Polyunsaturated fatty acids suppress glycolytic and lipogenic genes through the inhibition of ChREBP nuclear protein translocation
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Dietary polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) are potent inhibitors of hepatic glycolysis and lipogenesis. Recently, carbohydrate-responsive element–binding protein (ChREBP) was implicated in the regulation by glucose of glycolytic and lipogenic genes, including those encoding l-pyruvate kinase (L-PK) and fatty acid synthase (FAS). The aim of our study was to assess the role of ChREBP in the control of L-PK and FAS gene expression by PUFAs. We demonstrated in mice, both in vivo and in vitro, that PUFAs [linoleate (C18:2), eicosapentanoic acid (C20:5), and docosahexaenoic acid (C22:6)] suppressed ChREBP activity by increasing ChREBP mRNA decay and by altering ChREBP translocation from the cytosol to the nucleus, independently of an activation of the AMP-activated protein kinase, previously shown to regulate ChREBP activity. In contrast, saturated [stearate (C18)] and monounsaturated fatty acids [oleate (C18:1)] had no effect. Since glucose metabolism via the pentose phosphate pathway is determinant for ChREBP nuclear translocation, the decrease in xylulose 5-phosphate concentrations caused by a PUFA diet favors a PUFA-mediated inhibition of ChREBP translocation. In addition, overexpression of a constitutive nuclear ChREBP isoform in cultured hepatocytes significantly reduced the PUFA inhibition of both L-PK and FAS gene expression. Our results demonstrate that the suppressive effect of PUFAs on these genes is primarily caused by an alteration of ChREBP nuclear translocation. In conclusion, we describe a novel mechanism to explain the inhibitory effect of PUFAs on the genes encoding L-PK and FAS and demonstrate that ChREBP is a pivotal transcription factor responsible for coordinating the PUFA suppression of glycolytic and lipogenic genes.

Introduction

Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) are potent inhibitors of hepatic glycolysis and de novo lipogenesis, through the inhibition of genes involved in glucose utilization and lipid synthesis, including l-pyruvate kinase (L-PK), fatty acid synthase (FAS), and acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC). By regulating this pathway, PUFAs promote a shift from fatty acid synthesis and storage to oxidation (1). With the identification of the transcription factor sterol regulatory element (SREBP) (2), the molecular mechanism responsible for the PUFA inhibition of lipogenic genes has made important progress. Indeed, PUFAs inhibit SREBP-1 gene transcription (2), enhance SREBP-1c mRNA turnover (3), and interfere with the proteolytic processing of SREBP-1c protein (4, 5). In addition, the fact that overexpression of the nuclear form of SREBP-1c overrides the PUFA suppression of lipogenic gene expression (6, 7) further supports a role for SREBP-1c in mediating the negative effects of PUFAs.

However, the PUFA-mediated suppression of L-PK gene expression cannot be directly attributed to SREBP-1c. Indeed, L-PK expression is not subjected to SREBP-1c regulation (8), and its promoter does not contain a sterol regulatory element–binding (SREB-binding) site (6, 9). Insulin and glucose both augment L-PK gene expression, but it was demonstrated that the role of insulin in this process is to increase glucokinase (GK) levels to stimulate glucose metabolism (10). While increased glucose metabolism, possibly through the pentose phosphate pathway (11, 12), is important for the induction of hepatic L-PK, PUFAs inhibit its transcription (1). Functional mapping analyses have shown that the PUFA response element of the L-PK promoter is colocalized with the carbohydrate-responsive element (ChoRE) binding site (13, 14). However, despite several years of investigation, the identity of the transcription factor(s) involved in mediating the negative effects of PUFA on the L-PK gene remains unclear.

Recently, carbohydrate-responsive element–binding protein 1 (ChREBP) was shown to play a pivotal role in the induction of glycolytic and lipogenic genes by glucose (15, 16) by its capacity...
to bind to the ChoRE present in promoters of these target genes (17–19). ChREBP is expressed in liver and is responsive to the nutritional state. The stimulation of ChREBP by glucose occurs at 2 levels. High glucose and insulin concentrations stimulate ChREBP gene expression (15) and also stimulate its translocation from the cytosol to the nucleus, thereby increasing the DNA-binding/transcriptional activity of ChREBP (20). The fact that the DNA-binding activity of ChREBP in nuclear extract of livers from rats fed a high-fat diet is decreased compared with that in rats fed a high-carbohydrate (HCHO) diet suggests that ChREBP may be intimately involved in fatty acid inhibition of glycolysis and lipogenesis (18, 21).

Therefore, we hypothesized that the suppressive effect of PUFAs on glycolytic and lipogenic gene transcription could be mediated, in part, through a decrease in ChREBP gene expression and/or a defect in its nuclear translocation. In this report, we provide evidence for a novel regulatory mechanism of ChREBP activity by PUFAs in liver and demonstrate the direct role of ChREBP in mediating the negative effect of PUFAs on glycolytic and lipogenic genes.

