full-length human TR6 cDNA (amino acids 1–300) was PCR amplified using gene-specific primers, fused to the sequence coding for the hinge and Fc domain of human IgG1, and subcloned into a baculovirus expression vector pA2. The construct was named pA2-Fc:TR6. SF9 cells infected pA2-Fc:TR6 were grown in a bioreactor in media (100 L) containing 1% ultralow IgG serum. Conditioned culture supernatant from the bioreactor was harvested by continuous flow centrifugation. The supernatant was adjusted to pH 7.0, and it was filtered through 0.22-µm filters and loaded onto a 30-ml bed-volume protein A column (BioSera Ceramic HyperD; Life Technologies Inc., Rockville, Maryland, USA) previously conditioned with 20 mM phosphate buffer containing 0.5 M NaCl (pH 7.2). The column was washed with 15 column volumes (CV) of this buffer followed by 5 CV of 0.1 M sodium citrate (pH 5.0). TR6-Fc was eluted with 0.1 M citric acid (pH 2.4), and 2-ml fractions were collected into tubes containing 0.6 ml Tris-HCl (pH 9.2). The TR6-Fc–positive fractions were determined by SDS-PAGE. The peak fractions were pooled and concentrated with a protein A column (7-ml bed volume) as described earlier here. The concentrated TR6-Fc was loaded onto a 90-ml bed-volume Superdex 200 column (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA) and eluted with PBS containing 0.5 M NaCl. TR6-Fc–positive fractions were determined by nonreducing SDS-PAGE. The pooled positive fractions were dialyzed against 12.5 mM MOPS buffer (pH 7.5) containing 50 mM NaCl. The dialysate was then passed through a 0.2-µm filter (Minisart; Sartorius AG, Goettingen, Germany) followed by a Q15X-anion exchange membrane (Sartorobind membrane; Sartorius AG, Goettingen, Germany).

**Expression and purification of full-length human TR6 (without Fc).** The full-length TR6 cDNA was PCR amplified and cloned into the baculovirus expression vector pA2 as described earlier here. SF9 cells were infected with the viral construct, and the culture supernatant of the infected cells was loaded onto a Poros HS-50 column equilibrated in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7) and 0.1M NaCl. The column was washed with 0.1 M NaCl and eluted stepwise with 0.3M, 0.5M, and 1.5M NaCl. The eluted fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE, and the 0.5 M NaCl fraction containing TR6 protein was diluted and loaded onto a set of anion (Poros HQ-50; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA) and cation (Poros CM-20; Applied Biosystems) exchange columns in a tandem mode. TR6 was eluted from the CM-20 column with a linear gradient from 0.2 M to 1.0 M NaCl.

**Expression and purification of human TR2-Fc, MCIF-Fc, and TR11-Fc fusion proteins.** The cDNA sequences coding for the extracellular domain of TR2 (amino acids 1–192), the extracellular domain of TR11 (amino acids 1–164) and a β chemokine MCIF (also called HCC-1; amino acids 1–92) were fused with the cDNA sequence coding for the Fc domain of human IgG1, and then cloned into a eukaryotic expression vector pC4. The construct was stably transfected into CHO cells. The Fc fusion proteins from the CHO supernatant were purified with methods used for TR6-Fc.

**Expression and purification of the human LIGHT protein.** The coding sequence of the natural secreted form of LIGHT (amino acids 83–240) was cloned into a prokaryotic expression vector, pHE4, and expressed in *Escherichia coli*. Inclusion bodies from the transformed bacteria were dissolved for 48–72 hours at 4°C in 3.5 M guanidine hydrochloride containing 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), and 2 mM CaCl₂. The solution was quickly diluted with 20–30 volumes of a buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and 150 mM NaCl (adjusted to pH 6.6), and chromatographed with a Poros HS-50 column. The protein was eluted with 3–5 CV of a stepwise gradient of 300 mM, 700 mM, and 1,500 mM NaCl in 50 mM MES at pH 6.6. The fraction eluted with 0.7 M NaCl was diluted threefold with water and applied to a set of Poros HQ-50 and Poros CM-20 ion exchange columns in a tandem mode. The Poros CM-20 column was eluted with 10–20 CV of a linear gradient from 50 mM MES (pH 6.6), 150 mM NaCl to 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8), and 500 mM NaCl. Fractions containing purified LIGHT as analyzed by SDS-PAGE were combined.

