Targeting the local tumor microenvironment with vaccinia virus expressing B7.1 for the treatment of melanoma
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Immunotherapy for the treatment of metastatic melanoma remains a major clinical challenge. The melanoma microenvironment may lead to local T cell tolerance in part through downregulation of costimulatory molecules, such as B7.1 (CD80). We report the results from the first clinical trial, to our knowledge, using a recombinant vaccinia virus expressing B7.1 (rV-B7.1) for monthly intrarectal vaccination of accessible melanoma lesions. A standard 2-dose–escalation phase I clinical trial was conducted with 12 patients. The approach was well tolerated with only low-grade fever, myalgias, and fatigue reported and 2 patients experiencing vitiligo. An objective partial response was observed in 1 patient and disease stabilization in 2 patients, 1 of whom is alive without disease 59 months following vaccination. All patients demonstrated an increase in postvaccination antibody and T cell responses against vaccinia virus. Systemic immunity was tested in HLA-A∗0201 patients who demonstrated an increased frequency of gp100 and T cells specific to melanoma antigen recognized by T cells 1 (MART-1), also known as Melan-A, by ELISPOT assay following local rV-B7.1 vaccination. Local immunity was evaluated by quantitative real-time RT-PCR, which suggested that tumor regression was associated with increased expression of CD8 and IFN-γ. The local delivery of vaccinia virus expressing B7.1 was well tolerated and represents an innovative strategy for altering the local tumor microenvironment in patients with melanoma.

Introduction

The identification of tumor-associated antigens (TAAs) has led to a variety of vaccine strategies for the treatment of cancer. Despite reports that vaccines can generate systemic antigen-specific T cell responses, there have been few objective clinical responses (1). In order for T cells to effectively eradicate cancer, they must migrate into established tumors in large numbers and maintain effective cytotoxic functions. There is increasing evidence that the tumor microenvironment is a critical factor in the balance between tumor growth and immune control (2, 3). A variety of mechanisms appears to regulate this balance, including defects in tumor-antigen expression and presentation, local release of inhibitory cytokines, downregulation of DC and T cell effector function, and systemic or local increases in regulatory T cell populations (4–9). Recently, murine studies demonstrated that adoptively transferred gp100-specific pmel-1 T cells trafficked equally well to gp100-expressing melanoma tumors and antigen-negative tumors but mediated regression following vaccination and IL-2 treatment only in gp100-expressing lesions (10). This suggests that local activation of tumor-reactive T cells is a critical event in eliciting tumor regression. One mechanism that may prevent activation of antigen-specific T cells within the tumor microenvironment and result in local tolerance is the lack of T cell costimulation due to decreased expression of costimulatory molecules by tumor cells (11, 12). Thus, effective vaccination against established tumors likely requires both induction of systemic tumor-reactive T cells that can traffic to sites of tumor growth and the local activation of these T cells.

Strategies for manipulating the tumor microenvironment have been proposed, and recent reports highlight the importance of providing appropriate local danger signals (13). The introduction of proinflammatory chemokines, such as DC-LIGHT, into poorly immunogenic murine B16 melanoma cells resulted in significant T cell–mediated rejection of the established tumors (14). Direct injections of TLR agonists have also been used to stimulate tumor immunity in mice through activation of local DC populations (15). Molecular profiling of melanoma lesions following systemic IL-2 administration suggested a correlation between clinical responses and local inflammatory chemokine expression (16). The ability of IL-2 to reverse tolerance of immunized T cells in vitro also suggests that local tolerance may be reversible in vivo with appropriate stimulation (17, 18). Collectively, these studies provide the rationale for directly expressing strong immunomodulatory signals with tumor vaccines to promote local tumor immunity.

Costimulatory signals promote T cell proliferation and cytokine release and in general lower the threshold for T cell activation. This is especially important when T cells recognize weak antigens, such as TAAs (19). B7.1 (CD80) is among the best-characterized costimulatory molecules and is expressed on professional antigen-
In addition, systemic immunity was documented by determining aspirates (FNAs) for quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis. monitored by objective measurement and collection of fine-needle aspirates with metastatic melanoma. The generation of local immunity was expressed at least partial regression of established lesions. However, there is evidence that infiltrating T cells may lose effector function after expressing at least partial regression of established lesions. However, there is evidence that infiltrating T cells may lose effector function after expressing CD28, while in vivo studies demonstrated rejection of experimental murine tumors injected with the recombinant virus (22–24). Recombinant vaccinia vectors have been used to express defined TAAs in cancer patients (25). To date, these vectors have largely been confined to systemic administration with an acceptable safety profile and evidence of T cell induction against expressed antigens in selected patients (26, 27). Vaccinia virus triggers the release of chemokines and proinflammatory cytokines, resulting in the recruitment and activation of macrophages, DCs, and T cells at the site of infection (28). Local replication of vaccinia virus also promotes continued influx of T cells. Once recruited, vaccinia virus may also induce local DC maturation, resulting in activation of both CD8+ and CD4+ T cells (29).