Figure 1
Dietary PUFAs suppress the hepatic abundance of ChREBP mRNA and ChREBP total and nuclear protein content. (A) RTQ-PCR analysis of glycolytic and lipogenic genes from livers of 24 hour–fasted mice and mice refed a HCHO-triolein or HCHO-PUFA diet for 18 hours were performed. Results are the mean ± SEM; n = 6/group. *Significantly different from mice refed a HCHO diet for 18 hours (P < 0.005). (B) Insulin-stimulated liver lysates from 18 hour HCHO-, HCHO-triolein–, and HCHO-PUFA–fed mice blotted with anti–phospho-Akt (P-Akt) and anti–phospho-MAPK antibodies. Blots were then stripped and reprobed for total Akt and MAPK. n = 3/group. (C) Total, cytosolic, and nuclear ChREBP, precursor SREBP-1 (pSREBP-1), and mature SREBP-1 (mSREBP-1) protein, in cytosolic and nuclear extracts from livers of 24 hour–fasted and 18 hour–refed mice on a HCHO diet supplemented or not with PUFAs. β-Actin and Lamin A/C antibodies were used as loading controls. A representative Western blot is shown, n = 6/group.
Results

Dietary PUFAs reduce the amount of ChREBP mRNA and protein content in vivo. The transcription factor ChREBP is determinant for the induction of glycolytic (L-PK) and lipogenic (FAS, ACC) genes by glucose (15). Since PUFAs suppress glycolytic and lipogenic gene expression in liver, we first examined the possibility that PUFAs may inhibit the expression of ChREBP in vivo (Figure 1). As previously described (15), ChREBP mRNA was induced in liver of fasted mice reeled 18 hours with a HCHO diet (Figure 1A). A similar induction was observed when mice were reeled with a monounsaturated fatty acid–enriched (i.e., triolein-enriched) diet (HCHO-tri olein). In contrast, hepatic levels of ChREBP mRNA were suppressed by 64% when the HCHO diet contained PUFAs (HCHO-PUFA) (Figure 1A). In parallel, the expression of SREBP-1c, L-PK, and FAS genes was not affected by monounsaturated fatty acids but was decreased by dietary PUFAs (Figure 1A). The lack of induction of glycolytic and lipogenic genes in livers of HCHO-PUFA–refed mice was not due to a defect in insulin released, since plasma insulin concentrations in both reeled groups of mice were not significantly different (1.81 ± 0.23 ng/ml for HCHO-refed mice, 1.72 ± 0.36 ng/ml for HCHO-tri olein–refed mice, and 1.51 ± 0.27 ng/ml for HCHO-PUFA–refed mice). Moreover, after insulin stimulation, no difference in the phosphorylation status of Akt or MAPKs (ERK1 and ERK2) was observed in the different groups of mice. Our data demonstrate that the PUFA diet did not alter hepatic insulin signaling under our conditions (Figure 1B).

ChREBP content and localization was analyzed under the same experimental conditions. Total ChREBP content was decreased by 60% in liver extracts from HCHO-PUFA–fed mice compared with mice fed both HCHO and HCHO-tri olein diets (Figure 1C). To address a potential defect in ChREBP translocation, cytosolic and nuclear ChREBP contents were also measured. Both cytosolic and nuclear ChREBP contents were low in livers of 24 hour–fasted mice and increased to similar levels after both HCHO and HCHO-tri olein feeding (Figure 1C). Surprisingly, while ChREBP content was markedly decreased after HCHO-PUFA diet feeding, no significant reduction in cytosolic levels of ChREBP was observed (Figure 1C). In contrast, the HCHO-PUFA diet totally prevented the increase in the nuclear content of ChREBP (Figure 1C). This result probably reflects the accumulation of ChREBP in the cytosol under conditions of impaired protein translocation (i.e., after an enriched PUFA diet). Together our results indicate that PUFAs regulate the abundance of nuclear ChREBP, presumably through the inhibition of its translocation from the cytosol to the nucleus. In addition, the fact that a decrease in the amount of SREBP-1c protein (Figure 1C) was observed suggests that PUFAs inhibit glycolytic and lipogenic gene expression probably through the concomitant suppression of nuclear ChREBP and SREBP-1c proteins.