**Quality control of the recombinant proteins.** The endotoxin levels in the purified recombinant proteins were determined by the LAL assay on an LAL-5000 Automatic Endotoxin Detection System (Associates of Cape Cod, Falmouth, Massachusetts, USA), according to a standard procedure recommended by the manufacturer. All the recombinant proteins were subjected to NH₂-terminal sequencing using an ABI-494 sequencer (Applied Biosystems) to confirm their authenticity. The proteins were dialyzed against PBS containing 20% (vol/vol) glycerol for storage at –80°C. For applications such as CTL, cytokine secretion, and heart transplantation, the proteins were subsequently dialyzed against PBS to remove the glycerol in the solution.
The binding of human LIGHT to human TR6, TR6-Fc, or TR2-Fc was assessed by BIAcore analysis (BIAcore Inc., Piscataway, New Jersey, USA). TR6, TR6-Fc, or TR2-Fc was covalently conjugated to BIAcore sensor flow cells (CM5 chip) via amine groups using N-ethyl-N′-(dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide/N-hydroxsuccinimide. Various dilutions of LIGHT were passed through the TR6-, TR6-Fc-, or TR2-Fc-conjugated flow cells at 15 µl/min for a total volume of 50 µl. The amount of bound protein was determined during washing of the flow cell with HBS buffer (10 mM HEPES [pH 7.4], 150 mM NaCl, 3.4 mM EDTA, 0.005% Surfactant P20). The flow-cell surface was regenerated by washing off the bound proteins with 20 µl of 10 mM glycine-HCl at pH 2.3. For kinetic analysis, the flow cells were tested at different flow rates and with different densities of the conjugated TR6-Fc or TR2-Fc proteins. The association, dissociation, and equilibrium constants were determined according to a kinetic evaluation program in the BiaEvaluation 3 software (BIAcore Inc.) using a 1:1 binding model and the global analysis method.

Generation of stable cell lines that express human LIGHT. The full-length human LIGHT gene was PCR amplified and subcloned into pCDNA3.1. The parental vector and the LIGHT expression vectors were then transfected into 293F cells (Life Technologies Inc., Grand Island, New York, USA) using Lipofectamine (Life Technologies Inc., Grand Island, New York, USA) and the LIGHT expression vectors were then transfected into HEK 293T cells using Lipofectamine Plus. Conditioned supernatants (400 µl/sample) were collected and subjected to immunoprecipitation with 2 µg of purified recombinant TR6-Fc or B cell maturation antigen–Fc (BCMA-Fc) proteins (16). Immune complexes were retrieved by Protein A/G agarose (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, California, USA) and subjected to Western Blotting with an anti-FLAG mAb (Clone M2; Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Missouri, USA). The signals were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech).

Marine graft-versus-host reaction. The F1 of C57BL/6 × BALB/c mice (H-2b/d) were trans fused intravenously with 1.5 × 10^8 spleen cells from C57BL/6 mice (H-2b) on day 1. TR6-Fc or a control fusion protein was administered intravenously daily for 9 days at 3 mg/kg/d starting 1 day before the transfusion. The spleens of the recipient F1 mice were harvested on day 9 for measuring the weight and splenocytes were prepared for in vitro proliferation and cytokine assays.

Ex vivo mouse splenocyte proliferation. Single splenocyte suspensions from normal and the transfused F1 mice were cultured in triplicate in 96-well flat-bottomed plates (4 × 10^5 cells/200 µl/well) for 2–5 days. After removing 100 µl of supernatant per well on the day of harvest, 10 µl Alamar Blue (Biosource International, Camarillo, California, USA) was added to each well and the cells were cultured for additional 4 hours. The cell number in each well was assessed according to OD_{590nm} using a CytoFluor apparatus (PerSeptive Biosystems, Framingham, Massachusetts, USA).

