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Abstract

The role of mutations of the granulocyte colony-stimulating factor receptor (G-CSFR) in the pathogenesis of severe congenital neutropenia (SCN) and the subsequent development of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is controversial. Mice carrying a targeted mutation of their G-CSFR that reproduces the mutation found in patients with SCN and AML have been generated. The mutant G-CSFR allele is expressed in a myeloid-specific fashion at levels comparable to the wild-type allele. Mice heterozygous or homozygous for this mutation have normal levels of circulating neutrophils and no evidence for a block in myeloid maturation, indicating that resting granulopoiesis is normal. However, in response to G-CSF treatment, these mice demonstrate a significantly greater fold increase in the level of circulating neutrophils. This effect appears to be due to increased neutrophil production as the absolute number of G-CSF-responsive progenitors in the bone marrow and their proliferation in response to G-CSF is increased. Furthermore, the in vitro survival and G-CSF-dependent suppression of apoptosis of mutant neutrophils are normal. Despite this evidence for a hyperproliferative response to G-CSF, no cases of AML have been detected to date. These data demonstrate that the G-CSFR mutation found in patients with SCN is not sufficient to induce an SCN phenotype or AML in mice. (J. Clin. Invest. 1998. 102:483–492.) Key words: neutropenia/congenital • point mutations • receptors, granulocytic colony-stimulating factor • leukemia • mice, transgenic

Introduction

Severe congenital neutropenia (SCN), or Kostmann’s syndrome, is a rare, usually autosomal recessive disorder characterized by severe neutropenia present from birth (1, 2). Absolute neutrophil counts are usually < 200 cells per cubic millimeter, with the remainder of the blood counts relatively normal. The bone marrow invariably shows an arrest in myeloid maturation with an accumulation of promyelocytes or myelocytes. Because of their neutropenia, patients with SCN suffer from chronic life threatening infections. Treatment with granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) leads to an increase in neutrophil counts to > 1,000 cells per cubic millimeter in 90% of patients resulting in significant improvements in survival and quality of life (3, 4).

The molecular defect responsible for SCN is not known. Because G-CSF is the major hematopoietic growth factor regulating the production of neutrophils and as the defect in hematopoiesis in SCN appears to be limited to granulopoiesis, studies investigating the role of G-CSF and its receptor (G-CSFR) in the pathogenesis of SCN have been performed. G-CSF levels are normal or increased in patients with SCN (5–7). Furthermore, the G-CSFR has been found to be present at normal or increased levels on myeloid cells (7–9). However, in ~25% of patients with SCN, mutations of one allele of the G-CSFR have been detected (10). These mutations invariably are single nucleotide substitutions that introduce premature stop codons leading to a truncation of the distal cytoplasmic portion of the G-CSFR (10–13). Interestingly, this region has been implicated in the generation of maturation signals by the G-CSFR (12, 14–16). These observations have led to the hypothesis that the loss of maturation signals by the mutant G-CSFR may contribute to the block in myeloid maturation seen in patients with SCN.

SCN patients are at increased risk of developing acute myelogenous leukemia (AML) or myelodysplasia (MDS). In a recent series, 10% of patients with SCN followed for five or more years developed AML or MDS (2). Interestingly, pa...
tients with G-CSFR mutations appear to be at the greatest risk; in the most recent update, 8 of 16 patients with SCN and G-CSFR mutations have developed AML or MDS (10). Conversely, to date, no patients with SCN without a mutation of their G-CSFR are known to have developed AML or MDS. This striking association has lead to speculation that G-CSFR mutations may contribute to leukemogenesis in these patients.

Collectively, these studies have suggested that G-CSFR mutations may play a role in the pathogenesis of SCN and/or the development of AML/MDS in these patients. To directly test this hypothesis, we generated mice carrying a targeted “knock-in” mutation of their G-CSFR that reproduces the mutation found in a patient with SCN and AML. The effect of this mutation on granulopoiesis, response to G-CSF, and the development of AML or MDS was examined.

