Overexpression of pituitary tumor–transforming 1 (PTTG1) is associated with thyroid cancer. We found elevated PTTG1 levels in the thyroid tumors of a mouse model of follicular thyroid carcinoma (TRβPV/PV mice). Here we examined the molecular mechanisms underlying elevated PTTG1 levels and the contribution of increased PTTG1 to thyroid carcinogenesis. We showed that PTTG1 was physically associated with thyroid hormone β receptor (TRβ) as well as its mutant, designated PV. Concomitant with thyroid hormone–induced (T3-induced) degradation of TRβ, PTTG1 proteins were degraded by the proteasomal machinery, but no such degradation occurred when PTTG1 was associated with PV. The degradation of PTTG1/TRβ was activated by the direct interaction of the liganded TRβ with steroid receptor coactivator 3 (SRC-3), which recruits proteasome activator PA28γ. PV, which does not bind T3, could not interact directly with SRC-3/PA28γ to activate proteasome degradation, resulting in elevated PTTG1 levels. The accumulated PTTG1 impeded mitotic progression in cells expressing PV. Our results unveil what we believe to be a novel mechanism by which PTTG1, an oncogene, is regulated by the liganded TRβ. The loss of this regulatory function in PV led to an aberrant accumulation of PTTG1 disrupting mitotic progression that could contribute to thyroid carcinogenesis.

Introduction

Follicular and papillary thyroid carcinomas are the most common thyroid malignancies. Although both are well-differentiated cancers, each has distinguishable morphological and pathological characteristics (1). Follicular thyroid carcinoma has a greater tendency than does papillary cancer to metastasize to distant sites. Such distant metastasis predicts a poor response to treatment and subsequent progression and mortality from thyroid cancer. Despite recent progress in the identification of key genetic alterations and aberrant molecular pathways, the precise mechanisms underlying the initiation and progression of follicular thyroid cancer are not fully understood.

The development of a mouse model of follicular thyroid cancer (TRβPV/PV mice) has provided a valuable tool to elucidate the molecular basis underlying thyroid carcinogenesis (2). The TRβPV/PV mouse was created by a targeted mutation of thyroid hormone β receptor (TRβ) via homologous recombination and the Cre-LoxP system (3). The TRβ mutant (referred to here as PV) was identified in a patient with resistance to thyroid hormone (RTH) (4). RTH is caused by mutations of the TRβ1 gene and manifests symptoms as a result of decreased sensitivity to the thyroid hormone (T3) in target tissues (5). PV has a C insertion at codon 448 that produces a frame shift in the carboxyterminal 16 amino acids of TRβ1 (4). PV has completely lost T3 binding and exhibits potent dominant-negative activity (6). As TRβPV/PV mice age, they spontaneously develop follicular thyroid carcinoma similar to human thyroid cancer, with pathological progression from hyperplasia to vascular invasion, capsular invasion, anaplasia, and eventually metastasis (2, 7, 8).

One important, but not well-understood, genetic alteration is that of chromosomal aberrations in thyroid carcinomas. Chromosomal instability has been observed in follicular thyroid tumors, including the genetic losses in such regions as 2p, 2q, 3p, 7q, 9, 13q, and 22q (9–11). Recently, using spectral karyotyping analysis, we discovered chromosomal aberrations in 7 cell lines derived from thyroid tumors of TRβPV/PV mice (12). These cell lines exhibit abnormal karyotypes and a variety of structural chromosomal aberrations, including common recurrent translocations and deletions, raising the possibility that induction of chromosomal instability may be one of the key genetic events contributing to the thyroid carcinogenesis in TRβPV/PV mice (12).

To search for genes underlying the chromosomal aberrations in TRβPV/PV mice, we turned our attention to the genes that are altered during thyroid carcinogenesis as detected by cDNA microarray analyses (7). One significantly activated gene that caught our attention was pituitary tumor–transforming 1 (PTTG1). PTTG1, also known as secrin, is a critical mitotic checkpoint protein that helps hold sister chromatids together before entering anaphase (13). It was originally isolated from GH4 pituitary cells and shown to cause cell transformation in vitro and to induce tumor formation in vivo (14). Overexpression of PTTG1 has been detected in human thyroid carcinomas (15, 16), colorectal carcinoma (17), pituitary
adrenomas (18), and hematopoietic neoplasms (19). Importantly, PTTG1 was found to induce genetic instability in a variety of cells, including thyroid cells (20–22).

In the present study, we sought to understand the molecular basis by which PV increased the accumulation of cellular PTTG1 levels. We discovered that PTTG1 physically interacted with TRβ as well as PV and that the association of PTTG1 with the T3-bound TRβ resulted in the degradation of PTTG1 via the proteasomal degradation machinery. A recent study has demonstrated the interaction of steroid hormone receptor coactivator 3 (SRC-3) with proteasome activator 28γ (PA28γ) and that the degradation of SRC-3 is mediated by the PA28γ proteasome (23). We found that the direct interaction of TRβ with SRC-3 upon T3 binding activated the PA28γ proteasome–mediated degradation of PTTG1. In contrast, PV, which does not bind T3, could not form active complexes via direct interaction with SRC-3/PA28γ. The resistance to degradation resulted in the aberrant accumulation of the cellular PTTG1 protein that inhibited mitotic progression. Thus, the present study reveals a novel function of a TRβ mutant that caused mitotic abnormalities via stabilization of cellular PTTG1 levels.

**Results**

*Increased PTTG1 abundance in the metastatic thyroid carcinoma of TRβPV/PV mice.* Histological immunostaining of tissues from TRβPV/PV mice revealed a marked increase in the abundance of PTTG1 visible in both nuclei and cytoplasm of these cells (Figure 1A, B, C, D, E, and F). The increase in PTTG1 occurred not only in the primary lesions of thyroid (Figure 1A, compare B and D), but also in the lung metastases (Figure 1F). Quantitative analysis of the stain intensity showed 5.4- and 3.1-fold increases in the immunoreactivity of PTTG1 in the thyroid primary lesions and lung metastases, respectively, compared with thyroid in wild-type mice. These results are consistent with previous cDNA microarray analyses during thyroid carcinogenesis of TRβPV/PV mice showing the expression of PTTG1 mRNA in the primary lesions was increased 5.4-fold compared with the wild-type type. An increase in PTTG1 mRNA in TRβPV/PV mice has also been shown previously by quantitative RT-PCR (7). The observed increases in PTTG1 shown in Figure 1 could play an important role in thyroid carcinogenesis and thus stimulated investigations into its biochemical mechanisms and cellular consequences. The increase in PTTG1 mRNA in TRβPV/PV mice likely explains, at least in part, the increase in PTTG1 and further suggests some effect of PV on PTTG1 gene expression or mRNA stabilization. Since thyroid hormone receptors (TRs) and other nuclear receptors are known to participate in proteasome-mediated degradation pathways, in this study we investigated whether TRβ1 or PV operate through such mechanisms to directly modulate the cellular abundance of PTTG1.

