The hunting of the snark: the elusive calcium receptor(s)
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“For the snark’s a peculiar creature that won’t be caught in a commonplace way.” —Lewis Carroll, The Hunting of the Snark

The first hunt took a long time. The existence of a highly sensitive calcium-sensing receptor (CaSR) was postulated more than 30 years ago based on the exquisite ability of the parathyroid cell to respond to small changes in serum ionized calcium concentration (1). The gene encoding CaSR was finally cloned in 1993 (2). Shortly thereafter it was found that inactivating mutations in CaSR were responsible for familial hypocalciuric hypercalcemia (FHH) in heterozygous and for severe hyperparathyroidism in homozygous infants while activating mutations caused hypocalcemia (3, 4). Deletion of the mouse calcium receptor mimics the phenotype of FHH in heterozygote individuals. In homozygous mice there is early death due to hypercalcemia and a surprising skeletal phenotype of rickets, which suggested that CaSR might be essential for normal mineralization (5, 6).

Is CaSR important in bone?

There is substantial evidence that the classical Ca receptor also plays a role in the regulation of calcitonin secretion in the thyroid (7) and ion transport in the kidney, not only for calcium, but also, probably indirectly, for potassium (8). The receptor has also been identified in many other tissues including bone cells. However the role of this highly sensitive receptor in the skeleton, the organ that plays the largest role in controlling calcium in the body, remains elusive (9). Bone cells do respond to calcium, but the changes in ion concentrations required to produce cellular effects are much larger than the 1–2% changes in concentration that can alter parathyroid hormone secretion (PTH) secretion. In osteoblastic cells, high concentrations of calcium can affect cell replication and differentiation and the expression of the inducible cyclooxygenase COX-2 (10). However, immortalized osteoblastic cell lines from CasR gene-knockout mice still show a stimulation of DNA synthesis, activation of a serum response element, and inhibition of agonist-induced cAMP in response to high calcium concentrations (11).

High concentrations of calcium can also inhibit osteoclast activity (12). These responses differ from the parathyroid response not only in requiring large changes in calcium concentration, but also in their ion selectivity (13).

Double knockouts rescue the mice and their bones

In this issue of the JCI, two groups of investigators have developed ingenious double knockout models to permit CaSR-deficient mice to survive in an effort to define the potential importance of CaSR in mouse tissues other than the parathyroid glands. In the study by Kos et al. (14) the phenotype was rescued from hyperparathyroidism by the simple and direct approach of genetic ablation of the PTH gene itself. Quite a different strategy was used by Tu et al. (15). Previous studies had shown that deletion of the glial cells missing 2 (Gcm2) gene in the mouse resulted in failure of the development of the parathyroid glands (16). These animals exhibited only mild hypoparathyroidism. Both of these studies remind us that gene deletion may be a good way to “hunt for snarks”. The study by Tu et al. uncovered an auxiliary site for the regulation of calcium homeostasis: PTH production in the thymus. The importance of the role of this thymus source in humans is uncertain, since patients who have had all four parathyroids removed generally have severe hypoparathyroidism. The parathyroid hyperplasia that was observed by Kos
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et al. may be the signal for another snark hunt (Figure 1). The results imply that CaSR regulates parathyroid cell replication independently of PTH secretion. The development of primary hyperparathyroidism in transgenic mice over-expressing cyc1 D1 (17) suggests the existence of such a pathway and it will be important to find out how CaSR might affect this pathway.

The results of these two studies were concordant and perhaps disappointing in that both forms of rescue resulted in the production of mice with an essentially normal skeletal phenotype. However, there were abnormalities of calcium regulation. In the Gem2-deficient mice hypocalcuria persisted while in the PTH-knockout animals there was increased expression of calcium transporters in the kidney and greater variability of serum calcium concentration and of urine calcium excretion. This is presumably due to absence of fine regulation by PTH.

Perhaps disappointing was the finding that the skeletal changes associated with CaSR deficiency were essentially abrogated in both models. The mice rescued by Gem2 deficiency showed no detectable skeletal abnormalities while there was a small increase in bone mineral density of vertebrae of the female PTH–/– rescued animals but no significant changes by dynamic histomorphometry. However, neither model was subjected to perturbations of skeletal remodeling, which might bring out a role for CaSR. On the other hand, the results suggest that the severe osteomalacia seen in single knockout (Figure 2) is a direct consequence of PTH excess, and this raises new questions.

Where is the snark?

