Blockade of CC Chemokine Receptor 5 (CCR5)–Tropic Human Immunodeficiency Virus–1 Replication in Human Lymphoid Tissue by CC Chemokines
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Abstract

The CC chemokines MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and RANTES suppress replication of certain HIV-1 strains in cultured PBMC and T cell lines by blocking interaction of gp120 with CC chemokine receptor 5 (CCR5). However, the same chemokines can enhance HIV-1 replication in cultured macrophages. The net effect of chemokines on HIV-1 infection in intact lymphoid tissue, the major reservoir of HIV-1 in vivo, is unknown and unpredictable since the tissue contains both T lymphocytes and macrophages. Here we show that exogenous MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and RANTES markedly suppressed replication of CCR5-tropic HIV-1 strains in blocks of human lymphoid tissue infected ex vivo. Moreover, endogenous MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and RANTES were upregulated in tissues infected ex vivo with CXC chemokine receptor 4-tropic but not CCR5-tropic HIV-1. Such an upregulation may contribute to the virus phenotype shift in the course of HIV disease in vivo. (J. Clin. Invest. 1998. 101:1876–1880.) Key words: AIDS • HIV • chemokine • leukocyte • receptor

Introduction

HIV infection in vivo is typically transmitted with virus variants classified as non-syncytium-inducing or macrophage (M)-tropic (1). These viral variants infect PBMC and macrophages but not certain CD4+ T cell lines. Usually these viruses predominate in early stages of HIV disease. Development of AIDS is often associated with a shift to predominance of T cell line (T)-tropic/syncytium–inducing viruses (2, 3). These strains can infect T cell lines and PBMC but not macrophages. The molecular determinants of HIV-1 tropism include the sequence of the gp120 portion of the viral envelope glycoprotein and specific chemokine receptors on target cells, which together with CD4 mediate fusion of the viral envelope with the target cell membrane (4).

In vitro, M-tropic HIV-1 isolates use CC chemokine receptor 5 (CCR5)² as a coreceptor for viral entry, whereas T-tropic strains use CXC chemokine receptor 4 (CXCR4) (5–8). An important role for CCR5 for HIV infection in vivo was recently proved by finding that humans homozygous for an inactive mutant CCR5 allele have greatly diminished risk of infection with HIV-1 (9–12). CCR5 is the natural receptor for three CC chemokines, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and RANTES (13–15). In vitro, these chemokines inhibit infection by various CCR5-tropic HIV-1 strains of T cell lines transfected with CCR5, of cell lines expressing endogenous CCR5, and of PBMC (5, 7, 8, 16) by blocking interaction of the viral envelope glycoprotein gp120 with CCR5 on the cell surface (8, 17). This has suggested that the chemokines themselves or chemokine derivatives could be developed as therapeutic and/or prophylactic agents against HIV-1 infection. However, through an unknown mechanism, the same chemokines can enhance replication of the same strains of HIV-1 in macrophages (18). Moreover, the effect of CC chemokines on HIV infection in lymphoid tissue, the major site of replication in vivo (19–21), is completely unknown. Various cell types including lymphocytes and macrophages are present in lymphoid tissue and are engaged in a complex interaction within the tissue cytoarchitecture. Based on experiments with isolated cells of one particular subset, it is impossible to predict the net effect of CC chemokines on HIV-1 replication in organized tissue. Recently we have developed a new model for studying HIV infection in blocks of human lymphoid tissue (histocultures) which retain their cytoarchitecture and support HIV-1 replication without exogenous activators (22, 23). Here we report the effect of MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and RANTES on HIV-1 infection in this system.

Methods

Human tonsils obtained from patients undergoing tonsillectomy and mesenteric lymph nodes obtained from patients undergoing abdominal surgery for various indications were dissected into ~2-mm blocks and incubated on top of collagen gels at the air-liquid interface. Culture medium was changed every 3 d. Detailed culture methods have been described previously (22, 23).

Lymphoid histocultures were infected with the laboratory strains of HIV-1, LAV.04 and SF162, and with a primary HIV-1 isolate No. 302074 from an American infant (AIDS Research and Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases [NIAID], National Institutes of Health [NIH], Bethesda, MD). To inoculate the lymphoid histocultures, 1–5 μl of clarified virus-containing medium (equivalent to 400–600 TCID₅₀) was slowly applied on top of each tissue block. This dosage of HIV results in replication kinetics with a maximum within 12–14 d after infection (22, 23) which is the standard time frame for culturing the tissue blocks. Based on the average number of cells per block, this amount of virus corresponds to ~0.001 TCID₅₀ per histoculture cell, a dosage less than that typically used for PBMC infection.

