Blocking aggrecanase cleavage in the aggrecan interglobular domain abrogates cartilage erosion and promotes cartilage repair
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Aggrecan loss from cartilage in arthritis is mediated by aggrecanases. Aggrecanases cleave aggrecan preferentially in the chondroitin sulfate–2 (CS-2) domain and secondarily at the E373↓374A bond in the interglobular domain (IGD). However, IGD cleavage may be more deleterious for cartilage biomechanics because it releases the entire CS-containing portion of aggrecan. Recent studies identifying aggrecanase-2 (ADAMTS-5) as the predominant aggrecanase in mouse cartilage have not distinguished aggrecanolysis in the IGD from aggrecanolysis in the CS-2 domain. We generated aggrecan knockin mice with a mutation that rendered only the IGD resistant to aggrecanases in order to assess the contribution of this specific cleavage to cartilage pathology. The knockin mice were viable and fertile. Aggrecanase cleavage in the aggrecan IGD was not detected in knockin mouse cartilage in situ nor following digestion with ADAMTS-5 or treatment of cartilage explant cultures with IL-1α. Blocking cleavage in the IGD not only diminished aggrecan loss and cartilage erosion in surgically induced osteoarthritis and a model of inflammatory arthritis, but appeared to stimulate cartilage repair following acute inflammation. We conclude that blocking aggrecanolysis in the aggrecan IGD alone protects against cartilage erosion and may potentiate cartilage repair.

Introduction
In joint pathology, the loss of aggrecan from articular cartilage is a proteolytic process driven predominantly by aggrecanases. One aggrecanase cleavage site is located in the interglobular domain (IGD) near the N terminus, and 4 other sites are located in the chondroitin sulfate–2 (CS-2) domain at the C terminus. Aggrecanase was first identified as a novel activity that cleaves the aggrecan core protein at the E373↓374A bond in the IGD (1–3), and its activity was simultaneously identified in synovial fluids from osteoarthritic joint injury and inflammatory joint disease patients (4, 5). The aggrecanases are members of the ADAMTS (a disintegrin and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin motifs) family and are designated aggrecanase-1 (ADAMTS-4; ref. 6) and aggrecanase-2 (ADAMTS-5; ref. 7). ADAMTS enzymes contain a zinc-dependent catalytic domain consensus sequence, HExx-HxxGxxH, where H (histidine) represents strictly conserved zinc ligands. There is a conserved methionine residue located approximately 20 amino acids C-terminal to the third histidine, which forms a met-turn. Following the catalytic domain, ADAMTS enzymes have a disintegrin domain, 1 or more thrombospondin motifs, a cysteine-rich domain, and a spacer domain of variable length (8–10). ADAMTS-5 has recently been identified as the major aggrecanase in mouse cartilage (11, 12).

Cleavage at the E373↓374A bond is considered the signature activity of the aggrecanases, not only because it was the site first characterized, but also because the ITEGE373 neoepitope has been widely reported in humans and animals both in vitro and in vivo (8, 10, 13, 14). However, cleavage at E373↓374A is not the preferred action of these enzymes. Results of in vitro studies with human recombinant ADAMTS-4 (15) and ADAMTS-5 (16) have shown that they preferentially cleave aggrecan in the CS-2 domain. The 2 most preferred cleavage sites in bovine aggrecan are at KEEE1666↓1677GLGS and GELE1480↓1493GRGT. Subsequently, further cleavages can occur at TAEQ1771↓1772AGEG and VSQE1871↓1873LGQR in the CS-2 region and at ITEGE373↓374ARGSV in the IGD. A similar pattern of cleavage site preferences is seen in the rat chondrosarcoma (17).

Although cleavage occurs preferentially in the CS-2 domain, it only releases a proportion of the glycosaminoglycan chains essential for weight bearing and may therefore be less critical for the functional and biomechanical properties of cartilage. Conversely, cleavage in the IGD releases the entire glycosaminoglycan-containing (CS and keratan sulfate) portion of aggrecan and may have the most deleterious effects. Because few experiments to test these tenets have been done, the significance of regional aggrecanolysis in the core protein remains unclear. In vitro testing of cartilage explants in confined compression experiments has shown that cleavage in the IGD correlates with a loss of mechanical properties, whereas cleavage at sites in the CS-2 domain do not appear to affect biomechanics (18). There have been no in vivo studies to date.
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We and others recently examined mice deficient in ADAMTS-5 activity and showed that ablation of ADAMTS-5 activity protects against aggrecan loss and cartilage erosion in inflammatory (12) and noninflammatory (11) models of arthritis. Thus ADAMTS-5 appears to be the major aggrecanase in the mouse, and specific inhibitors of ADAMTS-5 activity are in development for cartilage-preserving therapeutics (19, 20). However, in vivo studies with ADAMTS-5 deficient mice (11, 12) did not distinguish between aggrecanolyis in the IGD, and aggrecanolyis at the preferred sites in the CS-2 domain.

