Corticotropin-releasing factor receptors within the ventromedial hypothalamus regulate hypoglycemia-induced hormonal counterregulation
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Recurrent episodes of hypoglycemia impair sympatoadrenal counterregulatory responses (CRRs) to a subsequent episode of hypoglycemia. For individuals with type 1 diabetes, this markedly increases (by 25-fold) the risk of severe hypoglycemia and is a major limitation to optimal insulin therapy. The mechanisms through which this maladaptive response occurs remain unknown. The corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) family of neuropeptides and their receptors (CRFR1 and CRFR2) play a critical role in regulating the neuroendocrine stress response. Here we show in the Sprague-Dawley rat that direct in vivo application to the ventromedial hypothalamus (VMH), a key glucose-sensing region, of urocortin I (UCN I), an endogenous CRFR2 agonist, suppressed (~55–60%), whereas CRF, a predominantly CRFR1 agonist, amplified (~50–70%) CRR to hypoglycemia. UCN I was shown to directly alter the glucose sensitivity of VMH glucose-sensing neurons in whole-cell current clamp recordings in brain slices. Interestingly, the suppressive effect of UCN I–mediated CRFR2 activation persisted for at least 24 hours after in vivo VMH microinjection. Our data suggest that regulation of the CRR is largely determined by the interaction between CRFR2-mediated suppression and CRFR1-mediated activation in the VMH.

Introduction
Insulin therapy in type 1 diabetes carries with it the risk of iatrogenic severe hypoglycemia. This risk is further increased when glucose counterregulatory defense mechanisms are defective (1–3). Sympathoadrenal activation in response to hypoglycemia increases endogenous glucose production, decreases peripheral glucose utilization, and generates the symptoms that provide the warning of incipient hypoglycemia (2). In type 1 diabetes, this is the principal glucose CRR to progressing hypoglycemia. Single or recurrent episodes of hypoglycemia in human subjects have been shown to impair sympathoadrenal responses to a subsequent episode of hypoglycemia (4–6), a phenomenon that has also been demonstrated in rat models (7–9). Hypoglycemia, in effect, renders an individual increasingly susceptible to hypoglycemia, and this has become a major limitation to effective insulin therapy in type 1 diabetes.

To understand the mechanism through which hypoglycemia induces the state of defective glucose CRR, a greater understanding of the factors that modulate the CRR in key glucose-sensing regions is required. The detection of incipient hypoglycemia is thought to occur in specialized glucose-sensing neurons in the brain (10–16) and periphery (17, 18). The brain glucose-sensing region that has been most studied is the ventromedial hypothalamus (VMH). The VMH contains neurons that react to changes in circulating glucose (19–21) and has been shown to play a crucial role in the detection of incipient hypoglycemia and in the generation of a CRR (8, 10–12, 22, 23).

We have previously shown that corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF), when delivered systemically, can induce the state of defective CRR to subsequent insulin-induced hypoglycemia (9). The CRF neuropeptide family (CRF and urocortins I, II, and III [UCN I–III]; ref. 24) are critical regulators of both neural and endocrine components of the stress response. In the brain, receptors for CRF, CRFR1 and CRFR2, show a distinct localization (24). CRF has a higher affinity for CRFR1 than for CRFR2. UCN I shows high affinity for both CRFR1 and CRFR2, whereas as UCN II and UCN III are selective for CRFR2 (24–26). It has been suggested that CRF, acting through CRFR1, has a stimulatory effect, whereas the UCNs, acting through CRFR2, have a suppressive effect on the stress response (24). The VMH contains both CRFR1 and, specifically, an abundance of CRFR2 (27). Given the critical role of the CRF family in regulating the neuroendocrine stress response, we predicted that it would also play a role in the regulation of the CRR to acute hypoglycemia. Here we report that CRFR2 receptor ligands have a marked and persisting suppressive effect, whereas the predominant CRFR1 receptor ligand, CRF, has a stimulatory effect on the CRR to acute hypoglycemia.

