Inhibition of diabetic nephropathy by a decoy peptide corresponding to the “handle” region for nonproteolytic activation of prorenin
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We found that when a site-specific binding protein interacts with the “handle” region of the prorenin prosegment, the prorenin molecule undergoes a conformational change to its enzymatically active state. This nonproteolytic activation is completely blocked by a decoy peptide with the handle region structure, which competitively binds to such a binding protein. Given increased plasma prorenin in diabetes, we examined the hypothesis that the nonproteolytic activation of prorenin plays a significant role in diabetic organ damage. Streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats were treated with subcutaneous administration of handle region peptide. Metabolic and renal histological changes and the renin-Ang system components in the plasma and kidneys were determined at 8, 16, and 24 weeks following streptozotocin treatment. Kidneys of diabetic rats contained increased Ang I and II without any changes in renin, Ang-converting enzyme, or angiotensinogen synthesis. Treatment with the handle region peptide decreased the renal content of Ang I and II, however, and completely inhibited the development of diabetic nephropathy without affecting hyperglycemia. We propose that the nonproteolytic activation of prorenin may be a significant mechanism of diabetic nephropathy. The mechanism and substances causing nonproteolytic activation of prorenin may serve as important therapeutic targets for the prevention of diabetic organ damage.

Introduction
The most striking abnormalities of the renin-Ang system (RAS) in the blood of diabetic animals are the decreased renin level and the increased prorenin level (1). Indeed, increased blood prorenin levels in human diabetics have been reported to predict microvascular complications (2). Recent studies have demonstrated that transgenic rats expressing prorenin have severe renal histopathology mimicking diabetic nephrosclerosis without hypertension (3) and show evidence that circulating prorenin may enter organs (4). The mechanism whereby intracellular prorenin causes organ damage remained unclear, however.

Prorenin has a prosegment of 43 amino acid residues attached to the N terminus of mature (active) renin, and the prosegment folds into an active site cleft of mature renin to prevent catalytically productive interaction with angiotensinogen. When a prorenin-binding protein interacts with the “handle” region of the prorenin prosegment, the prorenin molecule undergoes a conformational change to an enzymatically active state (5). This phenomenon is called nonproteolytic activation, and such binding proteins include a specific Ab to the prosegment (5), the N-acyl-D-glucosamine 2-epimerase (6), the mannose-6-phosphate receptor (7, 8), or the prorenin/renin receptor (9). These findings indicate the strong possibility that a peptide with the structure of this handle region (handle region peptide, or HRP; see Figure 1) must competitively bind to such a binding protein as a decoy peptide and inhibit the nonproteolytic activation of prorenin.

In the present study, we used such a decoy peptide to demonstrate a novel mechanism whereby prorenin as such, without proteolytic activation, causes organ damage by comparing levels of the RAS components in the kidney and plasma during the development of diabetic nephropathy. HRP clearly prevented development of diabetic nephropathy and suppressed an increase of renal Angs while the total renal renin plus prorenin remained unaltered. To clarify the mechanism whereby prorenin causes organ damage, we investigated the alteration of the RAS component levels in the plasma and kidneys during the development of diabetic nephropathy and the in vitro and in vivo effects of HRP on their alteration levels.