In the present study, we generated genetically modified mice with a mutation in the aggrecan core protein that rendered the IGD resistant to aggrecanases. These mice, which resist aggrecanase cleavage at the E374ALG to 374NVY introduced a unique AflIII restriction site in exon 7 (Figure 1C). For routine genotyping, genomic DNA was extracted from tail biopsies and analyzed by PCR amplification of a 758-bp cDNA fragment. AflIII digestion yielded 623- and 135-bp fragments from Jaffa DNA and 758-, 623-, and 135-bp fragments from heterozygous DNA, although the 135-bp fragment was not routinely detected. Only the undigested, 758-bp fragment was detected in wild-type DNA lacking the AflIII restriction site (Figure 1D). Heterozygous breeding pairs produced normal-sized litters with the expected ratio of homozygous wild-type and Jaffa pups (28% and 24%, respectively, from 202 pups in 35 litters), with no significant difference in growth or DIPEN.

We created C57BL/6 aggrecan knockin mice with a targeted mutation in exon 7. The mutation changed amino acids 374ALGS to 374NVYS and was designed to eliminate aggrecanase cleavage at the E373↓374A bond in vitro (21) and in vivo. Mice homozygous for the mutation were designated Jaffa mice, and their genotypes were confirmed by Southern blotting of genomic DNA. An aggrecan cDNA probe detected BamHI fragments corresponding to the wild-type (9.3 kb) and Jaffa (4.9 kb) alleles (Figure 1A). After stripping the filters, a neo cDNA probe detected a 1.6-kb fragment in mice bearing the mutation (Figure 1B). The mutation changing amino acids 374ALG to 374NVY introduced a unique AflIII restriction site in exon 7 (Figure 1C). For routine genotyping, genomic DNA was extracted from tail biopsies and analyzed by PCR amplification of a 758-bp cDNA fragment. AflIII digestion yielded 623- and 135-bp fragments from Jaffa DNA and 758-, 623-, and 135-bp fragments from heterozygous DNA, although the 135-bp fragment was not routinely detected. Only the undigested, 758-bp fragment was detected in wild-type DNA lacking the AflIII restriction site (Figure 1D). Heterozygous breeding pairs produced normal-sized litters with the expected ratio of homozygous wild-type and Jaffa pups (28% and 24%, respectively, from 202 pups in 35 litters), with no significant difference in growth.

**Figure 1**

**Mutation detection by Southern blotting and PCR.** (A) Southern blotting of genomic DNA detected 9.3- and 4.9-kb BamHI fragments in wild-type and Jaffa alleles, respectively. (B) After stripping, a 1.6-kb fragment was detected in Jaffa DNA on the same filter probed with a neo cDNA probe. (C) The mutation that changed amino acids 374ALG to 374NVY introduced a unique AflIII restriction site in exon 7. (D) A 758-bp PCR fragment amplified from wild-type DNA was resistant to AflIII digestion. AflIII digestion of the PCR fragment amplified from Jaffa (J) and heterozygote (Het) DNA yielded 623-bp and 135-bp fragments, although the 135-bp fragment was rarely visible on the gels.

**Figure 2**

**G1 fragments in Jaffa and wild-type cartilage extracts.** (A) The domain structure of the aggrecan core protein, with 2 N-terminal globular domains, G1 and G2, and a C-terminal G3 domain. The extended region between G2 and G3 is heavily substituted with CS chains (wavy lines). Aggrecanase and MMP cleavage site sequences in the mouse IGD and CS-2 domains are shown, and amino acids that are different in human aggrecan are shown above. Numbering corresponds with the mouse sequence. (B) Cartilage extracts from wild-type and Jaffa mice were analyzed for VTEGE373 or DIPEN341 neoepitopes. (C) Dialyzed extracts of equal cartilage wet weight from wild-type and Jaffa mice were digested with or without recombinant human ADAMTS-5 and analyzed for the VTEGE373 and 374ALGSV neoepitopes. Stripping and reprobing the membranes with monoclonal antibody 2B6 confirmed sample loading in each lane (data not shown).
rates (data not shown). Homozygous Jaffa breeders were fertile and had litters of normal size and gender distribution.