**Methods**

**Construction of the targeting vector.** The molecular cloning and mapping of the murine G-CSFR gene has been described previously (17). A PCR-based method was used to generate a C to T mutation within exon 17 at nucleotide 2403 (corresponding to the murine G-CSFR cDNA sequence), as described (18). A 3-kb BamHI-HindIII genomic fragment containing exons 15–17 was subcloned into pUC-9. This plasmid (p15–17) was used as the template in PCR reactions with the following oligonucleotide primer pairs: Exon 16 forward primer (5′-CCACAGTACCCTAGCCTC-3′) and exon 17 reverse mutagenesis primer (5′-AGAGGAAATTGAGACTGTTGGA-3′); exon 17 forward mutagenesis primer (5′-CCAGCTCATAGACTTCTTCGCAC-3′) and exon 17 reverse primer (5′-CCCCAAGGTCTCAGAACC-3′). The primary PCR products were purified by gel electrophoresis, annealed in a 1:1 molar ratio, and amplified with the exon 16 forward and exon 17 reverse primers listed above. The resulting product was digested with SacI and XbaI and subcloned into pBluescript II (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) as an external probe. Two out of 40 clones that had undergone homologous recombination were identified by Southern analysis of EcoRI-digested genomic DNA using a 0.4-kb EcoRI–XhoI genomic fragment (see Fig. 1 A) as an external probe. Two out of 122 G418 resistant clones were identified in this fashion, and the fidelity of the targeted mutation was confirmed by sequence analysis. C57BL/6 blastocysts were microinjected with ES cells from each of these clones and implanted into pseudopregnant Swiss Webster foster females, as described previously (19). Chimeric mice with a high percentage of agouti coat color were mated with C57BL/6 females and their offspring examined for germline transmission of the targeted mutation. The fidelity of the targeted mutation was confirmed by sequence analysis. C57BL/6 blastocysts were microinjected with ES cells from each of these clones and implanted into pseudopregnant Swiss Webster foster females, as described previously (19). Chimeric mice with a high percentage of agouti coat color were mated with C57BL/6 females and their offspring examined for germline transmission of the targeted mutation (see Fig. 1 A) along with a 2.8-kb HindIII-BamHI genomic fragment containing the 3′-flanking region of the murine G-CSFR gene were subcloned 5′ and 3′, respectively, to a 1.8-kb PGK-neo cassette to generate the targeting vector (see Fig. 1 A).

**Production of targeted G-CSFR mutant mice.** RW4 ES cells (a gift from T.J. Ley, Washington University, St. Louis, MO) were transfected with the Nsi-1-linearized targeting vector, and G418-resistant clones were isolated essentially as described (19). Clones that had undergone homologous recombination were identified by Southern analysis of EcoRI-digested genomic DNA using a 0.4-kb EcoRI–XhoI genomic fragment (see Fig. 1 A) as an external probe. Two out of 122 G418 resistant clones were identified in this fashion, and the fidelity of the targeted mutation was confirmed by sequence analysis. C57BL/6 blastocysts were microinjected with ES cells from each of these clones and implanted into pseudopregnant Swiss Webster foster females, as described previously (19). Chimeric mice with a high percentage of agouti coat color were mated with C57BL/6 females and their offspring examined for germline transmission of the targeted mutation. The fidelity of the targeted mutation was confirmed by sequence analysis. C57BL/6 blastocysts were microinjected with ES cells from each of these clones and implanted into pseudopregnant Swiss Webster foster females, as described previously (19). Chimeric mice with a high percentage of agouti coat color were mated with C57BL/6 females and their offspring examined for germline transmission of the targeted mutation (see Fig. 1 A) along with a 2.8-kb HindIII-BamHI genomic fragment containing the 3′-flanking region of the murine G-CSFR gene were subcloned 5′ and 3′, respectively, to a 1.8-kb PGK-neo cassette to generate the targeting vector (see Fig. 1 A).

**Analysis of mRNA expression.** Total RNA was prepared from bone marrow mononuclear cells using a guanidinium thiocyanate mini-prep as described (20). Approximately 1 μg of RNA was treated with DNase for 15 min and was then reverse transcribed using random primers and AMV-reverse transcriptase (Promega, Madison, WI). The cDNA was amplified using a murine G-CSFR exon 16 forward primer (5′-TTGCCACCATCATGACAGA-3′) and an exon 17 reverse primer (5′-CCCCAAGGTCTCAGAACC-3′) for 30 cycles at 98°C for 45 s, 60°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 1 min. The PCR products were purified using a PCR quick spin column (Qiagen, Chatsworth, CA), digested with EcoRI, and resolved on a 5% polyacrylamide gel.

**Peripheral blood and bone marrow analysis.** Blood was obtained by retro-orbital venous plexus sampling in polypolyene tubes containing EDTA. Complete blood counts were determined using a Bakers 9000 automated cell counter (Biochem ImmunoSystems, Plano, TX). Bone marrow was harvested by flushing both femoral bones with a minimum essential medium (α-MEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum. Manual leukocyte differentials were performed on Wright-stained blood smears or cytoplasmic preparations of bone marrow mononuclear cells.