Results
Role of CRFR1 and CRFR2 in regulating CRRs to hypoglycemia. Because CRF has a higher affinity for CRFR1 and UCN I has a 10- to 40-fold greater affinity for CRFR2 (24, 25), we initially used these...
agents to examine the role of CRF receptors in the VMH in regulating the CRR to hypoglycemia. In the 60-minute period following microinjection into the VMH, and prior to the start of the hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemic clamp procedure, both UCN I (4 pmol) and CRF (4 pmol) produced significant increases in circulating corticosterone levels (both, \( P < 0.05 \); Figure 1 and Table 1) but had no significant effect on basal levels of epinephrine and glucagon (Table 1). This effect was not reversed by the selective CRFR2 antagonist anti-sauvagine (aSVG; Table 1).

Corticosterone levels remained high during the subsequent hypoglycemic clamp study; however, whereas UCN I resulted in a marked suppression of CRR to acute hypoglycemia (epinephrine: \(-55\%\) reduction, \( P < 0.05 \) versus control; and glucagon: \(-60\%\) reduction, \( P < 0.05 \) versus control; Figure 2, A–C), CRF (4 pmol) amplified epinephrine (\(-70\%\) increase; \( P < 0.05 \) versus control) and glucagon (\(-55\%\) increase; \( P < 0.05 \) versus control) CRR to hypoglycemia (Figure 2, B and C). The suppressive effect of UCN I on CRR was reversed by combining UCN I with the selective CRFR2 antagonist aSVG (Figure 2; reversal of the UCN I effect with 20 pmol aSVG [\( P = \text{NS versus control} \) but not 4 pmol aSVG [\( P < 0.05 \), versus control]]. Interestingly, VMH microinjection of aSVG alone lead to a significant amplification of CRR (\( P < 0.05 \) versus control; Figure 2, A–C), which is consistent with an endogenous ligand of CRFR2 in the VMH regulating sympathoadrenal responses during acute hypoglycemia. In further studies, we demonstrated that a 10-fold increase (40 pmol) in the dose of CRF resulted in the suppression of CRR to hypoglycemia [epinephrine, AUC/t 4.6 ± 0.6 (mean ± SEM) versus 2.5 ± 0.5 nmol/l; and glucagon, AUC/t 103.4 ± 12.1 versus 58.9 ± 7.7 ng/l; both \( P < 0.05 \), control versus 40 pmol CRF]. This effect could be reversed with aSVG (20 pmol; data not shown), an effect that is consistent with results of in vitro studies showing that CRF at higher doses binds to CRFR2 (24). In contrast, plasma corticosterone rose to a similar level under all conditions (all \( P = \text{NS versus control} \); Figure 2D).

UCN II and III are 2 recently discovered additional members of the CRF family of neuropeptides (24). The CRF ligands differ in their affinity for CRFR1 and CRFR2 (25, 26). UCN I–III all show a higher affinity for CRFR2 than does CRF, with UCN II showing the highest binding affinity and UCN III being the most selective (25, 26). We found that both UCN II (\(-70\%\) suppression of epinephrine response; \( P < 0.05 \) versus control; data not shown) and UCN III (Figure 3, A–D) had a similar marked suppressive effect on CRR (both \( P < 0.05 \) versus control). UCN II, but not UCN III, also evoked a corticosterone rise during the basal preclamp period (\( P < 0.05 \) UCN II versus control; Figure 1).

**CRFR2 ligands have a persisting suppressive effect on CRR to hypoglycemia.** To explore the effect of prior activation of CRFR2 in the VMH on subsequent glucose CRR to hypoglycemia, nonfasted, chronically catheterized, freely mobile male Sprague-Dawley rats were micro-injected with CRF (4 pmol), UCN I (4 pmol), or control solution 24 hours prior to performance of a hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemic clamp study. Injection of rats with UCN I, in comparison with both CRF and the control, had a marked suppressive effect on CRR to subsequent hypoglycemia (\( P < 0.05 \) for epinephrine, glucagon, and glucose infusion rate [GIR] during hypoglycemia versus control; Figure 4, A–C). The requirement for exogenous glucose was increased, and epinephrine and glucagon secretory responses were blunted. Basal corticosterone levels 24 hours following microinjection did not differ between groups and rose to a similar degree in all groups during subsequent hypoglycemia (Figure 4D).

