Autoantibody production during infections is considered to result from nonspecific activation of low-affinity autoreactive B cells. Whether this can lead to autoimmune disease remains uncertain. We show that chronic infection by *Borrelia burgdorferi* of Tg animals expressing human rheumatoid factor (RF) B cells (of low or intermediate affinities) in the absence or in the constitutive presence of the autoantigen (represented here by chimeric IgG with human constant region) breaks their state of immunological ignorance, leading to the production of RFs. Surprisingly, this production was more pronounced in intermediate-affinity RF Tg mice coexpressing the autoantigen. This overproduction was mediated by immune complexes and involved synergistic signaling between the B cell receptor and Toll-like receptors and T cell help. These findings indicate that chronic infection can activate autoreactive B cells with significant affinity and creates conditions that can drive them to differentiate into memory cells. Such cells may have some physiological yet undetermined role, but in autoimmune-prone individuals, this scenario may initiate autoimmunity.

**Introduction**

Susceptibility to autoimmune diseases is determined by a combination of genetic and environmental factors, the latter being mostly unknown. Among them, the role of infectious agents in the setting off or exacerbation of autoimmune diseases is still a matter of debate. Although there is substantial evidence that, in some circumstances, infections may have a protective effect against autoimmune-mediated diseases, clinical observations and several experimental models have suggested for decades that autoimmune diseases may be initiated or worsened by microbial infections (1). However, there is no real understanding of the underlying mechanisms (2). These questions have clear clinical implications.

In theory, the mechanisms by which a microbe may activate autoreactive cells could fall into 2 categories: antigen-specific and antigen-nonspecific. On one hand, the antigen-specific theory relies mainly on epitope mimicry. Indeed, cross-reactivity is frequent at the B cell level and even more at the T cell level because of the degeneracy of the T cell repertoire, making this model tantalizing for immunologists. However, it has not yet been convincingly demonstrated that epitope mimicry can set off an autoimmune disease (3). On the other hand, the antigen-nonspecific mechanisms are numerous and loosely grouped under the term “bystander activation.” For B cells, it has been known for decades that bacterial or viral infection, particularly when it is persistent, leads to polyclonal B cell proliferation and Ig production, and that newly synthesized specific Abs constitute generally only a small fraction in the resulting hypergammaglobulinemia (4–8). The mechanisms underlying this nonspecific B cell activation are far from obvious; in particular, they are most probably not limited to cytokines released from activated T cells, since they may involve cognate interactions with specific T cells (7). As recently emphasized by Silverstein and Rose, the old question of whether this polyclonal activation includes the turning on of anti-self and therefore may lead to autoimmune disease is still open (9). With current knowledge, 2 different situations can be considered. In the first, natural autoreactive B cells produce only low-affinity Abs. They escape tolerance mechanisms because they fall below the threshold for induction of anergy, deletion, or editing. Then polyclonal activation would result in the production of more of these innocuous Abs with no role for the autoantigen and with no clinical consequences. On the other hand, some anti-self B cells normally present in healthy subjects may have sufficient affinity to bind autoantigens and to receive some signal through the B cell receptor (BCR). Indeed, anti-self memory B cells expressing somatically mutated autoantibodies can be detected in normal individuals (10, 11). Under normal circumstances such cells would remain quiescent because a second signal is lacking or because they are kept silent by active immunological-ignorance mechanisms. The processes that allow these cells to escape normal tolerance mechanisms and that can trigger them to secrete autoantibodies are mostly unknown. In this view, important questions are: (a) do such cells participate in the polyclonal activation induced by an infection; (b) if so, by which mechanisms; and (c) can this lead to an autoantigen-driven maturation?

In order to address these issues, and to facilitate the analysis, we designed experimental infections of self-reactive B cell Tg mice. We chose Tg animals expressing a highly relevant model of human autoantibody, the rheumatoid factor (RF) (12). Indeed, B cells expressing BCRs specific for self Cγ (IgG), known as RF B cells, are present in large numbers in the normal human repertoire in spite of the ubiquitous presence of IgG in different biological forms (free or in immune complexes, soluble or membrane bound).
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In the present study, we examine whether an experimental infection with *Borrelia burgdorferi*, a chronic infectious disease that is known to be associated with polyclonal hypergammaglobulinemia in both humans and mice (16), can break this state of immunological ignorance, and, if so, by which mechanisms. Our different Tg models allow us to study the potential roles of the autoantigen and of the affinity of the RF.

**Results**

*Brief presentation of Hul × clgG mice.* Given the potential role of membrane IgD in B cell tolerance (15), all the Tg lines used in this study coexpress the IgM and IgD isotypes of the Tg H chain. The Tg µ and κ chains are detected by an anti-µ reagent and 17.109 anti-idiotypic mAb, respectively. By crossing Hul Tg mice with clgG knock-in mice, we generated animals designated Hul × clgG that express both the chimeric Hul RF and a repertoire of clgG with human Cy1 region and murine variable regions (14, 17). In these animals, mean serum clgG levels range between 150 and 200 μg/ml. As judged by triple immunofluorescence analysis with anti-IgMa, 17.109, and anti-hulgG, clgGs were produced by a small proportion of IgMa 17.109 RF cells that were present in the spleens (about 2%), excluding a *cii* effect (ref. 14 and data not shown). Rather unexpectedly, Hul RF B cells develop normally and remain functionally ignorant.