**PTTG1 interacts with TRβ1 and PV in vitro and in cells.** We first tested the hypothesis that TRβ1 and PV could affect the stability of cellular PTTG1 via their interaction with PTTG1. Indeed, using glutathione-S-transferase (GST) pull-down assays, we found that PTTG1 was specifically associated with TRβ1 in vitro (Figure 2A, lane 4), because no signals in controls were detected when only agarose beads (lane 2) or agarose-GST (lane 3) was used. Analysis of the band intensities in Figure 2A, lanes 1 (input) and 4, showed that about 2%–4% of the total [35S]PTTG1 was bound to GST-TRβ1 under the experimental conditions. To localize the regions of TRβ1 with which PTTG1 interacted, a series of truncated [35S]-labeled TRβ1 proteins (Figure 2C) were tested for their association with GST-PTTG1. Figure 2B shows that ED41 (domains C+D+E of TRβ1; lane 7) bound to GST-PTTG1 in a manner similar to intact TRβ1 (lane 6). However, when the DNA-binding domain was deleted in MD32, KD25, and KP24 (Figure 2B, lanes 8, 9, and 10, respectively), no binding to PTTG1 was detected, indicating that the interaction site of TRβ1 with PTTG1 requires the DNA-binding domain. To map the region of PTTG1 with which TRβ1 or PV interact, GST was conjugated to aminoterminal PTTG1 (GST-PTTG1). Figure 2B shows that ED41 (domains C+D+E of TRβ1; lane 7) bound to GST-PTTG1 in a manner similar to intact TRβ1 (lane 6). However, when the DNA-binding domain was deleted in MD32, KD25, and KP24 (Figure 2B, lanes 8, 9, and 10, respectively), no binding to PTTG1 was detected, indicating that the interaction site of TRβ1 with PTTG1 requires the DNA-binding domain. To map the region of PTTG1 with which TRβ1 or PV interact, GST was conjugated to aminoterminal PTTG1 (GST-PTTG1ΔC) or carboxyterminal PTTG1 (GST-PTTG1ΔN; Figure 2E). Figure 2D, lanes 5 and 6, show that the full-length PTTG1 bound to TRβ1 with or without T3. A similar association of the full-length PTTG1 with PV was also detected (Figure 2D, lanes 7 and 8). The aminoterminal part of PTTG1 (Figure 2D, lanes 9–12) was sufficient for binding to TRβ1 (lanes 9 and 10) and PV (lanes 11 and 12). However, when the aminoterminal part was deleted, no binding was detected with either TRβ1 or PV (data not shown). Comparison of the intensity of the bands shown in the input lanes showed that about 3%–5% of total applied [35S]TRβ1 (or truncated [35S]TRβ1) or [35S]PV was bound to GST-PTTG1. These data indicate that the binding site of PTTG1 with TRβ1 or PV is located in the aminoterminal region of PTTG1 and the interaction of PTTG1 with TRβ1 or PV is T3 independent.

An interaction between endogenous PTTG1 and TRβ1 or PV was also demonstrated in the thyroid of wild-type and TRβPV/PV mice by coimmunoprecipitation assays (Figure 3A). Western blot analysis (Figure 3A, compare lanes 1 and 2) confirmed the elevated PTTG1 levels in the thyroids of TRβPV/PV mice.
mice shown by immunohistochemical staining (Figure 1). After immunoprecipitation of thyroid extracts with anti-TR antibody (J52) that recognizes the common epitope at the A/B domain of TRβ1 and PV, Western blotting showed that more PTTG1 in the thyroids of TRβ1/PV mice bound to PV than to TRβ1 of wild-type thyroids (Figure 3A, compare lanes 3 and 4). Figure 3A, lane 5, shows the negative control for coimmunoprecipitation in which an irrelevant antibody, MOPC, was used in the immunoprecipitation. The loading control for the input is shown in Figure 3A, lanes 12 and 13, for the wild-type and TRβ1/PV mouse extracts, respectively. On the basis of the band intensities in Figure 3A, lanes 1–4, about 2%–4% of the endogenous PTTG1 was coimmunoprecipitated with TRβ1 or PV. This is an underestimated value, because dissociation of the complexes was expected to occur as a result of the experimental manipulation. We also found that endogenous PTTG1 was associated with TRβ1 and PV in HeLa cells stably expressing either Flag-tagged TRβ1 (FH-TRβ1 cells) or PV (FH-PV cells) similarly by coimmunoprecipitation analysis (Figure 3A). Using equal amounts of cellular lysates (input controls using α-tubulin are shown in Figure 3A, lanes 14 and 15, for FH-TRβ1 and FH-PV cells, respectively), more PTTG1 was found to associate with PV (lane 9) than with TRβ1 (lane 8). Figure 3A, lanes 10 and 11, were the negative controls. These results indicate that in vivo, endogenous PTTG1 is bound to TRβ1 or PV.