**Flow cytometry.** Red blood cells in peripheral blood and bone marrow mononuclear cell preparations were lysed in Tris-buffered ammonium chloride (pH 7.2) buffer and incubated with the indicated antibody at 4°C for 1 h in PBS containing 0.1% sodium azide and 0.02% bovine serum albumin. The following directly conjugated antibodies were used: Phycocyanin-conjugated rat anti–mouse CD11b (M1/70, IgG2b; Pharmingen, San Diego, CA); FITC-conjugated rat anti–mouse Gr-1(RB6-8C5, IgG2b; Pharmingen), and FITC-conjugated rat anti–mouse CD11b (M1/70, IgG2b; Pharmingen). To assess surface G-CSFR expression, peripheral blood mononuclear cells were incubated at 4°C for 1 h with biotinylated G-CSF (generated as described [17]; 5 ng per 10⁶ cells) in the presence or absence of a 100-fold molar excess of nonlabeled G-CSF, followed by incubation with RPE-Cy5–conjugated streptavidin (Dako, Carpinteria, CA). All cells were analyzed using a FACSscan flow cytometer and CellQuest version 1.2.2.2 software (Becton Dickinson, Mountain, MA).

**Hematopoietic progenitor cell assays.** Bone marrow mononuclear cells were enumerated with a hemacytometer. At a 4.0–8.0×10⁶ bone marrow mononuclear cells were plated in 2.5 mL of methylcellulose media (MethoCult 3230; Stem Cell Technologies, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada) supplemented with G-CSF at 1, 10, or 100 ng/mL (Amgen, Thousand Oaks, CA) or with 2.5 mL of methylcellulose media supplemented with erythropoietin and pokeweed mitogen-stimulated murine spleen cell-conditioned medium (MethoCult 3430; Stem Cell Technologies), and placed in a humidified chamber with 5% CO₂ for 10 d. Colonies containing at least 50 cells were scored on days 7 and 10. In some experiments, individual colonies were harvested and pooled, the average colony cell number determined using a hemacytometer, and leukocyte differentials performed on Wright-stained cytoplasmic preparations.

**G-CSF administration to mice.** Recombinant human G-CSF (Amgen, Thousand Oaks, CA) was administered by daily subcutaneous injection at a dose of 10 μg/kg per day for 7 d. Peripheral blood was obtained before the first G-CSF dose and 4 h after the injection on day 5 or 7. Peripheral blood leukocyte counts were analyzed as described above. All mice receiving chronic G-CSF stimulation were injected with a subcutaneous dose of 250 μg/kg twice a week. G-CSF was diluted in PBS with 1% low endotoxin bovine serum albumin (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO).

**Apoptosis assay.** Neutrophils were purified from the bone marrow of mice using a discontinuous percoll gradient exactly as described (21). Neutrophil purity (as assessed by leukocyte differentials) was at least 70% for both wild-type and mutant preparations. Cells were suspended in α-MEM with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1 mM L-glutamine, and 10 μg/mL ciprofloxacin, and cultured at 37°C and 5% CO₂ for 48 h in the presence or absence of 100 ng/mL of G-CSF. Absolute cell numbers were determined using a hemacytometer. To assay for apoptosis, cells were washed once in binding buffer (20 mM Hepes, 132 mM NaCl, 1.2 mM potassium phosphate, 5.5 mM glucose, and 0.5% bovine serum albumin [pH 7.4]), incubated with biotin-conjugated Annexin V (NeXins Research B.V., Roermond, The Netherlands) for 30 min at 4°C, and stained with phycocyanin-conjugated streptavidin (GBHCO BRL, Gaithersburg, MD) and actinomycin D, 7-amin (7-AAD; Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA). Analyses were performed on a FACSscan flow cytometer.
Figure 1. Generation of the targeted G-CSFR mutation. (A) Targeting strategy. The genomic organization of the 3' region of the murine G-CSFR gene is shown. Coding exons are shown as black boxes and the 3' untranslated region as a hatched box. The targeting vector is shown in the middle panel. The * indicates the C→T mutation at nucleotide 2403. An EcoR1 site (E) was placed just 3' to this mutation to facilitate genotyping. Neo represents the neomycin phosphotransferase gene driven by the phosphoglycerate kinase I promoter. Note that the Neo cassette is present in the 3' untranslated region of the recombined (ML) allele (bottom). (B) Representative Southern blot analysis of EcoR1-digested genomic tail DNA isolated from the progeny of a heterozygous intercross. The location of the probe used for genotyping is shown in A. An 18- or 6-kb band is detected from the wild-type allele or mutant allele, respectively.
Figure 2. Expression of the mutant allele. (A) RT-PCR using primers that flank the mutated region was performed on RNA isolated from bone marrow mononuclear cells of mice of each genotype. Shown is an ethidium bromide–stained polyacrylamide gel of RT-PCR products after treatment with EcoR1. EcoR1 cleaves the mutant (Mt) amplicon yielding fragments of 300 and 209 bp but does not cleave the 509 bp wild-type (Wt) amplicon. Lanes 1, 3, and 5 are controls without reverse transcriptase. The faint band at 517 bp in lane 4 was not consistently seen and is of unclear significance. (B) Two-color flow cytometric analysis of peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells were incubated with Mac-1 (x-axis) and biotinylated G-CSF (y-axis) in the presence (control) or absence of a 100-fold molar excess of nonlabeled G-CSF. The difference between the control and other samples represent specific G-CSF binding. A distinct Mac-1 positive G-CSF–binding population was noted in cells isolated from mice of each genotype.
**Results**