Results
In vitro effects of HRP on binding of prorenin to prorenin Abs. To examine the affinity, specificity, and dose of HRP in the inhibitory effects on the binding of rat prorenin to its Abs, immunoblot analysis of recombinant prorenin to the Ab for the anti-handle region was performed. The binding of recombinant prorenin to the Ab for the anti-handle region was completely inhibited by 1 μM HRP (RILLK-KMPSV) but was not influenced by the peptides representing other regions of the prorenin prosegment (SFGR or MTRISAE) (Figure 2A). Similar results were also obtained at 10 and 100 nM HRP. In
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addition, HRP did not affect the binding of prorenin to Ab against proteolytically activated renin (Figure 2B). These results suggest that HRP can specifically bind to the anti–HRP Ab with a high affinity and inhibit the binding of prorenin to anti–HRP Ab in vitro. During in vitro preliminary experiments, we had expressed rat prorenin/renin receptor protein (accession number AB188298 in the DNA Databank of Japan) in COS-7 cells and showed binding and activation of recombinant rat prorenin by 20% ± 2.5% of the trypsin-activatable level (350 ng Ang I/ml/hr). This activation was practically abolished by rat HRP (10P–19P) used as a decoy at 1 μM, but not by another prosegment heptapeptide (30P–36P) outside the handle region, indicating specific inhibitory action of HRP against prorenin activation. We also found that prorenin activated by rat prorenin/renin receptor expressed on COS-7 cells could be bound to anti–rat HRP Abs on the cells, visualized by using intensifying second Ab conjugated with peroxidase. This result indicated that prorenin activated by the receptor protein still had a prosegment including the handle region and that activation of prorenin by the receptor protein was presumably not due to a proteolytic mechanism but was due to a conformational change. Analogous to the prorenin/renin-binding protein, polyclonal Abs to the rat HRP also activated rat prorenin by 20% ± 1.0% of the maximum activation attainable by trypsin, and the activation was practically abolished by 1 μM HRP used as a decoy. These results indicate that specific binding of prorenin either to the receptor protein or Ab to HRP results in a significant activation of prorenin. These observations provide in vitro evidence that HRP inhibits the activation by competing out the binding of the prorenin receptor or HRP Abs to prorenin.

**Metabolic changes and urinary protein excretion.** We determined metabolic changes and urinary protein excretion in nondiabetic control rats with saline minipumps (C rats), nondiabetic control rats with HRP-containing minipumps (C + HRP rats), diabetic rats with saline-containing minipumps (DM rats), and diabetic rats with HRP minipumps (DM + HRP rats) during the 24-week treatment period (Figure 3). The body weight of the DM rats averaged 231 ± 15 g at 28 weeks of age and was significantly smaller than that of the C rats (590 ± 10 g). The basal BP of the C and DM rats averaged 123 ± 2 and 122 ± 2 mmHg, respectively, and the BP had been similar in the C and DM rats during the 24-week treatment period. The blood glucose levels of the DM rats averaged 509 ± 34 mg/dl at 8 weeks of age (4 weeks of diabetes) and was significantly higher than those of the C rats (132 ± 14 mg/dl). Higher blood glucose levels of the DM rats versus the C rats were maintained during the 24-week treatment period. Continuous infusion of HRP by subcutaneous minipumps did not affect the body weight, BP, or blood glucose levels of either control or diabetic rats during the 24-week treatment period, although the body weight of diabetic rats increased at 24 and 28 weeks of age. In the DM rats, urinary protein excretion significantly increased from 20.0 ± 3.1 at 4 weeks of age (0 weeks of diabetes) to 118.8 ± 11.9 mg/day at 28 weeks of age (24 weeks of diabetes), and HRP practically normalized the increased urinary protein excretion in diabetic rats to levels similar to those of the control rats. The urinary protein excretion at 28 weeks of age (24 weeks of diabetes) in the DM + HRP rats averaged 33.0 ± 4.1 mg/day and was similar to that in the C and C + HRP rats.