Mouse cytokine assays. Cytokines in the culture supernatants of mouse spleen cells were measured with commercial ELISA kits from Endogen (Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA) or R&D Systems (Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA).

Mouse cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) assay. Transgenic mice carrying L^d-specific TCR (2C mice) were used in this experiment. In the 2C mice, the majority (~75%) of their T cells are CD8^+, and almost all the CD8^+ cells carry clonotypic TCR recognized by mAb 1B2 (17). The 2C mice in our colony are of an H-2^d background (13). 2C spleen cells were stimulated with an equal number of mitomycin C–treated BALB/c spleen cells in 24-well plates at a final density of 4 × 10^6 cells/0.2 ml/well. After 5 days of culture in the presence of 10 U/ml recombinant human IL-2, and TR6 (20 µg/ml), TR6-Fc (20 µg/ml), or normal human IgG (20 µg/ml), the viable cells were counted and assayed for their H-2^d-specific cytotoxic activity using ^51Cr-labeled P815 cells (H-2^d) as targets. A standard 4-hour ^51Cr-release assay (13) was carried out in 96-well round-bottomed plates with 0.15 × 10^6 target cells/200 µl/well at different ratios of effector/target cells (10:1, 3:1, 1:1, and 0.3:1). After 4 hours of incubation, 100 µl of supernatant was collected from each well and counted in a γ-counter. The percentage lysis of the test sample is calculated as follows: % lysis = (cpm of the test sam-
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Keq values for LIGHT binding to TR6-Fc, TR6, and TR2-Fc as determined by BIAcore analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TR6</th>
<th>TR6-Fc</th>
<th>TR2-Fc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Keq (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>10.1 ± 4.2 nM</td>
<td>3.87 ± 1.6 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>χ²</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>3.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The kinetics of binding between LIGHT and TR6, LIGHT and TR6-Fc, and LIGHT and TR2-Fc were determined by BIAcore sensor chip analysis. The association constant Keq, dissociation constant Kd, and equilibrium constant Keq were calculated from the binding sensorgrams using a BIAevaluation software. Keq values for LIGHT binding to TR6-Fc, TR6, and TR2-Fc as determined by BIAcore analysis. The association constant Keq, dissociation constant Kd, and equilibrium constant Keq were calculated from the binding sensorgrams using a BIAevaluation software. Keq values for LIGHT binding to TR6-Fc, TR6, and TR2-Fc were 10.1 ± 4.2 nM, 3.87 ± 1.6 nM, and 3.34 ± 1.7 nM, respectively (Table 1). These values had no statistically significant difference according to results of two independent experiments. The χ² analysis of the Keq’s resulted in values below 10 for the bindings between LIGHT/TR6, LIGHT/TR6-Fc and LIGHT/TR2-Fc (Table 1), indicating that the experimental data fit well to a 1:1 binding model.

TR6-Fc binds LIGHT and competes with TR2 for the binding of LIGHT overexpressed on 293 cell surface. We showed that TR6-Fc could bind to LIGHT on the BIAcore chips. Next we determined whether it could bind to LIGHT expressed on cell surfaces. This was first tested on 293 cells overexpressing LIGHT by flow cytometry. TR6-Fc could bind to the LIGHT-transfectants (Figure 1a, top panel of the middle column, solid line), but not to the untransfected cells (shaded area). The specificity of the binding was further demonstrated by competition of TR6-Fc binding with soluble non-Fc form of TR6 or LIGHT, and nearly complete inhibition was achieved with 10 µg of TR6 or LIGHT (second and third panels of the middle column, respectively).