**Generation of transgenic mice with a targeted mutation of their G-CSFR gene.** A point mutation (C→T at nucleotide 2403, based on the murine G-CSFR cDNA) was introduced into exon 17 of the G-CSFR gene using homologous recombination in ES cells (Fig. 1 A). This mutation generates a premature stop codon that leads to truncation of the carboxy-terminal 96 amino acids and reproduces the mutation found in a patient with SCN (12). Two independent targeted clones were identified by Southern blot analysis, and the fidelity of these clones was confirmed by sequence analysis of PCR-amplified DNA from this region. Mice carrying the targeted mutation were generated from one of these clones as described in the Methods section. Progeny from heterozygous intercrosses were genotyped by Southern blot analysis (Fig. 1 B). The expected numbers of heterozygous (Wt/Mt) and homozygous (Mt/Mt) mutant G-CSFR mice were obtained. These mice have normal growth, development, and fertility, and they are grossly indistinguishable from wild-type (Wt/Wt) littermates.

The mutant G-CSFR is expressed in a myeloid-specific manner. To analyze expression of the mutant allele, reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was performed on RNA isolated from bone marrow mononuclear cells (Fig. 2 A). Using primers that flank the targeted region of exons 15 and 17, an amplicon of 509 bp was obtained from both wild-type and mutant RNA. After incubation with EcoR1, only the mutant amplicon is cleaved, allowing for its identification. As expected, only mutant G-CSFR mRNA was detected in mice homozygous for the targeted G-CSFR mutation (Fig. 2 A, lane 6). With RNA isolated from heterozygous mice, similar amounts of wild-type and mutant products were detected (Fig. 2 A, lane 4). These data indicate that the presence of the neomycin phosphotransferase gene in the 3'-untranslated region of the mutant G-CSFR gene does not significantly effect mRNA expression from the mutant allele.

To determine whether the mutant G-CSFR protein was expressed on the surface of hematopoietic cells and capable of binding G-CSF, a flow cytometric method was used to detect specific binding of biotinylated G-CSF. In this assay, peripheral blood mononuclear cells were incubated with biotinylated-G-CSF and antiserum to Mac-1 (an antigen expressed on granulocytes, monocytes, and natural killer cells, but not on most lymphocytes). A distinct Mac-1 positive population of cells that specifically bound G-CSF was detected in the peripheral blood of mice of each genotype (Fig. 2 B); the slight increase in G-CSF binding seen with Wt/Mt or Mt/Mt cells was a consistent finding. Forward and side scatter characteristics of this population were consistent with that of neutrophils (data not shown). Importantly, no specific G-CSF binding was detected in the Mac-1 negative (lymphocyte) population. These data indicate that the mutant G-CSFR is expressed in a myeloid-specific fashion.

**Mice expressing the mutant G-CSFR have normal resting granulopoiesis.** Two cardinal features of SCN are neutropenia and a partial arrest of myeloid maturation (1, 2). Examination of peripheral blood at 5, 15, 25, and 35 wk of age revealed no significant differences in the white cell, red cell, or platelet count among the three genotypes (Table I). Furthermore, no significant difference in the percentage or absolute number of neutrophils in the peripheral blood was observed. The neutrophils present in Mt/Mt mice appeared morphologically normal and expressed normal amounts of Gr-1 and Mac-1 (data not shown). The number and morphology of peripheral blood eosinophils and lymphocytes in these mice also were similar (data not shown).

We next examined hematopoiesis in the bone marrow. Similar numbers of total nucleated cells were recovered from the bone marrow of mice of each genotype (Table II). The percentage and morphology of myeloid precursors was similar. Importantly, no accumulation of promyelocytes or myelocytes was noted, indicating that no block in myeloid maturation exists. Collectively, these data demonstrate that resting granulopoiesis is normal in mice expressing the mutant G-CSFR.