Under normal conditions, RF B cells seem autoantigen ignorant and do not secrete RF. However, RF B cells can be activated in many autoimmune conditions, but also in nonautoimmune conditions and in particular during infectious diseases. Thus, we used 4 different lines of Tg mice expressing chimeric RFs (human variable regions, mouse IgM and IgD constant regions) that differed mainly by the affinity of the RFs for human IgG (hulgG), and by the absence or the constitutive presence of human Cy1. Briefly, Smi RF Tg mice (previously designated nAAb; ref. 13) were constructed with a low-affinity (Kd = 10⁻⁶ M) polyreactive human RF. The autoreactive B cells of these mice are self antigen ignorant (i.e., they are not activated after encountering hulgG), even in the presence of the constitutive expression of chimeric IgG (clgG; mouse variable regions, human Cy1 region) (14); this mimics the physiological situation in humans. Hul RF Tg animals express somatically mutated V regions of a monoreactive and higher-affinity (Kd = 10⁻⁸ M) human RF, and their surface IgM–IgD⁺ (slgM⁺slgD⁺) autoreactive B cells are also ignorant after in vivo injections of hulgG (15). The fourth line of RF Tg mice also expresses Hul RF, but in the constitutive presence of clgG, and is described in the first part of Results. Albeit there are some phenotypic differences among these Tg lines, their general features are the following: slgM⁺slgD⁺ RF B cells develop normally in the bone marrow, localize in the B zones of the secondary lymphoid organs, are not activated in the presence of hulgG, and without features of anergy (they can be activated through BCR-dependent and -independent pathways).

**Figure 1**

B cells in Hul and Hul × clgG mice. Hul × clgG RF B cells develop normally and remain functionally ignorant. (A) Flow cytometry of splenocytes from Hul and Hul × clgG mice. Viable lymphocytes were gated on forward scatter (FSC) and side scatter (SSC) parameters. B220 staining reflects total B cells; IgMa is the Tg heavy chain allotype; 17.109 is the Tg light chain idioype. Numbers indicate the mean percentage in the quadrants or the outlined gates. (B) Percentages of follicular B cells assessed by CD21 and CD23 expression on splenic 17.109⁺ cells. (C) Effects of in vitro stimulations on Hul and Hul × clgG splenic B cells. Splenocytes were cultured for 72 hours in the presence of aggregated hulgG (aghuIgG, 1 mg/ml), anti-mouse IgM (10 μg/ml), or medium alone (nonstimulated [ns] or dashed line). Histograms show divisions of CFSE-labeled 17.109⁺ B cells or surface expression of the CD86 activation marker on these cells.
B cells in Smi × clgG mice. Table 1 summarizes the main genetic and functional differences among the several types of transgenic mice used in our study.

The B. burgdorferi infection model. B. burgdorferi is able to induce in many inbred mouse strains, including C57BL/6, a chronic systemic infection characterized by recurrent bacteremia and by the inva-
sion of many tissues (16, 18). The highest concentrations of spirochete are found in ankle joints, heart, and skin. Although Abs play an important role in controlling spirochetes, the host defense is unable to completely eradicate established infection (19). This failure is thought to be the consequence of the important potential for antigenic variation in this organism, which involves different mechanisms (20). A hallmark of murine B. burgdorferi infection is the development of an arthritis that peaks 2–3 weeks after intradermal injection of the pathogen.

In the subsequent experiments, Hul and Smi Tg mice can be compared with Hul × clgG and Smi × clgG, respectively, since they share the same genetic backgrounds (see Methods). Four-week-old Tg and littermate controls were injected with 10⁶ B. burgdorferi organisms and were sacrificed for analysis generally at 4 weeks after infection. The susceptibility of the Tg mice to experimental B. burgdorferi infection was evidenced by the following facts: (a) most RF Tg mice developed ankle joint arthritis 2 weeks after B. burgdorferi injection; (b) all the mice had a positive B. burgdorferi culture from at least 1 tissue sample (heart, ear skin, bladder) at 4 weeks; and (c) all the mice mounted a strong anti-B. burgdorferi IgG response, including a clgG response in the relevant animals (Table 2). Infection resulted in at least a 3- to 4-fold increase in the total LN B cell numbers as compared with those in control mice injected with culture medium alone (Figure 2, B and C). The increase varied little among Tg, Tg × clgG, and non-Tg mice. In Tg animals, RF B cells (IgMa⁺17.109⁺) and non-RF B cells (IgMa⁺17.109⁻) were equally affected (Figure 2B). The increase was already detected by day 20 after infection and persisted at day 45 (not shown). By contrast, we found no significant modification in the splenic B cell populations, which is possibly consistent with the fact that, for unclear reasons, spleen generally harbors very low numbers of B. burgdorferi (21) (Table 3). Accordingly, LNs from infected mice were always significantly enlarged, whereas spleen sizes remained normal (Figure 2D).