It has been reported that TR2 can bind to LIGHT. Given that TR6 also bound to LIGHT as described earlier here, would it interfere with the binding between TR2 and LIGHT? This possibility was examined with flow cytometry. TR2-Fc could bind to the 293 cells overexpressing LIGHT as expected (Figure 1a, top panel of the left column, solid line, but not to wild-type 293 cells (shaded area). TR6 could competitively displace the binding. At 10 µg of TR6 or LIGHT, the binding of TR2-Fc to the 293 cells was almost completely inhibited (second and third panels of the left column, respectively). TR11-Fc was used as an additional control for possible Fc binding, and it did not bind to the transfected cells (Figure 1a, right column).

The results from this section indicate that TR-6 can bind to the cell membrane LIGHT, and it can also compete with TR2 for the binding of LIGHT.

TR6 binds LIGHT expressed on activated T cells. LIGHT expression is upregulated on T cells activated with anti-CD3 and IL-2 followed by PMA and ionomycin treatment (4). Using this activation regimen, we showed that TR2-Fc bound to the activated T cells (Figure 1b, solid line, top panel of the left column; shaded area represents resting T cells). Soluble TR6 or LIGHT could displace the TR2-Fc binding (second and third panel, left column, respectively), suggesting that the TR2-Fc binding was via LIGHT. We extended this observation by showing that as with TR2-Fc, TR6-Fc also bound to these activated T cells (Figure 1b, top panel of the middle column). Soluble TR6 or LIGHT could displace the TR6-Fc binding, suggesting that the binding was via LIGHT. The control Fc fusion protein TR11-Fc did not bind to these cells (Figure 1b, right column) as expected. These results demonstrate that soluble TR6 can associate with endogenous LIGHT expressed on the activated T cells and that it can interfere with the interaction between LIGHT and TR2 on these cells. This conclusion is valid unless the soluble LIGHT binds to TR6-Fc or TR2-Fc at the same binding site that they...
use to associate with another molecule on the activated T cells, and thus blocks the binding of TR2-Fc or TR6-Fc to the activated T cells. However, this is only a theoretic speculation without much support of evidence at the present time.

**Human TR6-Fc cross-reacts with mouse LIGHT.** To investigate the function of TR6 in vivo in mouse models, we needed to establish whether human TR6 could cross-react with mouse LIGHT. For this purpose, we generated recombinant proteins of human LIGHT-FLAG (hLIGHT), mouse LIGHT-FLAG (mLIGHT), and human BlyS-FLAG (BlyS), all of which comprised a FLAG tag. As shown in the top panel of Figure 2, these recombinant proteins from supernatants of expression construct-transfected cells could be detected by anti-FLAG mAb, and the dominant bands were corresponding to the expected molecular sizes of the recombinant proteins. Human TR6-Fc precipitated both human and mouse LIGHT-FLAG, but not the control BlyS-FLAG as shown in the middle panel of Figure 2. On the other hand, the receptor of BlyS, BCMA-Fc, could be precipitated BlyS-FLAG, but not by human and mouse LIGHT-FLAG (Figure 2, bottom panel). These results indicate that human TR6 cross-reacts with mouse LIGHT and that the interaction is specific.

**TR6-Fc inhibits splenocyte alloactivation in mice.** For the in vivo experiments as shown here and later in mouse heart transplantation, the TR6-Fc but not TR6 was used because, in general, recombinant protein with an Fc tail decays slower in vivo than one without an Fc tail. It has been shown previously that T cells stimulated by alloantigen in vivo have increased spontaneous proliferation ex vivo (18), and alloreactive T cells depend on LIGHT for some costimulation in certain situations (5). We asked whether TR6 had any immune regulatory effects in vivo on alloantigen-stimulated T cells. Parental splenocytes (H-2b) were transfused intravenously into H-2bxd F1 mice, and this is a typical model

