Infantile cortical hyperostosis (also known as Caffey disease) is characterized by hyperirritability, acute inflammation of soft tissues, and profound alterations of the shape and structure of the underlying bones, particularly the long bones, mandible, clavicles, or ribs. In this issue of the JCI, Gensure et al. undertook fine mapping of the genetic locus for this disease in a large kindred of individuals with the autosomal dominant form of the condition. The authors found a novel missense mutation in COL1A1, the gene encoding the α1 chain of type I collagen, in all affected individuals in 3 discrete pedigrees (see the related article beginning on page 1250). This is a surprising finding, as all other reported mutations affecting the synthesis of type I collagen lead to conditions such as osteogenesis imperfecta and Ehlers-Danlos syndrome, in which quantitative or qualitative defects in type I collagen synthesis give rise to bone fragility and/or connective tissue hyperextensibility. The deleterious effect of the mutation on collagen fibril morphology is demonstrated; however, the precise functional link between the reported missense mutation and the localized inflammation and hyperostosis seen in Caffey disease awaits future studies.

Infantile cortical hyperostosis (ICH) — also referred to as Caffey or Caffey-Silverman disease — was recognized in 1945 by Caffey and Silverman (1). The condition, later shown to be transmitted as an autosomal dominant trait with incomplete penetrance, becomes clinically evident before 5–7 months of life, and the average age at onset is around 9 weeks. The initial symptom is general hyperirritability, with fever and anorexia, quickly followed by painful, firm soft-tissue swelling, particularly in the face (cheeks and jaws), the scapular region, and upper and lower limbs. It is usually self-limited, with severe symptoms lasting from 2–3 weeks to 2–3 months. The pattern of distribution of the lesions varies from patient to patient and can be symmetrical (Figure 1). Swelling also involves underlying muscle. It is important to note that this phase of acute inflammation precedes the abnormal thickening of cortical bone (hyperostosis) that gives its name to the disease. It also subsides long before the hyperostosis resolves. Sometimes lesions recur suddenly in their original sites or in new sites, either during or after the subsidence of the swellings that appeared at the onset of the disease. This uneven protracted clinical course with unpredictable remissions and relapses is one of the most characteristic features of the condition (2).

Bone lesions

The radiographic features of ICH evolve in stages. Hyperostoses develop on the outer cortical surface, expand, and then remodel by resorption either at the external surface or at the endocortical surface. In the latter case, there is an expansion of the medullary cavity and the cortex is thin (Figure 2B). Epiphyses and metaphyses are typically not involved. Tubular bones may be grossly deformed (Figure 1), with bony bridges sometimes appearing between adjacent bones (forearm, ribs). The histology of the bone lesions has been described in detail (3). Such lesions include not only subperiosteal new lamellar bone formation but also evidence of local inflammation. Early on, foci of acute inflammation are confined in the periosteum; they then disrupt the periosteal envelope to blend with contiguous tissues (fasciae, muscle, and tendon). In the subacute phase, the periosteum reestablishes itself as an entity with a sheet of fibrous tissue under which new subperiosteal bone is formed. In the late remodeling stage, signs of inflammation disappear, and excess peripheral bone is removed.

Based on these facts, discussion of the pathophysiology of ICH naturally turns toward a mechanism likely to induce...
local inflammation. Such a hypothesis received strong support from a report by Ueda et al. (4) demonstrating that administration of prostaglandin E (PGE) in infants with ductus-dependent cyanotic heart disease induced a form of cortical hyperostosis that mimics ICH. Two years later, Heyman et al. (5) reported that in 5 affected children, PGE serum levels were significantly elevated and that treatment with indomethacin (an inhibitor of PGE synthesis) rapidly suppressed irritability and led to a progressive decrease in soft-tissue swelling in 6–9 days. Whether elevated PGE levels were a cause or a consequence of the lesions could not be answered. We have made the same observations in 3 patients (Glorieux et al., unpublished data).