PUFAs counteract the effect of glucose and insulin on ChREBP gene expression in cultured hepatocytes. To examine whether fatty acids acted directly on ChREBP gene expression, primary cultures of hepatocytes were incubated with albumin alone or albumin plus various fatty acids (Figure 2). As previously shown (15), ChREBP gene expression was only induced by high glucose and insulin concentrations (Figure 2A). In agreement with our in vivo data (Figure 1A), incubating hepatocytes with saturated (stearate) or monoun satu rated (oleate) fatty acids had no inhibitory effect on ChREBP gene expression (Figure 2). In contrast, hepatocytes cultured with either linoleic acid [C18:2 (n-6)], eicosapentanoic acid [EPA; C20:5 (n-3)] or docosahexaenoic acid [DHA; C22:6 (n-3)] showed a 65% suppression of ChREBP mRNA levels (Figure 2A). To determine whether the inhibitory effect of PUFAs on ChREBP gene expression was not due to a potentially cytotoxic effect on hepatocytes, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activity was measured (Supplemental Figure 1; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI25256DS1). Since LDH is a cytosolic enzyme normally absent from the culture medium, LDH activity release in the medium can be used as an index of cytotoxicity. No significant effect of PUFAs (i.e., linoleate, EPA, or DHA) on LDH leakage was observed whatever the fatty acid concentrations tested (Supple-
PUFAs suppress the nuclear translocation of ChREBP in cultured hepatocytes. After plating, hepatocytes were cultured for 48 hours in the presence of 25 mM glucose and 100 nM insulin. Hepatocytes were then treated with 0.3 mM albumin-bound linoleate (open symbols) or albumin alone (filled symbols) for 2 hours prior to the addition of the transcription inhibitor α-amanitin (15 µM final). The abundance of ChREBP mRNA was determined by RTQ-PCR. Results are the mean ± SEM of values obtained from 3 independent cultures.

To further investigate the effect of various fatty acids on the subcellular localization of ChREBP, a GFP-fused ChREBP was transfected in cultured hepatocytes (Figure 4B). In agreement with previous studies (20), the GFP-fused ChREBP was mainly detected in the cytosol of hepatocytes at low glucose concentrations with or without insulin and migrated into the nucleus when hepatocytes were cultured at high glucose and insulin concentrations (Figure 4B). The GFP-fused ChREBP also showed appropriate nuclear translocation when hepatocytes were cultured at high glucose and insulin concentrations and in the presence of either saturated (stearate) or monounsaturated (oleate) fatty acids (Figure 4B). In contrast, PUFAs (linoleate, EPA, and DHA) markedly inhibited nuclear translocation of the GFP-fused ChREBP (Figure 4B), demonstrating that alteration in ChREBP translocation into nucleus was specific to PUFAs.

The alteration in ChREBP translocation is not linked to an activation of AMPK by PUFAs in vitro and in vivo. It was proposed that the fatty acid inhibition of glucose-induced L-PK gene transcription resulted from AMPK phosphorylation of ChREBP at Ser568, which then inactivated its DNA-binding activity (21). The activation of AMPK would result from the increased AMP concentrations generated by fatty acid activation in acyl-coA (21). We therefore determined whether the lack of nuclear ChREBP translocation in the presence of PUFAs could be associated with the activation of AMPK. The AMPK activator 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide-1-β-d-ribofuranosyl (AICAR) used at 500 µM increased the phosphorylated active form of AMPK in cultured hepatocytes at 1, 2, 6, and 10 hours (Figure 5A, upper panel), resulting, as previously shown (22, 23), in the inhibition of the glucose activation of L-PK and FAS gene transcription (data not shown). Under these conditions, the activation of AMPK by AICAR had no effect on ChREBP translocation to the nucleus (Figure 5B). Therefore, under our conditions (fatty acids used at 0.3 mM), the specific inhibitory role of PUFAs on ChREBP gene expression was not due to a cytotoxic effect. Finally, the decrease in ChREBP, SREBP-1c, L-PK, and FAS gene expression, elicited by linoleate, EPA, or DHA, followed a similar pattern (Figure 2, A–D).

Linoleate accelerates ChREBP mRNA decay in cultured hepatocytes. The influence of fatty acids on ChREBP mRNA half-life was examined by pretreating cultured hepatocytes for 2 hours with albumin-bound linoleate or albumin alone after a 48-hour incubation period with high glucose and insulin concentrations to induce ChREBP and SREBP-1c gene expression. Subsequently, α-amanitin was added to inhibit gene transcription (Figure 3). Under these conditions, linoleate accelerated the rate of ChREBP mRNA decay in cultured hepatocytes (Figure 3A). Regression analysis employing all measurements from 0 to 10 hours indicated that linoleate significantly shortened the half-life of ChREBP mRNA from 13.0 ± 1.2 hours to 6.4 ± 0.8 hours (n = 3; P < 0.05). In contrast, neither stearate nor oleate changed ChREBP mRNA decay (data not shown). As previously shown (3), linoleate also accelerated the rate of SREBP-1c mRNA decay (from 15.0 ± 1.0 hours to 7.3 ± 1.0 hours) (Figure 3B) but not that of L-PK and FAS mRNA (Figure 3, C and D).

In order to determine whether the PUFA enhancement of ChREBP mRNA decay involved a short-half-life regulatory protein, the influence of linoleate on ChREBP mRNA decay was examined in the presence of the translational inhibitor cycloheximide (Supplemental Figure 2). Blocking mRNA translation completely eliminated the PUFA-dependent increase in ChREBP mRNA decay, suggesting that the decay process of ChREBP mRNA requires the synthesis of a rapid-turnover protein.

