Do allelic variants of SLC6A14 predispose to obesity?
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Obesity is arguably the world’s most prevalent nutritional disorder and is a substantial contributor to morbidity and early mortality. Obesity is known to have a strong genetic component, but the specific influential genes in humans are largely unknown. A new paper describes a genetic variant that appears as though it may cause some people to be fatter or thinner than others (see the related article beginning on page 1762). This commentary considers the strength of the evidence in support of this finding and discusses additional research questions that should be addressed in further evaluations of this genetic variant as a putative contributor to human obesity.

The photograph courtesy of the U.S. National Library of Medicine’s historic image archive (Figure 1) is entitled Dr. E. Brown, the Largest Man in America. — From a Portrait by Mr. J.R. Dix. Dr. Brown appears to be a late 19th-century man. Although a man of his size would have been rare in any day, tragically, he would be far less rare today than in his own time. Rates of obesity in general and severe obesity in particular have continued to climb (1). Many people believe that we will best be able to address the problem of obesity when we better understand the roots of its origins. Beyond the near-tautological insight from the first law of thermodynamics that obesity is the result of taking in more energy than we expend, little is unequivocally known about the specific factors that cause variations in adiposity among most humans. We now look to genomic research as one place to seek such answers.

For the last decade or so, a popular strategy that has held high hope for finding genes influencing traits like fatness in humans is the so-called genome scan for linkage. In this method, the genotypes of related individuals are assessed at numerous (hundreds) of roughly evenly spaced points (markers) along the genome. Then, at each point, a statistical test is performed to evaluate whether there is convincing evidence that the degree of phenotypic similarity between any given pair of related individuals is associated with their degree of genotypic similarity at the location in question. If so, we state that there is evidence of linkage. As we write this commentary, a meeting is being held at the National Institutes of Health to examine some issues related to the use of phenotyping in genetic studies of obesity, particularly what traits should be measured and how best to measure them. The rationale for this conference, as put forward by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, is that “the genetics of human obesity has been very intensively studied, with at least ten genome scans already published. These scans have yielded a large number of suggestive genetic linkage findings, with a moderate amount of replication of findings among independent studies. However, these studies have yet to result in the identification of many of the genes predisposing to obesity” (2). This is in contrast to the relative success that has been obtained in identifying certain rare Mendelian forms of obesity such as Bardet-Biedl syndrome. Despite recent successes in implicating specific genes and genomic regions in obesity-related phenotypes in humans, the genes predisposing individuals to the common non-Mendelian form of obesity remain unknown. Chagnon et al. recently stated “QTLs [quantitative trait loci] reported from animal models currently number 168; there are 68 human QTLs for obesity phenotypes from genome-wide scans. Additionally, significant linkage peaks with candidate genes have been identified in targeted studies. Seven genomic regions harbor QTLs replicated among two to five studies. Attempts to relate DNA sequence variation in specific genes to obesity phenotypes continues to increase, with 222 studies reporting positive associations with 71 candidate genes” (3). However most of these associations have not been convincingly replicated (4–6).

*Found: a putative obesity susceptibility gene.* It seems likely that the predisposition to obesity involves multiple genes, environmental factors, and developmental processes, and their interactions (7, 8). The specific genes and their relative potency probably differ among populations and ethnic groups. In a previous genome scan of Finnish obese nuclear families, using an affected sibling pair (sibpair) design, Suvio-Laiti and colleagues identified a locus on chromosome Xq24 with a strong link to obesity (9). In this issue of the *JCI*, this talented group of investigators has demonstrated, using clever and thorough experimental design, the localization of a putative obesity susceptibility gene, solute carrier family 6...
member 14 (SLC6A14), on the X chromosome in a Finnish population (10). Fine mapping of the original 15-Mb linkage region on Xq24 for 11 positional and functional candidate genes was achieved using a combination of nine microsatellite markers and 36 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in 218 obese Finnish sibpairs (body mass index [BMI] > 30 kg/m²). Using haplotype analysis, the authors reduced the linkage region from 15 Mb to 4 Mb. In this 4-Mb region, they identified three functional candidate genes, AGTR2, SLC6A14, and SLC25A5, which were tested for association in 117 cases and 182 controls. Significant evidence for association was observed for a SNP in the SLC6A14 gene region and also with the SNP haplotypes of the same gene. The authors further investigated SLC6A14 using 837 cases and 968 controls from Finland and Sweden, and a significant difference in allele frequency was observed in both the original and independent populations, as well as with functional assays in vitro (expression studies in different cell lines) and in vivo (transgenic and knockout animal models). A procedure designed to identify the causal gene(s) of a particular phenotype within a QTL (13) is summarized in Figure 2.

In their effort to identify a susceptibility gene for adiposity, Suviolahti et al. (10) have used a standard research design (as depicted in Figure 2), and their results are impressive. However, future functional analysis in vitro and in vivo may lead to a better understanding of the causal influence (or lack thereof) of SLC6A14 on the obesity phenotype.