---

**Figure 1**

TR-6 binds to cell surface LIGHT and competes with TR2 for its binding to LIGHT. (a) Flow cytometry on LIGHT-expressing 293 cells. LIGHT-expressing 293 transfectants or untransfected 293 cells were incubated with TR2-Fc, TR6-Fc, or a control protein TR11-Fc (50 ng/sample), and binding was detected with a PE-conjugated goat anti-human Ig antibody by flow cytometry. Solid lines: LIGHT-expressing 293 cells; shaded areas: untransfected 293 cells. Top row: cells were stained in the absence of competitors; middle and bottom rows: cells were stained in the presence of 10 µg non-Fc TR6 or LIGHT, respectively, as competitors. (b) Flow cytometry on human T cells. Human T cells were activated as described in Methods. Binding of TR2-Fc, TR6-Fc, or TR11-Fc (50 ng/sample) with T cells was detected by flow cytometry using the PE-conjugated goat anti-human Ig antibody. Solid lines: T cells activated with anti-CD3 followed by ionomycin and PMA; shaded area: nonactivated T cells. Top row: cells were stained in the absence competitors; middle and bottom rows: cells were stained in the presence of 10 µg non-Fc TR6 or LIGHT, respectively, as competitors.

**Figure 2**

Human TR6 cross-reacts with mouse LIGHT. Human LIGHT-FLAG, mouse LIGHT-FLAG and human BlyS-FLAG were expressed in HEK 293T cells. Conditioned supernatants were subjected to immunoblot analysis with an anti-FLAG antibody (top panel). A supernatant from vector-transfected cells was included as a control. The supernatants (20 µl/sample) used represented 5% of the amounts for immunoprecipitation. The supernatants (400 µl) were also subjected to immunoprecipitation either with TR6-Fc (middle panel) or with BCMA-Fc (bottom panel). Immune complexes were resolved by SDS-PAGE and blotted with the anti-FLAG antibody. The prominent bands shown are all of the expected molecular weights of the corresponding recombinant proteins, and the molecular weights are indicated on the left side of the panels. IP, immunoprecipitation.
of graft-versus-host response. The recipient mice were given TR6-Fc intravenously at 3 mg/kg/d for 9 days starting on day –1 (the day of transfusion was designated as day 1). The F1 mice were sacrificed on day 9, and the spleen weights of the mice were registered. Data from three representative experiments are shown individually in Table 2, and are also pooled and presented in Figure 3a. Compared with a buffer or control protein (MCIF-Fc) treatment, treatment with TR6-Fc reduced splenomegaly (P < 0.0001).

The splenocytes were also cultured without additional stimulation to measure their ex vivo proliferation and cytokine production. The proliferation (Figure 3b) as measured on day 4 after the culture, and IFN-γ (Figure 3c) and GM-CSF production (Figure 3d) as measured from day 2 to day 5 of the culture, were all suppressed compared with those of a buffer-treated group. No significant changes of these parameters were detected in the group treated with a control protein (MCIF-Fc) compared with those of the buffer-treated group. Thus, our results show that TR6-Fc is immunologically active and can indeed modulate T cell–mediated alloactivation (graft-versus-host response) in vivo. In this experiment, however, the inhibited cytokine production, especially in the case of IFN-γ, could be due to inhibited increase of cell number. The IFN-γ level was suppressed about two- to threefold on day 4, and on this day, the cell number was reduced with a similar degree of twofold according to the Alamar Blue test. On the other hand, the reduced secretion rate of GM-CSF was clearly a contributing factor, in addition to the cell number, as the rate was reduced about fivefold on day 4. It seems that the mechanisms of the reduced IFN-γ and GM-CSF secretion differ in this model.

TR6-Fc and TR6 inhibits mouse CTL activity developed against alloantigens. We next used Ld-specific transgenic 2C T cells as a model system to evaluate the effect of TR6 on the differentiation of alloantigen-specific CD8 cells into effector cells, given that the high frequency of alloreactive CD8 CTL precursors in the 2C mice enables easy detection of possible changes exerted by TR6. As shown in Figure 4, in the presence of either TR6-Fc or TR6, the CTL activities at the 10:1 and 3:1 effector/target ratios were decreased significantly compared with the cultures containing no recombinant protein or containing normal human IgG (P < 0.01). The detection of a similar effect of TR6 and TR6-Fc in this experiment is of importance, because it excludes the possibility that the effect seen with TR6-Fc isFc mediated in the mouse system.