To further show that the endogenous PTTG1 interacted with TRβ1 or PV in another cell type in addition to thyroid and HeLa cells, we carried out coimmunoprecipitation in CV-1 cells cultured in medium with or without T3. As CV-1 cells lack endogenous TRs, cells were therefore transfected with Flag-tagged TRβ1 (F-TRβ1) or PV (F-PV) expression plasmids. Western blot analysis using anti-Flag antibodies indicated that similar levels of F-TRβ1 and F-PV (Figure 3B, lanes 1 and 3, respectively) were expressed in the transfected CV-1 cells in the absence of T3. However, in the presence of T3, we observed a reduced level of F-TRβ1 (Figure 3B, compare lanes 1 and 2), but not of F-PV (compare lanes 3 and 4). These findings are consistent with our previous study in which the T3-induced degradation of TRβ1 was detected (24). Using the anti-TRβ1 antibody C4 for immunoprecipitation followed by Western blot analysis using anti-PTTG1 antibody, we found that endogenous PTTG1 was associated with TRβ1 in the presence and absence of T3 (Figure 3B, lanes 7 and 8). Similarly, using the PV-specific antibody 302, we also found endogenous PTTG1 to associate with PV in the absence and presence of T3 (Figure 3B, lanes 11 and 12, respectively). Figure 3B, lanes 5, 6, 9, and 10, show the endogenous PTTG1 from the direct Western blot analysis of total cellular lysates. A lower level of endogenous PTTG1 was consistently observed in TRβ1-transfected cells in the presence of T3 compared with in the absence of T3 (Figure 3B, compare lanes 5 and 10). Analysis of the band intensities in Figure 3B, lanes 5–12, indicated that about 3%–5% of the endogenous PTTG1 was associated with TRβ1 or PV. These values, however, represent the minimal amounts compared with those in vivo, as under the experimental conditions some dissociation of the PTTG1/TRβ1 or PTTG1/PV complexes was certain to occur.

The interaction of endogenous PTTG1 with TRβ1 or PV was further confirmed in CV-1 cells using confocal microscopy (Figure 4). The transfected F-TRβ1 (Figure 4, A and E) or F-PV (Figure 4, I and M) and the endogenous PTTG1 (Figure 4, B, F, J, and N) were visualized in interphase cells using Alexa Fluor 488 (TRβ1 and PV; green) and Texas Red (PTTG1; red), respectively. The subcellular distribution of TRβ1 (Figure 4, compare A and E) and PV (Figure

**Figure 2**

Analysis of the interaction of PTTG1 with TRβ1 or PV by GST pull-down assays. (A) [35S]-labeled PTTG1 (20 μl) prepared by in vitro transcription/translation was incubated with agarose beads (lane 2), agarose-GST (lane 3), or GST-TRβ1 (lane 4) as described in Methods. Lane 1 shows the input (1 μl) of [35S]-labeled PTTG1. (B) Binding of truncated [35S]-labeled TRβ1 to GST-PTTG1. Lanes 1–5 show the input of [35S]-labeled TRβ1 and truncated TRβ1 proteins as marked (10% of that in lanes 6–10). Lanes 6 and 7 show the binding of the full-length and truncated (domains C+D+E) TRβ1, respectively. The volumes of programmed lysates were adjusted such that equal amounts of full-length TRβ1, ED41, MD32, KD25, and KP24 protein were used in the binding assay. (C) Schematic representation of full-length and truncated TRβ1 proteins, with domains and boundaries indicated. (D) Binding of [35S]-labeled TRβ1 (10 μl) or [35S]-labeled PV (10 μl) to GST-PTTG1 and GST-PTTG1ΔC in the presence (lanes 6, 8, 10, and 12) and absence (lanes 5, 7, 9, and 11) of T3 (1 μM). Inputs (lanes 1 and 2) were 10% of that used in the binding experiments (lanes 5–12). The binding site of PTTG1 with TRβ1 and PV was located in the amino-terminal domain of PTTG1 (lanes 5–12). (E) Schematic representation of full-length and truncated PTTG1 proteins, with boundaries indicated.
We have previously shown that TRβ1 or PV does not bind T3, no transcription activation was detected. These findings not only demonstrate the association of PTTG1 with TRβ1 and PV in cells, but also indicate that TRβ1 and PV maintain a similar responsiveness to hormone while associated with DNA-bound PTTG1.

**T3-dependent, TRβ1-mediated destabilization of PTTG1 occurs via the proteasomal degradation machinery.** We have previously shown that the T3-induced degradation of TRβ1 is mediated by the proteasome degradation pathway and that TRα2, which does not bind T3, fails to be degraded (24). Furthermore, it has also been reported that treatment of cells with LLnL (a proteasome inhibitor) increases PTTG1 levels, indicating that PTTG1 is degraded through the proteasome pathway (20). The present finding that PTTG1 was associated with TRβ1 raised the possibility that this interaction could facilitate the degradation of PTTG1 via the proteasomal degradation pathway. To test this hypothesis, we first determined whether treatment of cells with LLnL (a proteasome inhibitor) increases PTTG1 levels, indicating that PTTG1 is degraded through the proteasome pathway (20). The present finding that PTTG1 was associated with TRβ1 raised the possibility that this interaction could facilitate the degradation of PTTG1 via the proteasome degradation pathway. To test this hypothesis, we first determined whether treatment of cells with LLnL (a proteasome inhibitor) increases PTTG1 levels, indicating that PTTG1 is degraded through the proteasome pathway (20). The present finding that PTTG1 was associated with TRβ1 raised the possibility that this interaction could facilitate the degradation of PTTG1 via the proteasome degradation pathway. To test this hypothesis, we first determined whether treatment of cells with LLnL (a proteasome inhibitor) increases PTTG1 levels, indicating that PTTG1 is degraded through the proteasome pathway (20). The present finding that PTTG1 was associated with TRβ1 raised the possibility that this interaction could facilitate the degradation of PTTG1 via the proteasome degradation pathway. To test this hypothesis, we first determined whether treatment of cells with LLnL (a proteasome inhibitor) increases PTTG1 levels, indicating that PTTG1 is degraded through the proteasome pathway (20). The present finding that PTTG1 was associated with TRβ1 raised the possibility that this interaction could facilitate the degradation of PTTG1 via the proteasome degradation pathway.
the level when TRβ1 was unliganded (lane 7) and to that without F-TRβ1 (lanes 3 and 4). These results suggest that the liganded TRβ1 facilitated the degradation of F-PTTG1 via the proteasome degradation pathway. Moreover, the T3-induced, TRβ1-mediated degradation of the endogenous PTTG1 was also demonstrated by Western blot analysis using anti-PTTG1 antibodies (Figure 5B, compare lanes 5 and 6). Figure 5C shows the corresponding loading control using α-tubulin.