**Morphology and immunohistochemistry.** Figure 4A shows changes in renal morphology in the C, C + HRP, DM, and DM + HRP rats during the 24-week treatment period. We did not observe any histological changes in the kidney of the DM rats up to 12 weeks of age (8 weeks of diabetes), but glomerulosclerosis began to develop at 20 weeks of age (16 weeks of diabetes) and was exacerbated at 28 weeks of age (24 weeks of diabetes). As shown in Figure 4B, the glomerulosclerosis index of 20- and 28-week-old DM rats averaged 1.25 ± 0.16 and 1.96 ± 0.14, respectively, and was significantly greater than that of the C, C + HRP, or DM + HRP rats. In the 28-week-old DM rats, the glomerulosclerosis index was significantly greater than that at 20 weeks of age. Long-term administration of HRP completely inhibited the development of glomerulosclerosis in the diabetic rats, and we did not observe any histological changes during the 24-week treatment period in the DM + HRP rats.
The glomerulosclerosis index was similar among the C, C + HRP, and DM + HRP rats during the 24-week treatment period. We also investigated ECM accumulation in the kidney of diabetic rats by type IV collagen immunostaining, as shown in Figure 4C. Kidneys of all groups of rats were negative for expression of type IV collagen in the glomerulus up to 12 weeks of age, but at 20 weeks of age type IV collagen was expressed in the glomerulosclerotic lesions of the kidneys of the DM rats. At 28 weeks of age, expression of type IV collagen increased in the diabetic rats, HRP had no effect on blood glucose levels. *P < 0.05 versus C or C + HRP rats; †P < 0.05 for DM + HRP versus DM rats. (B) Systolic BP. The graph shows similar systolic BP in all 4 groups of rats. (C) Blood glucose concentration. The graph shows increased blood glucose levels in the diabetic rats. The kidney Ang I and II content was significantly higher in the DM rats than in the C rats at 12 and 20 weeks of age, and they were similar at 28 weeks of age. HRP administration did not alter kidney total renin content or kidney renin mRNA levels in either control or diabetic rats at any week of age (Figures 6C and 7A). The kidney Ang-converting enzyme (ACE) and angiotensinogen mRNA levels were similar in the C, C + HRP, DM, and DM + HRP rats during the 24-week treatment period (Figure 7B). The kidney Ang I and II content in the diabetic rats. The kidney total renin content and the kidney renin mRNA level were lower in the DM rats than in the C rats at 12 and 20 weeks of age, and they were similar at 28 weeks of age. HRP administration did not alter kidney total renin content or kidney renin mRNA levels in either control or diabetic rats at any week of age (Figure 7D).

To estimate the kidney levels of prorenin and renin, we performed immunohistochemical analysis of the kidneys collected from rats diabetic for 24 weeks. The anti–rat HRP Ab and anti–rat renin Ab used in the present study bind to total prorenin and activated prorenin, respectively. Because the activated prorenin represents both proteolytically activated prorenin (i.e., renin) and nonproteolytically activated prorenin, the results of immunostaining can provide a hint regarding an activation of prorenin. The prorenin-positive cells were significantly greater in number in the juxtaglomerular area of DM rats compared with C rats. The increased prorenin immunoreactivity was not affected by the HRP treatment (Figure 8A and B). The immunoreactivity of activated prorenin was also increased in the juxtaglomerular area of DM rats, but it was significantly decreased by the HRP treatment. The level of activated prorenin in the kidneys of DM + HRP rats was similar to that in the kidneys of C and C + HRP rats (Figure 8A and B). Because HRP inhibited a nonproteolytic activation of prorenin but did not affect a renin that is proteolytically activated prorenin (Figure 2B), these results suggested that the kidneys of DM rats may have an increased level of nonproteolytically activated prorenin. Although localization of renin in the distal nephron has been reported, we were not able to detect a significant staining by anti–HRP or anti–renin in the tubulointerstitial area in the present study, presumably due to very low level of these nephron segments compared with the juxtaglomerular area that can be seen in the sections.

