The T cell cometh: interplay between adaptive immunity and cytokine networks in rheumatoid arthritis
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The etiology of autoimmunity in humans remains poorly defined, and animal models provide a unique opportunity to study potential autoimmune mechanisms. A novel model of autoimmune inflammatory arthritis results from a point mutation in the \( \zeta \)-associated–protein of 70 kDa (ZAP-70), which causes abnormal thymic T cell selection and survival of autoreactive clones (see the related article beginning on page 582). Although the resulting clinical and pathologic abnormalities are clearly T cell–dependent, macrophage and fibroblast cytokines such as IL-1 and TNF-\( \alpha \) are required for full expression of the disease. The studies of Hata and colleagues raise the intriguing possibility that traditional proinflammatory cytokine networks represent common effector mechanisms in inflammatory joint diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis. Hence, effective therapeutic interventions can target either unique etiologic pathways related to adaptive immune responses or shared terminal mechanisms.
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The specific mutation observed in the SKG mouse, which results in an amino acid change from tryptophan to cysteine at codon 163, leads to abnormal thymic T cell selection and inappropriate survival of autoreactive clones (3). Spontaneous symmetric inflammatory arthritis develops in the mice after about 2 months, with erosions, synovial hyperplasia, and rheumatoid factor production. Other autoantibodies that recognize joint antigens, such as type II collagen, are also detected in the blood of these mice. Anti–cyclic citrullinated peptide (anti-CCP) antibody levels, which are relatively specific for RA, have not been reported. CD4+ T cells, thymocytes, and bone marrow cells passively transfer the disease. SKG serum containing abundant autoantibodies is not pathogenic when injected into normal mice (S. Sakaguchi, personal communication), which suggests an essential difference from the K/BxN mouse model of RA, which begins as a T cell–dependent response to glucose-6-phosphoisomerase but later is solely dependent on autoantibodies (4).

The clinical and histologic features of the SKG model resemble RA although there are important differences. For instance, neutrophils rarely infiltrate rheumatoid synovium but are abundant in the SKG joint lining. Skin inflammation as observed in the mice is not a feature of RA, and the prevalence of pulmonary fibrosis is very low in humans compared with that in the animal model. The mice also develop distal interphalangeal joint disease and dactylitis, which are both uncommon in RA. ZAP-70 function in RA is, as in SKG mice, suppressed. However — and this is a critical distinction — this is the result of synovial inflammation and altered redox balance in active synovitis, and ZAP-70 function returns to normal after the disease is suppressed (5). Hence, ZAP-70 abnormalities are generally caused by RA rather than vice versa.

These caveats do not detract from the seminal observation that altered T cell selection and autoreactivity due to a specific genetic defect cause spontaneous autoimmunity that targets the joints.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>T cell dependence</th>
<th>TNF-α</th>
<th>IL-1</th>
<th>IL-6</th>
<th>IL-4</th>
<th>IL-10</th>
<th>IFN-γ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SKG</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>↓↓↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active CIA</td>
<td>Yes then No</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive CIA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K/BxN</td>
<td>Yes then No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive K/BxN</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCW arthritis</td>
<td>No then Yes</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Rheumatoid Arthritis | | | | |
|----------------------| | | | |
|                      | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | 0 | 0 |

↓, cytokine blockade suppresses arthritis; ↑, cytokine blockade increases arthritis; 0, minimal or no role; #Administration of exogenous cytokine is ineffective; ‡administration of exogenous IFN-γ suppresses disease. Data derived from refs. 8–11 and refs. 18–28. SCW, streptococcal cell wall.
Identification of a similar single mutation in RA is unlikely; it is a multigenic disease where specific sequences in the class II MHC gene have the greatest genetic influence, and identification of other genes remains the subject of intense investigation. Polymorphisms in several cytokine promoters have been implicated, and many genes that regulate T cell reactivity might also contribute. Nevertheless, the notion that abnormalities in T cell selection or reactivity on a genetic basis (even if it involves several genes) contribute to synovial inflammation is a plausible explanation for the initiation of RA.

Cytokine networks as a final common pathway

Several interesting questions with therapeutic importance are raised by these studies. In the early 1990s, the observation that macrophage and fibroblast factors dominate the rheumatoid joint contributed to the hypothesis that cytokine networks, perhaps autonomously, perpetuate RA (6, 7). The efficacy of cytokine inhibitors (e.g., TNF-α, IL-1, and most recently IL-6) in established and early RA supported this hypothesis (8–10). Synovitis flares after cessation of anticytokine therapy, which clearly indicates that cytokine networks alone are not responsible for chronic disease.