ICH pathophysiology
At a time when the debate regarding how a state of acute bone and soft-tissue inflammation could be limited in time and space and yet be transmitted as an autosomal dominant trait with incomplete penetrance is at a virtual standstill comes the surprising finding reported in this issue of the JCI that ICH is closely associated with a mutation in COL1A1, the gene encoding the α1 chain of type I collagen (6). The careful and elegant work of Gensure et al. has established a strong linkage between the ICH phenotype and the COL1A1 locus on chromosome 17q21 in 3 discrete pedigrees from Australia and Canada with an autosomal dominant form of ICH. More importantly, despite the fact that the families were geographically dispersed and unrelated, it was found that all affected individuals were heterozygous for the identical mutation, a substitution of Arg by Cys at position 836 (R836C), within the helical domain of the α1 chain of type I collagen. The linkage and sequencing data are solid and convincing and represent a major step forward in the understanding of the pathology of an elusive disease.

One has to now try and understand how a point mutation in a widely distributed structural protein can initiate the cascade of inflammatory events that lead to ICH. Type I collagen, the most abundant protein present within bone, is also present in periosteum, ligaments, dermis, and tendon. It is made of a long, continuous triple helix that assembles in highly organized collagen fibrils. The fibrils have high tensile strength, which is critical for the function of bone and other tissues (7). Glycine occurs at every third residue of the triple-helical domain. Because of its small size, it packs tightly at the center of the helix and confers stability. A mutation affecting a Gly residue will thus have an impact on the conformation of the collagen molecule, its integration in the tissue (bone, skin, and tendon), and the structural qualities of the tissue. This is the case in osteogenesis imperfecta, or brittle bone disease, where most mutations within COL1A1 are glycine substitutions. The severity of the phenotype is determined in part by the pattern of inheritance, the position of the mutation in the α1 chain, and the bulkiness of the replacement residue, as it will variably compromise formation and stability of the helix.

In contrast, the R836C mutation identified by Gensure et al. (6) in these ICH patients would not be predicted to have such an effect on the collagen molecule. Why and how it would instead stimulate new bone formation through a process of inflammation has yet to be understood. There has been only one other Arg-to-Cys substitution reported in the α1 chain of type I human collagen. R134C is associated with classical Ehlers-Danlos syndrome (EDS), which is characterized by skin hyperelasticity, joint hypermobility, increased tendency to bruise, and abnor-
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Almost a quarter of a century ago, Oldstone and colleagues proposed that infection of cells by noncytopathic viruses may lead to an alteration of the cells’ ability to produce certain products or perform certain tasks, i.e., inhibition of “luxury function.” In this issue of the JCI, this topic has been revisited by Yamano et al., who demonstrate that human T cell lymphotropic virus type I (HTLV-I) infection of CD4+CD25+ Tregs in patients with HTLV-I–associated myelopathy/tropical spastic paraparesis (HAM/TSP) results in a decrease in FOXP3 mRNA and protein expression (see the related article beginning on page 1361). This leads to the inability of HTLV-I–infected CD4+CD25+ Tregs to inhibit the proliferation of CD4+CD25+ Tregs, due to the effect of the HTLV-I tax gene. Defects in the Treg population could be responsible for the large numbers of virus-specific T cells and occurrence of lymphoproliferation and inflammatory autoimmune disease in HAM/TSP patients.

Several years ago, Uchiyama (1) provided interesting insight into the workings of human T cell lymphotropic virus type I (HTLV-I) infection. He stated that “The interaction between HTLV-I–infected cells with dysregulated function and different kinds of cells in the host, such as lymphocytes and vascular endothelial cells through viral peptides, antigen receptors, cell adhesion molecules, and cytokines, appears to be one of the basic mechanisms underlying the development of HTLV-I–associated diseases.” In this issue of the JCI, the study by Yamano et al. (2) provides an interesting confirmation of Uchiyama’s précis. The authors present data showing that the tax gene of HTLV-I can cause dysfunction of infected CD4+CD25+ Tregs and that the interaction between these HTLV-I–infected Tregs and other CD4+CD25− T cells is dysregulated. One could infer from the study by Oldstone et al. (3) that viral infection of differentiated cells can lead to different outcomes, which depend on what type of cell is infected and what gene product expression is turned off.

HTLV-I is a human retrovirus that is the etiologic agent for HTLV-I–associated myelopathy/tropical spastic paraparesis (HAM/TSP). The prevalence of HAM is about 2.4–3.8% in HTLV-I–positive individuals (4, 5). Therefore, only a minority of infected individuals go on to develop HAM. Yamano et al. (2) explored HTLV-I infection
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