**G-CSFR mutant mice demonstrate an accentuated response to G-CSF in vivo.** Previous studies using immortalized cell lines have suggested that the truncated G-CSFR provides an

### Table I. Peripheral Blood Counts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Age (wk)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>WBC (×10^9/liter)</th>
<th>RBC (×10^12/liter)</th>
<th>Platelets (×10^9/liter)</th>
<th>Neutrophils (%)</th>
<th>Neutrophils (×10^9/liter)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wt/Wt</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.74±1.06</td>
<td>8.92±0.26</td>
<td>1025±33</td>
<td>10.8±1.7</td>
<td>1074±270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt/Mt</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.56±1.43</td>
<td>8.83±0.24</td>
<td>1042±58</td>
<td>13.2±3.9</td>
<td>792±130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt/Mt</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.00±1.04</td>
<td>9.01±0.19</td>
<td>980±36</td>
<td>9.1±1.2</td>
<td>876±121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt/Wt</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.60±0.60</td>
<td>9.78±0.69</td>
<td>1005±95</td>
<td>13.7±1.3</td>
<td>1054±157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt/Mt</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.90±2.20</td>
<td>9.60±0.49</td>
<td>952±77</td>
<td>9.8±1.3</td>
<td>1058±247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt/Mt</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11.10±0.70</td>
<td>10.00±0.38</td>
<td>983±66</td>
<td>10.2±1.3</td>
<td>1149±182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt/Wt</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.56±2.42</td>
<td>10.14±0.05</td>
<td>993±114</td>
<td>7.8±1.5</td>
<td>813±198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt/Mt</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13.55±3.22</td>
<td>10.06±0.50</td>
<td>986±64</td>
<td>6.4±0.9</td>
<td>884±300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt/Mt</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.60±2.22</td>
<td>10.05±0.05</td>
<td>1051±96</td>
<td>8.7±2.1</td>
<td>836±319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt/Wt</td>
<td>35–40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.48±1.86</td>
<td>10.55±0.63</td>
<td>1001±194</td>
<td>13.6±1.9</td>
<td>1200±154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt/Mt</td>
<td>35–40</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.10±1.66</td>
<td>10.41±0.19</td>
<td>1091±55</td>
<td>11.4±0.8</td>
<td>1135±190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt/Mt</td>
<td>35–40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.65±1.19</td>
<td>10.69±0.19</td>
<td>1181±39</td>
<td>8.1±1.8</td>
<td>975±255</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Manual 300-count leukocyte differentials were performed on blood smears for sex-matched mice. Data represent the mean±SEM.
enhanced proliferative signal in response to G-CSF (12, 14). To assess the response to G-CSF in vivo, five mice of each genotype were injected with human G-CSF (10 μg/kg/day) and the change in the level of circulating neutrophils measured (Fig. 3). After 7 d of treatment, Wt/Mt and Mt/Mt mice had a significantly greater fold increase from baseline in neutrophil counts compared to Wt/Wt mice (mean fold increase in neutrophil counts±SEM: Wt/Wt = 4.22±0.83; Wt/Mt = 9.56±1.90; Mt/ Mt = 30.67±7.42). Because the response to G-CSF varies significantly between the two strains of mice used to generate the mutant mice in this study (i.e., C57BL/6 and 129 SvJ), the response of syngeneic (F1 generation) mice to G-CSF was measured (22). Compared with Wt/Wt mice, syngeneic Wt/Mt mice had a significantly greater increment in their neutrophil counts after 7 d of G-CSF treatment (data not shown). These data indicate that the accentuated response to G-CSF is due to the G-CSFR mutation and not mouse strain differences.

**G-CSF-dependent suppression of apoptosis in Mt/Mt neutrophils is normal.** The accentuated response to G-CSF could be secondary to enhanced neutrophil production or prolonged neutrophil survival. To examine the latter possibility, we determined the susceptibility of neutrophils to apoptosis in serum-containing media in the presence or absence of 100 ng/mL of G-CSF (Fig. 4). Apoptosis was assessed by Annexin V binding to surface-expressed phosphatidylserine, a sensitive and early marker of apoptosis (23–25). The absolute number of viable nonapoptotic cells was determined by multiply the total cell number by the percentage of cells that were viable (7-AAD-negative) and nonapoptotic (Annexin V-negative). As expected, the majority of Wt/Wt and Mt/Mt neutrophils were nonapoptotic at the initiation of the culture. After 24 h in media without added G-CSF, a substantial decrease in the absolute number of nonapoptotic cells was observed in cultures of both Wt/Wt and Mt/Mt neutrophils (percentage of viable nonapoptotic cells present in culture relative to baseline [mean±SEM]: Wt/Wt = 37±6%, Mt/Mt = 41±8%). In cultures supplemented with G-CSF, apoptosis was suppressed to a similar degree (percentage of viable nonapoptotic cells present in cul-

ture relative to baseline [mean±SEM]: Wt/Wt = 67±13%, Mt/Mt = 72±06%). Likewise, the number of nonapoptotic cells present after 48 h (∆G-CSF) was similar for cultures of Wt/Wt and Mt/Mt neutrophils (data not shown). These data indicate that in vitro survival and G-CSF-dependent suppression of apoptosis are normal in Mt/Mt neutrophils.