The above observations would predict that PV, which does not bind T3 (4), will not undergo proteasome-mediated degradation and thus will not facilitate PTTG1 degradation. Indeed, concomitant with the stability of PV, the high level of PV protein in the presence of T3 was similar to that without T3 (Figure 5D, compare lanes 5 and 6). Figure 5D, lanes 7 and 8, further show additional stabilization of PTTG1 in the presence of MG132, suggesting that the stabilization of PTTG1 observed in lane 6 was not due to
the loss of function of the 20S core proteasome. In addition, the endogenous PTTG1 was also stabilized by the presence of PV using anti-PTTG1 antibodies (Figure 5E, lanes 5 and 6). The corresponding loading control using α-tubulin is shown in Figure 5F.

The GST pull-down assays showed that the interacting domain of TRβ1 with PTTG1 was localized in the DNA-binding domain (Figure 2B). This would predict that if the DNA-binding domain of TRβ1 were deleted, the truncated TRβ1 lacking the DNA-binding domain but retaining the ligand-binding domain [TRβ1(170–461)] would undergo T3-induced degradation, but since PTTG1 could no longer interact with TRβ1, PTTG1 would not be degraded. Indeed, when CV-1 cells were transfected with the expression plasmid for F-TRβ1(170–461) and either treated with T3 or left untreated, Western blot analysis with anti-Flag antibodies showed that the truncated F-TRβ1(170–461) underwent T3-induced degradation (Figure 5G, compare lanes 5 and 6). However, the endogenous PTTG1 was not degraded in the presence of F-TRβ1(170–461) (Figure 5H, compare lanes 5 and 6). The endogenous PTTG1 level was similar to that observed in the absence of transfected F-TRβ1(170–461) (Figure 5H, compare lanes 1 and 2 with lanes 5 and 6). In the presence of proteasome inhibitor MG132, the endogenous PTTG1 was elevated, as expected (Figure 5H, lanes 7 and 8), indicating that the stability of PTTG1 observed in lanes 5 and 6 was not due to direct impairment of the proteasome system. Figure 5I shows the corresponding loading control using α-tubulin. Taken together, these findings indicate that the cellular stability of PTTG1 is regulated by the liganded TRβ1, but not by PV, which does not bind T3, resulting in the aberrant accumulation of cellular PTTG1.

Differential recruitment of PA28γ by TRβ1/PTTG1 and PV/PTTG1 complexes. To understand how PV failed to regulate the stability of PTTG1 as the liganded TRβ1 did, we considered the possibility that the liganded TRβ1/PTTG1 and PV/PTTG1 complexes recruit...
proteasome activators differently. Recent reports have suggested that SRC-3 is physically associated with PA28γ, an activator of the trypsin-like activity of the proteasome (23, 25), and that this interaction results in the increased degradation of SRC-3 via 11S proteasome in an ubiquitin- and ATP-independent manner (23). Similar to other steroid hormone receptors (26), the liganded TRβ1 recruited SRC-3 in cells, but the unliganded TRβ1 did not (Figure 6A, lanes 3 and 4). PV, which does not bind T3, did not bind SRC-3 whether T3 was present or not (Figure 6A, lanes 5 and 6). Consistent with the report by Li et al. (23), we found that SRC-3 was associated with the transfected F-PA28γ (Figure 6B, lanes 3 and 4) as well as the endogenous PA28γ (Figure 6C, lanes 3 and 4). In the presence of TRβ1, the interaction of SRC-3 with F-PA28γ or the endogenous PA28γ (Figure 6, B and C, compare lanes 3 and 4) was not affected whether T3 was present or not. We sought to determine whether SRC-3 interacted with the endogenous PTTG1 by coimmunoprecipitation using the anti–SRC-3 antibody (Figure 6D) or the anti-PTTG1 antibody (Figure 6E) followed by Western blot analysis using anti-Flag or anti–SRC-3 antibodies, respectively. In cells without TRβ1, no discernible signals were observed that would indicate the direct interaction of endogenous PTTG1 with SRC-3. GST pull-down assays also failed to show the direct interaction of SRC-3 with PTTG1 (data not shown). Therefore, SRC-3 does not directly bind to PTTG1 in cells. Thus, the binding of T3 to TRβ1 allows the liganded TRβ1, but not PV, to recruit SRC-3/PA28γ and subsequently activate the degradation of the liganded TRβ1 as well as PTTG1 (see Discussion).

This model predicts that a reduced protein level of PA28γ would favor the stability of PTTG1. Indeed, when the PA28γ protein level was lowered (90% reduction compared with control) by siRNA (Figure 7A, compare lanes 2 and 3 with lanes 4 and 5), no T3-induced, TRβ1-mediated degradation of the endogenous PTTG1 was detected (Figure 7B, compare lanes 4 and 5). This was in contrast to cells treated with control siRNA, in which T3 treatment led to the degradation of PTTG1 (Figure 7B, compare lanes 2 and 3). The PTTG1 level in siRNA-treated cells in the presence of T3 was similar to that in cells treated with control siRNA in the absence of T3 (Figure 7B, compare lanes 2 and 3). The corresponding loading controls are shown in Figure 7C. These results further support the activating role of SRC-3/PA28γ complexes in the regulation of the stability of PTTG1 by TRβ1.

The findings from the above cell-based experiments predicted that in mice deficient in SRC-3 (SRC-3−/− mice), PTTG1 abundance in the thyroid would be higher compared with wild-type mice. Indeed, Western blot analysis showed that PTTG1 abundance in vivo was significantly higher than that in the wild-type mice (2.1-fold higher; P < 0.05; Figure 8A). Figure 8C shows the corresponding loading controls using α-tubulin. Consistent with our results from immunohistochemical analysis (Figure 1D), we found that PTTG1 abundance in the thyroid of TRβ1−/− mice was 4.7-fold higher than that in wild-type mice (Figure 8B, compare lanes 1 and 2 with lanes 3–5). However, the increased PTTG1 abundance was not altered in TRβ1−/− mice deficient in SRC-3 (Figure 8B), since PV was shown not to bind SRC-3 (Figure 6A), and therefore PTTG1 abundance was not expected to be affected by the lack of SRC-3. The corresponding loading controls for the Western blot in Figure 8B are shown in Figure 8D. Taken together, these in vivo findings clearly support an important role of SRC-3 in modulating the stability of PTTG1 in vivo.