Malignant melanoma is increasing in incidence faster than almost any other cancer in the United States, with over 53,000 new cases diagnosed annually (30). The median survival for patients with stage IV disease is typically fewer than 12 months, and treatment options are limited. Melanoma lesions are typically accessible for direct injection and often contain T cell infiltrates capable of mediating at least partial regression of established lesions. However, there is evidence that infiltrating T cells may lose effector function after exposure to the tumor microenvironment (12). Thus, we evaluated the safety and immune effects of local delivery of vaccinia virus expressing B7.1 in a dose-escalation phase I clinical trial of patients with metastatic melanoma. The generation of local immunity was monitored by objective measurement and collection of fine-needle aspirates (FNAs) for quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis. In addition, systemic immunity was documented by determining the frequency of melanoma-specific T cell responses in peripheral blood samples. Our results suggest that local vaccination with vaccinia virus expressing B7.1 is well tolerated and results in objective tumor responses in selected patients. Furthermore, vaccinated patients appeared to develop systemic antitumor immunity as evidenced by an increase in gp100- and MART-1–specific T cells following local immunization and development of autoimmune vitiligo. Thus, local delivery of B7.1 by vaccinia virus is a feasible strategy for initiating local and systemic immunity against established tumors.

**Results**

Local delivery of vaccinia virus expressing B7.1 is well tolerated and feasible in patients with metastatic melanoma. Twelve patients with metastatic melanoma who each had at least 1 accessible cutaneous, subcutaneous, or lymph node lesion that measured 1 cm or greater in diameter were enrolled in a phase I clinical trial. All subjects provided written, informed consent. The first 6 patients received monthly intraleional injections of rV-B7.1 at a dose of $4.26 \times 10^7$ PFU. The next 6 patients received a dose of $4.26 \times 10^8$ PFU using a similar treatment schedule. All patients completed pretreatment radiologic evaluation.

### Table 1

**Patient characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose (PFU)</th>
<th>Pt. no.</th>
<th>Age (years)/sex</th>
<th>Primary cancer/metastases</th>
<th>Prior therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.26 x $10^7$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34/M</td>
<td>Buttock s.c. nodule/s.c. deltoid</td>
<td>IFN-α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>74/M</td>
<td>Axillary lymph node/s.c. liver</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>69/F</td>
<td>Inguinal lymph node/lymph nodes</td>
<td>IFN-α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>47/M</td>
<td>Parotid lymph node/lymph, liver, spleen</td>
<td>IFN-α, IL-2, C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>51/M</td>
<td>Arm s.c. nodule/pancreas, kidney, spleen</td>
<td>IFN-α, IL-2, C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>43/F</td>
<td>Neck lymph node/s.c. lymph nodes</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.26 x $10^8$</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>46/M</td>
<td>Abdominal wall s.c. nodule/s.c. lymph nodes, prostate, liver, lungs, mesentry</td>
<td>C, IL-2, IFN-α, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>57/F</td>
<td>Calf s.c. nodule/lungs, lymph nodes, iliopectoas</td>
<td>V, C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>59/F</td>
<td>Back s.c. nodule/paraspinal, colon, mesentry, lymph nodes</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>69/F</td>
<td>Ankle s.c. nodule/lymph nodes, s.c.</td>
<td>IFN-α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>42/F</td>
<td>Cervical lymph node/lymph, lungs, adrenal</td>
<td>IL-2, IFN-α, C, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>73/M</td>
<td>Back s.c. nodule/lymph, lungs, adrenal, omentum C, V</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Names of index lesions used for vaccine administration are underlined. Pt. no., patient number; C, chemotherapy; V, vaccine.

### Table 2

**Incidence of adverse events listed by vaccine dose**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose (PFU)</th>
<th>Toxicity</th>
<th>Incidence (%)</th>
<th>Max. grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$4.26 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>Fatigue 4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fever 4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Myalgias 3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cough 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Headache 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pain 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Popular rash 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tender inguinal node 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vitiligo 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4.26 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>Fever 5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fatigue 3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Myalgias 2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rash 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urinary retention 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vitiligo 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Max. grade, maximum grade.
imaging, which was repeated after the third vaccination. Monthly booster immunizations were received by patients in cases where there was no evidence of disease progression upon restaging. The site of the injected (index) lesion used for vaccination and location of known metastatic disease for each patient is listed in Table 1.

The median age of the patients was 54 years (range, 34–74) and all had an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 0–1 (Table 1). All patients had received and failed prior therapy, which consisted of IFN-α \((n = 7)\), chemotherapy \((n = 5)\), and/or IL-2 \((n = 4)\). Toxicity was assessed using the National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria. The 3 most frequently encountered adverse events were grade 1 fever \((n = 9)\), grade 1 fatigue \((n = 7)\), and grade 1 myalgias \((n = 5)\). There were 2 grade 1 skin rashes, 1 at each dose of vaccine (Table 2). Of the 12 subjects, there was 1 incident of new onset grade 2 vitiligo in a patient enrolled at the lower vaccine dose and 1 grade 1 vitiligo exacerbation in a patient who had vitiligo prior to study enrollment. Overall, there was no correlation between adverse events and the dose of vaccine administered. Thus, patients were able to tolerate both doses of rV-B7.1 vaccine without significant adverse events.