PUFAs suppress the nuclear translocation of ChREBP in cultured hepatocytes. Nuclear translocation of ChREBP is one of the important processes in the glucose activation of L-PK or FAS gene transcription (20). To determine whether the PUFA-mediated inhibition in ChREBP gene expression was correlated to a decrease in either cytosolic or nuclear ChREBP content, Western blot analysis was performed using protein extracts from hepatocytes cultured in the presence of 25 mM glucose plus 100 nM insulin and treated or not with 0.3 mM linoleate for 24 hours (Figure 4A). Incubation of hepatocytes with glucose and insulin led to a 4.5-fold increase in ChREBP content in the cytosol, leading to its translocation to the nucleus (Figure 4A). In contrast, after linoleate treatment, although the cytosolic form of ChREBP was increased by insulin and glucose, its translocation from the cytosol to the nucleus was totally prevented (Figure 4A). Under the same experimental conditions, linoleate also significantly reduced the content of both precursor and mature SREBP-1 protein (Supplemental Figure 3).
nucleus in response to high glucose and insulin concentrations (Figure 5A, lower panel). In hepatocytes cultured in the presence of 0.3 mM linoleate, no activation of AMPK was observed (Figure 5A, upper panel), but ChREBP translocation was inhibited by about 80% at 2 hours, 65% at 6 hours, and 55% at 10 hours (Figure 5A, lower panel). Similarly, no activation of AMPK was observed in the presence of stearate, oleate, EPA, or DHA (Figure 5B).

To also address the effects of PUFAs on AMPK in vivo, the phosphorylation status of AMPK was measured in liver of 24 hour–fasted mice refed for 3 hours on a HCHO diet containing or not containing PUFAs (Figure 5C). AMPK phosphorylation, which expectedly increased in liver of 24 hour–fasted mice (24), was similarly decreased after both HCHO and HCHO-PUFA feeding (Figure 5C). Finally, to directly address the role of AMPK in the control of ChREBP translocation by PUFAs, a series of experiments in AMPKα1−/− and AMPKα2−/− mice was performed (25, 26) (Figure 5D). The lack of either the α1 or α2 subunit of AMPK in mice did not affect ChREBP subcellular localization. Indeed, under HCHO feeding, ChREBP was appropriately translocated into the nucleus of hepatocytes and was still retained in the cytosol under PUFAs conditions (Figure 5D). In addition, the inhibition in both L-PK and FAS expression was sustained in livers of PUFAs (Figure 5E). Together, our in vitro and in vivo data demonstrate that the effect of PUFAs on ChREBP translocation is not due to an activation of AMPK.

PUFAs reduce GK and glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase activities both in vivo and in vitro. The present study reports that high glucose and insulin concentrations are required for an efficient translocation of ChREBP into the nucleus (Figure 4A). The regulation of ChREBP nuclear translocation involves the pentose phosphate pathway and particularly xylulose 5-phosphate (X5P), which, by selectively activating protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A), was shown to dephosphorylate ChREBP, thereby allowing its translocation into the nucleus (27). In order to determine the molecular mechanisms by which PUFAs interfere with ChREBP translocation, we measured the activities of GK (the rate-limiting enzyme of glycolysis) and of glucose 6-phosphate (G6P) dehydrogenase (G6PDH; the rate-limiting enzyme of the pentose phosphate pathway) required for the synthesis of X5P from G6P. Refeeding mice with a HCHO diet for 18 hours or culturing hepatocytes in the presence of 25 mM glucose and 100 nM insulin for 24 hours led to a parallel increase in GK protein content and activity (Figure 6, A and B). This elevated GK activity was associated with an increase in G6P concentrations both in vivo and in vitro (Figure 6, A and B). G6PDH activity was also increased both in vivo and in vitro (by 8- and 4-fold, respectively) (Figure 6C). Supplementation of the HCHO diet with triolein (Figure 6A) or culturing hepatocytes in the presence of saturated (stearate) or monounsaturated fatty acids (oleate, EPA, or DHA) (Figure 6B) had no effect on GK or G6PDH activity (data not shown). In contrast, a PUFA-enriched diet or oleate decreased GK protein content and activity and consequently G6P concentrations by about 50% (Figure 6, A and B) as well as the activity of G6PDH and X5P concentrations by about 70% both in vivo and in vitro (Figure 6C). Our data demon-
strate that the defect in ChREBP translocation observed in presence of PUFAs (Figure 4A) is linked to a decrease in glucose phosphorylation capacities and to an inhibition of the pentose phosphate pathway, which lead to a decrease in X5P concentrations.