"Aberrant accumulation of PTTG1 levels induced by PV impedes cell cycle progression." PTTG1 is a mammalian securin functioning to hold...
sister chromatids together during mitosis, and its overexpression has been shown to cause aneuploidy (13, 20–22, 27). To evaluate the functional consequences of PV-induced accumulated cellular PTTG1, we first determined the cell cycle–dependent expression of endogenous PTTG1. FH-TRβ1 and FH-PV cells were each arrested at the G0/G1, S, and G2/M phases and the protein abundance of PTTG1, F-TRβ1, F-PV, and the cell cycle markers (cyclin D1, cyclin A, and cyclin B1 for G0/G1, S, and G2/M phases, respectively) were determined at each cell cycle by Western blot analysis (Figure 9A). The PTTG1 level was weak but detectable at the G0/G1 phase, began to increase at the S phase, and reached its peak at the G2/M phase. Consistent with previous reports by others (28), a phosphorylated PTTG1 (p-PTTG1) with a slower migration in the SDS gel was observed at the G2/M phase. These cell cycle–dependent patterns of PTTG1 were similar to those previously observed (28, 29). TRβ1 and PV had cell cycle–dependent profiles that were different from that of PTTG1 in that they were expressed at all cell cycles, but with the lowest abundance at the S phase.

We further analyzed at what phase of the cell cycle was endogenous PTTG1 associated with TRβ1 and PV by coimmunoprecipitation (Figure 9B). The association of the endogenous PTTG1 with either F-TRβ1 or F-PV occurred mainly at the G2/M phase (Figure 9B, lanes 4 and 8), but weak associations were also detected in the G0/G1 (lanes 2 and 6) and S phases (lanes 3 and 7). It is interesting to note that TRβ1 and PV interacted with PTTG1 as well as p-PTTG1 (Figure 9B, lanes 4 and 8). Compared with the input shown in Figure 9B, lane 10, approximately 6%–8% of endogenous PTTG1 was associated with TRβ1 or PV in the G2/M phase.

The effect of PV-induced aberrant accumulation of PTTG1 on cell cycle progression was analyzed after arresting the FH-TRβ1 and FH-PV cells at the G2/M phase by thymidine/nocodazole block and releasing from the G2/M block by culturing in media containing T3 in FBS. Protein abundance of endogenous PTTG1, F-TRβ1, F-PV and the G2/M phase marker (cyclin B1) was assessed in a time-dependent manner as cells were exiting from the G2/M phase (Figure 10). As FH-TRβ1 cells were exiting from the G2/M phase, PTTG1 continued to decline, and after 8 hours, all p-PTTG1 and most PTTG1 was degraded. In contrast, p-PTTG1 as well as PTTG1 in FH-PV cells remained elevated after 8 hours. The effect of the PV-induced aberrant accumulation of PTTG1 was clearly evident by the marked delay of cells to exit from the G2/M phase block (Figure 10). As shown in Figure 10, lanes 3–6, 14.8%, 60.8%, 71.6%, and 74.2% of FH-TRβ1 cells had exited the G2/M phase to enter into the G0/G1 and S phases after 2, 4, 6, and 8 hours, respectively. However, markedly lower numbers of FH-PV cells had exited from the G2/M phase (14.8%, 30.3%, 38.2%, and 53.3% after 2, 4, 6, and 8 hours, respectively; Figure 10, lanes 9–12). The severe delay in exiting from the G2/M phase was also shown by the delayed degradation of the G2/M phase marker, cyclin B1. These findings are consistent with the observations by Yu et al. in which the mitotic progression was

Figure 7
Stabilization of endogenous PTTG1 by siRNA knockdown of PA28γ expression in cells. CV-1 cells were cotransfected with a control siRNA (lanes 1–3) or PA28γ (lanes 4 and 5), with (lanes 2–5) or without (lane 1, control) the expression plasmid of TRβ1. Cells were treated with (lanes 3 and 5) or without (lanes 1, 2, or 4) 100 nM T3. Endogenous PA28γ (A) or PTTG1 (B) protein levels were detected by Western blot analysis using anti-PA28γ or anti-PTTG1 antibodies. “NSB” in A indicates a nonspecific band migrating slightly faster than PA28γ (23). (C) Corresponding loading controls using α-tubulin.

Figure 8
Comparison of PTTG1 abundance in thyroids of wild-type, SRC-3+/+, T[RI]P[PV]SRC-3+/−, and T[RI]P[PV]SRC-3−/− mice. Protein lysates (50 μg) from thyroids of 2 wild-type (lanes 1 and 2) and 3 SRC-3−/− mice (lanes 3–5) were separated by gel electrophoresis. Western blot analysis was performed with antibody against mouse PTTG1 (A: Ab 77; see Methods) and α-tubulin (C) for control of an equal amount of lysates loading onto the gel. (B) Similar Western blot analyses were performed in the thyroid lysates of 2 wild-type mice (lanes 1–2), 3 T[RI]P[PV]SRC-3−/− mice (lanes 3–5), and 3 T[RI]P[PV]SRC-3−/− mice (lanes 6–8). NS, not significant. (D) Corresponding loading control using α-tubulin. The band intensity was scanned and quantified using NIH Image (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/nih-image/), and data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 2–3).
severely inhibited by overexpressed PTTG1 (21). A similar mitotic delay in FH-TRβ1 cells exiting from the G2/M phase was observed in the absence of T3: 57.6% and 61.5% of cells exited from the G2/M phase after 6 and 8 hours, respectively, compared with 71% and 78% for T3-treated cells (data not shown).

As cells were exiting from the G2/M phase in the presence of T3- and serum-containing growth media, TRβ1 abundance continued to increase in a time-dependent manner. The different cell cycle–dependent protein profiles between PTTG1 and TRβ1 suggest that TRβ1 has other cellular functions in addition to the regulation of the stability of PTTG1. These observations suggest that the regulation in the expression of TRβ1 differs from that of PTTG1.