Local delivery of vaccinia virus expressing B7.1 induced objective tumor regression in selected patients. One patient withdrew from the study before the second dose and could not be fully evaluated. Responses were classified according to standard response evaluation criteria in solid tumors (Table 3), and of the 11 (18.2%) evaluable patients, both at the 4.26 \times 10^7 PFU vaccine dose, had an objective partial response of the index lesion (31). Another 4 patients (36.3%) had stable lesions 3 months after starting vaccination. Two patients had stable disease at distant sites of documented metastases, and 1 patient had a complete response of metastatic tumors. Patient 3 presented with mediastinal and left inguinal melanoma 4 years after excision of a left foot primary. After the third vaccination, she experienced complete regression of all mediastinal lesions, while the left inguinal mass remained stable by objective measurements. An FNA of this inguinal lesion revealed necrotic tumor 4 weeks after completion of the third vaccine, and the mass was surgically excised. Interestingly, following the third vaccination, the patient also exhibited profound vitiligo around her lower right lip and at a skin graft donor site on the right lateral thigh (Figure 1). Now, more than 59 months after completing treatment, she shows no evidence of recurrent melanoma and has had no other therapy. Patient 4 was a 47-year-old man with melanoma metastatic to the right parotid gland, spleen, lymph nodes, lung, and liver. After completion of the first cycle of rV-B7.1 vaccine, follow-up CT scans showed his nontarget disease to be stable while he had a greater than 50% regression of the index parotid mass. He elected to continue treatment with rV-B7.1, and his disease remained stable through 2 additional cycles of vaccination (9 total vaccinations). Fourteen months after entering the trial, the patient died of splenic rupture related to progressive melanoma. Patient 11 was a

---

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose (PFU)</th>
<th>Pt. no.</th>
<th>HLA-A status</th>
<th>No. vaccinations</th>
<th>Vitiligo</th>
<th>Target lesion</th>
<th>Nontarget lesion</th>
<th>Best overall response</th>
<th>Time to progression (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(4.26 \times 10^7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1, 24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1, 11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2, 23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>59+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1, 28</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3, 26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3, 24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Nonevaluable</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.26 \times 10^8)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2, 24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2, 28</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2, 11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2, 11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 1**

Patient 3 received 3 intratumoral injections of rV-B7.1 \((4.26 \times 10^7\) PFU) in a left inguinal subcutaneous melanoma lesion. Following the last vaccine she developed new autoimmune vitiligo involving the right lower chin (A) and a skin graft donor site on the right lateral thigh (B). She had a complete response of metastatic disease, and excision of the left inguinal melanoma revealed necrotic tumor. She has had no evidence of recurrent disease for more than 59 months.
The expression of melanoma antigens duringCollapse

\textbf{Table 4}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Vaccine dose (PFU)} & \textbf{Pt. no.} & \textbf{Pre} & \textbf{Post} & \textbf{Fold increase} \\
\hline
$4.26 \times 10^7$ & 1 & 200 & 3,200 & 16 \\
 & 2 & 200 & 6,400 & 32 \\
 & 3 & 100 & 6,400 & 64 \\
 & 4 & 200 & 12,800 & 64 \\
 & 5 & 200 & 12,800 & 64 \\
 & 6 & 200 & 1,600 & 8 \\
\hline
$4.26 \times 10^8$ & 7 & 400 & 6,400 & 16 \\
 & 8 & 400 & 6,400 & 32 \\
 & 9 & 400 & 12,800 & 32 \\
 & 10 & 400 & 25,600 & 64 \\
 & 11 & 100 & 6,400 & 64 \\
 & 12 & 400 & 51,200 & 128 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Antivaccinia virus antibody titers were measured by ELISA before vaccination and 2 weeks after the third vaccination. Titers and fold increases are shown for individual patients at each dose level.

42-year-old woman with a primary anal melanoma and metastases to her lungs, lymph nodes, and left adrenal gland. She completed 1 cycle of rV-B7.1, and postvaccination CT scans demonstrated stable disease for 22 months, after which time her disease progressed. The remaining patients all experienced disease progression after the first cycle of vaccine therapy.

\textbf{Melanoma patients injected with rV-B7.1 develop antivaccinia virus–antibody responses.} Pre- and postvaccination antivaccinia virus antibodies were evaluated by ELISA. Patients were required to have had smallpox vaccination in the past for eligibility in the clinical trial, and prevaccination titers (greater than 400) were positive in 4 out of 12 (33%) patients. Following intratumoral administration of rV-B7.1, all patients exhibited an increase in postvaccination anti-vaccinia titers (Table 4). In the low-dose ($4.26 \times 10^7$ PFU) group, patients showed an average fold titer increase of 41.3 ± 26.0 (range 8–64); and in the high-dose ($4.26 \times 10^8$ PFU) group, patients experienced an average fold titer increase of 56 ± 40.2 (range 16–128).