TR6-Fc modulates lymphokine production of 2C T cells stimulated with H-2d alloantigens in vitro. CTL differentiation and maturation are modulated by a plethora of lymphokines, and we examined the production of various lymphokines produced by 2C spleen cells upon stimulation of mitomycin C–treated BALB/c spleen cells (H-2d) in the presence of TR6-Fc (Figure 5). There was a suppression of IL-2 and IL-5 and GM-CSF production between 24 and 72 hours after the stimulation, whereas the IFN-γ level was not affected. On the other hand, the levels of IL-6 and IL-10 were upregulated. We also tested IL-17 and TNF-α, but no apparent changes were found. These effects were not caused by direct activation of cytokine-producing cells by

---

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Transfer of Splenocytes</th>
<th>Experiment 1 (n = 4)</th>
<th>Experiment 2 (n = 4)</th>
<th>Experiment 3 (n = 4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCIF-Fc</td>
<td>1.5 × 10^8</td>
<td>0.233 ± 0.009</td>
<td>0.223 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.219 ± 0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffer</td>
<td>1.5 × 10^8</td>
<td>0.264 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.255 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.243 ± 0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR6-Fc</td>
<td>1.5 × 10^8</td>
<td>0.142 ± 0.017a</td>
<td>0.149 ± 0.003b</td>
<td>0.167 ± 0.009c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.087 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.094 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The F1 mice were transfused with C57BL/6 spleen cells, and administered with buffer, control protein MCIF-Fc or TR6-Fc intravenously from day –1 to day 8. The spleens were harvested on day 9, and their weights were registered. The P value was determined by ANOVA t test with buffer and MCIF-Fc groups as controls. *P < 0.0001; †P < 0.005; ‡P < 0.05.
TR6-Fc, as TR6-Fc had no effect on cytokine production by resting 2C spleen cells (data not shown). Although we are yet to form a meaningful interpretation for all the cytokine changes detected in this system, the reduced IL-2 and increased IL-10 levels probably contributed to the inhibitory effect of TR6 on the CTL development. In this MLR experiment, the T cells did not progress to the S phase until 72 hours, whereas most of the cytokine levels changed within 72 hours. Thus, the rates of cytokine secretion but not changes of cell number were responsible for the observed modulation of cytokine secretion.

TR6-Fc prolongs heart allograft survival of the mice. Because TR6-Fc could repress graft-versus-host reaction in vivo and inhibit CTL development in vitro, we speculated that it could also modulate a more complete immune response such as allograft rejection. This was tested in a model of mouse heterotopic heart allografting, with C57BL/6 as recipients and BALB/c as donors. The recipients were administrated with TR6-Fc intravenously daily at 7.5 mg/kg/d for 7 days starting from one day before the operation. For this test group, the mean survival time (MST) of the grafts was 10.0 ± 1.2 days, while the MST of the buffer-treated or control protein MCIF-Fc-treated groups was 6.8 ± 0.4 and 6.7 ± 0.5 days, respectively (Figure 6). The difference between the former and the latter two groups was highly significant (P = 0.0002, Kaplan-Meier analysis). This result shows that TR6-Fc could modulate an authentic in vivo immune response such as allograft rejection.

Discussion
In this study, we explored the role of TR6, a new member of the TNFR family, in immune responses. Prominent findings are that TR6 could compete with TR2 for its binding with LIGHT and that TR6 could downregulate allosensitiveness of the T cells.