**Discussion**

Overexpression of PTTG1 has been implicated in the development and progression of many human cancers including thyroid cancers (16). Although the precise mechanisms by which PTTG1 induces cancers have yet to be fully elucidated, one prevailing hypothesis has been that aberrantly increased levels of PTTG1 perturb the normal progression of mitosis (20–22). An increased PTTG1 levels. The present study has uncovered what we believe to be a novel mechanism by which PTTG1 becomes resistant to proteasomal degradation via binding to a mutant TRβ1. On the basis of in vitro binding, cell-based, and in vivo analyses we propose the molecular model shown in Figure 11. PTTG1 physically associates with TRβ1, and its degradation is facilitated by T3-dependent recruitment of SRC-3/PA28γ complexes. The degradation of PTTG1 frees the separin to proteolyze cohesions to facilitate normal separation of sister chromatids during anaphase (Figure 11A). The unliganded TRβ1, as well as PV (which does not bind T3), cannot recruit the SRC-3/PA28γ complexes to activate the proteasome degradation; therefore, the PV/PTTG1 complex remains stable, as shown by the persistently elevated PTTG1 and PV (Figure 11B; see also Figure 10). The failure of timely degradation of PTTG1 severely inhibited the proper exit of cells from the G2/M phase (Figure 10). The present findings are consistent with the report by Yu et al. (21) in that compared with controls, the metaphase was found to be prolonged (7 times longer) by overexpression of PTTG1. Moreover, Yu et al. also showed that overexpression of PTTG1 inhibits chromosome separation and results in asymmetrical cytokinesis (21). We also noted that FH-PV and FH-TRβ1 cells cultured in T3-deficient media exhibited increased frequency of prometaphase/metaphase mitotic arrest compared with FH-TRβ1 cells cultured in T3-containing growth media (our unpublished observations). However, the strongest evidence to support that PV-induced aberrantly accumulated PTTG1 led to chromosomal abnormalities came from our previous quantitative spectral karyotyping analysis of 7 PV-expressing thyroid tumor cell lines derived from TRβ1PV/PV mice. The elevated PTTG1 levels

**Figure 9**

Protein abundance (A) and interaction (B) of PTTG1, TRβ1, and PV at different cell cycles. (A) FH-TRβ1 and FH-PV cells were synchronized in the G0/G1, S, and G2/M phases as described in Methods. Asynchronized cells (AS) and synchronized cells at different phases of the cell cycle were confirmed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting analysis, and the expression of cell cycle markers (cyclin D1, cyclin A, and cyclin B1 for the G0/G1, S, and G2/M phases, respectively) was determined. The protein abundance of cyclin D1, cyclin A, and cyclin B1 were determined by Western blot analysis using anti-cyclin D1 (1:1,000 dilution), anti-cyclin A (1:1,000 dilution), and anti-cyclin B1 (1:2,000 dilution) antibodies, respectively. The protein abundance of PTTG1, F-TRβ1, F-PV, and the loading control α-tubulin was determined by Western blot analysis similar to that in Figure 5. (B). Cell lysates (1 mg) prepared from FH-TRβ1 cells (lanes 1–4) and FH-PV cells (lanes 5–10) not synchronized (lanes 1, 5, and 9) or synchronized at the G0/G1, S and G2/M phase (lanes 2–4, 6–8, and 10) were analyzed for the interaction of the endogenous PTTG1 with F-TRβ1 or F-PV by coimmunoprecipitation. Cell lysates were first immunoprecipitated with anti-Flag antibodies followed by Western blot analysis with anti-PTTG1. Lane 11 is the negative control using HEK293 cells that did not have TRs. Lanes 9 and 10 show the direct Western blot analysis using anti-PTTG1 antibodies, indicating the input (25 μg of cell lysates).
in these thyroid tumor cells occur in vivo and not from exogenous transfection. All 7 cell lines show abnormal karyotypes with chromosome numbers ranging from near diploid (39–42 chromosomes) to hypotetraploid (63–79 chromosomes). These 7 cell lines exhibit a variety of structural chromosomal aberrations including common recurrent translocations and deletions (12). Taken together, these observations provide a strong link of PV-induced overexpressed PTTG1 with aneuploidy in vivo.

However, at present, despite the close association of elevated PTTG1 with aneuploidy in the thyroid tumors of TRβPV/PV mice (12) and in human thyroid cancer (22), whether overexpression of PTTG1 causes thyroid cancer remains unclear. cDNA microarray analysis of thyroid tumors of TRβPV/PV mice indicates that complex alterations of multiple signaling pathways are associated with thyroid carcinogenesis (7). It is likely that the chromosomal abnormalities caused by aberrantly increased PTTG1 contribute to the progression rather than the development of thyroid cancer. This issue will require further study.

Studies so far have shown that the deleterious effects of TRβ mutants in causing RTH are mediated via dominant-negative actions at the transcriptional level (5, 30). A prominent example is the persistently elevated levels of serum thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) due to the loss of the negative regulation of TSHβ and the α-glycoprotein common subunit genes caused by the dominant-negative effects of TRβ mutants at the transcription level (3). The present findings unveil what we believe to be a new mode of action of TRβ mutants that takes place at the posttranslational level, namely, the blocking of the normal degradation of PTTG1 via complex formation, thus leading to genetic instability. The function of PV in the stabilization of cellular proteins may not be limited to PTTG1. Many key signaling molecules involved in cell cycle regulation, cellular adhesion, cell proliferation, and apoptosis are degraded by the proteasome. It is reasonable to postulate that other key effectors of thyroid carcinogenesis could fail to be properly degraded by proteasome due to the action of TRβ mutants. Therefore, the stabilization of critical regulators that could act as tumor promoters by TRβ mutants may be one key mechanism by which TRβ mutants function as oncogenes. The identification in future studies of such key molecules that are dysregulated will further our understanding of the molecular basis of thyroid carcinogenesis.

Further work is needed to elucidate detailed molecular mechanisms by which the direct interaction of the liganded TRβ1 with SRC-3/PA28γ activates the degradation of TRβ1 and PTTG1. However, it is reasonable to expect that this mode of activated degradation mediated by SRC-3/PA28γ is not limited to the liganded TRβ1 and PTTG1. SRC-3 is widely expressed in many tissues and has been shown to associate with many nuclear proteins to regulate their functions (26). Therefore, this mode of posttranslational regulation of cellular proteins mediated by SRC-3/PA28γ – that,
to our knowledge, is novel — could be an important regulatory mechanism for cellular functions.