**Jaffa aggrecan is resistant to ADAMTS cleavage in the IGD.** Neoepitope antibodies recognize newly created N- or C-terminal sequences on polypeptide fragments generated by proteolysis, but fail to recognize the same sequence of amino acids in the intact core protein (13, 22). Neoepitope antibodies can therefore be used to identify products of specific cleavage events, and antibodies against the major aggrecan neoepitopes generated by aggrecanases and MMPs (Figure 2A) have been well characterized (12, 23, 24). We used neoepitope Western blotting to confirm that aggrecan from Jaffa cartilage was resistant to aggrecanase cleavage in the IGD. The VTEGE373neoepitope was readily detected in extracts of wild-type cartilage but absent from Jaffa cartilage (Figure 2B), indicating that no in vivo cleavage occurred at the aggrecanase site in Jaffa mice. The amount of DIPEN341 neoepitope generated by MMP cleavage in the IGD was the same in wild-type and Jaffa mice (Figure 2B), indicating that in vivo, MMPs do not compensate for the lack of aggrecanase cleavage in Jaffa.

To further confirm that Jaffa aggrecan was resistant to aggrecanase cleavage in the IGD, dialyzed cartilage extracts were incubated with recombinant ADAMTS-5 and analyzed for aggrecanase neoepitopes. The VTEGE373 and ALGSVneoepitopes were readily detected in wild-type aggrecan but were not generated by ADAMTS-5 digestion of Jaffa aggrecan (Figure 2C). This result confirms that the IGD mutation confers resistance to aggrecanases.

**Skeletal growth and cartilage architecture are normal in Jaffa mice.** Homozygous Jaffa mice were phenotypically normal. Standard histological analysis of major nonskeletal tissues (heart, brain, kidney, and lung) with H&E staining failed to reveal any morphological differences between Jaffa mice and wild-type controls (data not shown). Furthermore, there was no difference in the skeletal morphology of Jaffa mice compared with wild-type mice at any age between E16.5 and 12 months. Representative proximal tibial growth plates stained with H&E or toluidine blue from Jaffa and wild-type mice at birth, 12 days, and 3, 6, 8, and 13 weeks are shown in Figure 3, A and B. There were no detectable differences between the genotypes at any age. The width of tibial growth plates, chondrocyte distribution, and chondrocyte morphology did not vary with genotype, and there was no apparent accumulation of aggrecan, as assessed by toluidine blue staining. The normal architecture of the Jaffa growth plate strongly suggests that aggrecanase cleavage in the IGD is not required for aggrecan resorption during endochondral ossification or skeletal growth.

We postulated that aggrecan turnover might have been reduced in Jaffa mice, leading to aggrecan accumulation in Jaffa cartilage. However, the aggrecan content in femoral heads from 3- to 9-week-old wild type and Jaffa mice was 24.7 ± 1.1 and 24.6 ± 1.7 μg sulfated glycosaminoglycan/mg tissue wet wt (mean ± SD), respectively. This result shows that blocking aggrecanase cleavage in the IGD did not lead to aggrecan accumulation in developing or mature cartilage and was consistent with the uniformity of the toluidine blue staining in Figure 3B.

To eliminate the possibility that an accumulation of aggrecan in Jaffa mice might not be detected in the 1,9-dimethylmethylene blue assay if Jaffa aggrecan was substantially undersulfated, we analyzed the Δ-disaccharides of CS by fluorophore-assisted carbohydrate electrophoresis. We found that in the cartilage of 10-day-old mice, the Δ-disaccharide of CS-4 (Δdi-4S) was the predominant species, whereas Δdi-0S was present in low amounts, Δdi-6S and Δdi-2S were undetectable (data not shown). The content of Δdi-4S and Δdi-0S in Jaffa and wild-type cartilage was the same, indicating that there was no difference in the type or extent of sul-
which the IGD sequence DIPEN cleavage by MMPs (25). Further demonstrating that there was no accumulation of aggreganolytic (Figure 4). The amount of aggregan released from cleavage in the CS-2 domain even though cleavage in the IGD is consistent with the fact that glycosaminoglycan-containing fragments continue to be released from Jaffa cartilage by aggregcanase cleavage in the CS-2 domain even though cleavage in the IGD is blocked. The aggregan loss induced by IL-1α treatment was mediated by aggregcanases and generated VTEGE373 neoepitope in wild-type–cartilage, whereas no VTEGE373 fragments were created in Jaffa cartilage (Figure 4B). There was no compensatory increase in the DIPEN341 neoepitope in Jaffa cartilage (data not shown).

These in vitro results confirmed that the aggregcan knockin mutation blocked aggreganolytic in the IGD, and Figure 4A shows that as predicted, the extent of aggregan release from cartilage was partly, but not fully, diminished. Next, to assess the in vivo consequence of blocking aggreganolytic in the IGD, we induced experimental arthritis in mice using inflammatory and noninflammatory models. For these experiments we included a strain of C57BL/6 mouse that is resistant to IGD cleavage at the MMP cleavage site for comparison. Like the Jaffa mouse, the Chloe mouse is phenotypically normal (25).