For full activation of the T cells, costimulatory signals in addition to TCR cross-linking are required. Costimulation via CD28 on the T cells is a well-established dominant pathway (19–23). However, recent studies suggest that additional costimulatory pathways also exist and that they may have roles at different stages of T-cell activation or on different subsets of T cells or contribute to the development of different effector functions. For example, signals through inducible costimulator (ICOS) have effects on activated T cells only, and this pathway enhances IL-10 but not IL-2 production (24); costimulation via signaling lymphocyte activation molecule (SLAM/CD150) enhances IFN-γ production and directs memory T cells toward Th0/Th1 responses (25); crosslink of 4-1BB preferentially promotes CD8 cell proliferation (26); ligation of OX40 increases Th2 responses (27). In the past 2 years, several laboratories have demonstrated that a TNFR family member, TR2, is constitutively...
TR6-Fc prolongs heart allograft survival of the mice. C57BL/6 mice were transplanted heterotopically with BALB/c hearts. TR6-Fc, MCIF-Fc (7.5 mg/kg), or buffer was given intravenously daily for 7 days, starting 1 day before the operation. The survival rates of the heart allografts are depicted in the graph. The survival days of each graft, MST (mean survival time), and P value (Kaplan-Meier analysis) between the buffer-treated and protein groups are shown in the inset table. The regimen of intravenous daily for 7 days, starting 1 day before the operation. The survival rates of the heart allografts are depicted in the graph. The survival days of each graft, MST (mean survival time), and P value (Kaplan-Meier analysis) between the buffer-treated and protein groups are shown in the inset table. The regimen of TR6-Fc administration is illustrated in the bottom. HTx, heart transplantation; i.v., intravenous. *Highly significant statistically.

TR6-Fc i.v. 7.5 mg/kg/d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Survival day</th>
<th>MST±SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buffer</td>
<td>6.7,7,7,7,7</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCIF-Fc</td>
<td>6.6,7,7,7,7</td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR6-Fc</td>
<td>8,10,10,11,11</td>
<td>10 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We noticed that inhibition of proliferation in the mouse in vivo MLR (the graft-versus-host response) by TR6 was not complete even with high concentrations of TR6. The same was also true for the effect of TR6 on IL-2 production in vitro MLR and on graft rejection in vivo. Further increase of the TR6-Fc concentration did not augment the degree of inhibition. This is probably due to the fact that costimulation via TR2 is only a part of the costimulation program for the T cells. Considering that CD28 plays a dominant role in costimulation, and that multiple costimulation pathways coexist as discussed earlier here, the partial inhibition is within our expectation. However, we still cannot exclude the possibility that the partial inhibition is due to selective effects of TR6 on a subpopulation of T cells.

Although we speculate that the interference of the stimulation from LIGHT to TR2 is one of the possible mechanisms of the effect of TR6 observed, other possibilities are worth discussing. During T-cell activation, FasL is upregulated in T cells and FasL is responsible for activation-induced T-cell death. TR6 can bind to FasL and inhibit FasL-induced apoptosis in some experimental models (1). However, such a mechanism will only enhance in theory the viability of the T cells, but will not downregulate the proliferation of them.

In the in vitro CTL assay, TR6-Fc or TR6 was only present during the priming stage, during which the cytotoxic T cells differentiated, but not in the 4-hour assay period, during which the killing took place. The repressed the CTL activity thus had nothing to do with the possible interference of TR6 with the Fas/FasL interaction for the killing, but appears to be due to a regulatory effect of TR6 during CTL differentiation. Indeed, if TR6-Fc was only presented during the 4-hour CTL assay period, no effect was noticed (data not shown). Allograft rejection is not dependent on the Fas-FasL interaction, as the rejection response in Fas mutant B6.MRL-lpr mice is not impaired (28, 29), whereas the graft rejection in the TR6-Fc–treated mice was ameliorated in our study. These results as a whole suggest that the observed effects of TR6-Fc on the several in vitro and in vivo immune responses as examined in our study are not mediated via the Fas-FasL pathway due to their role in apoptosis induction. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that TR6 might interfere with costimulation conducted via unidirectional interaction between Fas and FasL during the induction phase of the immune response. TR6 might also interfere with possible reverse signaling from TR6 to LIGHT.