**UCN alters thresholds for activation of glucose-sensing neurons.** Two types of glucose-sensing neurons in the VMH are directly regulated by changes in extracellular glucose. Glucose-excited (GE) neurons increase and glucose-inhibited (GI) neurons decrease their action potential frequency (APF) as ambient glucose levels rise (21, 28, 29). To determine whether UCN I directly altered the glucose sensitivity of VMH glucose-sensing neurons, we next performed whole-cell current clamp recordings in VMH GI and GE neurons in brain slices (Figures 5 and 6). We have previously shown that control VMH GI and GE neurons are extremely sensitive to decreases in extracellular glucose levels below 1 mM (20). Figure 5A illustrates a control VMH GI neuron in which APF, membrane potential (MP), and input resistance (IR) were reversibly increased as glucose levels decreased to 0.5 mM (top trace) or 0.1 mM (bottom trace). In contrast, after 15 minutes incubation of brain slices with 0.2 \( \mu \)M UCN I, MP, APF and IR reversibly increased only when glucose levels decreased from 2.5 to 0.1 mM (Figure 5B, upper trace) but not from 2.5 to 0.5 mM (Figure 5B, lower trace). The percent increase in IR as extracellular glucose levels were decreased from 2.5 to 0.5 mM was significantly greater in control (28.9% ± 5.2%; \( n = 11 \)) than in UCN I-pretreated (\(-1.7\% ± 2.7\%; \ n = 13 \)) VMH GI neurons (\( P < 0.0001 \); Figure 5D). Figure 5C shows that when VMH GI neurons were pretreated with both UCN I and aSVG, APF, MP, and IR were reversibly increased when glucose levels were decreased from 2.5 to 1, 0.5, or 0.1 mM.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Epinephrine</th>
<th>Glucagon</th>
<th>Corticosterone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(agent–dose in pmol)</td>
<td>(nmol/l)</td>
<td>(ng/l)</td>
<td>(nmol/l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aECF</td>
<td>–0.04 (0.08)</td>
<td>1.2 (2.7)</td>
<td>205 (84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCN I-4 (n = 6)</td>
<td>–0.15 (0.16)</td>
<td>–9.3 (3.0)</td>
<td>861 (133)A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCN I-4 + aSVG-4 (n = 6)</td>
<td>0.08 (15)</td>
<td>9.5 (6.9)</td>
<td>835 (173)A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCN I-4 + aSVG-20 (n = 6)</td>
<td>0.14 (0.07)</td>
<td>2.5 (2.9)</td>
<td>913 (176)A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRF-4 (n = 7)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.30)</td>
<td>12.2 (5.9)</td>
<td>1,156 (127)A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRF-40 (n = 6)</td>
<td>0.20 (0.05)</td>
<td>5.2 (4.0)</td>
<td>1,098 (104)A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRF-40 + aSVG-20 (n = 3)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.03)</td>
<td>3.7 (1.5)</td>
<td>1,407 (384)A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aSVG-20 (n = 6)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.07)</td>
<td>7.6 (5.4)</td>
<td>549 (171)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are shown as mean (SEM) of change from baseline. A \( P < 0.05 \) versus aECF (control).
Finally, an increase in IR (due to Cl⁻ channel closure) as the glucose level decreases is a reliable index of the degree of activation of VMH GI neurons. The percent change in IR in response to decreasing the glucose level from 2.5 to 0.5 mM was significantly greater for the UCN plus aSVG–pretreated GI neurons than for those pretreated with UCN alone (14.1% ± 5.5%; n = 3; P = 0.02; Figure 5D). There was no significant difference in the percent change in IR between control and UCN I plus aSVG–pretreated VMH GI neurons (Figure 5D). Although GE neurons were observed less frequently than GI neurons, we noted a similar decrease in glucose sensitivity. Figure 6A shows a control GE neuron in which APF, MP, and IR were reversibly decreased when glucose levels were lowered to either 0.1 or 0.5 mM. In contrast, when the brain slice was incubated in 0.2 μM UCN I, APF, MP, and IR were only decreased when glucose levels were lowered to 0.1 but not 0.5 mM (n = 2; Figure 6B). As with the GI neurons, this effect was reversed by pretreatment of brain slices with UCN I plus 1 μM aSVG (Figure 6C).