Osteoarthritis-like cartilage lesions are less severe in Jaffa mice. As previously reported, destabilization of the medial meniscus (DMM) in mice causes progressive osteoarthritis-like disease with focal aggregan loss and structural damage to articular cartilage with little or no synovial inflammation (26). The model produces focal lesions, and analyses are done by serial sections across the entire width of the joint. Serial sections from any 1 joint showed variations in lesion severity, as demonstrated by the representative mild, moderate, and severe lesions from wild-type mice shown in Figure 5, A–C. Because the lesions were focal and variable, the results are presented as the sum of scores for all sections in order to account for both the severity and the spread of the lesion. We found that cartilage damage in the tibia was more severe and more consistent than it was in the femur; therefore, only changes in the tibia are reported here.

Four weeks after surgical destabilization, the extent of aggregan loss from wild-type and Chloe cartilage was similar, but aggregan loss from Jaffa cartilage was significantly less (31%–45%; P < 0.05 for all comparisons). By 8 weeks, aggregan loss had progressed in all strains (P < 0.01 for all comparisons) such that there was no difference between Jaffa and wild-type cartilage (data not shown). These results suggest that Jaffa is protected against aggregan loss at early but not late time points in this model.

The most interesting results were the differences seen in structural damage to Jaffa, Chloe, and wild-type cartilage (Figure 5D). By 4 weeks, the structural damage to wild-type and Chloe cartilage was similar; however, the extent of structural damage to Jaffa cartilage was approximately 40% less, suggesting that the Jaffa mouse was protected from overt cartilage degeneration at this time point.

Figure 4
Aggregcan degradation in vitro. (A) Aggregcan release from wild-type (white bars) and Jaffa (gray bars) cartilage cultured with or without 10 ng/ml IL-1α (n = 8–10 per treatment). Results are mean ± SEM. The dotted line shows the level of baseline release. ***P < 0.001. (B) Cartilage extracts from wild-type and Jaffa mice with or without IL-1α treatment were analyzed by Western blot. Membranes were immunologically localized for VTEGE373 neoepitope.

Figure 5
DMM model in wild-type and aggregcan knockin mice. (A–C) Representative toluidine blue/fast green–stained sagittal sections of medial femorotibial joints from wild-type mice demonstrating mild (A), moderate (B), and severe lesions (C). Scale bar: 200 μm. (D) Sum of scores for tibial cartilage structural damage (mean ± SEM) in the medial tibial plateau at 4 or 8 weeks after surgery in wild-type (n = 12 [4 wk], 11 [8 wk]; open bars), Chloe (n = 13 [4 wk], 11 [8 wk]; black bars), and Jaffa (n = 11 [4 wk], 8 [8 wk]; gray bars) joints after DMM induction. **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.
By 8 weeks, protection in Jaffa cartilage was more pronounced, with the extent of damage 52% and 67% less than in wild-type and Chloe cartilage, respectively (P < 0.01 for all comparisons). Overall, these results demonstrate that Jaffa is protected against the progressive osteoarthritis-like degeneration of articular cartilage following DMM surgery. There were no differences in the proportion of TUNEL-positive cells at 4 or 8 weeks after DMM.

Cartilage erosions in inflammatory arthritis are less severe in Jaffa mice. We next compared cartilage pathology using antigen-induced arthritis (AIA), a model of monoarticular inflammatory disease. Initially we monitored early, acute disease and found that synovitis and exudation into the joint cavity were similar in all genotypes on days 1, 3, and 5 (data not shown). This was not surprising, as the knockin mutation was not predicted to affect inflammation. Instead we predicted that aggrecan loss might have been reduced in Jaffa mice at early time points because ADAMTS-5-deficient mice were protected against aggrecan loss at day 3 in the AIA model (12). We found that aggrecan loss from wild-type, Chloe, and Jaffa cartilage increased progressively during the acute phase of the disease and that aggrecan loss from Jaffa cartilage was indeed less than that from wild-type and Chloe cartilage on days 1, 3, and 5; the difference between Jaffa and wild-type cartilage was significant on days 1 and 5 (P < 0.05; Figure 6A).

After the first-week inflammatory flare in the AIA model, inflammation gradually subsides, but cartilage damage persists (27). To examine this chronic aspect of AIA, we culled mice on days 7 and 28. In all genotypes, inflammatory synovitis and exudate decreased significantly between day 7 and day 28 (P < 0.001 for all comparisons; data not shown). Aggrecan loss from wild-type and Chloe cartilage did not improve between day 7 and day 28, even though inflammation was significantly resolved by day 28. However, Jaffa cartilage was protected against aggrecan loss at day 28. Aggrecan loss in Jaffa cartilage was significantly less at day 28 than at day 7 (P = 0.005), and Jaffa cartilage at day 28 was significantly less than wild-type cartilage at day 28 (P = 0.001; Figure 6B).