**Confirming the link.** The first step in any linkage study is to establish linkage to certain genomic regions using sibpairs or an extended families design. However, it is possible that the initial linkage results represent false positives, and therefore other sources of evidence are required in order to determine which linkage results are most likely to harbor genuine susceptibility loci. This can be accomplished by replicating the linkage results by association analysis to compare the frequency of the putative causal mutation in affected individuals and in appropriate controls (6). The relevance of the detected mutations is confirmed with additional association studies in both the original and independent populations, as well as with combined Finnish and Swedish population, which is not surprising and could be due to genetic heterogeneity. The SLC6A14 gene is a likely candidate gene for obesity, as it is related to serotonin and serotonergic receptor mechanisms that have been implicated in the control of appetite and body weight (11, 12).

**Other related findings.** There are very few reports describing significant linkage of BMI with markers on the X chromosome (10, 14–16). Using sibpair data, Hagar et al. (14) reported linkage of BMI to markers on the X chromosome with test statistics, denoted as maximum likelihood score (MLS) statistics, as high as 2.42, which is generally taken to be “suggestive” evidence for linkage (17). Price et al. (15) tested for evidence of linkage of five adiposity-related traits (BMI, percent body fat, hip and waist circumferences, and waist-to-hip ratio [WHR]) to genetic variation in the X-chromosome in two cohorts of European-American and African American pedigrees. Suggestive linkage was found for WHR in women only. The suggestive linkage was 14–34 cM distal from the locus on Xp22 identified by Hagar et al. Stone et al. (16) also found suggestive linkage in the same genomic region, Xp21–22, as did Hagar et al. The genome-wide scan of Finnish sibpairs reported significant linkage between BMI and markers in a region on Xq24 (10). Clearly, the divergent results of Hager et al. and Suviolahti et al. illustrate the problems associated in replicating linkage studies of complex diseases such as obesity.

Significant evidence of association for an SNP3 of SLC6A14 gene (P = 0.0002) with BMI was observed using a case-control sample of only male subjects from the Finnish population with the G-allele associated with a significantly greater risk of being obese. However, an attempt to replicate the SNP3 association using an independent sample of
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**Figure 1**

Dr. E. Brown, the Largest Man in America. — From a Portrait by Mr. J.R. Dix. Image courtesy of the U.S. National Library of Medicine.
obese and normal controls from combined data from the Swedish and Finnish populations gave contradictory results, namely, the C-allele (rather than G-allele) was found to be associated with a higher risk of being obese rather than non-obese ($P = 0.003$). A similar result was observed when analyzing female subjects only.

There are several reasons that may explain why this replication failed. First, genetic heterogeneity could have been a driving force in changing the direction of the results observed. Second, it is evident from the results that there is a sex-specific component in the predisposition to obesity. To avoid genetic heterogeneity, one should first try to replicate significant findings utilizing a population with the same genetic background. Because males were used in initial association study, it would have been better to replicate the significance of an association by using an independent sample set of males from the Finnish cohort.

The results reported by Suviolahti and colleagues in this issue (10) raise a number of questions. First and foremost, is the polymorphism studied causative of variations in adiposity? Page et al. (18) outline a line of thinking based on a Popperian falsification framework to help address such questions. In this framework, one successively tries to disprove one’s own hypothesis. The repeated inability to disprove the hypothesis is considered as evidence in support of the theory. Clearly, a number of competing explanations need to be ruled out before we can definitively accept the association of the polymorphism under study with BMI as indicative of causation. Such competing explanations include, but are not limited to, the possibility that the polymorphism studied is in tight linkage disequilibrium with a causative polymorphic locus, stochastic variation, and spurious association due to disequilibrium between the polymorphism studied and unlinked causative loci. This final possibility is often attributed to concerns about admixture and thought to be of minimal concern in reputedly more homogeneous populations such as the Finns (19). However, assortative mating — a form of nonrandom mating in which the probability of two individuals mating with each other depends on functions of their joint phenotypic characteristics — can also produce such spurious associations. Positive assortment — whereby people mate with individuals that are phenotypically more similar to themselves (i.e., “birds of a feather flock together”) — is believed to be the most common form of mating. The Finns (like most populations) have clearly been shown to assortatively mate for obesity-related phenotypes (20).

Second, if the association is causal, what is the extent of the effect? Because of both the phenotypically selected nature of the sample and the bias inherent in estimating the magnitude of effects when those effects have been found as a result of conducting a genome scan (21), the magnitude of the putative effect of the $\text{SLC6A14}$ locus is difficult to estimate from the present study (10) and might be best addressed in an independent quasi-random sample of the population of interest.

Finally, if the observed association between obesity and $\text{SLC6A14}$ is causal, what is the biological mechanism and what are the implications? If functioning of the catecholamine neurotransmitter system is involved, does this have implications for the pharmacogenetics of the response to certain antiobesity drugs that act on these systems and certain antipsychotic drugs that cause weight gain (7)? We look
forward to learning the answers to these questions.
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Mutations in a variety of genes can cause congenital agammaglobulinemia and a failure of B cell development. The currently known genes encode components of the pre-B cell receptor or proteins that are activated by cross-linking of the pre-B cell receptor. Defects in these genes result in a block in B cell differentiation at the pro-B to pre-B cell transition. A patient with a translocation involving a previously unknown gene, LRRC8, demonstrated a block at exactly the same point in B cell differentiation (see the related article beginning on page 1707). It will be interesting to determine whether the protein encoded by this gene interacts with the pre-B cell receptor signal transduction pathway or is involved in a new pathway.
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