\textbf{Melanoma patients injected with rV-B7.1 develop antivaccinia virus T cell responses.} All patients tested showed an average fold increase of 29.6 ± 50.7 (range 1–144.4) in antivaccinia T cell precursor frequencies against vaccinia lysate in an IFN-γ ELISPOT assay (Table 5). The fold increase in antivaccinia T cell precursor frequency was compared to the fold increase in antivaccinia antibody titers and showed a correlation of –0.45 with 95% confidence interval: –0.93 to –0.28 (Supplemental Figure 1; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI24624DS1).

\textbf{Melanoma patients injected with intratumoral rV-B7.1 developed systemic T cell responses against defined melanoma antigens.} The expression of 2 defined melanoma antigens, gp100 and melanoma antigen recognized by T cells 1 (MART-1), also known as Melan-A, was confirmed by immunohistochemical staining of FNAs taken from index lesions prior to vaccination (Supplemental Figure 2). We observed some minor variability in antigen expression in individual lesions throughout the trial, but, as previously reported in other studies, gp100 and MART-1 were expressed in all HLA-A*0201 patients ($n = 6$) who were selected for T cell analysis (32, 33). Pre- and postimmunization PBMCs were subjected to in vitro stimulation (IVS) using a single HLA-A2–restricted MART-1 peptide and a mixture of 3 HLA-A2–restricted gp100 peptides. The tumor antigen–specific immune response was monitored in PBMCs using IFN-γ ELISPOT assay (Table 5). The postvaccination frequency of gp100-specific T cells increased in 5 of 6 patients (fold increases ranged 1.1–35.9), while MART-1–specific T cells increased in 4 of 6 patients (fold increases ranged 1.21–23.5). The patient who experienced long-term survival following vaccination (patient 3) initially had little change in antigen-specific T cell responses but developed a significant increase in both gp100- and MART-1–specific T cells 6 months after starting vaccination (Figure 2).

\textbf{Differential gene expression of CD8, IFN-γ, and IL-10 in regressing and stable lesions compared with that in nonregressing lesions following intratumoral rV-B7.1 vaccination.} Total RNA was successfully extracted from 21 FNAs from 6 patients. Samples were tested for RNA integrity by RNA nanochip electropherogram technology and then selected for 2 consecutive rounds of amplification, as described in Methods. We were able to amplify RNA (aRNA) approximately 10$^2$- to 10$^3$-fold from samples in 5 patients, which were available for qRT-PCR analysis. The pre- versus postvaccination cycle threshold ($C_T$) values for gene expression for the endogenous control phosphoglycerate kinase 1 (PGK1) were compared to rule out differences in aRNA assessment during processing prior to qRT-PCR analysis. There were no differences found among all 5 prevaccination (20.08 ± 0.98) and 16 postvaccination (20.1 ± 1.2) PKG1 $C_T$ values from patients 3, 4, 5, 7, and 9. Among the prevaccination samples, we measured the difference in $C_T$ values for IFN-γ (7.6 ± 4.54), IL-10 (11.1 ± 3.57), and CD8 (4.6 ± 2.5) without finding notable differences among patients (Supplemental Table 1).

The gene expression profile of the index lesion in stable disease (SD) was not markedly altered, exhibiting less than a 10-fold difference (greater than 0.1) in CD8, IFN-γ, or IL-10 (Figure 3A). The 2 lesions exhibiting a partial response (PR) demonstrated a general increase in CD8 (patient 4) and IFN-γ and IL-10 (patients 4 and 5). Patient 4 experienced a less than 800-fold increase in IFN-γ transcription levels, correlating with a less than 200-fold increase in CD8 transcription levels in postvaccination samples compared with prevaccination specimens (Figure 3A). In the 2 regressing lesions, the IFN-γ levels were higher than the IL-10 expression. In contrast, the 2 nonresponding index lesions in patients with progressive disease (PD) were characterized by a decrease in all 3 markers, most notably IFN-γ, which decreased more than 100-fold (0.02 ± 0.02), and to a lesser degree, CD8, with a decrease of 7-fold (0.3 ± 0.04).