The most striking and important differences seen in the AIA model were in cartilage erosion (Figure 6C). Mild erosion was seen in all genotypes by day 7. By day 28, cartilage erosion had worsened in wild-type (37% increase) and Chloe (86% increase), but had not progressed further in Jaffa (10% decrease). Thus cartilage erosion in Jaffa mice at day 28 was significantly less than that in wild-type (P = 0.03) and Chloe mice (P = 0.005; Figure 6C), indicating that the Jaffa mouse is protected against progressive cartilage damage in this model.

The progressive erosion seen in wild-type cartilage between days 7 and 28 (Figure 6C) was associated with a decreased proportion of TUNEL-positive cells (49% at day 7 compared with 9% at day 28) and an increased proportion of empty lacunae in noncalcified cartilage (20% at day 7 compared with 77% at day 28), indicating progressive cell death in wild-type cartilage. Conversely, the proportions of TUNEL-positive cells and empty lacunae in Jaffa cartilage did not change between days 7 and 28; the proportion of empty lacunae in Jaffa cartilage was 28% on day 7 and 42% on day 28. Because Jaffa cartilage had less aggrecan loss, less cartilage erosion, and less empty chondrocyte lacunae, the TUNEL staining that persisted in Jaffa (44% at day 7 compared with 39% at day 28) might reflect DNA repair (28) leading to improved matrix repair and cell survival.

Jaffa cartilage attempts to repair. Many joints from the AIA model examined at day 28 were completely devoid of toluidine blue staining in the noncalcified articular cartilage (Figure 7, A and D, asterisks). This suggests that the extensive aggrecan loss incurred in AIA by day 7 was maintained, a result either of lack of aggrecan synthesis or of failure to retain newly synthesized aggrecan. However, in other joints examined at the same time point, there was evidence of marked toluidine blue staining in pericellular regions (Figure 7C, arrowheads) as well as areas of more extensive staining into the interterritorial matrix (Figure 7, E and F). Areas of restored aggrecan staining were most prominent in femoral, rather than tibial, cartilage (Figure 7, B, C, E, and F). This result is strongly indicative of an attempt at repair, because at day 7, joints from mice in all genotypes showed widespread lack of toluidine blue staining with little or no pericellular or interterritorial staining.

When restoration of cartilage aggrecan (i.e., repair) was quantitated from AIA histological sections, there was a marked improvement in Jaffa mice (12%; Figure 7G), but no improvement in Chloe or wild-type mice. The repair score in Jaffa mice was significantly different from that of both wild-type and Chloe mice (P < 0.05) and suggests that blocking aggrecanolysis at the IGD may potentiate cartilage repair.

Discussion

In this study we have characterized, and challenged, genetically modified mice whose aggrecan is resistant to proteolysis by aggrecanases in the IGD. The mutation was verified by Southern blotting, sequencing, and restriction enzyme digestion, and the consequent changes to the amino acid sequence were confirmed by analysis of aggrecan catabolites. The VTEGE73 neoeptiote, used as a marker of aggrecanase cleavage in the IGD, was not detected in...
direct extracts of Jaffa cartilage, nor was it possible to generate this epitope ex vivo by ADAMTS-5 digestion of aggrecan. In addition to the aggrecanases, MMP-8 (29, 30) and MMP-14 (31) can generate the VTEGE373 neoepitope in vitro. The absence of the VTEGE373 fragment we observed in Jaffa mice indicates that mutant aggrecan is not susceptible to cleavage at VTEGE373 ↓TVYSV by aggrecanases, MMP-8, MMP-14, or other proteinases in vivo.

The Jaffa mice developed normally with no detectable skeletal or growth plate abnormalities, consistent with previous analyses of ADAMTS-1–, ADAMTS-4–, and ADAMTS-5–deficient mice, which also lack a skeletal phenotype (11, 12, 26, 32). Similarly, mice resistant to MMP cleavage in the IGD develop normally, with no skeletal deformities (25). Despite expression of ADAMTS-1 (25, 32) and ADAMTS-4 (11, 26, 33) in the mouse growth plate and immunodetection of ITEGE373 neoepitope in rat (33, 34) and VTEGE373 neoepitope in mouse growth plate (11, 26), the phenotype of the unchallenged Jaffa mouse suggests that aggrecanases are not essential for aggrecan turnover during endochondral ossification. Collectively, our results from the present and previous studies (12, 25, 32) strongly indicate that proteolysis by aggrecanases or MMPs in the IGD is not required for growth plate remodeling in development.