Two forms of MIP-1α were used, and both gave similar results. The BB10010 variant of MIP-1α was obtained from British Biotech, Oxford, United Kingdom (a generous gift of L. Czaplewski); recombinant wild type human MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and RANTES were ob-
Chemokines were added to the culture medium bathing the tissue blocks 3 h before infection, and then every 3 d with each medium change. The concentration of p24 and of CC chemokines in the medium was measured by ELISA (Cellular Products Inc., Buffalo, NY or NCI, Frederick, MD for p24 antigen, and R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN for CC chemokines).

**Results and Discussion**

Histocultures of human tonsils or mesenteric lymph nodes ~ 2 mm in diameter were cultured on top of collagen gel at the air-liquid interface. Consistent with our previous reports (22, 23), the tissue supported productive infection with the CCR5-
tropic strain SF162 and the nonsyncytium-inducing primary isolate No. 302074, as well as with the CCR5-independent strain LAV.04 which uses CXCR4 as a coreceptor (24). All three isolates replicated exponentially during the first 12 d of infection as evaluated by the amount of p24 in the bathing medium (Fig. 1).

Flow cytometry of cells mechanically isolated from tonsillar tissue showed that CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, B cells (CD19+), and macrophages (CD68+/HLA-DR+) were present in the tissue. This confirms our previously reported results obtained using immunohistochemical detection methodology (23). In the tissues from two donors, the number of macrophages was 25 and 33% of the number of T lymphocytes, respectively. On day 11 after infection, 1.9% of T cells and 0.2% of macrophages were p24+ for one donor, and 1.8% of T cells and 0.1% of macrophages were p24+ for the other. Although the contribution of each cell type to total HIV-1 replication in the tissue is unknown, it is clear that both cell types are productively infected in this system with productively infected lymphocytes ~50-fold more abundant than productively infected macrophages.

Replication of SF162 in tonsillar tissue was inhibited by MIP-1α when it was added at 100 nM before infection and replenished every 3 d thereafter with each media change (Fig. 1 a). At the maximum of SF162 replication, p24 production in the MIP-1α–treated histocultures was approximately eightfold lower than in infected untreated control lymphoid tissues from the same donor. Similar results were obtained in experiments on lymph node histocultures with MIP-1α and MIP-1β (Fig. 1, b and c). RANTES added at 100 nM also inhibited SF162 replication in histocultures of human lymphoid tissue (Fig. 1 d). The extent of inhibition observed for all three chemokines tested at 100 nM was not significantly different. MIP-1α at a concentration of 100 nM also inhibited replication of the primary isolate No. 302074 in human tonsillar tissue (Fig. 1 e). The inhibition of HIV-1 replication was virus specific: MIP-1α at 100 nM failed to inhibit infection with the CXCR4-tropic strain LAV.04 (Fig. 1 f).

It is important to note that a low level of virus replication persisted even when the tissue was continuously treated with CC chemokines (Fig. 1 a–e). Residual replication in the continuous presence of chemokines could reflect either incom-
plete blocking of CCR5 or the use of an alternative, albeit a less efficient, coreceptor. Residual low level replication was not due to increased capacity of the tissue to consume MIP-1α during the course of the infection (data not shown). If MIP-1α was not replenished at the day 3 media change, viral replication increased to 50% of control levels measured at day 12 after infection (data not shown). Thus, high levels of suppression require continuous presence of CC chemokines.

In contrast to the consistent ability of MIP-1α to markedly suppress SF162 replication when tested at 100 nM, the magnitude of suppression by lower concentrations of MIP-1α was highly variable among different donors. At one extreme, in tissues from 5 out of 10 donors, at least one of the low concentrations of MIP-1α (1 or 10 nM) significantly stimulated viral replication relative to the control tonsillar tissue from the same donor (Fig. 2a). In other tissue blocks, either there was no effect on viral replication, or it was inhibited by these concentrations of MIP-1α (Fig. 2a). The nature of the effect (inhibition versus stimulation versus no effect) was evident at the earliest time points when p24 in the medium became detectable, and then persisted throughout the culture period (Fig. 2, b–d). The absolute level of viral replication in tissue from different donors did not predict whether MIP-1α would stimulate or inhibit viral replication, nor did it correlate with the magnitude of stimulation or inhibition.

