What determines glomerular capillary permeability?
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The glomerular capillary wall is a living ultrafiltration membrane. It permits water and small solutes to pass readily into Bowman’s space, while normally rejecting albumin and other large proteins with great efficiency. As shown in Figure 1, the glomerular capillary wall consists of a fenestrated endothelium, the glomerular basement membrane (GBM), and the interdigitated foot processes of epithelial cells (podocytes). The filtration pathway is extracellular; that is, water and filtered solutes pass through the fenestrae, across the GBM, and through filtration slits bounded by the foot processes. The filtration slits are spanned by porous slit diaphragms.

The strategic location of the slit diaphragm has long suggested that it might play a crucial role in restricting the passage of solutes on the basis of molecular size. Thus, disruption of slit diaphragms might underlie the proteinuria that is a hallmark of kidney disease. The most influential study of the fine structure of the slit diaphragm has been that of Rodewald and Karnowsky (1). They interpreted EM images of the slit diaphragm as showing a zipper-like structure in which a central fiber, in a plane parallel to that of the podocyte membranes, is connected to those membranes by perpendicular bridge fibers. Viewed in the direction of filtrate flow, as shown in Figure 2, the proposed structure exhibits rectangular openings with dimensions of 4 x 14 nm, alternating from side to side. Those openings correspond almost exactly to the molecular dimensions calculated from the hydrodynamic properties of albumin, when it is modeled as a prolate spheroid (2). That, and its elegant regularity, have made the zipper structure very appealing. However, the dimensions of the openings shown in Figure 2 suggest that spherical molecules of even 2-nm radius will be excluded from Bowman’s space, whereas such molecules actually exhibit little restriction (3).

New findings concerning the slit diaphragm

In this issue of the JCI, the study by Wartiovaara et al. (4) advances the understanding of the structure and composition of the slit diaphragm in 2 important ways. First, the authors’ novel application of electron tomography provides a more detailed view of the porous structure than was here-tofore possible. Certain aspects of the classical zipper structure are supported (e.g., a central dense region with roughly albumin molecule-sized openings on either side), but the pores appear to be more tortuous and irregular than previously supposed. A second advance concerns the identity of the macromolecules that constitute the slit diaphragm. New evidence is provided for the crucial role of nephrin, a transmembrane protein that is expressed by podocytes and localized in the slit diaphragm area, in
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The endothelium has often been viewed as an insignificant part of the barrier, but this interpretation seems unjustified. That $\Theta_{en} \ll 1$ for albumin is suggested by tracer visualization studies (11) and by the proteinuria that accompanies preeclampsia, which selectively affects the endothelium (12). The fenestrae themselves are too large to effectively sieve macromolecules, which suggests that the barrier properties of the endothelium are derived from the cell coat, or glyocalyx, that fills the fenestrae and extends into the capillary lumen. Special fixation techniques have permitted the glomerular endothelial glyocalyx to be visualized by EM (13), and similar cell coats have been shown to be functionally significant in extrarenal capillaries (14). Evidence that the endothelial glyocalyx may play a role in glomerular size and charge selectivity has been reviewed recently (15).

**Potential origins of proteinuria**

Simple calculations suggest that proteinuria need not have a single origin. Assume that $\Theta = 4 \times 10^{-4}$ for albumin in healthy humans, which is similar to what has been determined by micropuncture in rats (16). Consider a hypothetical situation in which the endothelium and epithelium are equally selective, so that $\Theta = \Theta_{en}\Theta_{ep}= (2 \times 10^{-3})(1)(2 \times 10^{-4}) = 4 \times 10^{-4}$. With a GFR of 180 l/day and a plasma albumin concentration of 50 g/l, the filtered load would be $180/(1 \times 10^{-3})(50 \times 10^{-1}) = 3.6$ g/day. The normal absence of albuminuria indicates that the renal tubules can reabsorb such an amount. Now, if the endothelial barrier were removed (i.e., $\Theta_{en} = 1$) and nothing else altered, $\Theta$ would increase to $2 \times 10^{-2}$ and the filtered load would rise to 180 g/day, likely overwhelming the tubule reabsorptive process. If only the slit diaphragms were removed (i.e., $\Theta_{ep} = 1$), the filtered load would increase to a smaller, although still massive, level of 72 g/day; the difference is that $\Theta_{en}$ is predicted to decline in this case to about 0.4 (8). Of course, the normal $\Theta_{en}$ and $\Theta_{ep}$ may not be equal, and the albumin reabsorptive capacity is not known with precision. However, even if $\Theta_{en}$ and $\Theta_{ep}$ differ by as much as 100-fold in the normal glomerulus (e.g., with one as large as 0.1 and the other as small as $10^{-3}$), clinical albuminuria could easily result from either endothelial or epithelial defects. Thus, in considering the determinants of glomerular capillary permeability, one should avoid the temptation to focus exclusively on any one of the 3 layers, instead keeping in mind that the 3 act in concert.