Other proteinases that might have a role in growth plate aggrecanolyis are m-calpain and the cathepsins. The calcium-dependent cysteine proteinase m-calpain cleaves aggrecan in vitro (35, 36), and fragments consistent with m-calpain cleavage are present in extracts of mature bovine cartilage (36). Whether m-calpain is involved in growth plate aggrecanolysis in vivo has not been investigated. Several cathepsins are expressed by hypertrophic chondrocytes (37, 38), and in vitro, cathepsins B and D cleave at G↓344 ↓345TV (39, 40) and F↓342 ↓343F (41), respectively, in the aggrecan IGD. Fragments derived from cathepsin cleavage sites have not been detected, and their in vivo relevance has therefore not been confirmed. Cathepsin L–deficient mice have impaired bone development (42); however, the chondrocytic zones of the growth plate are indistinguishable between wild-type and mutant mice, and the deficiency is therefore thought to arise from abnormalities in osteoclast number and function.

In addition to proteinases, there may be nonproteolytic mechanisms for aggrecanolyis in cartilage (43), particularly in epiphyseal cartilages (44, 45). Hyaluronidases that depolymerize hyaluronan also effectively depolymerize the aggrecan aggregate. This is consistent with early electron microscopy studies reporting that aggregate size is reduced in calcifying (late hypertrophic) cartilage (46, 47). It is also consistent with recent studies that have identified release of intact, large–molecular weight aggrecan from fetal cartilage, with no evidence of IGD cleavage (45). Sztrоловics et al. have shown that hyaluronan released from bovine fetal cartilage explants following stimulation with interleukin-1β or retinoic acid is smaller than the hyaluronan released from unstimulated cultures (43). These results suggest that when fetal chondrocytes are stimulated to degrade their matrix, they do so partly by depolymerizing hyaluronan. The precise mechanisms within the growth plate are not known, but hyaluronan can be degraded by extracellular hyaluronidases (48) and by reactive oxygen radicals (49).

ADAMTS-5–deficient mice are protected against aggrecan loss and cartilage erosion in experimental arthritis (11, 12). ADAMTS-5 cleaves preferentially at several sites in the CS-2 domain (15, 17), and CS-2 sites as well as IGD sites are thought to be blocked in ADAMTS-5–deficient mice. IGD cleavage would liberate fragments carrying all the CS chains and is therefore thought to have the most detrimental effects on cartilage function; however, this has not been proved in vivo. Based on the consensus Ser-Gly dipeptide motif for CS attachment, 51% or 39% of CS chains would be lost by cleavage at the most N-terminal site in the CS-2 domain in mice (SELE↓1545 ↓1546GR; Figure 2A) or humans (SELE↓1545 ↓1546GR), respectively, if cleavage was blocked in the IGD. We
found that ablating IGD cleavage in Jaffa reduced aggrecan loss by approximately 41%, consistent with this estimation and with the fact that CS chains in the CS-1 domain (Figure 2A) are not lost in the absence of IGD cleavage.

The present study has examined the in vivo consequences of blocking ADAMTS cleavage at the IGD site only; we found that blocking cleavage at this site was sufficient to confer significant protection against aggrecan loss and cartilage erosion. At this point in time it is not possible to directly compare arthritis models of ADAMTS-5–deficient mice and Jaffa mice because the animals are on different genetic backgrounds. Genetic backgrounds have a still to be identified. Thus, another important outcome of our genetic backgrounds in order to make direct comparisons in the model in ADAMTS-5–deficient mice (11) and confirms that the previously published for the ADAMTS-5–deficient mice (more point in time it is not possible to directly compare arthritis models it would not be surprising if there were other cartilage substrates study is confirmation that the in vivo protection conferred in the order to achieve a degree of cartilage protection equivalent to that This result is consistent with the protective effect seen in the same model in ADAMTS-5–deficient mice (11) and confirms that the chondroprotection observed in the previous study was primarily a result of inhibition of aggrecan cleavage. The most significant differences between wild-type and Jaffa mice were in the sum of scores rather than the severity of the worst lesion, which suggests that whereas focal cartilage damage induced by altered biomechanics still occurs in Jaffa mice, spread of the osteoarthritis-like pathology in the cartilage is curtailed.