**Discussion**

The VMH is probably the best characterized of the central glucose-sensing regions involved in hypoglycemia detection and clearly contains neurons that react to changes in circulating glucose levels (19–21). The mechanisms used by glucose-sensing neurons to detect fluctuations in systemic glucose levels remain to be fully elucidated, although they appear to show considerable similarity to that of the pancreatic β cell in that glucokinase (15, 30) and the ATP-sensitive potassium channel (8, 22) are key links through which the change in systemic glucose is translated into a change in neuronal firing rate. While other brain regions such as the hindbrain (31) and peripheral glucose sensors (17, 18) undoubtedly play a role in hypoglycemia detection and may in fact form an integrated glucose-sensing system, the VMH probably plays the major role. Infusing glucose directly into the VMH through reverse microdialysis during systemic hypoglycemia nearly abolishes CRRs (12). In this context, any mechanism regu-
lating sympathoadrenal responses to hypoglycemic stress within the VMH is likely to have major effects on the overall CRR.

Our data suggest that such a system, based on the interaction between CRFR1- and CRFR2-mediated effects, exists within the VMH, and, consistent with the major role that the VMH plays in hypoglycemia sensing, we have shown that manipulation of this system has a marked effect on CRR to hypoglycemia. It has been recognized for many years that CRF plays a major role in regulating the neuroendocrine response to acute stress (24). The actions of CRF are mediated by its 2 receptor subtypes, CRFR1 and CRFR2 (24). UCN I–III are recently identified additional members of the CRF family of peptides. UCN I binds with high affinity to both CRFR1 and CRFR2 (24), whereas UCN II and UCN III are more selective for CRFR2 (24, 26, 32, 33). Interestingly, the UCNs all show a distinct distribution pattern in the brain, and they tend to colocalize with CRFR2 (24, 26, 32, 33). It has been proposed that the UCNs are the endogenous ligands for CRFR2 and may serve to modulate the stimulatory effects of the CRF-CRFR1 coupling on the stress response (24, 34). Certainly, CRFR2-KO mice exhibit greater anxiety and show an exaggerated response to stress, whereas CRFR1-KO mice exhibit less anxiety and have reduced responses to stress (34, 35).

The unique distribution pattern of UCN I–III and CRFR2 also suggest that each peptide has its own physiological significance. Few groups have, however, manipulated CRFRs within discrete brain regions, although it was recently reported that UCN II inhibited dorsal raphe 5-hydroxytryptamine neurons, an effect that was reversed by aSVG (36). Both CRFR1 and CRFR2 are expressed within the VMH, with the relative abundance of CRFR2 being much greater (27). Recently, it has also been shown that UCN III nerve terminals are present in the VMH (32). In our study, microinjection of CRFR2 activators (UCN I–III and high-dose CRF) into the VMH had a marked suppressive effect on the CRR to acute hypoglycemia, an effect that was reversed when they were combined with the selective CRFR2 antagonist aSVG. In contrast, microinjection of an equimolar dose of CRF (which would be expected to act predominantly through CRFR1; ref. 24) amplified CRRs. Moreover, microinjection of aSVG alone also led to an amplification of the CRR to hypoglycemia, a finding that suggests both the presence of an endogenous ligand and that reduced CRFR2 tone contributes to an amplified stress response. At this point, the lack of a specific CRFR1 antagonist that is suitable for direct microinjection to specific brain nuclei in vivo limits our examination of the counteracting effects CRFR1 and CRFR2 within the VMH. However, by examining the effect of each member of the CRF family of neuropeptides, and using their differing affinities for CRFR1 and CRFR2, our results as a whole support the concept that the balance between CRFR2-mediated inhibition and CRFR1-mediated activation in the VMH determine, to a large extent, the magnitude of the CRR to acute hypoglycemia.

The effect of UCN I–III and CRF to evoke a rise in plasma corticosterone levels following VMH microinjection was unanticipated. Because all of the CRF peptides except UCN III stimulated corticosterone release, and the effect was not reversed by aSVG, our data strongly suggest this is a CRFR1-mediated effect. It is well established the CRF released by the paraventricular nucleus (PVN) activates CRFR1 receptors in the anterior pituitary, resulting in adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH) release. ACTH in turn stimulates corticosterone release from the adrenal cortex. CRFR1 in the hypothalamus is found mainly in the dorsomedial hypothalamus (DMH), to a lesser extent in the VMH and supraoptic nucleus (SON), and to an even smaller degree in the PVN (27). In contrast, CRFR2 expression is mainly localized to the VMH, with much less expression seen in the SON and PVN (27). Anatomically, these regions are to a varying degree interconnected with the principal pathway from VMH to PVN thought to run indirectly via the DMH (37). The receptor distribution, taken together with the findings from the present study, provide support for a CRFR1-mediated stimulatory pathway leading from the VMH to the PVN, ultimately evoking corticosterone release.