**Discussion**

We found that the rat HRP binds to the Abs to the handle region of the prorenin prosegment and inhibits the binding of prorenin to Abs for the anti-handle region (Figure 2A). Moreover, from the studies using Abs to HRP and COS-7 cells expressing rat prorenin receptor protein, we obtained in vitro evidence of prorenin activa-
tion by prorenin receptor or Ab to HRP and inhibition of the activation by HRP. In this mechanism of prorenin activation, we did not see evidence for proteolytic cleavage of prorenin to active renin, suggesting a conformational change as the mechanism. These results support the view that the prorenin elevation in diabetes results in its activation by a nonproteolytic mechanism that is preventable by HRP working as a decoy, which effectively competes for prorenin binding to its receptor inhibiting the proenzyme in activation. Chronic in vivo continuous infusion of HRP by subcutaneous minipumps prevented the increased urinary protein excretion in diabetic rats to levels similar to those of the control rats. HRP did not affect the body weight or blood glucose levels of either control or diabetic rats during 24-week infusion, however, suggesting that HRP did not improve the impaired pancreatic function by the streptozotocin treatment (Figure 3). Also, HRP completely prevented streptozotocin-induced glomerulosclerosis. Also, chronic administration of HRP completely prevented streptozotocin-induced histologically recognizable glomerulosclerosis (Figure 4). These results indicate that the inhibition of nonproteolytic activation of prorenin by HRP completely inhibited the development of nephropathy in rats with streptozotocin-induced diabetes without affecting the hyperglycemic condition.

The ACE inhibitors and Ang II type 1 receptor blockers have significantly attenuated the urinary protein excretion in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats (10, 11). Prevention of proteinuria and development of glomerulosclerosis in diabetic rats by HRP, however, suggests that HRP may have superior beneficial effects compared with ACE inhibitors or Ang II type 1 receptor blockers. Nguyen et al. recently found that the prorenin/renin receptor(s) is present in the heart, brain, placenta, liver, pancreas, and kidney and activates prorenin by binding proteolysis (9). If HRP competes for prorenin binding to its receptor, it could provide an alternative treatment strategy for diabetic nephropathy. This study provides strong evidence that HRP may be a potential therapeutic agent for the prevention of diabetic nephropathy in rats.
as HRP, can completely prevent the development of diabetic organ damages through the inhibition of not only the RAS activation but also the RAS-independent ERK activation.

We measured the RAS components in the plasma of control and diabetic rats (Figure 5). Consistent with previous studies (1, 12), plasma renin activity was significantly lower and plasma prorenin concentration was significantly higher in the DM rats than in the C rats for up to the week 16 of diabetes. The administration of HRP did not affect plasma renin activity or plasma prorenin concentration in either control or the diabetic rats. Although HRP inhibits the interaction between prorenin and its nonproteolytic activators, HRP did not affect the ability of plasma to generate Ang I. The plasma levels of Ang I and II were also lower in the DM rats than in the C rats, and HRP did not influence plasma Ang I or II levels of either control or diabetic rats. In addition, HRP did not affect the BP levels of either control or diabetic rats throughout the 24-week period of HRP infusion. These results suggest that HRP inhibits development of diabetic nephropathy without affecting the circulating RAS or affecting systemic hemodynamics. Since a renin/prorenin receptor, a nonproteolytic activator of prorenin, is exclusively present in tissues but not in circulation (9), HRP may affect tissue RAS but not circulating renin activity or Angs.

There have been conflicting studies showing increased (13), decreased (14), or unchanged (15, 16) kidney Ang II levels in experimental diabetic animals. In these studies, however, kidney Ang II levels were determined at the onset (8 or less weeks) of diabetes without a nephropathy, and to our knowledge, no study had assessed the alterations in the kidney RAS components associated with the development of diabetic nephropathy. In the present study, at 12, 20, and 28 weeks of age (8, 16, and 24 weeks of diabetes), when the diabetic nephropathy developed and progressed, the kidney Ang I and II content of the DM rats markedly increased and was significantly higher than that of the C, C + HRP, and DM + HRP rats (Figure 6, A and B). The kidney Ang I and II content was similar among the C, C + HRP, and DM + HRP rats. The kidney ACE and angiotensinogen mRNA levels were similar in the C, C + HRP, DM, and DM + HRP rats during the 24-week treatment period, consistent with previous studies (17–19) (Figure 7, B and C).

