Genetic diseases often reveal the physiological roles of the affected proteins. The identification of mutations in the nuclear envelope proteins lamin A and lamin C as the cause of a diverse group of human diseases has expanded our understanding of the lamin proteins from being merely structural elements of the cell nucleus and has implicated them in novel cellular functions including signal transduction and gene expression. However, it now appears that the physiological relevance of one of the lamin proteins in organismal function has been overestimated. In this issue of the JCI, Fong et al. demonstrate that lamin A–deficient mice are phenotypically normal (see the related article beginning on page 743). The good news is these findings open the door to a new strategy for the therapeutic treatment of diseases caused by mutations in lamin A, such as muscular dystrophies and some types of premature aging syndromes.

Laminopathies are genetic diseases caused by mutations in the LMNA gene, which encodes lamin A and C (1). This diverse group of diseases includes several types of muscular dystrophies, lipodystrophies, and, curiously, premature aging syndromes. One of the intriguing aspects of these diseases is that the affected gene encodes 2 of the most widely expressed structural proteins of the cell nucleus. Lamin A and C are intermediate filament-type proteins that, together with B-type lamins, form an extensive polymer network at the nuclear periphery (1). This nuclear lamin was long considered a merely passive support structure for the cell nucleus but is now recognized as far more multifunctional and contributing to transduction of mechanical forces to the nucleus and to gene regulation via tethering of genes to the nuclear periphery. In addition, the lamins are also present in the nuclear interior, where they have been implicated in organizing transcription, replication, and DNA repair (2).

No targeted therapies are available for laminopathies, and their molecular basis is poorly understood. One of the complications in analyzing lamin function is that lamins A and C are generated from the same gene, LMNA, by alternative splicing (3) (Figure 1A). Mature lamin A differs from lamin C by a 74-aa C-terminal addition and is generated from a precursor prelamin A protein. Prelamin A then undergoes extensive posttranslational processing, during which its C terminus is modified by farnesylation, followed by endoproteolytic cleavage by the Zmpste24 protease (FACE1 in humans) (4). This farnesylation appears to be crucial since it promotes targeting of the lamin A protein to the nuclear periphery (5). Partially due to this elaborate processing mechanism, lamin A has long been considered the more important of the 2 isoforms, with lamin C merely playing a subordinate, auxiliary role. This view was recently reinforced by the discovery that mutations in LMNA that affect only lamin A, but not lamin C, led to accumulation of the farnesylated prelamin A intermediate, which acts in a dominant fashion to cause the premature aging disorder Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome (HGPS) (6, 7). In this issue of the JCI, Fong et al. now directly challenge the notion of the paramount importance of lamin A by demonstrating that gene-targeted mice possessing only lamin C, but no lamin A or prelamin A, are indistinguishable from wild-type mice (8).

A lamin C–only mouse

Fong et al. (8) generated “lamin C–only” mice (Lmna[+/C]) by creating a mutant Lmna allele that does not produce a prelamin A transcript. Based on growth rate, life span, bone structure, and muscle functionality, Lmna[+/C] mice appeared indistinguishable from wild-type mice, and histopathological analysis did not reveal any tissue abnormality. This is in...
striking contrast to Lmna<sup>−/−</sup> mice, which lack both lamin A and lamin C and show symptoms of muscular dystrophy and have a reduced life span (9) (Figure 1B). Clearly lamin C alone is sufficient to ensure a healthy mouse, and lamin A appears to have no essential function, at least under the experimental conditions used in this study. Regardless, an important corollary of the absence of an obvious phenotype in Lmna<sup>LCO/LCO</sup> mice must be that the failure to generate mature lamin A, as observed in some laminopathies, is not the cause of these diseases, but rather that accumulation of lamin A intermediates or dominant-negative forms is the true problem. This point is elegantly reinforced by Fong et al. using Zmpste24-null mice, which lack the prelamin A endoprotease and fail to make mature lamin A but accumulate a farnesylated prelamin A intermediate (10, 11). These mice display multiple premature aging symptoms reminiscent of HGPS. Remarkably, Zmpste24<sup>−/−</sup> Lmna<sup>LCO/LCO</sup> mice were healthy despite the absence of mature lamin A (8). This effect is presumably due to the reduced levels of detrimental prelamin A in these mice (Figure 1B).