Figure 5
Effect of PUFAs and AICAR on AMPK activation and ChREBP localization. In vitro studies: After plating, hepatocytes were cultured for 24 hours in the presence of 5 mM glucose and 100 nM insulin. (A) Hepatocytes were then incubated with 25 mM glucose and 100 nM insulin, or 0.3 mM of albumin-bound linoleate or 500 µM AICAR. After 1, 2, 6, and 10 hours, cytosolic form of phospho-AMPK and nuclear forms of ChREBP were measured. (B) After a 6-hour incubation period with 25 mM glucose, 100 nM insulin, and 0.3 mM of albumin-bound stearate (C18), oleate [C18:1 (n-9)], linoleate [C18:2 (n-6)], EPA [C20:5 (n-3)], DHA [C22:6 (n-3)], or 500 µM AICAR, the cytosolic form of P-AMPK protein was measured. Representative Western blots of 4 independent cultures are shown. In vivo studies: (C) The phosphorylation status of AMPK was measured in liver extracts from 24 hour–fasted mice refed for 3 hours on a HCHO or a HCHO-PUFA diet. n = 4/group. (D) Cytosolic and nuclear ChREBP content from livers of 24 hour–fasted control and AMPKα1−/− or AMPKα2−/− (α1−/− and α2−/−) mice refed 18 hours upon HCHO diet supplemented or not with PUFAs. A representative Western blot is shown; n = 3/group. (E) RTQ-PCR analysis of L-PK and FAS genes from livers of 24 hour–fasted mice and mice refed 18 hours on a HCHO or HCHO-PUFA diet were performed in control and AMPKα1−/− or AMPKα2−/− mice. Results are the mean ± SEM; n = 3/group. *Significantly different from mice refed a HCHO diet for 18 hours (P < 0.005).

Role of ChREBP in fatty acid suppression of glycolytic and lipogenic gene expression. We have shown that ChREBP acts in synergy with SREBP-1c to induce glycolytic (L-PK) and lipogenic (FAS) gene expression (15). To determine to what extent ChREBP participates, with SREBP-1c,
in mediating the negative effect of PUFAs on hepatic glycolytic and lipogenic gene expression, the transcriptionally active form of SREBP-1c was overexpressed in primary cultures of hepatocytes through the use of an adenovirus in order to override the negative effects of PUFAs (Figure 7, A and B). While SREBP-1c overexpression markedly induced FAS gene expression, it moderately induced the expression of the L-PK gene compared with insulin stimulation conditions (Figure 7A). When SREBP-1c was overexpressed, linoleate no longer affected FAS mRNA levels but still repressed the expression of L-PK by 45% (Figure 7A). Our results suggest that the absence of ChREBP in the nucleus is likely responsible for the lack of induction of the L-PK in presence of PUFAs (Figure 7B).

To assess the role of ChREBP in the control of L-PK and FAS gene expression, we overexpressed a dephosphorylated mutant form of ChREBP in which amino acids Ser196 and Thr666 were mutated to alanine (double mutant ChREBP [dm ChREBP]), thereby allowing the constitutive expression of the mutant in the nucleus (17). Overexpression of the FLAG-dm ChREBP led to a significant increase in the amount of ChREBP in the nucleus under both low (5 mM) and high glucose (25 mM) concentrations compared with nontransfected conditions (Figure 8B). Furthermore, while linoleate altered the nuclear translocation of endogenous ChREBP, it did not affect that of the transfected dm ChREBP (Figure 8A). As a result, the constitutive expression of dm ChREBP in the nucleus completely counteracted the negative effect of linoleate on L-PK gene expression and partially restored (70%) the induction of FAS gene expression (Figure 8C). The fact that dm ChREBP partially restored FAS gene expression and that linoleate still repressed the mature form of SREBP-1c (Figure 8A) confirms the role of SREBP-1c in the PUFAs-mediated inhibition of lipogenic genes. Our results show, for the first time to our knowledge, the involvement of ChREBP in mediating the negative effects of PUFAs on the L-PK.
gene and further underline the synergistic role of ChREBP and SREBP-1c in regulating FAS gene expression.

**Discussion**

Supplementing a HCHO diet with oils rich in (n-6) and (n-3) PUFAs results in an inhibition of a wide array of glycolytic and lipogenic enzymes including L-PK, FAS, or ACC (1, 28, 29). Although SREBP-1c was described as a major mediator of the negative effects of PUFAs on lipogenic genes in liver (4, 6, 7, 28), this transcription factor cannot by itself explain the PUFA-mediated suppression of the L-PK gene (6, 8). Indeed, the L-PK promoter does not contain SRE-binding sites (6), and its ChoRE weakly binds SREBP-1c (6, 9). The recent observation that ChREBP acts in synergy with SREBP-1c to induce glycolytic and lipogenic gene expression (15) and that fatty acids suppress ChREBP gene expression in an adipocyte cell line (30) prompted us to analyze the role of this transcription factor in the PUFA-mediated inhibition of glycolytic and lipogenic genes in liver.