**Figure 6** Changes in mRNA components of the kidney RAS in C rats (white bars, n = 6), C + HRP rats (light gray bars, n = 6), DM rats (black bars, n = 6), and DM + HRP rats (dark gray bars, n = 6). (A) Kidney Ang I level. The graph shows an increased kidney Ang I level in the DM rats. HRP significantly inhibited the increase in kidney Ang I level in the diabetic rats. (B) Kidney Ang II level. The graph shows an increased kidney Ang II level in the DM rats. HRP significantly inhibited the increase in kidney Ang II level in the diabetic rats. (C) Kidney total renin level. The graph shows a decreased kidney total renin level in the DM rats at 12 weeks of age. HRP did not affect the kidney total renin level in either control or diabetic rats. *P < 0.05 for DM versus C rats; †P < 0.05 for rats with HRP versus rats without HRP.

**Figure 7** Changes in mRNA components of the kidney RAS in C rats (white bars, n = 6), C + HRP rats (light gray bars, n = 6), DM rats (black bars, n = 6), and DM + HRP rats (dark gray bars, n = 6). (A) Kidney renin mRNA level. The graph shows a decreased kidney renin mRNA level in the DM rats up to 20 weeks of age. HRP did not affect the kidney renin mRNA level in either control or diabetic rats. (B) Kidney ACE mRNA level. The graph shows a decrease in kidney ACE mRNA level at 28 weeks of age in both the control and diabetic rats. HRP did not affect the kidney ACE mRNA level in either control or diabetic rats. (C) Kidney angiotensinogen mRNA level. The graph shows a decrease in kidney angiotensinogen mRNA level with age. HRP did not affect the kidney angiotensinogen mRNA level in either control or diabetic rats. (D) Kidney cathespin B mRNA level. The graph shows a decreased kidney cathespin B mRNA level in the DM rats. HRP did not affect the kidney cathespin B mRNA level in either control or diabetic rats. *P < 0.05 for diabetic versus control rats.
However, since high extracellular glucose stimulates the synthesis of angiotensinogen in a concentration-dependent manner in rat proximal tubular cells (20), the present study cannot exclude the possibility that angiotensinogen synthesis regionally increases in the proximal tubule (13, 21) or even in the glomerulus. Previous studies showed that kidney renin mRNA levels increased at the early onset of diabetes in spontaneously or streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats (13, 17, 19, 21) and decreased markedly thereafter (17). The present study demonstrated that both the total kidney renin (proteolytically activated and prorenin) content and kidney renin mRNA level were lower in the DM rats than in the C rats at 12 and 20 weeks of age (8 and 16 weeks of diabetes) when the nephropathy develops, and they were similar at 28 weeks of age (24 weeks of diabetes) when the nephropathy progresses. HRP administration did not alter total kidney renin content or kidney renin mRNA levels in either control or diabetic rats at any age or length of diabetes (Figure 6C and 7A). Thus, the total amount of intrarenal renin and prorenin generated in the kidneys may be decreased during the development of diabetic nephropathy. The total activity of renin and prorenin did increase in the kidneys of diabetic rats, however, because the result of the present study showed the increased staining of the exposed active center of renin/prorenin in the kidneys of diabetic rats and its inhibition by HRP. Previous studies also demonstrated increased activity of the kidney to generate Ang I in diabetic rats, which was called “renal renin concentration” (21). Taken together, during the development of diabetic nephropathy, the kidneys of diabetic rats increased levels of Ang I and II without any changes in renin, ACE, or angiotensinogen synthesis, and continuous infusion of HRP completely inhibited the increased kidney levels of Ang I and II without affecting the kidney levels of renin, ACE, or angiotensinogen mRNA. These results suggest that intrarenal renin activity increases during the development of diabetic nephropathy and that nonproteolytic activation of prorenin significantly contributes to the increased intrarenal renin activity.