Together these data are consistent with the facts that B. burgdorferi and its lipoproteins have been shown to possess in vivo mitogenic activity in both human and mouse B lymphocytes and that in vivo infection is associated with polyclonal hypergammaglobulinemia, only a minor fraction of which represents B. burgdorferi-specific Abs (8, 16, 22, 23). B cell marker analysis indicates that Hul RF B cells have distinctive behavior in the presence of their autoantigen. Spleen and LN B cells were analyzed by flow cytometry for levels of CD86 activation marker. At day 30 after infection, membrane expression of CD86 was close to basal levels in LN and splenic B cells in all the strains of non-Tg mice as well as in clgG animals (CD86 mean fluorescent intensity, increased from 1.1 to 1.5 compared with respective uninfected mice). This is in accord with previous work showing that B. burgdorferi infection indeed upregulates the surface expression of CD86 in B cells, but only transiently, during the first 2 weeks after infection (24). By contrast, during the same period of time, a significant increase in CD86 level was still detected in IgMa⁺17.109⁺ LN cells, compared with that in IgMa⁺17.109⁻/⁻ cells, from Hul × clgG mice (Figure 2E) (P = 0.0007). Interestingly, no such observation could be made for IgMa⁺17.109⁺ cells from Hul, Smi, and Smi × clgG mice, in which CD86 levels were close to baseline (not shown).

B. burgdorferi infection induced production of RF that was in part dependent on the presence of the autoantigen. Consistent with previous work in wild-type animals (22), infection of Tg mice and of non-Tg littermates resulted in a 2- to 4-fold increase in the serum concentration of total IgM as compared with injection with culture medium (Figure 2F). To examine whether RF B cells were involved in the
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### Table 1

**Characteristics of the different Tg animals**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VH</th>
<th>VK</th>
<th>Autoantigen</th>
<th>Affinity for hulgG</th>
<th>Genetic background</th>
<th>Cell numbers, LN (× 10⁶)</th>
<th>IgMa⁺17.109⁺</th>
<th>Serum RF, 8 wk (mg/ml)</th>
<th>Serum IgM, 8 wk (mg/ml)</th>
<th>Serum hulgG (mg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hul × clgG</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>5 ± 3</td>
<td>3 ± 2</td>
<td>6 ± 2</td>
<td>0.479 ± 0.060</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hul</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>4 ± 2</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
<td>6 ± 1</td>
<td>0.357 ± 0.118</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smi × clgG</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>2.4 ± 1</td>
<td>16 ± 6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.046 ± 0.016</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smi</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1</td>
<td>22 ± 5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.037 ± 0.025</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L × clgG</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>2.2 ± 1.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clgG</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>2.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>4.3 ± 2.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as the mean ± SEM for groups of at least 5 mice. Cellular phenotypes were assessed as described in Figure 1A and Figure 2. RF, IgM, and hulgG levels were measured by ELISA. Eight-week-old mice correspond to the age of sacrifice for infected mice and allow comparison.
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### Table 2

**B. burgdorferi infection in RF Tg mice and controls**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mouse strains and Tg</th>
<th>Arthritis prevalence</th>
<th>Anti–B. burgdorferi glyG</th>
<th>Anti–B. burgdorferi hulgG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C3H</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>5/12</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>2/7</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L × clgG</td>
<td>6/10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clgG</td>
<td>5/12</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smi × clgG</td>
<td>18/18</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smi</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hul × clgG</td>
<td>21/23</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>8/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hul</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mice were infected with 10⁶ B. burgdorferi and analyzed at day 20, 30, or 45. Arthritis was considered as an early marker of infection. Anti–B. burgdorferi IgG response was considered positive when OD values reached 0.2 plus background. Murine anti–B. burgdorferi IgGs (anti–B. burgdorferi glyGs) were tested in non-Tg and RF Tg mice. Anti–B. burgdorferi hulgGs were tested in knock-in mice (clgG, RF Tg or non-Tg). Background mice were Tg: ND, not determined.
Mechanisms of RF B cell activation. As mentioned above, B. burgdorferi lipoproteins have been reported to possess potent B cell mitogenic properties capable of stimulating polyclonal activation and proliferation and Ig production in vitro. These properties are dependent on the Pam.Cys modification of lipoproteins and involve TLRs, particularly TLR2 complexed to TLR1 (21, 25–27). Most known mammalian TLRs, including the TLR1/2 complex, signal through the adapter protein MyD88 (28). Purified B cells could be activated by a B. burgdorferi sonicate in vitro, but the proliferation was completely abolished for cells from MyD88-deficient mice (Figure 4A). As expected, Hul RF B cells from Hul × clgG Tg mice proliferated in a dose-dependent manner in the presence of B. burgdorferi sonicate (Figure 4B). Since we previously determined that purified Hul IgM-RF does not bind B. burgdorferi antigens (ELISA and Western blot; not shown), these data together with previous studies indicate that during B. burgdorferi infection RF B cells can be activated mainly by a TLR pathway independent of BCR specificity.

However, nonspecific B cell activation does not explain why RF production is enhanced in Hul × clgG Tg mice compared with that in Hul Tg animals. We considered 2 not mutually exclusive mechanisms: (a) synergy between the BCR and a second receptor on the B cell surface, and (b) T cell help.

In view of the above data, candidate second receptors include members of the TLR family. Recently, Leadbetter et al. showed that AM14 RF B cells, which express a low-affinity murine Tg RF, can be activated in vitro by chromatin/IgG complexes isolated from the serum of autoimmune mice but not by IgG alone (29). This effective activation required a synergistic engagement of the antigen receptor and a member of the MyD88-dependent TLR family, most probably TLR9, which binds hypomethylated CpG motifs. We hypothesized that a similar mechanism, possibly involving other TLRs including the TLR1/2 complex, could operate in Hul × clgG infected animals. In this view it is worth noting that anti-chromatin IgGs were not detected in the serum of any infected mice by ELISA (data not shown). To examine further the mechanisms of RF B cell activation in Hul Tg mice, it was important to define the role of the BCR.