The present study clearly shows that PUFAs [essentially (n-6) and (n-3) fatty acids], but not saturated or monounsaturated fatty acids, downregulate ChREBP gene expression both in vivo and in vitro by accelerating ChREBP mRNA decay. A similar regulation has been described for SREBP-1c (3), but despite the identification of potential destabilizing elements (A-U rich region) in the 3′ untranslated region of both genes (data not shown), the mechanisms by which PUFAs accelerate SREBP-1c and ChREBP mRNA decay remain unclear. However, the fact that cycloheximide completely blocked the ability of PUFAs to accelerate ChREBP mRNA decay suggests that ChREBP mRNA translation is required for mRNA decay and/or that PUFA may modulate the activity of a rapid-turnover protein involved in ChREBP mRNA decay. The possibility that PUFAs may also exert a transcriptional effect on the ChREBP gene is, however, not excluded but would require ChREBP promoter analysis. The fact that the inhibition of ChREBP gene expression was restricted to PUFA is in agreement with other studies in which only PUFAs are described as potent inhibitors of glycolysis and lipogenesis in liver (14, 31, 32) but in contrast with studies by He et al. (30) and Kawaguchi et al. (21) in which saturated and monounsaturated fatty acids were shown to inhibit ChREBP gene expression.

Our study not only demonstrates that PUFAs decrease ChREBP total protein content but also and more importantly that PUFAs alter the mechanism of ChREBP translocation from the cytosol to the nucleus. The shuttling of ChREBP between the cytosol and the nucleus is indeed an important process in its ability to activate target genes in response to glucose (33). ChREBP contains at least 3 phosphorylation sites important for its activation (20). The dephosphorylation of Ser196, located near the nuclear localization signal, requires the activation of glucose metabolism in which XSP, a metabolite of the pentose phosphate pathway, seems necessary for the induction of PP2A, itself required for ChREBP dephosphorylation and entry to the nucleus (27). Therefore, the decrease in both GK (a key enzyme of glycolysis) and G6PDH (the rate-limiting enzyme of the pentose phosphate pathway) activities, leading to a decrease in 2 key metabolites of glucose metabolism (G6P and XSP), favors a PUFA-mediated inhibition of ChREBP translocation. Consistent with the observation that GK gene expression is inhibited by PUFAs (34), our study clearly shows that only PUFAs, but not saturated or monounsaturated fatty acids, inhibit GK activity by decreasing the amount of total GK protein content. Similarly, the PUFA-mediated inhibition of G6PDH observed is in accordance with studies reporting that G6PDH activity, like other lipogenic enzymes, is induced by HCHO feeding (35, 36) and decreased by high-fat diets (37–39). Based on these observations, we conclude that PUFAs, by decreasing rate-limiting enzymatic steps of glycolysis and pentose phosphate pathway, lead to the inhibition of ChREBP translocation into the nucleus.

The mechanism previously described to explain the inhibitory effect of fatty acids on ChREBP function involved the activation of AMPK but not a defect in ChREBP translocation (21). Kawaguchi and coworkers reported that short-, medium-, and long-chain saturated fatty acids activated AMPK in cultured hepatocytes (21). The activation of AMPK, resulting from the increased in AMP concentrations in the cell, led to the phosphorylation of ChREBP on Ser568, which then caused a decrease in ChREBP binding capacities on its ChoRE, leading to the inhibition of L-PK. A concern in interpreting the results of Kawaguchi et al. (21) is that only PUFAs were reported as inhibitors of glycolytic and lipogenic gene expression but not medium- (40) or long-chain saturated and monounsaturated...
Overexpression of dm ChREBP in cultured hepatocytes counteracts the PUFA suppression of L-PK and FAS genes. After plating, hepatocytes were cultured for 24 hours in the presence of 5 mM glucose. Hepatocytes overexpressing dm ChREBP were then incubated with 5 or 25 mM glucose in the presence or not of 0.3 mM of albumin-bound linoleate. (A) Nuclear forms of ChREBP and the mature form of SREBP-1c were measured. A representative Western blot of 3 independent cultures is shown. (B) Quantification of ChREBP levels under the indicated experimental conditions. Results are the mean ± SEM of values obtained from 3 independent cultures. *Significantly different from 5 mM glucose plus insulin. (P < 0.05). **Significantly different from dm ChREBP at 5 mM glucose (P < 0.05). (C) After 24 hours, L-PK and FAS gene expression was measured by RTQ-PCR. Results are the mean ± SEM of values obtained from 3 independent cultures. #Significantly different from dm ChREBP in the presence of 25 mM glucose plus insulin (P < 0.05). ##Significantly different from 25 mM glucose plus insulin plus linoleate (P < 0.05).

