Fatal attraction: chemokines and type 1 diabetes
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Research discoveries within the past few years have dramatically reshaped our collective thought on the pathogenesis and natural history of type 1 diabetes (1). Many concepts once held as dogmas (e.g., B lymphocytes play no pathogenic role in type 1 diabetes; autoantibodies only serve as markers of the disease process; and Th1 cytokines are bad, in terms of the disease, whereas Th2 cytokines are good) have undergone major modification and, in some cases, have been completely reversed. It now appears that B lymphocytes play vital roles in presenting autoantigens necessary for disease development; that eliminating the maternal passage of antibodies can influence the subsequent rate of diabetes in offspring; and that the Th1/Th2 model has been saddled with so many “footnotes” regarding exceptions that it is now clear that initial hopes for a simple model to explain the immunopathogenesis of type 1 diabetes were, unfortunately, unrealistic.

In addition to dogmas falling, many forgotten or overlooked aspects of the immune system as they apply to type 1 diabetes have found new life. Among such old (and even a few new) notions generating increased interest are antigen-presenting cells (e.g., dendritic cells, B lymphocytes), nontraditional T cells (e.g., NK cells, NK cells), non–class I and –class II MHC molecules (e.g., CD1, MHC class I chain–related), cell receptors or intracellular pathways (e.g., toll, suppressor of cytokine signaling), and chemokines. A report by Kim and colleagues in this issue of the JCI investigates the role of chemokines and their receptors in T cell migration in a mouse model for human insulin-dependent diabetes (2).

**Chemokines: calling out the troops**

Chemokines represent a class of cytokines that have chemotactic properties (3–5). Simply put, cells with the appropriate chemokine receptor (e.g., eosinophils, fibroblasts, leukocytes, monocytes, neutrophils, NK cells, or other effector cells) will migrate to the source of chemokine production and release. Chemokines are produced by a wide variety of cell types in response to infection (e.g., bacterial or viral products) or agents that cause physical damage to a tissue. They are not the sole mediator of cell recruitment, a process that also requires cytokines to induce the expression of endothelial adhesion molecules and vasoactive mediators that promote leukocyte interactions with vessel endothelium. In addition to migration, chemokines display activities influencing angiogenesis, lymphocyte development, and direct defense against infection.

With more than 50 chemokines identified thus far, their nomenclature has, unfortunately, been problematic (3, 6, 7). Currently, they are classified into groups based on the position of cysteine motifs near the N-terminal portion of the molecule. The two largest families are termed CC and CXC. CC chemokines have two adjacent cysteines near their amino-terminus, whereas in CXC chemokines, the two cysteines are separated by another amino acid. CC chemokines bind to CC chemokine receptors (CCRs), whereas CXC chemokines bind to CXC chemokine receptors (CXCRs).
MIP-1β, CCR5, RANTES (regulated on activation, normal T cell expressed and secreted), MCP-3, MCP-5, and IFN-inducible protein-10 (IP-10) (12–14).

Some studies involving chemokines and humans with type 1 diabetes have been reported, but they are limited in that they focus on activities in peripheral blood. Two recent studies reported elevated serum levels of IP-10, a promotor of migration of activated Th1 cells, in individuals with type 1 diabetes or nondiabetic individuals at increased risk for the disease as defined by the presence of autoantibodies (15, 16). Persons with type 1 diabetes also reportedly have increased peripheral blood mononuclear cell expression of chemokine receptors CXCR4 (naive T cells), CCR5 and CXCR3 (Th1-associated), CCR3 and CCR4 (Th2-associated), as well as serum chemokine levels of MCP-1, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and RANTES (17). These and other studies also suggested reduced expression of the Th1-associated chemokine receptors CCR5 and CXCR3 in type 1 diabetes patients (17). Finally, a genetic association involving a single-base polymorphism in CCR2, a chemokine receptor involved in the trafficking of leukocytes in both basal and inflammatory states, has been described for persons with type 1 diabetes (18).

**Th1 … Th2 … Th3 … and now CCR4 in type 1 diabetes**

Assertions of abnormal cytokine production with type 1 diabetes abound (19) and have often been described as supporting or refuting a Th1/Th2 model for type 1 diabetes. The study by Kim et al. (2), however, goes far beyond previous studies by linking CCR4-bearing T cells with what is presumably a key facet of the autoimmune destruction of β cells: the recruitment of antigen-specific memory T cell effectors with the tissue-specific accumulation of antigen-presenting cells. Specifically, the investigators demonstrate that neutralization of macrophage-derived chemokines (MDCs) through antibody administration in NOD mice was capable of reducing the degree of insulitis (including a reduction in CCR4-positive T cells) as well as the rate of diabetes. Conversely, studies of MDC transgenic animals suggested that disease acceleration occurred via recruitment of CCR4-positive T cells (2) (Figure 1). Taken collectively, these authors portend that CCR4-positive T cells represent a key factor facilitating the migration of pathogenic T cell populations (i.e., antigen-specific memory T cell effectors) to the islet lesion.

What cautions should one apply to this study (2)? First, the efficacy of disrupting the formation of type 1 diabetes by blocking MDC was incomplete with respect to disease prevention. Potentially, this could be the result of other CCR4 ligands such as thymus- and activation-regulated chemokine (TARC), the ligand for CCR4, subserving the function of MDC. Moreover, the selective expression of TARC in islets and of MDC in the pancreatic lymph node suggests that further investigation of the temporal-spatial regulation of chemokine production might be important for a more complete understanding of the pathogenic mechanisms involved. Caution should also be exercised in evaluating the studies of islet antigen reactivity — in particular, responses to glutamate decarboxylase (GAD) and the BDC 2.5 antigen. The question of whether GAD is even present in murine β cells has been the subject of intense debate, and the biochemical nature of the BDC 2.5 antigen remains loosely defined. Finally, in terms of the potential therapeutic value of these studies, one could argue that we have been down this road before (a decade ago?) with a series of investigations suggesting that manipulation of the expression of integrins and adhesions could influence disease progression. The inability of agents to specifically interrupt such processes at a local level (i.e., pancreatic islets) has thus far limited the therapeutic potential of these findings, and such may also be the case for the observations surrounding chemokines and their receptors.

What does it all mean?

Despite the aforementioned caution regarding therapeutic potential, the findings are timely in that the type 1 diabetes field is actively seeking novel avenues for disease prevention. Type 1 diabetes among autoimmune disorders in that it can be identified months to years before the onset of clinical symptoms by a combination of immunologic and genetic markers (1). A key missing ingredient in attempts to prevent the disease has been a safe yet effective therapy capable of interrupting the autoimmune process in persons at high risk for developing the disease. Theoretically, the migration of peripheral T cells to the pancreatic islets (a process that we presume occurs over a period of months to years before a significant mass of β cells is destroyed) could pos-
possibly be interrupted by targeted disruption of CCR4-bearing T cells.

Besides prevention, another direction for further study is the mechanisms of disease pathogenesis. An interesting comparison between the study by Kim et al. (2) and that of Lohmann et al. (17) is that in the latter study, CCR4 cells were reduced in both newly diagnosed and long-standing type 1 diabetes patients, an association that also correlated to long-standing type 1 diabetes, reduced in both newly diagnosed and that in the latter study, CCR4 cells were reduced in a narrow time window at the time of diabetes diagnosis, possibly due to their extravasation in the inflamed pancreas. Thus, further studies involving longitudinal examination of chemokines and chemokine receptors in the period preceding the natural history of type 1 diabetes appear warranted to evaluate their role in the pathogenesis of the disease and, in addition, to provide evidence for diagnostic utility.