Table 3
Splenic B cells in RF Tg infected mice compared with uninfected mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total cell numbers (10^6)</th>
<th>B220-IgMa+</th>
<th>IgMa&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;17.109&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>IgMa&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;17.109&lt;sup&gt;-&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>17.109-CD21&lt;sup&gt;-&lt;/sup&gt;CD23&lt;sup&gt;-&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>17.109-CD21&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;CD23&lt;sup&gt;-&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hul uninfected</td>
<td>60 ± 16</td>
<td>29 ± 5</td>
<td>12 ± 7</td>
<td>3 ± 1</td>
<td>2 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hul infected</td>
<td>45 ± 22</td>
<td>28 ± 4</td>
<td>12 ± 4</td>
<td>5 ± 3</td>
<td>2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hul × clgG uninfected</td>
<td>51 ± 21</td>
<td>34 ± 4</td>
<td>13 ± 6</td>
<td>6 ± 5</td>
<td>2 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hul × clgG infected</td>
<td>50 ± 24</td>
<td>33 ± 7</td>
<td>10 ± 5</td>
<td>3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background uninfected</td>
<td>98 ± 27</td>
<td>50 ± 8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background infected</td>
<td>95 ± 23</td>
<td>55 ± 7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In contrast to the LN results, splenic B cells were respected during B. burgdorferi infection. Mice were infected with 10<sup>6</sup> B. burgdorferi and analyzed at day 30. Data are expressed as the mean ± SEM for groups of 5–13 mice. Analysis was performed as in Figure 1A. Percentages of follicular B cells and marginal zone B cells were assessed by CD21 and CD23 expression on splenic 17.109<sup>+</sup> cells (follicular B cells CD21<sup>-</sup>CD23<sup>-</sup>, marginal zone B cells CD21<sup>+</sup>CD23<sup>-</sup>; CD21<sup>-</sup> expresses higher levels of CD21 than follicular B cells).

Table 4
RF levels in uninfected and infected mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RF, d 0 (mg/ml ± SEM)</th>
<th>RF, d 30, uninfected mice (mg/ml ± SEM)</th>
<th>RF, d 30, infected mice (mg/ml ± SEM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smi</td>
<td>0.030 ± 0.004 (n = 7)</td>
<td>0.044 ± 0.008 (n = 7)&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smi × clgG</td>
<td>0.047 ± 0.006 (n = 8)</td>
<td>0.145 ± 0.018 (n = 8)&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hul</td>
<td>0.102 ± 0.015 (n = 6)</td>
<td>0.357 ± 0.118 (n = 5)&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hul × clgG</td>
<td>0.103 ± 0.013 (n = 10)</td>
<td>0.479 ± 0.060 (n = 7)&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RF levels were measured by ELISA. <sup>4</sup>P < 0.05 versus clgG knock-in; Mann-Whitney test. <sup>6</sup>P < 0.05 versus day 0; Mann-Whitney test. <sup>4</sup>NS versus clgG knock-in; Mann-Whitney test.

In hypergammaglobulinemia induced by B. burgdorferi infection, serum Tg RF concentrations were measured by ELISA at different times after infection. At day 30 after infection, RF levels were increased in all infected mice (Table 4). Since serum total IgM and RF naturally increase in animals between 4 and 8 weeks of age, we also compared the ratios of serum RF at day 30 after infection to serum RF at day 0 between infected and uninfected animals (Figure 3A). Interestingly, the increase of RF production resulting from B. burgdorferi infection was significantly higher in Smi × clgG and Hul × clgG mice than in Smi and Hul animals, respectively. In addition, this increase was most noticeable in infected Hul × clgG animals compared with uninfected mice as well as with infected Hul Tg mice (P = 0.0002 and P = 0.0017, respectively). The data presented in Table 4 allowed us to calculate the ratios of RF levels at day 30 between infected and uninfected animals and to show that the increase of RF production was more than 30% higher in Hul × clgG mice than in Hul mice. In Hul × clgG mice, RF represented 95% of the total serum IgM at day 30 after infection (mean RF level 1.46 ± 0.16 mg/ml and mean IgM level 1.55 ± 0.25 mg/ml versus 60% in infected Hul × clgG controls. These data imply that during B. burgdorferi infection, RF B cells are activated by 2 different additive mechanisms: 1 is nonspecific, and the second depends on the presence of the autoantigen clgG. Although Smi and Hul mice must be compared with caution since they are different Tg lines, a role for an RF-clgG interaction in the second mechanism is further supported by (a) the fact that the overproduction of RF when clgG was present was most noticeable in Hul animals (Hul RF affinity for IgG was roughly 2 log higher than that of Smi); and (b) the kinetic of RF production in Tg × clgG mice: in Smi × clgG mice the RF response was transient, peaking around day 30 after infection to return to base-line levels by day 45. By contrast, in Hul × clgG mice the response was more sustained (Figure 3B).