The reason for donor-to-donor variability for HIV suppression with low doses of chemokines is unknown, but could include differences in expression of chemokine-directed proteases or in sequestering of chemokine, for example by binding to glycosaminoglycans, as well as differences in the ligand binding affinity for CCR5. The reported affinity has varied widely in different studies of the recombinant receptor, and is unknown for the native leukocyte receptor (13). Whatever the factors for donor-to-donor variability at low concentrations, clearly 100 nM of the CC chemokines overcomes them. This concentration was also needed for maximally effective suppression of CCR5-tropic viral replication in PBMCs (5).

Since addition of as little as 1 nM of exogenous MIP-1α was able to affect viral replication in tissue from some donors, we evaluated whether endogenous CC chemokines reach a similar level. Although blocks of human tonsillar tissue cultured in vitro continued to secrete CC chemokines into the medium throughout the culture period, the level was much lower: the average concentration in 12 experiments with tissues from different donors over the 12-d culture period was 0.025 ± 0.006 nM for MIP-1α and 0.040 ± 0.016 nM for MIP-1β. However, the variation among different donors was high and for some donors reached 0.1–0.4 nM.

We next tested whether viral replication could modulate endogenous chemokine production in tonsillar tissue cultures. Previously it was shown that MIP-1α and MIP-1β are induced in isolated human monocytes after infection with a CCR5-tropic HIV-1 isolate (25). However, the CCR5-tropic isolate SF162 had no effect on endogenous levels of MIP-1α, MIP-1β, or RANTES produced by lymphoid tissue (Fig. 3, a and b). In contrast, CXCR4-tropic LAV.04 infection dramatically increased the amount of CC chemokines produced by lymphoid tissue starting from day 6 after infection (Fig. 3a). During days 10–12 after infection, tissue blocks infected with LAV.04 released ~10-fold more MIP-1β and sixfold more MIP-1α compared to control (uninfected tissues from the same donor) (Fig. 3b). RANTES secretion was much less affected (Fig. 3b). Thus, paradoxically, MIP-1α and MIP-1β secretion in lymphoid tissue is upregulated by the CCR5-independent, CXCR4-tropic isolate LAV.04, but was not affected by the CCR5-tropic isolate SF162.

The maximal concentration of endogenous CC chemokine released to the media by tissue infected with CXCR4-tropic HIV-1 is at least 10-fold lower than the concentration of exogenous chemokine required to inhibit CCR5-tropic HIV-1 replication. However, the difference in the tissue may be much less. Conceivably, the concentration of endogenous CC chemokines in CXCR4-tropic virus-infected tissue could reach levels needed to modulate HIV-1 interaction with CCR5. If so, this would potentially provide a selective advantage for a CXCR4-tropic virus over a CCR5-tropic virus, and potentially...
explain the well-characterized viral phenotype switch from CCR5- to CXCR4-tropic virus that has been observed during the late stages of HIV pathogenesis (1–8). It is important to point out however that this switch does not occur in all patients (2), just as suppression of CCR5-tropic viral replication by low doses of exogenous CC chemokines did not occur in tissues from all individuals in our study. Whether this correlation has causal significance requires further study. In addition to directly regulating virus-coreceptor interactions, HIV-1–induced endogenous chemokines could act to chemotactract additional uninfected target cells (T cells and monocytes) to sites of active viral replication (25).

In conclusion, our results demonstrate that the CC chemokines, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and RANTES can be used to inhibit replication of CCR5-tropic but not CXCR4-tropic HIV-1 in human lymphoid tissue, and are consistent with the notion that CCR5 is the major coreceptor used in vivo by CCR5-tropic HIV-1 variants. Inhibition of viral infection required the continuous presence of high doses of CC chemokines, which were quite stable in the tissue. Unexpectedly, we found that low concentrations of CC chemokines stimulated viral replication in tissue from some donors. If stimulation depends on chemokine-induced signaling, then recently described pure antagonists of CCR5 may allow more consistent inhibition at low concentrations (26). The low level of residual viral replication in the presence of CC chemokines in our experiments suggests that, if they were to be used clinically, combination with other drugs would be necessary to completely inhibit viral infection in lymphoid tissue. In this regard, our system of cultured blocks of intact human lymphoid tissue may be useful for guiding the development of combinations of CC chemokines or CC chemokine-based drugs with other antivirals for clinical application.
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