**Methods**

**PV mice.** The animal protocol used in the present study has been approved by the National Cancer Institute Animal Care and Use Committee. The mice harboring the TRPV gene were generated, genotyped, and bred as previously described (3). The wild-type littermates were used as controls.

**Plasmids and antibodies.** The construction of plasmids F-TRβ1 [pFlag(f)-TRβ1] and F-PV [pFlag(f)-PV] was performed using TRβ1 (pCLCS1) (31) or PV expression vectors (pCLCS1PV) (32) as templates for PCR cloning with a Pfx DNA Polymerase kit (Invitrogen). The sequences of these plasmids were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

The mouse PTTG1 expression plasmid, kindly provided by S. Melmed (UCLA, Los Angeles, California, USA), was used as a PCR cloning template for construction of other PTTG1-related plasmids. We used pFlag-CMV2 (Sigma-Aldrich) to generate F-PTTG1; pcDNA3.1 (+) (Invitrogen) to generate pcDNA3.1-PTTG1; and pGEX-6p-1 (Amersham Biosciences) to generate GST-PTTG1, GST-PTTG1ΔC, and GST-PTTG1ΔN fusion constructs. IMAGE Consortium Clone-Human S815153 (ATCC) was used as a template to clone full-length PA28β into pcDNA3.1 and pFlag-CMV2 vectors. Primers containing EcoRI/BamHI cloning sites and a Pfx DNA Polymerase kit were used in PCR reactions. The PCR products were digested by EcoRI and BamHI and inserted into corresponding vectors. All the plasmids constructed in this study were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

The expression vector for F-TRβ1(170–461), Flag-CMV-TRβ1Nis, was constructed by cloning the full-length TRβ1 into Flag-CMV mammalian expression vector (Sigma-Aldrich), followed by using a Nsi I restriction site within the TRβ1 sequence to delete the nucleotides encoding the first 169 TRβ1 residues. The sequence was confirmed by DNA sequencing.

The T7 expression plasmids used for in vitro transcription/translation were pcJ3 (TRβ1), pJL08 (ED41), pJL06 (MD32), pcJ4 (KD25), pcJ7 (KP24), and pGEM-PV (PV), which have been previously described (6, 33, 34).

**GST pull-down assays.** A GST/glutathione binding system was used to assess the physical interaction of PTTG1 with TRβ1 or PV, as described by Furumoto et al. (35). The plasmids used for in vitro transcription/translation were pcDNA3.1-PTTG1 (mouse), pcJ3 (TRβ1), pJL08 (ED41), pJL06 (MD32), pcJ4 (KD25), pcJ7 (KP24), and pGEM-PV (PV). After in vitro transcription/translation, the translated [35S]-labeled proteins were quantified by the intensities of bands after SDS gel electrophoresis and autoradiography. Equal amounts of [35S]-labeled proteins (PTTG1, TRβ1, PV, or truncated TRβ1 proteins) were used in the binding to GST-TRβ1, GST-PTTG1, or GST-PTTG1ΔC or GST-PTTG1ΔN (2 μg).

**Establishment of FH-TRβ1 and FH-PV cells.** Human FH-TRβ1 and PV cDNAs were cloned into pHH-IRE3neo plasmid (ref. 36; a generous gift of R.G. Roeder, Rockefeller University, New York, New York, USA) to obtain F-TRβ1 and F-PV, respectively. HeLa cells were transfected with F-TRβ1 or F-PV and selected with 500 μg/ml G418 (Invitrogen) for 3 weeks as described previously (37). G418-resistant colonies expressing Flag-αHA–tagged proteins were expanded for subsequent experiments. The expression of TRβ1 or PV protein was verified by Western blot analysis using anti-Flag (Sigma-Aldrich), anti-TRβ1 C4 (38), or anti-PV 302 antibodies (32). Cell lines stably expressing TRβ1 or PV were designated as FH-TRβ1 or FH-PV cells, respectively.

**Determination of cellular PTTG1 levels.** The F-PTTG1 levels were assessed in CV-1 cells as well as FH-TRβ1 and FH-PV cells by Western blot analyses. F-PTTG1 expression plasmid (3 μg) was cotransfected with or without the expression plasmid for F-TRβ1 (3 μg) or F-PV (3 μg). After 24 hours, cells were incubated in the presence or absence of 100 nM T3. In some dishes, the proteasome inhibitor MG132 (50 μM) was added to the medium. After 16 hours, cells were harvested and lysed, and the cellular PTTG1 levels were determined by Western blot analysis as described previously (35) except that, in addition to protease inhibitors, 5 mM N-ethylmaleimide (Sigma-Aldrich), 10 nM ubiquitin aldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.2 μM okadaic acid, 10 mM NaF, and 1 mM Na3VO4 were added in the lysis buffer. Anti-Flag antibody (0.5 μg/ml; catalog no. F3165; Sigma-Aldrich) was used to determine F-PPTTG1, F-TRβ1, and F-PV protein levels.

PTTG1 abundance was also assessed in vivo using mouse thyroids from wild-type, SRC-3Δγ, TRPV/PSRC-3Δγ, and TRPV/PSRC-3Δβ mice. Thyroid glands were dissected, washed with PBS, and homogenized on ice in cell lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris, 100 mM HCl, 0.5% Triton X-100, 0.2 μM okadaic acid, 100 mM NaF, 0.2 mM Na3VO4, and protease inhibitor tablet (Complete, Mini, EDTA-free; Roche Diagnostics). The lysate was centrifuged for 15 minutes at 12,000 g at 4°C, and the protein concentration in the supernatant was determined using Coomassie Plus Protein Assay Reagent (Pierce) with bovine serum albumin as standard. Lysates (50 μg) were used for the determination of PTTG1 by Western blot analysis as described above. Primary antibodies used in the Western blot analysis were polyclonal anti-human PTTG1 antibodies (1 μg/ml; catalog no. 34-1500; Invitrogen) together with polyclonal anti-mouse PTTG1 antibodies we developed using recombinant mouse PTTG1 produced and purified from bacteria as antigen (designated as Ab 77; 1 μg/ml). For the control of protein loading, anti-α-tubulin was used (catalog no. T6199; Sigma-Aldrich).