**Effects of other structures on glomerular permeability**

The ability to isolate GBM from rat glomeruli and to perform filtration and diffusion experiments in vitro has allowed the transport properties of the GBM to be quantified (5–7). As has been reviewed (8), the GBM is a very size-selective structure. For example, the diffusional permeability of GBM to an albumin-size molecule is less than 1% that of a layer of water of equal thickness. Nonetheless, given its measured transport properties, the linear dimensions and flow rates in vivo, and the placement of the GBM just upstream from a moderately to highly selective barrier (the slit diaphragm), the size selectivity of the GBM is expected to be almost completely masked. In other words, for the intact capillary wall in vivo, it is calculated that $\Theta_{tot} = 1$ (8). Consistent with this prediction is that deletion of heparan sulfate, a major structural component of the GBM, does not lead to proteinuria (9). It has been estimated that the GBM, while having little effect on macromolecular sieving in vivo, accounts for 50–70% of the resistance to filtrate flow (8, 10). Thus, the GBM is the single most important determinant of the glomerular hydraulic permeability.

**Forming this structure.** Indeed, the fibers that constitute the slit diaphragm appear to be formed largely by the association of extracellular strands of nephrin. When nephrin is abnormal or absent, as is the case in individuals with Finnish congenital nephrotic syndrome (which is caused by a mutation in the nephrin gene) or in nephrin-knockout mice, ordered slit diaphragm structures are no longer evident, and proteinuria results. That disruption of slit diaphragms is sufficient to cause proteinuria seems indisputable.

**Figure 2**

Slit diaphragm structure proposed by Rodewald and Karnovsky (1). A central filament is connected to the podocyte membranes by alternating bridge fibers. The view is in the direction of filtrate flow. Figure reprinted with permission from the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (17).
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The Randle cycle, which has been invoked to explain the reciprocal relationship between fatty acid oxidation and glucose oxidation, has long been implicated as a potential mechanism for hyperglycemia and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Now genetic, functional genomic, and transgenic approaches have identified PPARγ coactivators (PGC-1α and PGC-1β) as key regulators of mitochondrial number and function. They regulate adaptive thermogenesis as well as glucose and fat oxidation in muscle and fat tissue, gluconeogenesis in liver, and even glucose-regulated insulin secretion in β cells. PGC-1α and PGC-1β mRNA levels and the mitochondrial genes they regulate are decreased in muscle of people with prediabetes and T2DM. A new report indicates that PGC-1α and PGC-1β mRNA levels decrease with age in individuals with a genetic variant in PGC-1α, and these decreases correlate with alterations in whole-body glucose and fatty acid oxidation (see the related article beginning on page 1518). These findings provide insights into how aging modifies genetic susceptibility to alterations in oxidative phosphorylation and T2DM.

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), considered a rare disease no more than 100 years ago, is now an epidemic in the United States and other industrialized countries. Obesity and advancing age are potent risk factors for T2DM, pointing to lifestyle changes of the 20th century that are responsible for the current epidemic. However, despite our diabetogenic environment, some individuals develop diabetes and others do not. Multiple studies provide evidence that genetic factors are important contributors to the large inter-individual variation in diabetes susceptibility (1, 2). Identification of T2DM susceptibility genes has proven challenging, in part due to the heterogeneous and polygenic nature of the condition and due to our limited understanding of its underlying pathophysiology. In the past decade, new and powerful tools for probing the molecular, genetic, and pathophysiological basis of glucose and energy homeostasis have provided key insights into the molecular basis of diabetes. Some of these insights have proven quite surprising based upon the current state of knowledge, while others have been logical extensions of the state of the field.

Genetics of diabetes: what we do know

Simply put, diabetes occurs as a result of an absolute or relative deficiency of insulin. The former occurs in autoimmune forms of diabetes, e.g., type 1 diabetes mellitus, or latent autoimmune diabetes in adults, in which progressive destruction of insulin-secreting β cells leads to an absolute deficiency of insulin. Relative insulin deficiency is far more pervasive and in its most common form, T2DM, is caused by insulin resistance (most often due to obesity) coupled with progressive failure of the β cell to secrete sufficient insulin to compensate for the increased insulin resis-