Patient 4 underwent 3 cycles of vaccination for a total of 9 separate injections and experienced a partial response in the index

\textbf{Table 5}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Pt. No.} & \textbf{Time} & \textbf{Vaccinia} & \textbf{gp100} & \textbf{MART-1} \\
\hline
3 & Pre & < 1 & 100 & 200 \\
 & Post & 40 & 80 & 200 \\
7 & Pre & < 1 & 90 & 130 \\
 & Post & 140 & 900 & 920 \\
8 & Pre & < 1 & < 1 & 20 \\
 & Post & 70 & 40 & 10 \\
10 & Pre & 4 & 120 & 18 \\
 & Post & 156 & 90 & 22 \\
11 & Pre & 70 & 40 & 480 \\
 & Post & 80 & 900 & 170 \\
12 & Pre & 50 & < 1 & 8 \\
 & Post & 100 & 140 & 160 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Numbers show cells per 2 × 10$^5$ T cells plated.
lesion with stable disease elsewhere for 14 months. During the first vaccination cycle, there was an increase in CD8 and IFN-γ transcription levels, whereas following the second cycle, IL-10 gene expression appeared to be increased above the IFN-γ and CD8 genes (Figure 3B). This trend was reversed again during the third cycle of vaccination, which was characterized by a rebound in CD8 and IFN-γ transcription levels and an accompanying decrease in IL-10 gene expression. These changes were associated with an initial regression in the size of the index lesion during the first treatment cycle, a rapid increase in tumor size associated with IL-10 gene expression, and stabilization during the third cycle of vaccination (Figure 3C).

Discussion
This is the first report, to our knowledge, evaluating the safety and feasibility of direct intratumoral injection of recombinant vaccinia virus expressing a costimulatory molecule (rV-B7.1) in patients with melanoma. The maximum dose administered was $4.26 \times 10^8$ PFU virus, which was the highest concentration of virus that could be administered with available technology. The adverse events related to vaccination were not dose dependent and consisted of low-grade fever (75%), fatigue (58%), and myalgias (42%). These reactions compare favorably with other immunotherapy strategies that employ systemic cytokines, such as IL-2, which induce a significantly greater toxicity profile. The
Vaccinia virus is a prototypical poxvirus composed of a double-stranded DNA core and is capable of encoding large eukaryotic genes engineered for expression by vaccinia-specific promoters (36, 37). Infection of tumor cells by recombinant vaccinia viruses results in a lytic process 7 hours after exposure, and the virus is generally cleared from patients within 5–7 days, especially following repeated exposure. The rV-B7.1 vaccine was constructed using a synthetic early/late vaccinia promoter leading to short term cell surface B7.1 expression for several hours prior to lysis of infected melanoma cells (data not shown). In addition to B7.1 expression, the presence of vaccinia virus itself in the local microenvironment led to release of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines, which may serve as a potent adjuvant to amplify local immunity. Despite these advantages, the use of repetitive boosting with vaccinia constructs may be inhibited by the rapid appearance of neutralizing antivaccinia antibody and subsequent clearance of virus before local antitumor immunity can be firmly established (38). This may have been even more profound in the current trial since all patients were required to have prior smallpox vaccination. In fact, some patients did exhibit low levels of preexisting antivaccinia antibody titers (Table 4), but all patients responded with a significant increase in both antivaccinia antibody titers and T cell responses after vaccination. We observed an inverse correlation between antibody and T cell responses against vaccinia, which suggests that other host factors may play a role in the type of immune response that occurs following exposure to vaccinia virus. Patients able to mount a Th1-type response are more likely to develop cell-mediated immunity, and an association between antigen-specific Th1 responses and tumor immunity following immunotherapy has been previously reported (39).

T cell costimulation is a significant factor in the induction of T cell responses and may also be critical for antitumor immunity (20). B7.1 is the ligand for at least 2 T cell surface receptors, CD28 and CTLA-4. Ligation of CD28 delivers a positive stimulatory signal to T cells that have engaged the T cell receptor, which results in T cell proliferation, release of IL-2, and inhibition of apoptosis through increased expression of Bel-XL (20, 40, 41). In contrast, CTLA-4 is induced following T cell activation and exerts a negative regulatory role following initial T cell activation. The importance of B7.1 in generating autoreactive T cells has been demonstrated in experiments on NOD mice, in which diabetes can be accelerated by the introduction of B7.1 into murine islet cells (42). The role of B7.1 in tumor immunity has also been suggested by the observation that tumor cells escape detection by the lack of costimulatory molecule expression on the cell surface (43). Showing results similar to those of the NOD mouse experiments, murine tumors transduced with B7.1 are rejected more efficiently than B7.1-negative tumor cells (44). Furthermore, enhanced tumor rejection was observed after vaccination with recombinant viral vectors expressing a model tumor antigen and B7.1 (45, 46). In other murine studies, recombinant vaccinia viruses expressing B7.1 or B7.2 were used to directly infect transplantable colon carcinoma cells. Although infection of murine carcinoma cells with wild-type vaccinia virus resulted in progressive tumor growth, tumor cells infected with either B7.1- or B7.2-expressing viruses completely prevented tumor growth after transplantation into immunocompetent mice (22). These results suggest that the inclusion of B7.1 is important for rejection of local tumors, although we were unable to directly test this in the current phase I clinical trial.