Glucose-sensing neurons react to changes in the intraneuronal energy status (28). Some groups have proposed that recurrent hypoglycemia induces changes that increase the delivery of glucose (38, 39) and/or alternate fuels (40, 41) to those neurons and thus impairs their ability to recognize a subsequent fall in blood glucose. This in turn leads to reduced or defective CRR to hypoglycemia. However, the presence of a mechanism within the
VMH to regulate the magnitude of the stress response also implies that a defect within this system might contribute to the defect in hormonal counterregulation that arises subsequent to recurrent hypoglycemia (i.e., the ability of glucose-sensing neurons to alter their firing rate in response to the hypoglycemic signal may be impaired). While the ability to generate a stress response is essential to an organism’s ability to adapt, the stress response itself may have negative consequences for the organism. The effect of chronic stress in downregulating the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis is well established and has been studied extensively. In contrast, much less is known about the effect of chronic or recurrent stress on the sympathoadrenal stress response. In this context, recurrent hypoglycemia provides an interesting physiological model in that the predominant defect to arise from recurrent hypoglycemia appears to be a defective sympathoadrenal, rather than HPA, response to stress.

The mechanism through which this downregulation occurs is unknown. In a series of elegant studies, Davis and colleagues have suggested that hypoglycemia-induced rises in cortisol (42, 43) acting centrally (44) might be responsible for the downregulation of the sympathoadrenal stress response to hypoglycemia; however, others (9, 45, 46) have been unable to demonstrate similar effects. We reported in an earlier study in a rat model that recurrent systemic delivery of CRF in a dose designed to evoke corticosterone responses similar to that seen during acute hypoglycemia suppressed the sympathoadrenal response to subsequent hypoglycemia, whereas recurrent systemic corticosterone actually produced a trend toward an enhancement of the sympathoadrenal response (9). In contrast, in the present study, we found CRF delivered directly to the VMH actually amplified the CRR to acute hypoglycemia and when given 24 hours before had no significant effect on CRR responses. There were, however, marked differences in study design; Flanagan et al. (9) gave CRF systemically over 3 days, whereas we provided a single dose directly to the VMH on either the acute study day or 24 hours previously. CRF, if given as an acute intracerebroventricular (i.c.v.) injection, has been shown to increase plasma catecholamine and glucagon levels (47), but when it is given chronically i.c.v., neuroendocrine responses to CRH diminish over time (48). Moreover, the possibility of an effect of repeated CRH delivery to downregulate adrenal CRFR1 receptors cannot be excluded from our original study (24). In this context, our data would suggest that an alteration in the interplay between CRFR1-mediated activation and CRFR2-mediated suppression may contribute to the CRR defect that arises subsequent to recurrent hypoglycemia.

Our electrophysiological data provide further support for a role of VMH glucose-sensing neurons in hypoglycemia detection and activation of the HPA axis. We show here that CRFR2 activation blunts both the hormonal response to hypoglycemia and the glu-
cose sensitivity of VMH GE and GI neurons. It is very likely that CRFR2 activation directly decreases the glucose sensitivity of these neurons. This is because the UCN cell bodies are found in the perifornical area lateral to the PVN, and it is their nerve terminals that are found in the VMH (32). We feel it is very unlikely that a change in glucose sensitivity of the postsynaptic glucose-sensing neurons in the VMH could be presynaptically mediated by UCN, since the PVN is not in the VMH slices. Moreover, we have extensive experience with the effects of glucose on GE and GI neurons (20, 21, 49). It is clear that these effects are mediated by ion channels that respond to metabolites of glucose rather than neurotransmitters. Thus, it is very unlikely that UCN is altering glucose sensitivity via effects on neurons upstream from GE and GI neurons. One caveat is that UCN may activate a neuron in the slice preparation that in turn releases a transmitter that then binds to a G protein–coupled receptor on the glucose-sensing neuron. Subsequent activation of a signaling cascade could potentially alter the glucose sensitivity of metabolically sensitive ion channels. However, in either case, the effect of UCN is most likely to reflect a more long-term intraneuronal change, given that it does not reverse on wash-out of UCN. Whether this is a direct effect on the glucose-sensing neuron or a result of CRFR2-mediated neurotransmitter release on an upstream neuron, acting through a G protein–coupled receptor–induced change in gene expression, the ultimate effect is a direct alteration of the metabolic sensitivity of these specialized neurons. Finally, our data showing that both GE and GI neurons were affected by CRFR2 activation might seem counterintuitive. However, there are several possible explanations. For example, it is possible that GE and GI neurons control different aspects of HPA axis activation that may require excitation or disinhibition, respectively. It is also possible that they function in a balance much like CRFR1 and CRFR2. Last, it is possible that only 1 of these circuits (GE- or GI-mediated) is active during hypoglycemia. These questions are the subject for further studies.