The reason why the nonproteolytic activation of prorenin occurs in diabetic organ remains unclear. We believe, however, that the present studies provide a credible explanation. Consistent with previous in vitro and in vivo studies (22, 23), the kidney cathepsin B mRNA levels significantly decreased during the development of diabetic nephropathy (Figure 7D). This result suggests that the decreased prorenin processing can increase the ratio of prorenin to renin in diabetic rat kidneys. In fact, the immunohistochemical staining of prorenin significantly increased in diabetic rat kidneys compared with control rat kidneys (Figure 8, A and B), although total renin content of diabetic rat kidneys was similar to or lower than that of control rat kidneys (Figure 6C). Since both renin and prorenin competitively bind to a renin/prorenin receptor (9), the relative increase in prorenin versus renin could cause more binding of prorenin to its receptor, leading to the nonproteolytic activation of prorenin. This idea was supported by the result of the present study showing that the inhibition of nonproteolytic activation of prorenin by HRP significantly suppresses the increased immunohistochemical staining of exposed active center of renin/prorenin in the kidneys of diabetic rats (Figure 8, A and C). Alternatively, nonproteolytic prorenin-activators, such as a renin/prorenin receptor (9), or their affinity to prorenin might be increased in diabetic organ. Further studies will be needed to determine the reason why the nonproteolytic activation of prorenin occurs in the diabetic organ.

In conclusion, even though diabetic animals have high plasma prorenin levels and low plasma renin levels, suggesting a suppressed circulating RAS (1), Ang II type 1 receptor blockers have a beneficial effect in preventing the development and progression of diabetic organ damage (24, 25). This study clearly demonstrated that the development of diabetic nephropathy is associated with the activated kidney RAS and suggested that nonproteolytic activation of prorenin significantly contributes to the activation of the kidney RAS and the development of diabetic nephropathy.
Therefore, we propose that substances that inhibit nonproteolytic activation of prorenin, such as HRP, is useful in drug therapy strategies to prevent diabetic organ damage.

**Methods**

**Preparation of rat prorenin handle peptide and its Ab.** Figure 1 shows the process of analyzing the content of rat prorenin. To cover the handle region (position 11–15) (5), we designed a decapetide, NH2–RILLKKMPSV-COOH, as an HRP of rat prorenin and purified it by HPLC on a C-18 reverse-phase column. The purity and retention time of HPLC was 97.6% and 26.2 minutes, respectively. The mass of the product was 1,185.7, similar to the theoretical mass value (1,186.0). Anti–rat HRP Ab was raised against a peptide, RILLKK-MPSVC, conjugated with keyhole limpet hemocyanin in rabbits. HRP was used for determining the titer of the antiserum using a Vectastain ABC-AP rabbit IgG kit (Vector Laboratories) and as the ligand of an affinity column for purification of the Ab. High-titer antisera were obtained 6 weeks after the first immunization. The affinity gel was prepared by conjugation of Biogel 102 (amine-coupled gel; Bio-Rad Laboratories) through a cysteine residue of antigen peptide as a ligand. The Ab was purified with the affinity column, and the concentration of the purified Ab (3.90 mg/ml) was calculated using an extinction coefficient of 1.35 at 1 mg/ml IgG and 280 nm.

**Immunoblot analysis.** The recombinant rat prorenin and renin was subjected to 12% SDS-PAGE and electrophoretically transferred to the polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. Renin was prepared by the trypsin treatment of prorenin; that is, prorenin was incubated with 200 μg/ml of trypsin for 20 minutes at pH 7.4 and 25°C, and then the trypsin action was arrested with 1 mM PMSF. The membrane was incubated separately with rabbit anti-rat renin antiserum (1:1,000) (26) and 3 nM purified rabbit anti-handle region peptide Ab for 1 hour at room temperature in the absence or presence of 10, 100, and 1,000 nM regional peptides of prorenin prosegment. After being washed, the immunocomplex on these sheets was visualized using horseradish peroxidase–conjugated secondary anti–rabbit IgG and diaminobenzidine.