In AIA, there was protection against early aggrecan loss during the onset of inflammation (days 1–5), indicating that aggrecanases have a significant role in this phase of the disease. Thereafter, aggrecan loss progressed in all genotypes until day 7, when the extent of inflammation was maximal and the extent of aggrecan loss was the same in each genotype. It is likely that other proteinases including MMPs, neutrophil enzymes, and oxygen-derived free radicals play an increasingly important role as inflammation escalates. By day 7, any protection conferred in Jaffa is likely to be overwhelmed by these alternative mechanisms. Conversely, as inflammation subsides, aggrecanase activity may resume prominence relative to other activities, so that by day 28 aggrecan loss in wild-type and Chloe cartilage is further increased compared with Jaffa cartilage, which begins instead to show signs of matrix repair and aggrecan accumulation.

Cartilage damage in the AIA model is progressive and irreparable (27). We have scored cartilage repair using a method that reflects the percent improvement in aggrecan accumulation at day 28 following total loss of aggrecan from noncalcified cartilage at day 7. The scores for wild-type mice showed that they failed to improve, which supports the previous finding of Stoop et al. that there is no significant cartilage repair in this model (27). However, our results with Jaffa mice showed that inhibiting aggrecanase cleavage in the IGD led to a 12% increase in the repair score at day 28. We have yet to explore the precise mechanism of how this is achieved in vivo, but it is likely to reflect the imbalance between aggrecan synthesis/retention and aggrecan degradation. In Jaffa mice, the balance is most likely tipped in favor of retention because aggrecanolyisis is reduced. When Jaffa chondrocytes commence new matrix synthesis, aggrecan may accumulate faster because the extent of loss was not as great as it was in wild-type cartilage. It is possible that all genotypes achieve some level of repair given sufficient time, but the rate at which repair is initiated and then continued might be greater in Jaffa mice. Other studies on the changing composition of cartilage matrix found that whereas large aggrecan fragments have a tissue half-life of approximately 3 years, the free N-terminal globular domain of aggrecan, with no CS chains, survives in cartilage with an extraordinary long half-life of 20–25 years (55, 56). It is therefore possible that partly degraded Jaffa aggrecan, with its reduced number of CS chains, has a longer half-life than wild-type aggrecan and thus accumulates more quickly. Furthermore, chondrocytes respond to mechanical deformation by upregulating expression of proteinases (57, 58). Because aggrecan is replaced pericellularly, it is possible that partly degraded Jaffa aggrecan accumulates more quickly than fully degraded wild-type aggrecan and that Jaffa cartilages may consequently be more effective at reestablishing a pericellular matrix that buffers chondrocytes against mechanically transduced signals that promote proteinase expression.

Finally, because aggrecan is thought to protect cartilage collagen from proteolysis (59), the Jaffa mutation may confer protection against both aggrecan loss and collagen II degradation. Reducing both aggrecan and collagen resorption might contribute to the reduced erosion seen in Jaffa mice and potentiate longer-term cartilage repair. In future experiments we will extend the length of the recovery period to determine whether there is even greater potential for cartilage repair in Jaffa mice with time.

Our results demonstrated that inhibiting aggrecanase cleavage in the IGD alone, without inhibiting aggrecanase cleavage in the CS-2 domain, was sufficient to significantly protect against cartilage degradation in both inflammatory and noninflammatory arthritis. Blocking aggrecanase cleavage in the IGD delayed aggrecanolyisis in the acute phase of inflammatory arthritis and accelerated cartilage repair once inflammation subsided. Our results suggest that aggrecanase cleavage in the IGD is a critical determinant of cartilage pathology, whereas cleavage in the CS-2 domain might not contribute to acute cartilage pathology following injury or inflammation, but might instead be a component of C-terminal processing during normal maturation and aging (60).

**Methods**

*Generation of mice resistant to aggrecanase cleavage in the aggrecan IGD. Heterozygous C57BL/6 aggrecan knockin mice with a targeted mutation in exon 7 were generated under contract by Ozgene and screened by Southern*
products of aggrecanase cleavage in cartilage explant culture. Neopitope antibodies recognize the newly created N or C termini of proteinases present in the intact protein. Neopitope antibodies are therefore markers of proteolytic fragments, but fail to recognize the same sequence of amino acids present in the intact protein. Neopitope antibodies are therefore markers of cleavage by specific families of proteinases. The rabbit polyclonal neopitope antibodies used in this study were anti-IITEG373 (62) and anti-34ALGSV (12), which recognize the products of aggrecanase cleavage in the IGD, and anti-DIPEN141, which recognizes a product of MMP cleavage in the IGD (24). The anti-IITEG373 antibody was immunoactive with the mouse sequence containing valine in place of isoleucine at position 369 (369VITEG373). HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies were from Dako, and enhanced chemiluminescence kits were from Amersham.