Hypoglycemia remains the principal limitation to optimal glucose-lowering therapy and is a major clinical problem for individuals with type 1 diabetes and the physicians who provide their care. The risk of severe hypoglycemia in such individuals is greatly increased because of defective counterregulatory defense mechanisms. The findings from the present study provide novel insights into a mechanism for regulating the neural sympathoadrenal response to hypoglycemic stress within a key glucose-sensing region, the VMH. Our data suggest that the interplay between CRFR1- and CRFR2-mediated actions in the VMH to a large extent determine the magnitude of the CRR to acute hypoglycemia. We demonstrate that this effect may occur, at least in part, through an alteration in the thresholds at which VMH glucose-sensing neurons become activated. Moreover, we demonstrate a persisting effect of CRFR2 modulation in the VMH on CRR to hypoglycemia. Future studies designed to determine the impact of recurrent hypoglycemia on CRFR1/CRFR2 within the VMH may help us to understand why individuals with type 1 diabetes develop defective hormonal CRRs over time.

Methods

Animals. Male Sprague-Dawley rats (mean ± SEM weight, 305 ± 4 g) were housed in the Yale Animal Resource Center, fed a standard pellet diet (Agway Prolab 3000), and maintained on a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle. The animal care and experimental protocols were reviewed and approved by the Yale University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.
One week prior to each study, all animals were anesthetized with an i.p.
injection (1 ml/kg) of a mixture of xylazine (20 mg/ml; AnaSed, Lloyd Laboratories Inc.) and ketamine (100 mg/ml; Ketaset, Wyeth) at a ratio of 1:2 (vol/vol), prior to undergoing vascular surgery for the implantation of vascular catheters in a carotid artery and jugular vein. Following this, microinjection guide cannulas were bilaterally inserted into the VMH (coordinates from bregma: AP –2.6 mm, ML ±3.8 mm, and DV –8.3 mm at an angle of 20°), as described previously (11, 12).

Microinjection. Sixty minutes prior to starting the hyperinsulinemic glucose clamp, 26-gauge microinjection needles, designed to extend 1 mm beyond the tip of the guide cannula (Plastics One Inc.), were inserted through the guide cannula bilaterally into each ventromedial hypothalamus. The study rat was then microinjected, using a CMA-102 infusion pump (CMA Microdialysis), over 2 minutes at a rate of 0.1 μl/min with either: UCN I (4 pmol), UCN II (4 pmol), UCN III (4 pmol), aSVG (4 or 20 pmol), CRF (4 or 40 pmol), artificial extracellular fluid (aECF; control), or combinations of the above. aSVG is a highly selective and potent CRF-R2 antagonist (25). All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and dissolved in aECF. Following microinjection, the needles were left in place for 3 minutes before being removed. At the end of the study, the rats were euthanized and probe position confirmed in all rats histologically. In a separate group of rats, UCN I (4 pmol), CRF (4 pmol), or aECF (control) was microinjected as described above but 24 hours prior to the hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemic study.