In conclusion, our in vivo and in vitro results provide what we believe to be the first evidence that endogenous ChREBP is translocated from the cytosol to the nucleus under high glucose and insulin conditions and, in contrast, retained in the cytosol in the presence of PUFAs. The alteration of ChREBP translocation in the presence of PUFAs, which is independent of the action of AMPK, occurs through a specific inhibition of GK and G6PDH activities, key enzymes of glycolysis and of the pentose phosphate pathway, respectively (Figure 9). More important, the observation that the expression of a constitutively nuclear form of ChREBP in primary cultured hepatocytes not only overrides the negative effect of PUFAs on L-PK but also on the FAS gene demonstrates for the first time to our knowledge that ChREBP is a major determinant of the negative effect of PUFAs on both glycolytic and lipogenic genes (Figure 9).

**Methods**

*Animals.* Six- to 8-week-old male C57BL/6J mice were purchased from Elevage Janvier and adapted to the environment for 1 week prior to study. To determine the role of AMPK in the regulation of ChREBP activity, 3- to 9-month-old control, AMPKko1/− (25), and AMPKko2/− (26) mice were used. All mice were housed in colony cages with a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle in a temperature-controlled environment. All animal studies were approved by the Direction départementale des services vétérinaires de Paris.
For insulin signaling experiments, mice assigned to the described dietary treatments were anesthetized with a ketamine/xylazine mix and injected with 5 U of regular human insulin (Actrapid Penfill; NovoNordisk Inc.) and 2852 free HCHO diet (high-carbohydrate diet [containing 75% of saccharose]; or HCHO diet supplemented with high-fat diet (hyperlipidemic diet) containing 65% carbohydrate, 11% fat, 24% protein), unless otherwise specified.

Inhibitory effect of PUFAs on ChREBP activation and translocation. Under basal conditions of low glucose and insulin concentrations, ChREBP is phosphorylated and localized in the cytosol of hepatocytes. Its nuclear translocation is rapidly induced under high glucose and insulin concentrations. The nuclear translocation of ChREBP is controlled by a mechanism of dephosphorylation and phosphorylation. While the dephosphorylation of serine residue 196 (Ser196) allows ChREBP translocation into the nucleus, the dephosphorylation of threonine residue 666 (Thr666) alleviates DNA-binding inhibition. Protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A), selectively activated by X5P, is believed to be responsible for both cytosolic and nuclear dephosphorylation of ChREBP. Then ChREBP binds its response element (ChoRE) to activate glycolytic and lipogenic gene expression. In the presence of PUFAs, ChREBP is retained in the cytosol through the specific inhibition of G6PDH activities, key enzymes of glycolysis and of the pentose phosphate pathway, respectively.

Figure 9
Inhibitory effect of PUFAs on ChREBP activation and translocation. Under basal conditions of low glucose and insulin concentrations, ChREBP is phosphorylated and localized in the cytosol of hepatocytes. Its nuclear translocation is rapidly induced under high glucose and insulin concentrations. The nuclear translocation of ChREBP is controlled by a mechanism of dephosphorylation and phosphorylation. While the dephosphorylation of serine residue 196 (Ser196) allows ChREBP translocation into the nucleus, the dephosphorylation of threonine residue 666 (Thr666) alleviates DNA-binding inhibition. Protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A), selectively activated by X5P, is believed to be responsible for both cytosolic and nuclear dephosphorylation of ChREBP. Then ChREBP binds its response element (ChoRE) to activate glycolytic and lipogenic gene expression. In the presence of PUFAs, ChREBP is retained in the cytosol through the specific inhibition of G6PDH activities, key enzymes of glycolysis and of the pentose phosphate pathway, respectively.

(Paris, France). Mice had free access to water and regular diet (in terms of energy: 65% carbohydrate, 11% fat, 24% protein), unless otherwise specified.

Primary cultures of hepatocytes. Hepatocytes were isolated from livers of fed mice by a modification of the collagenase method and seeded according to previously described procedures (15). For experiments using PUFAs, the medium was changed to a serum-free M199 medium containing 25 mM glucose, 100 nM dexamethasone, 100 nM insulin, and various concentrations of albumin-bound stearate (C18), oleate [C18:1 (n-9)], linoleate [C18:2 (n-6)], EPA [C20:5 (n-3)], or DHA [C22:6 (n-3)] at a fatty acid/albumin ratio of 4:1 for 24 hours. The source of albumin for all studies was fatty acid-free BSA (Sigma-Aldrich). After 24 hours of culture in the presence of various concentrations of fatty acids, the culture medium was collected and analyzed for the activity of LDH. The leakage of LDH from hepatocytes in the culture medium was used to estimate the viability of hepatocytes after treatment with fatty acids and to test their toxicity. Briefly, 1 ml of culture medium was used for spectrophotometric assay in 800 µl of 50 mM triethanolamine hydrochloride (pH 7.6) containing 0.25 mg/ml NADH. After addition of pyruvate (100 µM final concentration), the linear decrease in absorbance at 340 nm was monitored, and the activity was determined. LDH activity is reported as micromoles of NAD+ produced per minute per 10⁶ cells. For experiments using AICAR (Sigma-Aldrich), an activator of AMPK, hepatocytes were cultured in the presence of 25 mM glucose, 100 nM insulin, 100 nM dexamethasone, and 500 µM of AICAR.