How can we now reconcile the data demonstrating that calcium regulates bone cell function, as well as the function of many other cells, with the finding that CaSR-deficient mice show so few abnormalities when hyperparathyroidism is prevented? There is evidence for calcium responsiveness in bone cells that lack CaSR. This should be no surprise since calcium regulation of cell function is so fundamental in biology and since the concentration-response relations for calcium effects as well as the ion selectivity appear to differ in different tissues. On the other hand there is evidence for CaR gene expression in bone. Could the present results indicate that this expression is vestigial or non-functional and that other calcium receptors in cells are even more important than CaSR? For example, there are glutamate receptors present in bone that may play a role in the response to mechanical forces (18), and these receptors are responsive to larger changes in the calcium concentration (19).

To resolve these questions it will be important to determine whether CaSR is truly a regulator in bone. This will require demonstration that the functional protein is present in the cell membrane. On the other hand, a careful analysis of cellular responses to calcium in cells lacking CaSR should also be possible using the present models, leading to identification of other elusive calcium receptors. Inducible and/or targeted knockout of CaSR in bone cells may be necessary to fully define its function since embryonic knockout may produce compensatory pathways. The alternative calcium-sensing mechanisms that regulate cell function in the absence of CaSR might involve other classical transmembrane proteins, but might also involve alterations in membrane function through interaction of calcium with membrane phospholipids. Whatever the mechanism, the effects of calcium are likely to be critically important in physiologic and pathologic regulation of bone cells. This could be true not only for high calcium concentrations, which might accelerate bone formation and decrease bone resorption, but for low concentrations that might have the opposite effect. The effect of lowering calcium concentration is striking in the parathyroid gland, but has not been examined extensively in bone cells. If phosphate concentration is increased locally during mineralization, calcium concentration is likely to decrease. This might be the signal that turns off further matrix synthesis by osteoblasts. The Neumans pointed out more than 50 years ago that extracellular fluid is super-saturated relative to the bone mineral, hydroxyapatite (20). Neuman and Neuman postulated that the removal of a cell and/or protein coating of the mineral would result in a local drop in calcium concentration that could enhance osteoclast migration and activity. We must learn more about how bone cells sense calcium to determine how this all-important ion that is stored in bone can regulate its own fate.
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Genetic evidence supporting Guyton’s hypothesis: the kidney is a main player in the long-term control of blood pressure

Hypertension is the most common disease of the human population. Both genetic and non-genetic factors are involved and high salt intake has been proposed as a major risk factor. According to the hypothesis put forward by Guyton, over 20 years ago, control of blood pressure in the steady-state and on a long-term basis is critically dependent on renal mechanisms (1). During the last decade, a number of genes expressed in the distal part of the nephron have been shown to be directly involved in the control of blood pressure (2). Mutations in single genes that cause isolated Mendelian syndromes characterized by hypertension or hypotension have been identified and, remarkably, these genes have all turned out to be involved in the control of sodium chloride absorption by the distal nephron (Table 1). The distal nephron (Figure 1) is composed of the distal convoluted tubule, the connecting tubule and various segments of the collecting duct. The fact that these are the terminal segments of the nephron affords them the final word, so to speak, on how much salt is excreted. This underlies their critical importance in the maintenance of salt balance, which in turn controls the volume of the extracellular space and blood pressure. The reabsorption of sodium in the distal tubule and the collecting tubules is closely regulated, chiefly by the action of the hormone aldosterone.

WNK kinases repress Na–Cl cotransporter activity

Pseudohypoaldosteronism type II (PHAII) or Gordon syndrome is an autosomal dominant disease, characterized by hypertension and hyperkalemia, despite a normal glomerular filtration rate. In addition, plasma renin levels are low, however plasma aldosterone is often in the normal range, but inappropriately low with respect to the observed high level of plasma potassium, a strong stimulus of aldosterone secretion (3, 4). Disease-associated physiological abnormalities can be corrected by administration of thiazide diuretics. The use of the term pseudohypoaldosteronism here is somewhat questionable since salt retention is observed in this syndrome. Hypertension, rather than salt wasting, is also observed. We prefer the term familial hyperkalemic hypertension, which more accurately describes the pathophysiology. The disease is genetically heterogeneous and three loci have been identified on chromosomes 1 (PHA2A), 17 (PHA2B), and 12 (PHA2C). Furthermore, genetic heterogeneity demonstrate the existence of at least a fourth locus (3). Mutations in two members of a novel serine/threonine kinase family named WNK (with no lysine K, i.e. lacking a lysine typical of the catalytic domain of this kinase family) — WNK1 and WNK4 — map to the PHA2A and PHA2B loci, respectively. The WNK1 mutations are large intronic deletions that were postulated to increase gene expression and increase enzymatic activity (4). In contrast, WNK 4 mutations (E562K, D564A, and Q565E) map to a small stretch of amino acids that lies outside the catalytic domain that is fully conserved between WNK1 and WNK4 (4). WNK1 is ubiquitously expressed in many tissues and organs and seems restricted to diverse chloride-transporting epithelia (5). In the kidney, WNK1 is restricted to the aldosterone-sensitive distal nephron.