In addition to TR2, LIGHT also binds LTβR (4). Such binding is necessary and sufficient to induce apoptosis of LTβR-expressing cells (7). However, LTβR is not expressed on T cells (30), and logically the downregulation of the MLR and cytokine production cannot be attributed to reduced apoptosis mediated via LTβR. LTβR is essential in lymphoid organogenesis and germinal center formation that is required in T-dependent antibody production (31, 32). That LTβ knockout mice were not observed to interfere with carbonic anhydrase. We believe that the observed effects of TR6 on the immune response are due to the interference of TR6 with costimulation which could be due to either the binding of TR6 to LIGHT or interference of TR6 with the Fas/FasL pathway. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that TR6 might interfere with costimulation conducted via unidirectional interaction between Fas and FasL during the induction phase of the immune response. TR6 might also interfere with possible reverse signaling from TR6 to LIGHT.
can develop such defects suggests that LIGHT interaction with LTβR does not suffice to compensate the role of LTβ. Consequently, the possible interference of TR6 with the LIGHT and LTβR interaction will unlikely affect the humoral immune response. Besides, in the in vitro and in vivo MLR, and in the first 10 days of graft rejection as tested in our study, the humoral response has no essential role.

What is the function of endogenous TR6 in the immune system? TR6 is a secreted protein according to its sequence characteristics. Moreover, when TR6 was expressed in CHO cells, 239 cells, and s9 cells, it secreted into the supernatants, from which we purified the recombinant TR6 or TR6-Fc (the data of the first two cell types not shown). In the human system, TR6 protein can indeed be detected in T-cell culture supernatants, and its level is increased after mitogen stimulation (data not shown). It is conceivable that the increased level of soluble TR6 will enhance its regulatory effect once an immune response is ongoing. According to our current knowledge including that reported here, TR6 will likely block the interaction between certain pairs of TNF and TNF receptors, LIGHT/TR2 and Fas/FasL being two of them. We cannot exclude the possibility that TR6 might also interfere with the interaction between other known or unknown TNF and TNF receptor pairs. The end result of the TR6’s activity might depend on the TNF/TNF receptor pairs with which it interferes. The complex way of cytokine modulation by the recombinant TR6, which suppressed IL-2, IL-5, and GM-CSF production, enhanced IL-6 and IL-10 levels, and had no significant effects on IFN-γ secretion in MLR in vitro, is difficult to be explained simply by its interference on the one-way costimulation from LIGHT to TR2; rather it might just be a reflection of TR6’s effects on different TNF/TNF receptor pairs and/or on different subsets of cells expressing these molecules. We are currently exploring such additional immunoregulatory mechanisms of TR6. It is to be noted that certain tumors can also produce TR6, and this might be a mechanism for tumors to interfere the immune surveillance.

The use of Fc to make recombinant protein has the advantage of generating stable proteins with a detectable tag. On the other hand, a potential pitfall exists in that the Fc fusion protein could kill cells by activating the complement and/or by antibody-dependent cytotoxicity (ADCC). IL-2R-Fc has been shown to kill IL-2R-positive cells and blocks diabetogenic autoimmune through these two mechanisms (33). Fc could also exert direct suppressive signals in FcR-positive cells under certain conditions. These potential effects of Fc are largely irrelevant in our mouse models. The Fc in the fusion TR6 is of human IgG1, and thus binds poorly to mouse FcR. This argues against possible roles of ADCC and negative signaling via FcR in our mouse in vivo MLR (graft-versus-host response) and in vivo graft rejection. More convincingly, TR6-Fc and TR6 without the Fc tag had similar inhibitory effects on the development of cytotoxic T-cell activity using L5-specific mouse T cells stimulated with H-2d cells. Taken together, these data indicate that the immunoregulatory effects of TR6-Fc in our mouse model are independent of the human Fc tag in the fusion protein.

In conclusion, our study indicates that both in vitro and in vivo TR6 can exert important immunoregulatory functions, some of which have potential for therapeutic applications.
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