**G-CSFR mutant mice have increased numbers of G-CSF-responsive progenitors in their bone marrow.** To explore possible mechanisms for the increased response to G-CSF in vivo, the response of hematopoietic cells to G-CSF in vitro was examined. In methylcellulose cultures stimulated with pokeweed mitogen-stimulated spleen-conditioned media (a “cocktail” of cytokines that stimulates primarily myeloid progenitors), a similar number of colonies were detected, suggesting that the total number of myeloid progenitors in the bone marrow of these mice was similar (Fig. 5 A). In contrast, an increased number of G-CSF–responsive progenitor cells were detected in cultures of Wt/Mt or Mt/Mt cells compared with Wt/Wt cells (Fig. 5 B). Interestingly, no difference in the dose response of these progenitors to G-CSF was observed. Colony-forming cells granulocyte (CFU-G) progenitors have a limited proliferative capacity and colonies derived from these cells typically begin to degenerate after 7–10 d of culture. Examination of cultures on day 10 revealed that, compared to Wt/Wt colonies, colonies derived from Wt/Mt and Mt/Mt progenitors were, on average, larger and showed fewer signs of degeneration. In

**Table II. Bone Marrow Analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nucleated cell type</th>
<th>Wt/Wt (%±SEM)</th>
<th>Wt/Mt (%±SEM)</th>
<th>Mt/Mt (%±SEM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total nucleated cells per femur (×10^6)</td>
<td>19.4±5.0</td>
<td>19.3±2.7</td>
<td>18.1±2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band and segmented neutrophil</td>
<td>28.2±2.7</td>
<td>24.4±1.6</td>
<td>33.7±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metamyelocyte neutrophil</td>
<td>13.6±0.9</td>
<td>13±2.2</td>
<td>14.5±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myelocyte neutrophil</td>
<td>6.6±1.1</td>
<td>5.8±0.6</td>
<td>7.6±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promyelocytes</td>
<td>2.4±0.4</td>
<td>2.5±0.4</td>
<td>2.2±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myeloblasts</td>
<td>0.1±0.0</td>
<td>0.2±0.1</td>
<td>0.0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophil lineage</td>
<td>2.4±0.4</td>
<td>2.7±0.3</td>
<td>3.3±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoid lineage</td>
<td>18.1±1.3</td>
<td>18.6±2.1</td>
<td>13.6±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythroid lineage</td>
<td>28.5±2.8</td>
<td>32.6±2.1</td>
<td>25.1±1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myeloid/erythroid ratio</td>
<td>2.9±0.3</td>
<td>2.5±0.2</td>
<td>3.5±0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cell counts and 500-count manual leukocyte differentials were performed on mononuclear cells recovered from the femurs of 5–7 mice of each genotype. Wt/Wt, Wt/Mt, and Mt/Mt refer to wild-type, heterozygous, and homozgyous mutant mice, respectively. Data represent the mean±SEM.

**Figure 3.** In vivo peripheral blood neutrophil response to G-CSF. Five age and sex-matched wild-type (Wt/Wt), heterozygous (Wt/Mt), or homozgyous mutant (Mt/Mt) mice were injected subcutaneously with human G-CSF (10 μg/kg/day) for 7 d. Complete blood counts and 300-count manual leukocyte differentials were performed before the first injection and 4 h after the injections on day 5 or 7. The fold-increase in the absolute neutrophil counts (ANC) from baseline is shown. Data represent the mean±SEM. *P < .05 compared with Wt/Wt mice. †P < .05 compared with Wt/Mt mice.
agreement with this observation, the average number of cells per colony on day 10 of culture was 1,400, 2,300, or 2,100 for Wt/Wt, Wt/Mt, or Mt/Mt, respectively. Cytological examination of G-CSF–stimulated colonies on day 10 demonstrated a similar percentage of mature neutrophils: 41, 44, or 41% for Wt/Wt, Wt/Mt, or Mt/Mt, respectively. These data demonstrate that the absolute number of G-CSF–responsive progenitors in the bone marrow of mice carrying the targeted G-CSFR mutation is increased and suggest that these progenitors have an increased proliferative response to G-CSF.

No cases of AML or MDS have been detected in G-CSFR mutant mice. A prominent feature of SCN is the high rate of MDS or AML in those patients who have G-CSFR mutations (2, 10). To determine if expression of the truncated G-CSFR by itself is leukemogenic, a tumor watch was established. A total of 40 Wt/Mt and 40 Mt/Mt mice, along with 36 Wt/Wt mice as controls, have been followed for a median of 7 mo (range 4–10 mo) with periodic peripheral blood analysis. To date, no cases of AML or MDS have been observed, and in fact, no alteration of peripheral blood counts has been detected (Table I).