Established melanomas often contain tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte populations, which can mediate limited regression in selected cases (47, 48). However, a recent report has documented that both Melan-A- and cytomegalovirus-specific T cells were functionally impaired in lymph nodes containing metastatic melanoma (12). These potentially reactive T cells were found to lack perforin, suggesting that the local tumor microenvironment induces local tolerance through direct effects on antigen-specific T cells. Thus, the introduction of a viral vector encoding immunomodulatory molecules provides a strategy for inducing strong local inflammatory responses that may be capable of reversing local T cell tolerance. In a murine–transitional cell carcinoma model, vaccination with vaccinia virus expressing the male-specific transplantation antigen H-Y and GM-CSF was able to induce systemic H-Y–specific CTL responses only with injection into the tumor site, not after injection in the contralateral normal flank (49). Our data is consistent with this observation, as we documented an increase in melanoma-specific T cells in PBMCs following local vaccination with rV-B7.1. In addition, local immunity was evident, as documented by an increase in CD8 and IFN-γ transcripts in rV-B7.1–injected melanoma lesions undergoing a partial clinical response (Figure 3A).

While the inclusion of B7.1 or other immunomodulatory molecules is likely important for the induction of host immunity, it is also possible that vaccinia-induced lysis of local melanoma cells initiated an immune response through cross-presentation of melanoma antigens following engulfment by professional antigen-presenting cells. The contribution of nonspecific vaccinia effects, however, seems less likely since mice immunized with a murine MC38 colon carcinoma cell vaccine infected with vaccinia virus expressing B7.1 experienced complete protection against tumor challenge with native MC38, whereas wild-type vaccinia-infected MC38 vaccination had no effect (50). A similar effect was observed in a lung metastasis treatment model in which only tumor cells infected with vaccinia-B7.1, not vaccinia virus, were effective. The influence of local costimulatory molecules delivered by poxvirus vectors was also evaluated using a nonreplicating fowlpox virus (51). In this model, intratumoral vaccination with fowlpox virus expressing carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and 3 costimulatory molecules (B7.1, ICAM-2, and LFA-3) induced regression of implanted MC38-CEA colon carcinomas, whereas intratumoral wild-type fowlpox virus had no effect on tumor growth. Infection of human DCs with avipoxviruses has also demonstrated increased T cell activation when costimulatory molecules are expressed by the virus compared with infection of DCs with wild-type control poxviruses (52, 53). Thus, while we could not ethically justify a direct comparison of the rV-B7.1 to wild-type vaccinia virus in this phase I clinical trial, there is compelling evidence of the superiority of recombinant poxviruses expressing costimulatory molecules in activating T cell responses and mediating therapeutic responses in murine tumor models.
Local delivery of rV-B7.1 avoids the need for antigen identification prior to vaccination and offers the opportunity of inducing a wide range of antigen-specific immune responses. This general strategy has also been successful using local recombinant IL-2 administration, which induced regression in 85% of injected melanoma lesions in one study (54). Recently, the induction of melanoma regression associated with a dense inflammatory response has also been seen following local application of the TLR agonist, imiquimod (55). This potential for using poxviruses to deliver immunomodulatory molecules to the tumor microenvironment has also been evaluated in a small clinical trial that used an IL-12 expressing canarypox virus administered as an intratumoral vaccination to 9 patients with metastatic melanoma (56). One patient exhibited a complete clinical response, and vaccinated lesions were characterized by an enhanced T cell infiltrate.

Although T cell activation at the site of tumor growth has been implicated as an essential component of successful tumor rejection by CD8+ T cells, protective antitumor T cell priming occurs in secondary lymphoid tissue (10, 11). This has led to interest in targeting vaccines to local lymph node basins to improve the likelihood of activating an effective T cell response (57–60). The benefit of this approach was demonstrated in a murine model using a lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus peptide vaccine, which induced 103 more potent CTL responses when administered by intrasplenic injection compared to subcutaneous vaccination (61).

Thus, it is intriguing that the 3 patients in our trial who achieved a significant survival advantage all had index lesions localized to lymph node sites. Despite the superiorit of lymph nodes for priming T cell responses, such cells may be rendered anergic when they encounter peripheral tumors. Consistent with our data, others have shown that such T cell ignorance can be overcome by expression of B7.1 at peripheral tumor sites (11).

The success of these local treatment approaches introduces some difficulty in monitoring immune responses, an important aspect of modern tumor vaccine investigation. In this report, we utilized a qRT-PCR method that used a relatively small amount of material collected through serial FNAs of injected lesions. We previously validated this approach, and identification of differential gene expression profiles may provide a tool for predicting response to treatment (62). In a melanoma trial employing a peptide vaccine and IL-2, 8 of 27 metastatic lesions completely regressed, and 1 lesion demonstrated an objective partial response (63). Similar to our results with local poxvirus vaccination, regression was associated with significantly increased INF-g transcript levels in regressing compared to nonregressing lesions following peptide vaccination and IL-2 administration. Thus, the evaluation of local gene profiles through validated qRT-PCR analysis may represent a method for sampling the tumor microenvironment and predicting response to local interventions.