These data together with the CD86 expression analysis indicate that during B. burgdorferi infection RF B cells are activated to proliferate and to secrete RF by a nonspecific mechanism, i.e., irrespective of the BCR specificity. However, RF B cells are further activated in the presence of clgG, possibly reflecting BCR signaling. This is especially true for Hul mice; thus we focused our next experiments on these lines.
splenocytes from these animals were stimulated in vitro with
sonicated \textit{B. burgdorferi}, purified huIgG from patients with high
serum levels of anti-\textit{B. burgdorferi} IgG (anti-\textit{B. burgdorferi} huIgG),
or immune complexes prepared by preincubation of these anti-\textit{B. burgdorferi}
respective huIgGs with sonicated \textit{B. burgdorferi}. We also checked
for the absence of anti-chromatin Ab in the patients' purified
huIgG. All these reagents were toxin free. In the following experi-
ments, sonicated \textit{B. burgdorferi} was used at low concentration
(2.5 \textmu g/ml) to avoid masking the potential contri-
bution of the huIgG. After 72 hours, we harvested the cells for flow cytomet-
ic analyses and collected the supernatants for measurements of
IgM-RF by ELISA. RF B cells increased in size, upregulated CD86,
and proliferated strongly in response to \textit{B. burgdorferi}/anti-\textit{B. burgdorferi}
huIgG immune complexes, whereas \textit{B. burgdorferi} or anti-\textit{B. burgdorferi} huIgG
alone, as well as \textit{B. burgdorferi} preincubated with huIgG from healthy sub-
jects (normal huIgG), produced little, if any, response (Figure 5A). RF B cell
activation was autoantigen specific, since IgMa'17,109low/- B cells were not
activated under the same conditions. Finally, sonicated \textit{B. burgdorferi}
stimulated RF production in vitro, whereas
anti-\textit{B. burgdorferi} huIgG alone did not. In accord with the proliferation
data, \textit{B. burgdorferi}/anti-\textit{B. burgdorferi}
huIgG immune complexes enhanced RF production by 30% compared with
\textit{B. burgdorferi} alone (Figure 5B).

This selective activation could reflect BCR signaling; alternatively, surface
RF, by trapping immune complexes, may simply concentrate \textit{B. burgdorferi}
particles on the B cell membrane, thus enhancing TLR signaling.
To distinguish between these hypotheses, we took advantage of the fact that the calcineurin antagonist cyclosporin A (CsA) is known to prevent the BCR cross-linking–induced activation of NFAT
required for cellular proliferation without significantly altering
TLR signaling, which does not involve any known calcium-calci-
neurin step (30). Purified Hul RF B cells were cultured as before in the
presence or absence of CsA at 50 ng/ml (higher concentrations
resulted in cellular toxicity). Consistent with our first hypothesis,
CsA blocked the selective enhancement of Hul RF B cell prolif-
eration induced by \textit{B. burgdorferi}/IgG immune complexes without
interfering with the proliferation induced by \textit{B. burgdorferi} alone.

Figure 3
\textit{B. burgdorferi} infection induces production of RF that is in part dependent on the presence of the autoantigen. (A) Ratios of serum RF levels between day 30 and day 0 in infected mice compared with
controls. Each point represents an individual mouse and the relative level of RF at day 30 compared with
the day 0 level. Open symbols, uninfected; filled symbols, infected. **P < 0.05, Mann-Whitney
test. (B) Kinetic of mean RF levels between day 0 and day of sacrifice in Hul x clgG infected mice,
compared with Smi x clgG infected mice. **P < 0.005 compared with day 0 level, Mann-Whitney test.

Figure 4
Proliferation and activation of B cells in MyD88
wild-type (or MyD88+/−) mice and MyD88-
deficient mice. (A) Purified B cells from
MyD88−/− or MyD88+/− mice on a C57BL/6
background were cultured for 72 hours in the
presence or absence of sonicated \textit{B. burgdorferi}
(10 \textmu g/ml). Histograms show divisions
of CFSE-labeled B cells or surface expres-
sion of CD86 activation marker. Percent-
ages indicate proliferating cells. (B) Spleno-
cytes from Hul x clgG mice were cultured in
the presence of different concentrations of
sonicated \textit{B. burgdorferi}. The percentage
of proliferating cells was measured for each
concentration after CFSE staining.
(Figure 5C). Since for these experiments we used purified B lymphocytes, the data also show that both BCR-dependent and BCR-independent RF B cell–induced proliferations did not require other cells—in particular, T cells. However, a different pattern comes to light when autoantibody production is examined. Indeed, anti-B. burgdorferi immune complexes were no more able to increase the RF secretion induced by B. burgdorferi alone (Figure 5D). This suggests that the Ab secretion component of the RF B cell activation induced by B. burgdorferi/hulgG complexes may depend on the presence of other cells, Th cells being obvious candidates.

Among autoreactive B cells, RF B cells have the noteworthy property of recognizing an autoantigen of which the main biological role is to bind foreign antigens. It has been shown in vitro that RF B cells are able to capture foreign antigens in immune complex form and to activate specific T cells (31). However, the relevance of this mechanism in vivo is unknown. To investigate the role of T cells in the breakdown of Tg RF B cell tolerance, we treated Hul and Hul × clgG mice 2 days before the infectious challenge with a nondepleting anti-CD4 mAb under conditions demonstrated to completely coat and block CD4+ T cells for 4 weeks (32). Animals were sacrificed 30 days after infection and analyzed as above. There was no significant difference in the B cell phenotypes between these animals and the immunocompetent ones (not shown); in particular, B. burgdorferi infection resulted in a comparable increase of the LN B cell compartments (roughly 4-fold). Likewise, serum RF levels were still increased in Hul infected animals compared with healthy animals, which is consistent with the fact that B. burgdorferi is directly mitogenic for B cells in...
vitro (Figure 6A). However, most interestingly, CD4 T cell blockage completely abolished the autoantigen-dependent enhanced production of serum RF in infected Hul × clgG mice.