**The cellular life of lamin A**

The findings of Fong et al. (8) also shed light on the cellular behavior of lamin. First, they suggest that lamin C is able to functionally substitute for lamin A, since nuclear morphological abnormalities typically seen in nuclei of cells from Lmna<sup>−/−</sup> mice lacking both lamin A and lamin C were significantly reduced in Lmna<sup>LCO/LCO</sup> cells and practically absent in Lmna<sup>LCO−/−</sup> cells (Figure 1B). Furthermore, the observed proper localization in Lmna<sup>LCO/LCO</sup> cells of lamin C itself as well as emerin, a nuclear envelope–associated protein that is mistargeted to the endoplasmic reticulum in Lmna<sup>−/−</sup> cells (9), clearly indicates that lamin A and lamin C are mostly redundant in the nucleus. However, a faint hint that maybe not all is perfectly well in Lmna<sup>LCO/LCO</sup> cells comes from the observation that although expression of lamin C resulted in significant correction of mechanical weaknesses characteristically seen in Lmna<sup>−/−</sup> cells (12), Lmna<sup>LCO/LCO</sup> cells did not display full recovery of these properties, suggesting that lamin A might be important in the assembly of a robust lamin network.

These observations raise a particularly intriguing question: What is the relevance of lamin A in the cellular life of lamin C?
of the rather sophisticated sequence of prelamin A posttranslational modifications? It has generally been assumed that farnesylation of lamin A is important not only for its targeting to the nuclear periphery, but also for bringing lamin C to the lamina via interactions between lamin A and lamin C and for anchoring nuclear envelope-associated proteins such as emerin to the nuclear envelope (13, 14). However, in Lmna<sup>−/−</sup> cells, lamin C and emerin were able to properly localize to the nuclear envelope. One would suspect that the apparently superfluous and potentially harmful mechanism of posttranslational modifications of lamin A acts in a yet-to-be discovered aspect of its function. Obviously, since it appears that it is the accumulation of farnesylated prelamin A that is the true cause of some laminopathies, discovering the full function of the farnesyl group will be vital.

A novel therapeutic approach for laminopathies

The nonessential nature of lamin A opens up novel strategies for therapeutic intervention for lamin A–related diseases. Most laminopathies are heterozygous, and a major challenge in therapeutic approaches has been to specifically target the mutant lamin A without affecting the wild-type protein. Now that we know that loss of lamin A is not detrimental, its elimination, or elimination of lamin A precursors, from diseased cells seems like a safe therapeutic strategy. As proof of principle, Feng et al. (8) applied this idea to their Zmpste24<sup>−/−</sup> mouse model of HGPS in which farnesyl–prelamin A accumulates. Using antisense oligonucleotides to knock down prelamin A, they demonstrate that reduction of prelamin A levels indeed had the full function of the farnesyl group (4). Here the concern has been that this treatment might reduce levels of mature lamin A, since FTIs also inhibit the maturation of wild-type lamin A. In light of the dispensability of lamin A, these concerns are now greatly ameliorated for both approaches, although it is still unknown whether FTIs might have negative effects on other farnesylated proteins, such as the essential lamin B1 and lamin B2 proteins. While reduced levels of mutant prelamin A in HGPS patient cells has already been achieved by RNA interference (16), it will also be interesting to see whether knockdown of all lamin A leads to reversal of cellular defects in HGPS patient cells. The results obtained with Lmna<sup>LCO/LCO</sup> mouse embryonic fibroblasts are encouraging with regard to their implication for therapy. Yet a word of caution is in order concerning possible limitations of this therapeutic approach in humans. Compelling evidence indicates that when it comes to lamin mutations, humans and mice behave differently. Many human laminopathies are caused by dominant heterozygous mutations. In contrast, in mouse models, comparable phenotypes are generally only observed in homozygous animals. For example, Lmna<sup>−/−</sup> mice develop muscular dystrophy, whereas their heterozygous counterparts are healthy (11). It thus remains to be seen how directly the behavior of laminas in mouse models can be applied to human laminopathies. Regardless, the important message remains: Lowering the amount of lamin A to reduce the production of toxic prelamin A is a reasonable compromise that might result in significant improvement of patient symptoms with no major side effects. The challenge will probably be to find the right balance to ensure a basal level of wild-type lamin A and at the same time reduce the toxic proteins below the critical threshold that leads to the diseases. In the case of some laminopathies, less lamin A might be more.

Address correspondence to: Tom Mistel, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, 41 Library Drive, Building 41, B610, Bethesda, Maryland 20892, USA. Phone: (301) 402-3959; Fax: (301) 496-4951; E-mail: mistelt@mail.nih.gov.