The data presented in this research article documents the safety profile and feasibility of rV-B7.1 as a vaccine for local delivery into established melanoma lesions. Vaccination resulted in systemic antivaccinia antibody and T cell responses in all patients and was associated with mild low-grade constitutional symptoms and, in 3 patients, autoimmune vitiligo. The vaccine did not encode melanoma antigens, yet evidence of systemic immunity was provided by an increase in gp100- and MART-1–specific T cell responses in HLA-A*0201–bearing patients. We also demonstrated the feasibility of using a qRT-PCR assay for profiling the tumor microenvironment in serial FNAs of injected lesions. An increase in CD8 and IFN-γ gene transcripts was associated with clinical regression in our trial, consistent with previous reports. Local delivery of poxviruses expressing other immunomodulatory genes represents a method for altering the local tumor microenvironment and provides a mechanism for inducing systemic antitumor immunity.

**Methods**

**Human subjects and vaccination protocol.** A standard dose-escalation phase 1 clinical trial received ethical approval from the Committee on Clinical Investigation at the Albert Einstein College of Medicine and the Institutional Review Board of Columbia University, and all subjects provided written informed consent. Patients with metastatic melanoma were eligible contingent upon the following: (a) age of 18 years or more; (b) histologically proven stage IV metastatic melanoma without brain metastasis and with lesions greater than or equal to 1 cm in size and accessible to percutaneous injection; (c) history of prior vaccinia vaccination; (d) ECOG performance status of 0–1; (e) negative β–human chorionic gonadotrophin in women of childbearing potential; (f) at least 4 weeks from completing surgery or prior chemotherapy and complete recovery from same; (g) at least 2 weeks from completing prior radiation therapy without evidence of bone marrow toxicity and complete recovery from such therapy; (h) at least 8 weeks from completing prior immunotherapy and complete recovery from same; (i) life expectancy greater than 3 months; (j) no history of eczema or other illness requiring systemic corticosteroids or contraindicating vaccinia administration; (k) adequate organ function as defined by a wbc count greater than or equal to 3,000/mm3, platelet count greater than or equal to 100,000/mm3, hemoglobin level greater than or equal to 10 g/100 ml, serum creatinine less than or equal to 2.0 mg/dl, serum direct bilirubin levels less than or equal to 1.5 mg/dl, serum transaminases and alkaline phosphatase levels less than 2 times the upper normal limits, less than 2-fold elevation of prothrombin and partial thromboplastin time in patients not receiving anticoagulation medications, and no evidence of congestive heart failure, serious cardiac arrhythmias, recent prior myocardial infarction, clinical coronary artery disease, or cirrhosis; (l) no significant allergy or hypersensitivity to eggs; (m) no history of another malignancy in the preceding 2 years other than stage I cervical carcinoma or basal cell carcinoma of the skin; (n) ability to avoid contact with high-risk individuals (immunosuppressed individuals, pregnant women, children less than 3 years of age, and patients with eczema or other open skin conditions) for a period of 7–10 days after immunization.

Subjects received monthly intralosomal injections of rV-B7.1 provided by the Cancer Therapy Evaluation Program (National Cancer Institute). The rV-B7.1 study design has been previously reported (64), and each cycle consisted of 3 vaccine doses. Two vaccine concentrations, 4.26 × 107 and 4.26 × 108 PFU, were used. Prior to each injection, a history was taken and physical examination performed, and blood was collected for complete blood count, antinuclear antibody titer, serum electrolyte levels, and coagulation studies. An FNA of the treatment lesion was conducted to collect a baseline sample for immunologic assay. Two weeks after vaccination, serum and T cells were obtained for immunologic studies. Four weeks after the third injection, patients underwent radiologic imaging to assess disease status; those patients without objective disease progression and who still met eligibility criteria were offered booster vaccinations following the same schema.

**Immunohistochemistry.** Cytospin preparations of sequentially obtained FNA material were fixed in acetone and stained with anti–MART-1 murine IgG2b (M2–7C10) (16, 19) and anti-Pmel17/gp100 mAb HMB45 (BioGenex). For secondary staining, biotinylated goat anti-mouse IgG (KPL) was used, followed by avidin-biotin-peroxidase (VECTORSTAIN Elite Kit; Vector Laboratories).

**HLA-typing.** HLA typing was determined by standard PCR assay as previously described (65).
Antibody titers. Patient sera was collected before and 2 weeks after each vaccination and stored at −20°C. Ninety-six-well ELISA plates were coated with vaccinia virus (Wyeth strain) lysate overnight at 4°C. On day 2, plates were washed and then blocked with 5% milk solution. Appropriate dilutions of control and sample sera were made using the same milk solution and incubated for 1 hour at 37°C. For antivaccinia antibody detection, HRP-goat anti-human IgG was added, followed by the HRP substrate (TMB Microwell Peroxidase system; KPL), and OD was read at 450 nm. The dilution factor of patient sera (1:2) was corrected and titers were determined based on the value of the naive sera times 3. A positive postvaccination response was indicated by a 2-fold increase in titer compared with preimmunization titers. Positive prevaccination responses were defined as titers greater than 400 OD.