Depending on the system, the T cell help can be mediated by the interaction of CD40 ligand (CD154) with CD40 that is constitutively expressed by B cells, and/or by secreted ILs. Because syngeneic B. burgdorferi–specific T cell clones were not available, we evaluated the contribution of CD40 signaling using a stimulating anti-CD40 Ab. Purified B cells from Hul Tg mice were cultured with sonicated B. burgdorferi, anti–huIgG, or B. burgdorferi/anti–huIgG immune complexes in the presence or absence of activating anti-CD40 Ab (Figure 6B). Anti-CD40 Ab clearly promoted RF secretion induced by B. burgdorferi alone. This indicates that concurrent TLR stimulation by B. burgdorferi is synergistic with CD40 signaling to induce B lymphocyte differentiation into Ab-secreting cells. Such a costimulation was not observed for B cells from MyD88−/− mice (data not shown). In these experiments anti–B. burgdorferi huIgG immune complexes were not more potent than B. burgdorferi alone nor than B. burgdorferi preincubated with normal huIgG to induce RF production. This could be due to culture conditions; alternatively, it could indicate that the main role of the BCR in the autoantigen-specific activation of RF B cells is to deliver B. burgdorferi antigens to specific T cells.

Discussion

Overall, the data presented herein show that, during a chronic bacterial infection, innate immunity receptors (most probably TLRs), the autoantigen, and CD4 T cells cooperate to break the immunological ignorance of RF B cells in mice that are not genetically prone to develop autoimmune diseases (see Figure 7 for a summary of the mechanisms potentially involved).

In humans, infectious diseases with a wide variety of pathogens, as diverse as subacute bacterial endocarditis, tuberculosis, and type C viral hepatitis, are frequently associated with a high production of RFs (12). The mechanism of this production is usually considered to be nonspecific, i.e., irrespective of the BCR specificity. Indeed, our results show that autoantigen-ignorant Tg RF B cells proliferate like other B cells and that RFs participate in the resulting hypergammaglobulinemia. However, RF production is substantially higher and is more sustained in Hul × clgG compared with the other Tg lines (low-affinity RF, and Hul), which shows that an autoantigen-induced step constitutes a second mechanism involved in RF production.

The mechanisms underlying nonspecific B cell activation during infectious states have long been studied, although many issues remain unclear (1, 2, 4, 5–7, 9, 33). There is convincing evidence that these mechanisms are numerous, including direct activation by B cell mitogens; cytokines released from activated T cells, which may substitute nonspecifically for Th cells; or even T cell help that occurs through cognate interaction but is independent of BCR specificity (7). Regarding B. burgdorferi infection, activation of B cells through
TLRs certainly plays an important role. B. burgdorferi lipoproteins including OspA and OspB possess potent B cell mitogenic properties capable of stimulating polyclonal proliferation and Ig production in vitro (21, 25–27). These properties are dependent on the PamCys modification of lipoproteins and involve TLRs, particularly TLR2. Here we have shown that the proliferation of B cells from wild-type mice induced by B. burgdorferi in vitro is completely abolished for cells from MyD88-deficient mice. In addition, the experiments depicted in Figure 4A and Figure 5C clearly demonstrate that purified murine B cells can be directly stimulated by B. burgdorferi, even though the participation in vivo of other cells expressing TLRs and/or of other pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP) receptors is likely. Still, the process is not dependent on CD4 T cells, since their blockade does not reduce the production of RFs in Hul infected mice.

The second, autoantigen-induced, step is illustrated by the increased RF production in Hul × clgG mice compared with Hul animals. The results in vivo are underpinned by in vitro experiments. Immune complexes made of anti–B. burgdorferi huIgG and sonicated B. burgdorferi greatly enhance the activation and proliferation of RF B cells as well as the secretion of RF compared with those induced by B. burgdorferi alone. This phenomenon is autoantigen-specific, since it is not observable for non-RF IgMa+17.109−/− B cells. As expected from previous data (15), anti–B. burgdorferi huIgG alone had no effect. While CsA treatment did not interfere with B. burgdorferi-induced proliferation, it blocked the enhancement of proliferation induced by the immune complexes, which strongly support BCR signaling. This phenomenon could find its biological significance in a possible role as an amplifier of immune responses, which is suspected for RF B cells. Indeed, Roosnek and Lanzavecchia have shown in vitro that RF B cells can capture exogenous antigens in immune complex form, process them, and present antigenic peptides to specific CD4 T cells (31). These T cells could then induce RF B cells to secrete through cognate interactions. In accord with this hypothesis, we show that CD4 T cell blockade in vivo had no effect on the nonspecific part of the RF B cell activation, since serum RFs were similar between anti-CD4−treated and untreated Hul infected mice. However, CD4 T cell blockade completely suppressed the autoantigen-dependent RF production in Hul × clgG mice. To be precise, CD4 T cells seem dispensable for the proliferation component of the selective activation mediated by anti–B. burgdorferi immune complexes, since it was still observed with purified B cells and since IgMa+17.109− cell numbers were increased in similar proportions in anti-CD4−treated and untreated mice. However, their presence is critical for the autoantigen-induced secretion of RF. With current knowledge, the most straightforward explanation is that RF B cells, having captured B. burgdorferi antigens in immune complex form, receive T cell help through a cognate interaction with anti–B. burgdorferi–specific T cells, which allows them to differentiate into plasma cells. Alternatively, secondary to TLR/BCR costimulation, B cells may be able to differentiate following noncognate interaction with activated CD4 T cells or with cytokines produced by them. Distinguishing between these hypotheses is at present hampered by the lack of available B. burgdorferi–specific CD4 T cell clones. A limitation of our study is the impossibility to provide direct evidence for the involvement of immune complexes in vivo.