Transfections experiments. For SREBP-1c overexpression experiments, hepatocytes were incubated for 120 minutes in 1 ml of M199 medium with 1 PFU/cell of recombinant adenovirus expressing SREBP-1c (Ad-SREBP-1c) (47), in the presence of 25 mM glucose. The Ad-SREBP-1c expresses a truncated active nuclear form of this transcription factor (47). Then 25 mM glucose M199 medium containing either albumin-bound 0.3 mM linoleate or albumin alone, 100 nM dexamethasone, and 100 nM insulin. Subcellular localization of GFP-fused ChREBP was determined using a rat insulin ELISA assay kit (CrystalChem Inc.) according to the manufacturer’s instructions using a mouse insulin standard. The binding reactions were modified to perform the assay on 10 µl of plasma.

Analytical procedures. The concentration of plasma insulin was determined using a rat insulin ELISA assay kit (CrystalChem Inc.) according to the manufacturer’s instructions using a mouse insulin standard. The binding reactions were modified to perform the assay on 10 µl of plasma.

Determinations of ChREBP subcellular localization. The full-length wild-type ChREBP isoform (17) was subcloned into the Invitrogen Corp. mammalian expression vector pEGFP-C1 encoding enhanced GFP (GFP-fused WT ChREBP). Two micrograms of GFP-fused WT ChREBP was transfected in cultured hepatocytes incubated in 5 mM glucose M199 medium. After transfection (5 hours), hepatocytes were cultured for 24 hours in M199 medium supplemented with 100 nM dexamethasone and 100 nM insulin. Then M199 medium at either 5 or 25 mM glucose was added for 24 hours, in the presence of albumin-bound 0.3 mM linoleate or albumin alone, 100 nM dexamethasone, and 100 nM insulin.
the localization of the GFP-fused WT ChREBP. For each condition, hepatocyte nuclei were specifically stained using DAPI.

Transcription and translation inhibition assays. Fatty acid regulation of glycolytic and lipogenic gene mRNA half-lives was evaluated by an α-amanitin transcription inhibition assay. After cell attachment, hepatocytes were maintained in M199 medium containing 25 mM glucose, 100 nM dexamethasone, and 100 nM insulin. Following a 48-hour incubation period to induce SREBP-1c and ChREBP gene expression, the medium was changed to one containing either albumin-bound 0.3 mM linoleate, stearate, oleate, or albumin alone. After a 2-hour pretreatment, transcription was stopped by adding α-amanitin (15 µM final). The decay in mRNA levels encoding glycolytic and lipogenic enzymes was determined by real-time quantitative PCR (RTQ-PCR).

To examine the possibility that mRNA translation was needed for ChREBP mRNA to undergo decay, the half-life of ChREBP was determined in the presence of the translational inhibitor cycloheximide. ChREBP expression was first induced in cultured hepatocytes in the presence of glucose 25 mM and 100 nM of insulin. After 48 hours in culture, the cells were treated with cycloheximide (30 µM final) for 2 hours and subsequently treated with 150 µM albumin-bound linoleate or albumin alone for an additional 6 hours. The abundance of ChREBP was quantified using RTQ-PCR analysis, as described below.

Isolation of total mRNA and analysis by RTQ-PCR. Total cellular RNAs were extracted using the RNAeasy Kit (QiAGEN) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Total RNA (500 ng) was reverse transcribed as previously described (15). RTQ-PCR analysis for ChREBP, SREBP-1c, P-13, L-PK gene expression was performed as previously described (15). The relative quantification for a given gene was corrected to the cyclophilin mRNA values.

Preparation of nuclear extracts and immunoblot analysis. Nuclear and cytoplasmic extracts were prepared from livers or cultured hepatocytes using the NE-PER nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagent Kit (Pierce Biotechnology Inc.), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Proteins from nuclear extracts (80 µg) and from the cytoplasmic fraction (80 µg) were subjected to SDS-PAGE analysis on a 10% gel as previously described (15). SREBP-1 was detected with a mouse monoclonal antibody (SREBP-1 Ab-1; NeoMarkers; Interchim Inc.) raised against amino acids 301–407 of human SREBP-1 for 4 hours. Detection of signals was performed using an enzymatic method (50). Briefly, XSP, from the neutralized supernatant preparation, was assayed spectrophotometrically in a reaction using transketolase in the presence of ribose 5-phosphate, thiamine pyrophosphate, MgCl₂, sodium arsenate, coupled to glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase in the presence of NAD. The increased absorbance at 340 nm after addition of 20 U/ml of transketolase was measured. Results are expressed as nanomoles of XSP/milligram protein in vivo or as nanomoles of XSP/10⁶ cells in vitro.

Statistics. Results are reported as mean ± SEM. The comparison of different groups was carried out using unpaired 2-tailed Student’s t test. Differences were considered statistically significant at P < 0.05.
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