**RNA interference assays.** Control siRNA (siCONTROL Non-targeting siRNA #2, D-0012102-02-05) and siRNA against PA28γ (siGENOME SMARTpool reagent M-012133-00) were purchased from Dharmacon. For RNA interference experiments, CV-1 cells were cultured in DMEM with 3% vol/vol FBS and prepared at 60% confluence 6 hours before transfection. Each siRNA (40 nM) was transfected into CV-1 cells with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. After 24 hours, the cells were cotransfected with 10 μg of the expression plasmid for F-TRβ1 or the control plasmid and 40 nM siRNA using Lipofectamine 2000 and then incubated for 24 hours. Cells were then cultured in media with or without 100 nM T3 and incubated for an additional 24 hours. The protein concentration and subsequent Western blot analysis were performed as described above.

**Analysis of GAL4-PTTG1 transcription activity.** For the GAL4-PTTG1 reporter assay, plating and transfection were performed in a manner similar to that described previously (35). Briefly, GAL4 or GAL4-PTTG1 (0.2 μg; kindly provided by L. Pei, UCLA, Los Angeles, California, USA) and the expression vector of TRβ1 or PV (0.2 μg) or the empty vector (pCDM8) were cotransfected with the reporter plasmid of GAL4 (pE1b-4XUAS-luc; 1 μg) into CV-1 cells. The luciferase activity induced by GAL4-PTTG1 was normalized by the corresponding activity induced by GAL4 alone, and the data are shown as fold activation of GAL4-PTTG1 over GAL4.

**Analysis of the association of SRC-3 with TRβ1 or PV and with PA28γ in cells.** The association of SRC-3 with TRβ1 or PV in cells was determined by communoprecipitation. To determine the interaction of endogenous SRC-3 with endogenous or transfected PA28γ, FH-TRβ1 cells were cultured without transfection or transfected with F-PA28γ (5 μg) in the presence or absence of 100 nM T3 as described previously (35). Forty-eight hours later, cells were harvested as described previously (23), and cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with SRC-3 antibody (a generous gift from R.-C. Wu, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, USA), followed by Western blot analysis using anti-PA28γ antibody (1:150 dilution, catalog no. 38-3800; Invitrogen) or anti-Flag antibody. Similarly, to investigate the interaction of TRβ1 or PV with SRC-3, CV-1 or HEK293 cells were cotransfected with pcDNA3.1-AIB1 and F-TRβ1 or F-PV (5 μg each) in the presence or absence of 100 nM T3. Flag-M2 beads (catalog no. A2220; Sigma-Aldrich) were used for immunoprecipitation, and anti–SRC-3 antibody was used for Western blot analysis.
Histological and immunohistochemical analysis. Immunohistochemical localization of PTTG1 was performed in a manner similar to that previously described (8). The anti-PTTG1 antibody was purchased from Invitrogen. Quantification of the intensity of the HRP signal was performed by applying an automated function (Autolevels adjustment) in Adobe Photoshop version 7.0, and the density of selected spots in the cytoplasm of cells was measured (5 per image) by the Histograms function in Photoshop after selection using the Magic Wand tool, from which the percentage density values ± SD were calculated. A similar operation was performed on the controls, and these values were subtracted from those for the signals derived from anti-PTTG1 antibodies.

Fluorescence confocal microscopy. Subcellular localization of TRβ1, PV, and PTTG1 was visualized by fluorescence confocal microscopy. CV-1 cells (1–1.3 × 10⁶ cells) were transfected with 1.5 μg F-TRβ1 or F-PV. After 24 hours, cells were treated with or without 100 nM T3. After culturing cells for an additional 24 hours, cells were washed twice in PBS, fixed with freshly prepared 4% paraformaldehyde (5 minutes at room temperature), and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS (10 minutes at room temperature). Nonspecific binding of the antibodies was blocked with bovine serum albumin (3%) for 10 minutes before incubation with the anti-Flag antibody (0.5 μg/ml, catalog no. F3165; Sigma-Aldrich) and anti-PTTG1 antibody (10 μg/ml, catalog no. 34-1500; Invitrogen) at 4°C overnight. The cells were subsequently incubated with 1 μg/ml of Alexa Fluor 488 fragment of goat anti-mouse IgG (catalog no. A11017; Invitrogen) or anti-rabbit Texas Red (1 μg/ml; Invitrogen). Nuclei were also stained with DAPI (Vector Laboratories). Laser confocal scanning images were captured with the use of an Ultraview (PerkinElmer) confocal head on a Zeiss TSC200 inverted microscope. The localization of PTTG1, TRβ1, and PV in cells arrested at the G1/M phase by thymidine/nocodazole treatment followed by 16 hours of release were visualized similarly.

Cell cycle analysis. FH-TRβ1 and FH-PV cells were grown in DMEM with 10% vol/vol FBS supplemented with 250 μM G418. FH-TRβ1 and FH-PV cells were arrested in the G0/M phase by thymidine/nocodazole block in a manner similar to that described by Choudhury et al. (39). Briefly, FH-TRβ1 and FH-PV cells (3.0 × 10⁶ cells) were treated with 2.5 mM thymidine (Sigma-Aldrich) for 14 hours in DMEM containing 10% FBS and then released from the thymidine block for 5 hours. The G1/M phase–arrested cells were further treated with 0.5 μM nocodazole (Sigma-Aldrich) in DMEM containing 10% FBS for 16 hours. To release the cells from the thymidine/nocodazole block, cells were cultured in DMEM containing 20% FBS.

Cells were also synchronized in the G0/G1 phase by culturing in DMEM supplemented with 0.5% vol/vol FBS for 72 hours (39). Cells were arrested in the S phase by a double thymidine block (i.e., two 16-hour incubation periods in 2.5 mM thymidine with 8 hours’ release after the first block). Cells in the S phase were harvested 4 hours after release from the second block by culturing in growth medium containing 10% FBS (40). Cells arrested in different cell cycles were confirmed by flow cytometry (BD). For fluorescence-activated cell sorting analysis, the cells were stained with propidium iodide and analyzed on BD Biosciences FACSCalibur using CellQuest software version 3.0. The percentage of cells in G0, G1, or G2/M were calculated using FlowJo Cell Cycle platform (Tree Star Inc.).

Statistics. All data are expressed as mean ± SEM or mean ± SD. Statistical analysis was performed using 1-way ANOVA or unpaired 2-tailed Student’s t test. P values less than 0.05 were considered to be significant.
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