From an autoimmunity point of view, several points merit further attention. First, it has been shown that in vivo bacterial infectious disease can activate not only low-affinity autoreactive B cells, but also higher-affinity anti-self B cells that have escaped central or peripheral tolerance. These last cells are known to exist in humans during chronic infection with mycobacteria (34), but also in healthy individuals (10, 35), maybe as a consequence of repeated benign infections. Whether autoreactive B cell activation by this process can lead to affinity maturation remains an open question. Somatic hypermutation is usually poorly effective in regular Ab Tg systems on non–autoimmune-prone genetic backgrounds; therefore it is probable that it cannot be readily studied with our current models. However, efficient antigen-driven somatic hypermutation is usually dependent on the availability of T cell help, which is shown here to occur in the second, autoantigen-induced, step of RF B cell activation.

Second, in view of the recent data from Marshak-Rothstein’s and Goodnow’s groups (29, 30), it is reasonable to assume that Hul RF B cells are activated through a 2-signal mechanism involving concurrent stimulations of the BCR and some PAMP receptor, most probably TLR, pathways. The direct demonstration of this hypothesis and the identification of the involved receptors will necessitate the generation of RF Tg backcrosses to appropriate KO mice. Rui et al. have demonstrated in the anti–hen-egg lysozyme (anti-HEL) model that stimulation with antigen is synergistic with CpG DNA through TLR9 signaling (30), and Leadbetter et al. have shown that mammalian chromatin-containing IgG immune complexes induce the proliferation of AM14 RF B cells in vitro and have provided strong evidence that this phenomenon results from the sequential engagement of the BCR and TLR9 (29). In our model, TLR9 is probably not similarly involved, since infected mouse serum and purified huIgG enriched in anti–B. burgdorferi huIgG were devoid of anti-chromatin Abs. This theory was recently questioned by Rui et al., who showed in vitro that autoreactive B cells that were energized by the constitutive presence of the autoantigen could not be induced to produce autoantibodies by activation of the TLR pathway (30). Our data suggest that there is a window of BCR affinities that allow autoreactive B cells to evade classical tolerance mechanisms and to appear ignorant but that permit their activation by the autoantigen when a second signal is provided. We think that our results extend the BCR/TLR9 coengagement paradigm and further support a role for this mechanism as a link between infection and autoimmunity.

Third, the next question is whether any autoreactive B cells can be activated by this mechanism provided that self antigen is accessible to the BCR. Recently, Viglianti et al. have extended the BCR/TLR9 coengagement to DNA-reactive B cells (36). However, an important result from our in vitro experiments is that normal huIgG (i.e., devoid of anti–B. burgdorferi Abs) are unable to increase the activation of Hul RF B cells induced by B. burgdorferi. This suggests that a physical interaction or a cross-link between the TLR and the BCR may be required. Since TLR9 is not expressed at the B cell membrane, this could occur at the membrane of the TLR9-associated subcellular compartment after internalization of the BCR complexed with DNA fragments. If such a limitation proved to be true, it could, together with the high frequencies of RF and anti-nuclear Abs expressing B cells in the naïve repertoire, account for the fact that these autoantibodies are the most frequent produced during infectious diseases. However, the results obtained in the CpG DNA anti-HEL model are more difficult to account for. One possibility could be that HEL binds to CpG DNA through charge-based interactions (30). Clearly this question needs further investigation.
Finally, further studies will be required to first determine the mechanism that controls the occurrence of pathogenic autoantibodies in individuals recurrently exposed to infectious diseases, and second, to determine what differentiates these individuals from patients suffering from autoimmune disease.

Methods

Mice. All mouse lines were housed and crossed in isolator cages at the animal facility of the Centre de recherche d’immunologie et d’hématologie (Hôpital Civil). B. burgdorferi–inoculated mice and the uninected controls were housed in the animal facility at the Laboratoire de bactériologie (Hôpitaux universitaires de Strasbourg). CS7BL/6 and C3H/Hej mice were bought from Harlan France SARL. MyD88-deficient mice (MyD88 KO, or MyD88−/−) on a C57BL/6 background were bought from the Centre de Distribution, de Typage et d’Archivage (Orleans, France) animal laboratories. All animal experiments were performed with approval by the Direction départementale des services vétérinaires (Strasbourg, France).

The generation of Smi, Smi × cIgG, Hul, and Hul × cIgG mice has been previously described (13–15). Briefly, RF transgensics are generated as single-chain transgenics (H or L) on a CS7BL/6 background. Single-chain transgenics are crossed with cIgG knock-in mice generated on a mixed background (at least 6 backcrosses with CS7BL/6 mice) and then intercrossed to obtain Smi, Smi × cIgG, Hul, and Hul × cIgG mice on the same genetic background. For experiments, Tg mice were always compared with their littermate controls. The cIgG knock-in line was kindly provided by K. Rajewsky (CBR Institute for Biochemical Research, Sigma-Aldrich), and 10% heat-inactivated FCS (Dominique Dutscher). Cells (1.106 per milliliter) were incubated in 24-well BD Falcon plates (in a total volume of 1,000 µl) with 10 µg/ml LPS from Salmonella typhi (Sigma-Aldrich), 10 µg/ml of a F(ab’)2 goat anti-mouse IgM (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories Inc.), 1 mg/ml of aggregated huIgG (Sandoglobuline, Novartis Pharma), 2.5–10 µg/ml of sonicated B. burgdorferi, 1 mg/ml purified huIgG from healthy individuals or B. burgdorferi-infected patients, or mixed solution containing B. burgdorferi (2.5 µg/ml) and huIgG (1 mg/ml), in the presence or absence of 10 µg/ml anti-CD40 agonist (BD Biosciences – Pharmingen) or 50 ng/ml CaA (Novartis Pharma). Aggregated huIgGs were prepared by heating at 65°C for 15 minutes.