**Animals.** We maintained male Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratories Inc.) in a temperature-controlled room that was maintained at 23°C and a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle, with free access to water and a normal-salt diet rat chow (0.4% NaCl) (CE-2, Nihon Clea). The Keto University Animal Care and Use Committee approved all experimental protocols. At 3 weeks of age, under sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg i.p.) anesthesia, we removed the left kidney of 100- to 150-g rats and divided the hemiphectomized rats into four groups: the C, C + HRP, DM, and DM + HRP groups. Diabetic rats received i.p. 10 mM citrate buffer with 65 μg/kg of streptozotocin (Wako Pure Chemical), and nondiabetic control rats received 10 mM citrate buffer alone, at 4 weeks of age. Every 28 days we changed the subcutaneously implanted osmotic minipump (model 2004, for 28-day use; Alzet Osmotic Pump) containing saline or a HRP (0.1 mg/ml of trypsin for 20 minutes at pH 7.4) into a biotin-conjugated anti–rabbit IgG kit (Vector Laboratories) and as the ligand of an affinity column for purification of the Ab. High-titer antisera were obtained 6 weeks after the first immunization. The affinity gel was prepared by conjugation of Biogel 102 (amine-coupled gel; Bio-Rad Laboratories) through a cysteine residue of antigen peptide as a ligand. The Ab was purified with the affinity column, and the concentration of the purified Ab (3.90 mg/ml) was calculated using an extinction coefficient of 1.35 at 1 mg/ml IgG and 280 nm.

**Immunohistochemical evaluation.** We quantitatively determined the total area of sclerosis within the glomerular tuft, adopting the semiquantitative scoring system proposed by El-Nahas, et al. (27). A glomerulosclerosis index was derived for each animal by examining 100 glomeruli ×400 magnification. The severity of glomerulosclerosis was expressed on an arbitrary scale from 0 to 4: grade 0, normal glomerulus; grade 1, presence of mesangial expansion/thickening of the basement membrane; grade 2, mild to moderate segmental hyalinosis/sclerosis involving less than 50% of the glomerular tuft; grade 3, diffuse glomerular hyalinosis/sclerosis involving more than 50% of the tuft; grade 4, diffuse glomerulosclerosis with total tuft obliteration and collapse. The resulting index in each animal was expressed as a mean of all scores obtained.

For immunohistochemical staining, deparaffinized sections were pretreated with proteinase K, the sections were then boiled in citrate buffer with microwaves to unmask antigenic sites, and endogenous biotin was blocked with a biotin-blocking system (X0590, DAKO Corp.). Next, the sections were immersed in 3% H2O2 in methanol to inhibit endogenous peroxidase and then precoated with 1% nonfat milk in PBS to block nonspecific binding. For immunohistochemical staining of type IV collagen and renin, a cocktail of rabbit polyclonal Abs to the anti–a2(IV), -a4(IV), and -a5(IV) chains of type IV collagen (1:400; a generous gift of R. Kalluri, Center for Matrix Biology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center) (28) and the rabbit anti–rat HRP Ab were applied, respectively, to the sections as the primary Ab. The sections were incubated with a biotin-conjugated anti–rabbit IgG as the secondary Ab. For immunohistochemical staining of the exposed active center of renin, a goat polyclonal Ab to rat renin that crossreacts with nonproteolytically activated prorenin but not with natural prorenin (1:1,000) (26, 29, 30) was applied to the sections as the primary Ab. The sections were incubated with a biotin-conjugated anti–goat IgG as the secondary Ab. The Ab reactions were visualized by using a Vectastain ABC Standard Kit (Vector Laboratories) and an AEC standard kit (DAKO Corp.) according to the manufacturers’ instructions. We quantitatively determined the immunoreactive type IV collagen-positive area in each glomerulus at ×200 magnification with a Mac SCOPE (version 2.5; Mitani Corp.) and expressed it as a percentage of the whole cross-sectional area of the glomerulus. The levels of prorenin and the exposed active center of renin were evaluated by counting the number of juxtapaglomerular cells where the signal intensity of the reaction products was above the visible level. The final overall score was calculated as the means of the values for 100 glomeruli per group of rats.