Hence the intriguing nature of the SKG model: even though it is clearly a T cell–dependent (at least for initiation), key macrophage-derived cytokines implicated in RA, such as TNF-α, are required for full expression of disease. Sufficient data have now accumulated in other models to suggest that blockade of the inflammatory macrophage-fibroblast axis can be effective regardless of the proximal events that trigger the disease. Table 1 shows that this is true in many other models, including passive and active collagen-induced arthritis (CIA), the native K/BxN model, passive serum transfer from K/BxN mice, and streptococcal cell wall arthritis. The etiology of these models varies from immunization with auto- or exo-antigens, injection with antibodies that fix complement in the joint, or activation of innate immunity through toll-like receptors followed by adaptive immune responses. The proinflammatory cytokine network can serve as a final common pathway regardless of the initiating event. There are subtle differences between the models and specific cytokines, such as the widely variable role of IL-6, reinforcing the beliefs that (a) these animal models are not exactly the same as RA in humans, in which the efficacy of TNF-α inhibitors is not nearly as complete as it is in mice; and (b) specific initiating events can have some distinctive cytokine characteristics.

T cell contribution to synovitis

The role of T cell cytokines has also been studied in murine systems (see Table 1). Th2 cytokines, like IL-4 and IL-10, generally play an inhibitory role in models mediated by Th1 cells. Of interest, only the latter appears relevant in the SKG model while the prototypic Th1 cytokine IFN-γ contributes very little. In contrast, IFN-γ is a suppressive cytokine in many other animal models. The experience with T cell cytokine modulation in RA is less robust; administration of IL-10 offers little or no benefit (11). Although IFN-γ administration does not cause the flares in RA that might be anticipated in a putatively Th1-mediated disease, it also does not have a significant anti-inflammatory effect (12).

Traditional anticytokine interventions can, therefore, be effective in synovial inflammatory diseases regardless of the dependence of arthritis on T cells. The possibility that subtle abnormalities in T cell selection and function might initiate or perpetuate RA suggests the utility of alternative approaches that modulate the immune rheostat. Merely killing T cells is not enough to treat RA, as experience with anti-CD4, -CD5, and -CD52 antibody therapeutic interventions have clearly demonstrated (13). Adjusting immune responses by modifying costimulation represents one mechanism that might be useful not only in animal models like SKG but also in humans. A recent clinical study using CTLA4Ig, which blocks the interaction of CD80/86 on dendritic cells with CD28 on naïve T cells, to treat RA is very promising (14). However, like anticytokine therapy, clear efficacy appears to be limited to a subset of patients. Widely variable responses to virtually any treatment modality in RA (besides corticosteroids) suggest that the disease is quite heterogeneous. Unlike treatment for inbred mice with a single gene defect or a single inciting antigen, therapeutic interventions in humans might require individualized medicine that takes into account the genotype and etiologic agent of each patient.

Another lesson learned from this and other animal models is that synovitis can result either from a broad array of immune responses, including those directed against a specific joint antigen (type II collagen), a systemic antigen that can fortuitously localize to the joint (glucose 6-phosphohexose isomerase), or from generalized T cell hyperreactivity with multiple autoantigens (ZAP-70 mutations). The latter model is especially intriguing because it suggests that the search for a single pathogenic “rheumatoid antigen” might be fruitless. There is no need to assume that the autoreactive T cells that escape selection in RA must be universally directed towards a unique epitope, and many pathways might lead to this disease. Despite years of research and the tantalizing class II MHC associations, no specific antigen has been found (15). A pattern of autoreactivity occurs instead, which in some cases antedates clinical disease by many years, as demonstrated by the production of anti-CCP antibodies and rheumatoid factors (16). The contribution of T cells in the joints can also be related to antigen-independent mechanisms, such as direct cell contact, which can induce cytokine and protease production by macrophages and fibroblasts (17).

The struggles of Sisyphus were ultimately futile, but scientific progress in understanding RA and autoimmunity advances incrementally with each discovery. One does need to be wary of concluding that the problems underlying the development of RA have been solved with a mouse model. Development of the SKG mouse, however, is one more important step on this pathway of discovery and has provided unique insights into how defective T cell selection can produce inflammatory arthritis and autoreactivity. One key to understanding the utility of the model will be the ability to translate the discovery into novel therapeutic interventions that can be tested in our patients.

Address correspondence to: Gary S. Firestein, Division of Rheumatology, Allergy and Immunology, University of California San Diego School of Medicine, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, California 92039-0656, USA. Phone: (858) 822-0480; Fax: (858) 534-2359; E-mail: gfirestein@ucsd.edu.