After 60–72 hours of culture at 37°C, the phenotype of the cells was determined by flow cytometry analysis.

For proliferation assays, cells were labeled with CFSE (Invitrogen Corp.) before addition of LPS, anti-IgM, or B. burgdorferi with or without huIgG. Suspensions of 2.106 cells per milliliter in 0.1% PBS/BSA were incubated with CFSE at a final concentration of 2 µM for 10 minutes at 37°C. Cells were then washed and resuspended in the culture medium.

For CD86 expression, the cells were labeled after 60–72 hours of culture, as described in “Flow cytometry.”

Preparation of B. burgdorferi/huIgG complexes. Sonicated B. burgdorferi was prepared as follows. B. burgdorferi sensu stricto C40 was grown at 33°C in ten 7-ml tubes of BSK-H medium and harvested after 5 days of culture. The cell pellet was washed 3 times with cold PBS and 0.1% MgCl2 (pH 7.2). The final pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of Tris-Cl, 0.5 M (pH 8), and 2 ml of Laemmli buffer (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and denatured by heating at 95°C for 3 minutes. The protein content of this preparation was determined by Lowry assay.

To purify huIgG, pooled sera from infected patients or controls (IgG anti-B. burgdorferi titers between 500 and 1,000 U as determined by the Enzygnost Borreliosis IgG kit; Dade Behring Inc.) were cleared by centrifugation and decomplexed. Each pool of serum was then loaded onto a DEAE Affi-Gel Blue Gel column (Bio-Rad Laboratories) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Eluted fractions were concentrated and switched to PBS buffer using Stir Cel Omega 30K (Pall Corp.). IgG titers were determined at sacrifice by culture of different specimens (bladder, ear, heart, spleen) in 7-ml tubes of BSK-H medium for up to 4 weeks at 33°C. Considering 100 infected animals, culture of at least 1 organ was positive for 90.

Preparation of B. burgdorferi/huIgG complexes. Sonicated B. burgdorferi was prepared as follows. B. burgdorferi sensu stricto C40 was grown at 33°C in ten 7-ml tubes of BSK-H medium and harvested after 5 days of culture. The cell pellet was washed 3 times with cold PBS and 0.1% MgCl2 (pH 7.2). The final pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of Tris-Cl, 0.5 M (pH 8), and 2 ml of Laemmli buffer (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and denatured by heating at 95°C for 3 minutes. The protein content of this preparation was determined by Lowry assay.

To purify huIgG, pooled sera from infected patients or controls (IgG anti-B. burgdorferi titers between 500 and 1,000 U as determined by the Enzygnost Borreliosis IgG kit; Dade Behring Inc.) were cleared by centrifugation and decomplexed. Each pool of serum was then loaded onto a DEAE Affi-Gel Blue Gel column (Bio-Rad Laboratories) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Eluted fractions were concentrated and switched to PBS buffer using Stir Cel Omega 30K (Pall Corp.). IgG titers were determined at sacrifice by culture of different specimens (bladder, ear, heart, spleen) in 7-ml tubes of BSK-H medium for up to 4 weeks at 33°C. Considering 100 infected animals, culture of at least 1 organ was positive for 90.
were measured by ELISA. IgG solutions were then tested for endotoxins (PYROGENT Plus Cel Clot Assay; Cambrex Corp.).

Immune complexes comprising B. burgdorferi and hulG were made by premixing of B. burgdorferi solution with IgG at room temperature for 120 minutes, before addition to the assay.

B lymphocyte purification (magnetic cell separation). Splenic B cells were isolated with a magnetic cell separation (MACS) depletion protocol (Miltenyi Biotec). Splenocyte samples were depleted of non-B cells with anti-CD43 magnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec). The purity of the B cell fractions was greater than 80%.

Anti-CD4 treatment. Nondetecting mouse anti-CD4 Abs (YTS 177.96; ref. 38) in ascite form diluted in PBS (50 µl ascite plus 50 µl PBS per mouse per injection) were administered i.p. 2 days before B. burgdorferi infection, and then twice a week until sacrifice. Control animals were injected with PBS.

Acknowledgments

We thank S. Muller and F. Monneaux for help with murine and human anti-chromatin IgG ELISAs. This work was supported by grants from INSERM, Association pour la Recherche sur la Polyarthrite, and Strasbourg Louis Pasteur University.

Received for publication February 3, 2005, and accepted in revised form May 24, 2005.

Address correspondence to: Thierry Martin, Laboratoire d’Immunoopathologie, INSERM UMI 0222, Centre de recherche d’immunologie et d’hémato logie, Hôpital Civil, 1 place de l’hôpital, 67091 Strasbourg Cedex, France. Phone: 33-390-24-39-83; Fax: 33-390-24-40-16; E-mail: Thierry.martin@chru-strasbourg.fr.