Systemic T cell responses. PBMCs were isolated by density gradient centrifugation using Ficoll-Hypaque (Pharmacia) before and 2 weeks after each vaccination. PBMCs were stored at −80°C until analysis. The precursor frequency of melanoma antigen-specific T lymphocytes was determined by IFN-γ ELISPOT assay as previously described (66). Since, with the use of a nonantigen encoding viral vaccine, low precursor frequencies were expected, IVS was performed as follows: pre- and postvaccination PBMCs were thawed and incubated with 10 µg/ml MART-126–35 (EAAGIGILTV) or a gp100 1:1:1 peptide mix (gp100:14–26 [KTWGQYWQV], gp100:209 [ITDQVPFSV], gp100:519–527 [RLMKQDFSV]). On day 2, rL-2 (300 IU/ml) and rIL-7. Total RNA was isolated from FNA of spots/well were comparable (data not shown). Fresh PBMCs, 5 × 10⁵ cells/well were incubated with various concentrations of vaccinia lysate (5, 2.5, and 1.5 µl/well) and 2.5 µl/well was chosen for future assays. The interassay reproducibility was determined in 2 consecutive assays resulting in comparable relative standard deviations.

Statistical analysis. The fold change in T cell response was estimated using empirical Bayes method after background adjustments. The average background reactivity for each patient at each time point was calculated based on 2 or 3 wells from the same plate. The ELISPOT readings were then adjusted by subtracting the background; if a reading was below the background, the adjusted spot count would be 0. To avoid numerical problems in calculating the fold changes, half spot (per 10⁵ cells) was added to each count. The fold change for an individual patient was estimated by the following: [Y + v/α/α] × [Y + v/α][α/α – 1]/[α/α], where Y and α are the respective average numbers of spots before and after vaccination and v/α and α are the respective numbers of replicates (wells) over which averages were taken. The parameters v, α, and v/α were well-specific positive numbers and were estimated by maximizing a marginal likelihood. The parameter v served as an attenuation factor to avoid false positives when prevaccination ELISPOT readings were low.

The antivaccinia antibody responses were measured by fold change of titers as determined by ELISA assay. Correlation between antivaccinia T cell and antibody responses was summarized using Pearson’s correlation coefficient, with a 95% confidence interval (via bootstrap).

RNA isolation and amplification. Total RNA was isolated from FNA samples using RNaseasy mini-kits (Qiagen) and amplified as previously described (67). Two rounds of amplification, each consisting of a combination of in vitro transcription (IVT) and template-switching PCR, were performed. The quality of aRNA was monitored by electrophoresis through denaturing gels. RNA products were separated on a RNA 6000 chip using Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). Quality analysis using electropherograms of aRNA were obtained using the Agilent bioanalyzer. The size of aRNA after the first IVT ranged from 500 to 6,000 nucleotides and was from 500 to 4,000 nucleotides after the second IVT. For qRT-PCR, 5–10 µg of aRNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using the High-Capacity cDNA Archive Kit (Applied Biosystems), and cDNA was stored at −20°C until use.

qRT-PCR. The levels of gene expression for CD8, IFN-γ, IL-10, and a reference housekeeping gene, PGK1, were determined in FNA-derived cDNA samples by qRT-PCR using the ABI PRISM 7900HT Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems). Primers and probes were obtained using TaqMan Assays-on-Demand gene expression products (Applied Biosystems), which are built on 5-nucleotide chemistry and consist of 2 unlabeled PCR primers (900 nM) and a FAM dye-labeled TaqMan MGB probe (250 nM) as a reporter as well as a 3’ end with the quencher dye TAMRA. For each sample, we used 200–400 ng of cDNA per well for the reference and target genes. An ABI PRISM 7900HHT Sequence Detection System was programmed for the initial step of 2 minutes at 50°C and 10 minutes at 95°C, followed by 40 thermal cycles of 15 seconds at 95°C and 1 minute at 60°C. Each measurement was set up in triplicate. To validate the amount and quantity of source RNA, transcript levels of the reference gene, PGK1, were measured. For each sample, target values were corrected by PGK1 copies to obtain normalized values independent of variation in starting material. Each primer and probe pair was validated using the comparative Ct method for relative quantification (∆∆Ct) where the amount of target gene copies is normalized to a reference gene (PGK1) using mitogen-stimulated PBMCs from healthy donors as source RNA. The correlation between the Ct and the fold difference in RNA concentration for each probe and primer set was measured by creating a 10-fold serial dilution curve over the entire detection scale. For each probe and primer pair combination used in this study, the correlation coefficient of detection was at least 0.99. When we performed the relative efficiency plot of target versus reference gene, the absolute value of the slope of log input (ng cDNA) versus ACt was...
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