**Measurements of renin and Ang peptides.** Immediately after decapitation, a 3-ml blood specimen was collected into a tube containing 30 μl of EDTA (500 mM), 15 μl of enalaprilat (1 mM), and 30 μl of o-phenanthroline (24.8 mg/ml) and pepstatin (0.2 mM), and plasma samples were obtained by centrifugation. Plasma renin activity was determined with a RIA coated bead kit (Dinabott Radiodiotope Institute). Plasma renin level was calculated by subtracting plasma renin activity from plasma total (inactive plus active) renin activity, as described previously (31). For the measurement of kidney total renin content, a part of the removed kidney was weighed, placed in 5 ml of buffer containing 2.6 mM EDTA, 1.6 mM dimeracrol, 3.4 mM 8-hydroxyquinoline sulfate, 0.2 mM PMSF, and 5 mM ammonium acetate, homogenized with a chilled glass homogenizer, and then centrifuged. The renin activity of the supernatant was determined as described previously (32). For the determination of kidney Ang I and II content, half of the removed kidney was weighed, placed in ice-cold methanol (10% wt/vol), homogenized with a chilled glass homogenizer, and then centrifuged. The supernatant was then dried and reconstituted in 4 ml of 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer containing 1% albumin. Plasma and reconstituted samples from the kidneys were extracted with a Bond-Elut column (Analytichem Co.), and the eluents were evaporated to dryness and reconstituted in Ang peptide assay diluent. The Ang I and II content was quantitatively measured.
determined by RIA using rabbit anti-Ang I antisemur and rabbit anti-Ang II antisemur (Arnel Inc.) as previously reported (33).

Real-time quantitative RT-PCR analysis. We extracted total RNA from part of the kidney removed from each animal by using an RNAeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN KK) and performed a real-time quantitative RT-PCR by using the TaqMan One-Step RT-PCR Master Mix Reagents Kit with an ABI Prism 7700 HT Detection System (Applied Biosystems Inc.) and probes and primers for the genes encoding the following: renin (forward, 5′-GCATGGGAGATGGCACTGAA-3′; reverse, 5′-ACCACCTTGGTCAGTGAAGAAAC-3′; probe, 5′-FAM-CCATCTACATGATGAGGTCATTCA-TAMRA-3′); ACE (forward, 5′-CTGGTCTCCCAAGTGTGATTCA-3′; reverse, 5′-CGGAGCTGTGACATTATAT-3′; probe, 5′-FAM-CAATGCCCTTGTGACAGCTTCA-3′), angiotensinogen (forward, 5′-AGAAGTCATGGGACCCATGTC-3′; reverse, 5′-AGAAGTCATGGGACCCATGTC-3′; probe, 5′-FAM-TCACACACCTAGGTCCATCCTAGGAGGAAG-TAMRA-3′); cathepsin B (forward, 5′-AATCAGGCGGTATCAAGCATGA-3′; reverse, 5′-GCCTAAATGCGGATGTG-3′; probe, 5′-FAM-CGCGGTATAGTGGAGGAGGACA-TAMRA-3′); and GAPDH (forward, 5′-TGACACCCCTGCAATGGTCTC-3′; reverse, 5′-GGCTGAGCTGTGGTCATGCA-3′; probe, 5′-FAM-TCACACCTGCTGACCAACTCAACCTGTTAG-TAMRA-3′) as described previously (34, 35).

Statistical analyses. Within-group statistical comparisons were made by one-way ANOVA for repeated measures followed by the Newman-Keuls post hoc test. Differences between two groups were evaluated by two-way ANOVA for repeated measures combined with the Newman-Keuls post hoc test. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. Data are reported as means ± SEM.
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