Discussion

Mutations of the G-CSFR have been hypothesized to play a role in the pathogenesis of SCN and/or the development of AML or MDS. To directly test this hypothesis, we generated mice that carry a targeted mutation of their G-CSFR that reproduces the mutation found in a patient with SCN and AML. Mice carrying this mutant G-CSFR gene are viable, with normal growth and development. The mutant G-CSFR protein is present at the cell surface, binds G-CSF, and is expressed in a myeloid-specific fashion. Surprisingly, mice heterozygous or homozygous for this mutation have normal levels of circulating neutrophils and no evidence for a block in myeloid maturation, indicating that resting granulopoiesis is normal. In fact, in response to G-CSF treatment, these mice demonstrate a significantly greater fold increase in the level of circulating neutrophils. Despite this evidence for a hyperproliferative response to G-CSF, no cases of AML have been detected to date.

The role of G-CSFR mutations in the pathogenesis of SCN is unclear. Point mutations that cluster in a very narrow range (nucleotides 2,384–2,429) of the G-CSFR gene occur in ~25% of patients with SCN (10–13). Interestingly, these mutations

Figure 4. G-CSF–mediated suppression of neutrophil apoptosis. Neutrophils were isolated from the bone marrow and cultured in the presence or absence of 100 ng/mL of G-CSF. Cells were harvested at the initiation of culture (baseline) or after 24 h of culture and incubated with Annexin V and 7-AAD. Apoptotic cells stain only with Annexin V (lower right quadrant), whereas nonviable cells are positive for both 7-AAD and Annexin V (upper right quadrant). The percentage of viable nonapoptotic (7-AAD-negative/Annexin V-negative) cells is shown. Forward and side scatter characteristics were used to gate on the neutrophil population (not shown). Shown are representative results of one of four experiments.
appear to be unique to SCN as they have not yet been reported in other neutropenic syndromes (0 of 18 tested) or in healthy subjects (0 of 30 tested) (10, 26, 27). These mutations all result in the generation of a premature stop codon that leads to a truncation of the distal cytoplasmic region of the G-CSFR (10–13). In vitro studies have suggested the presence of two functional domains of the cytoplasmic region of the G-CSFR. The membrane proximal cytoplasmic region has been shown to be necessary and sufficient for the generation of proliferative signals (14–16). The distal cytoplasmic region (the area deleted in SCN-related G-CSFR mutations) has been implicated in maturation signaling (14–16). Indeed, expression of the truncated G-CSFR in a myeloid cell line blocked G-CSF-dependent granulocytic differentiation even in the presence of wild-type receptor (12, 14). These data have led to the hypothesis that the G-CSFR mutations may play a role in the pathogenesis of SCN. However, a recent study of two unrelated families with SCN showed that G-CSFR mutations were acquired and did not effect the degree of neutropenia or response to G-CSF (13).

In the current study, we show that mice homozygous or heterozygous for the mutated G-CSFR have normal levels of circulating neutrophils and no evidence of a block in myeloid maturation. Interestingly, Hermans et al. recently reported that mice carrying a similar mutation of the G-CSFR were mildly neutropenic (28). The reasons for the difference in the resting level of circulating neutrophils in these two mutant mouse lines are unclear but may include differences in the targeting strategy and strain of mice used. Nonetheless, in neither study was the cardinal feature of SCN, severe neutropenia with a block in myeloid maturation, observed. These data therefore provide further strong evidence that the G-CSFR mutations are not sufficient to induce an SCN phenotype.

The role of G-CSFR signals in the terminal differentiation of myeloid precursors to neutrophils is controversial. Several in vitro studies have suggested that the distal cytoplasmic region of the G-CSFR is required for the granulocytic differentiation of certain myeloid cell lines (12, 14, 15). In contrast, mice lacking any functional G-CSFR protein still produce mature neutrophils, albeit at a reduced level (17, 29). The study of G-CSFR–deficient mice demonstrated that the G-CSFR was not required for the terminal differentiation of neutrophils but did not rule out a role for G-CSFR signals in normal granulocytic differentiation or function. In fact, we recently have discovered that neutrophils isolated from G-CSFR–deficient mice have significant functional defects suggesting that the G-CSFR is providing nonredundant signals essential for mature neutrophil function (our manuscript in preparation). In the present study, we show that mice expressing only the mutant G-CSFR have normal levels of circulating mature-appearing neutrophils and that G-CSF–dependent production of mature neutrophils from progenitor cells in vitro is normal. These data suggest that the distal cytoplasmic region of the G-CSFR deleted in patients with SCN is not required for the G-CSF–stimulated terminal differentiation of neutrophils. This region comprises ~ 50% of the cytoplasmic tail (including three of four tyrosines) and has been shown to be required for the activation of SHC and the JNK/SAPK pathway (30–32). The complete biological implications of the loss of this region and its signaling pathways are yet to be determined. Studies are currently underway to examine the effect of this mutation on mature neutrophil function.