Infusion protocol. In all experiments the same infusion protocol was used. All animals were fasted overnight. On the morning of the study, the vascular catheters were opened and maintained patent by a slow infusion of saline (20 μl/min). During the first 90 minutes, animals were allowed to settle and recover from any stress of handling. Sixty minutes prior to the commencement of the hyperinsulinemic glucose clamp, each animal was microinjected as described above. Thereafter, a hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemic clamp, as adapted for the rat (7), was used to provide a standardized hypoglycemic stimulus. At time 0, a 120-minute 20 μl/kg/min infusion of human regular insulin (Eli Lilly & Co.) was begun. The plasma glucose level was allowed to fall to approximately 2.8 mmol/l (50 mg/dl) and was then maintained at this level for 120 minutes using a variable-rate 20% dextrose infusion based on frequent plasma glucose determinations. Samples for measurement of the hormones epinephrine, glucagon, and insulin were taken at –60, 0, 60, 90, and 120 minutes. Red cells, after removal of plasma, were resuspended in sterile artificial plasma and then reinfused to prevent volume depletion and anemia.

Preparation of brain slices. Male 14- to 21-day-old Sprague-Dawley rats were obtained from colonies at the Veterans Affairs Medical Center in East Orange, New Jersey, USA. Animals were housed with their dams on a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle at 22–23°C and fed a low-fat diet (5001 kJ/day) under a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle at 22–23°C and fed a low-fat diet (5001 kJ/day). Animals were weaned at 21 days. On the day of experiment, rats were anesthetized with ketamine/xylazine (80:10 mg/kg, i.p.) and transcardially perfused with ice-cold oxygenated (95% O2/5% CO2) perfusion solution composed of the following (in mM): 2.5 KCl, 7 MgCl2, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 28 NaHCO3, 0.5 CaCl2, 7 glucose, 1 ascorbate, 3 pyruvate (osmolarity adjusted to ~300 mOsm with sucrose; pH 7.4). Brains were rapidly removed and placed in ice-cold (slushy) oxygenated perfusion solution. Sections (350 μm) through the hypothalamus were made on a vibratome (Vibraslice; Campden Instruments Ltd.). The brain slices were maintained at 34°C in oxygenated artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) (in mM): 126 NaCl, 1.9 KCl, 1.2 KH2PO4, 26 NaHCO3, 10 glucose, 2.4 CaCl2, 1.3 MgCl2; osmolarity adjusted to ~300 mOsm with sucrose; pH 7.4) for 15 minutes and allowed to come to room temperature. Slices were transferred to normal oxygenated ACSF (2.5 mM glucose) containing either 0.2 μM UCN or UCN plus aSVG (1 mM) for 15 minutes and then maintained in normal oxygenated ACSF for the remainder of the day.

Electrophysiology. Viable neurons were visualized and studied under infrared differential-interference contrast microscopy using a Leica Microsystems DM LFS microscope equipped with a ×40 long-working-distance water-immersion objective as described previously (5). Current clamp recordings (standard whole-cell recording configuration) from neurons in the VMH were performed using a MultiClamp 700A (Axon Instruments) and analyzed using pCLAMP 9 software. During recording, brain slices were perfused at 10 ml/min with normal oxygenated ACSF. Borosilicate pipettes (1–3 MΩ; Sutter Instrument) were filled with an intracellular solution containing (in mM): 128 potassium gluconate, 10 KCl, 4 KOH, 10 HEPES, 4 MgCl2, 0.5 CaCl2, 5 EGTA, and 2 Na2ATP; pH 7.2. Osmolarity was adjusted to 290–300 mOsm with sucrose. Junction potential between the bath and the patch pipette was nulled before the formation of a Ω seal. Membrane potential and APF were allowed to stabilize for 10–15 minutes after the formation of the whole-cell configuration. Neurons whose access resistance exceeded 20 MΩ after this time were rejected. IR was calculated from the change in membrane potential measured, during the last 1 minute of a small 500-ms hyperpolarizing pulse (–20 pA) given every 3 seconds. Extracellular glucose levels were altered as described in the figures.

Analytical procedures. Plasma levels of glucose were measured by the glucose oxidase method (Beckman Coulter). Catecholamine analysis was performed by HPLC using electrochemical detection (ESA); plasma insulin and glucagon levels were measured by RIA (Linco Research Inc.). All data are expressed as the mean ± SEM. Area under the curve (AUC) for each hormone was calculated for each individual study and then divided by time of study (120 minutes). Means from each group were then compared using repeated-measures ANOVA or a 2-tailed Student’s t test as appropriate (SPSS 11.0 for Windows). P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
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