In this study, we show that mice expressing a truncated G-CSFR demonstrate a significantly greater increase in the level of circulating neutrophils in response to G-CSF than
wild-type mice. This accentuated response could either be due to a prolonging of neutrophil survival or increased neutrophil production. Recent studies of the effects of exogenous G-CSF on normal hosts have failed to detect an effect on neutrophil survival (33, 34). Furthermore, we show that the survival and G-CSF-dependent suppression of apoptosis of mutant neutrophils in vitro is normal. Although indirect, these data provide evidence against a significant prolongation of neutrophil survival in vivo in mutant mice. The most dramatic increase in neutrophil counts occurred relatively late (Fig. 3, compare data on day 5 with day 7) and is consistent with the stimulation of a progenitor population (33, 35). Consistent with this conclusion, both the absolute number of G-CSF-responsive progenitors in the bone marrow of G-CSFR mutant mice and their proliferative response to G-CSF in vitro was increased. Interestingly, the Mt/Mt mice had a significantly greater peripheral neutrophil response to G-CSF than Wt/Mt mice, yet the absolute number of CFU-G in the bone marrow of these mice was similar. This observation suggests that other mechanisms besides an expanded pool of CFU-G may be contributing to the accentuated response to G-CSF in vivo.

The molecular mechanism(s) responsible for the increased proliferative response of the truncated G-CSFR is currently not known. Recently, mutations of the erythropoietin receptor that lead to the deletion of the carboxy-terminal tail have been described in patients with familial erythrocytosis (36–39). Progenitors expressing this truncated erythropoietin receptor are hypersensitive to erythropoietin and demonstrate a defect in hematopoietic cell phosphatase (HCP) activation (36, 37). Interestingly, bone marrow cells isolated from viable moth eaten mice that express reduced amounts of functional HCP, demonstrate an increased proliferative response to both G-CSF and erythropoietin (40, 41). However, in contrast to the erythropoietin receptor, a direct interaction between HCP and the G-CSFR has not been demonstrated (40). Whether HCP activation is altered by the G-CSFR mutation will require further study. More recently, a report has suggested the importance of a di-leucine motif (within the deleted portion of the G-CSFR) for receptor internalization (42). In this regard, it is interesting to note the modest, but reproducible, increase in G-CSF binding by neutrophils expressing the mutant G-CSFR. Further studies will be required to determine whether impaired receptor internalization contributes to the hyperproliferative response.

Patients with SCN and a mutation of their G-CSFR appear to be at much greater risk for developing AML than patients without G-CSFR mutations (2, 10). In fact, no patient with SCN but without a G-CSFR mutation is known to have developed AML. It has been speculated that the uncoupling of maturation from proliferative signals by the truncated G-CSFR may contribute to leukemogenesis. As discussed above, we have evidence that the truncated receptor is associated with an increased proliferative response to G-CSF in vivo. To determine whether this hyperproliferative phenotype is associated with the development of myeloproliferative disease, we established a tumor watch. With a median follow-up of over 7 mo, no cases of AML or MDS have been identified in mice carrying this mutation. In addition, no cases of AML or MDS have been detected in mice chronically treated with G-CSF (median duration of G-CSF treatment is 6 mo); a clinically relevant result as there has been some concern that G-CSF treatment may alter the incidence of AML in patients with SCN. Although preliminary, these data suggest that the G-CSFR mutation is not sufficient to induce AML or MDS in mice. However, these data do not exclude a role for G-CSFR mutations in the development of AML or MDS in patients with SCN. Of note, only 1 out of 58 patients with de novo AML and 0 of 29 patients with chronic myelogenous leukemia in blast crisis have been found to have a similar mutation, suggesting an unique association between SCN and G-CSFR mutations (26, 27). It is possible that the combination of a G-CSFR mutation and the (currently unknown) genetic mutation responsible for SCN are required to substantially increase the risk of developing AML or MDS.

In summary, these data demonstrate that the G-CSFR mutation found in patients with SCN is not sufficient to induce an SCN phenotype in mice. This result provides strong evidence that these mutations are not responsible for the impaired granulopoiesis present in patients with SCN. In fact, the results of this study suggest that expression of the mutant G-CSFR on myeloid progenitors may render them hyper-responsive to G-CSF. Whether this altered G-CSF-responsiveness contributes to the development of AML and/or MDS in these patients will require further study.
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