The glycosaminoglycan composition of extracted aggrecan was analyzed by fluorophore-assisted carbohydrate electrophoresis as described previously (63). Briefly, femoral heads were digested overnight with proteinase K at 60°C. After digestion with chondroitinase ABC, Δ-disaccharides were collected in the filtrate of MicroCon 3 filter devices (Millipore), freeze-dried, and resuspended in 5 μl of the fluorophore aminoacridone. Aliquots of standard CS Δ-disaccharides (Seikagaku Corp.) were prepared at the same time. The samples and standards were incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes, after which 5 μl of 1 M cyanoborohydride was added, and fluorotagging proceeded for 16 hours at 37°C. The samples were analyzed by electrophoresis on high-percentage polyacrylamide Monogels (Prozyme) or stored at ~70°C. Fluorescently labeled Δ-disaccharides were visualized on a Bio-Rad Chemidoc XRS system, and the mass of each fluorotagged species was quantitated using Quantity One software (version 4.5.0; Bio-Rad).

Mouse model of noninflammatory osteoarthritis. A unilateral model of noninflammatory osteoarthritis (DMM) was induced by microsurgical transection of the anterior medial meniscotibial ligament in the right knee of 8- to 10-week-old mice, as described previously (11, 26). Briefly, the ligament was exposed through a medial parapatellar arthrotomy with the aid of a dissecting microscope. The patella was luxated laterally, the anterior fat pad dissected, and the ligament elevated and severed using curved dissecting forceps. Complete ligament transection was confirmed by the ability to manually displace the medial meniscus. Bleeding was controlled throughout surgery, and the cartilage was kept moist with sterile saline. After repositioning the patella, the joint was flushed with sterile saline and the incision closed in 3 layers with continuous 8/0 Vicryl in the joint capsule, continuous 8/0 Vicryl subcutaneously, and tissue glue for the skin. Animals were housed in groups and allowed unrestricted access to an exercise wheel 3 days after surgery.

Mice were culled 4 or 8 weeks after surgery, and their right knees were processed for histology. Studies have demonstrated no difference between unoperated and sham-operated knees and no changes in the lateral joint compartment following DMM in wild-type C57BL/6 mice (C.B. Little, unpublished observations). Serial sagittal 6-μm sections spanning the entire width of the medial femorotibial joint were cut and mounted (3 sections per slide), and every third slide was stained with toluidine blue/fast green. A previously published scoring system (11, 26) was modified to separately score structural damage (0, normal cartilage; 1, roughened articular surface and small fibrillations; 2, fibrillation to immediately below the superficial layer or some loss of surface lamina; 3, horizontal cracks/separation between calcified and noncalcified cartilage or fibrillation/clefts to calcified cartilage but no loss of noncalcified cartilage; 4, mild loss of noncalcified cartilage [<10% surface area]; 5, moderate loss of noncalcified cartilage [10%–50% surface area]; 6, severe loss of noncalcified cartilage [>50% surface area]; 7, erosion of cartilage to subchondral bone [any percent of surface area]) and aggrecan loss (0, normal cartilage; 1, decreased but not complete loss of toluidine blue staining in noncalcified cartilage; 2, focal loss of toluidine blue staining in noncalcified cartilage [30%–50% surface area]; 3, diffuse loss of toluidine blue staining in noncalcified cartilage [>50% surface area]). The mean of (a) the worst scores and (b) the sum of scores (sum of all sections in which a positive score was noted) from 2 observers blinded to genotype was calculated. The cumulative score takes into account the surface area of cartilage affected in the joint (11, 26). Data were analyzed using Mann-Whitney U test for unpaired nonparametric data. The proportion of apoptotic cells in representative sections from wild-type
and Jaffa mice was determined by TUNEL staining (ApopTag; Chemicon) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. TUNEL-positive cells were expressed as a percentage of total (TUNEL-positive plus TUNEL-negative plus empty lacunae) in the noncalcified cartilage of the femur and tibia.

**Mouse model of AIA.** AIA was induced in knee joints of 8- to 9-week-old mice using the AIA model as described previously (12, 32, 65). Briefly, animals received an intradermal injection of 100 μg methylated BSA (mBSA) in 100 μl of Freund’s complete adjuvant at 2 sites near the base of the tail on day 0 and day 14. After 7–10 days, intra-articular mBSA (10 μl of 20 mg/ml mBSA in 0.9% sterile saline or vehicle alone) was administered into the left and right knees of the mice. Animals were killed on days 3, 5, 7, and 28 days after intra-articular injection, and their knee joints were processed and stained with toluidine blue/fast green. Sagittal 6-μm sections through the central weight-bearing region of the medial femorotibial joint were scored by 4 observers blinded to the treatment and genotype. Sections were scored for synovitis (0–3), synovial eduate (0–3), aggrecan loss (0–3), cartilage erosion (0–3), and bone erosion (0–3). The means of the 4 observers’ scores for each section were calculated and compared using Mann-Whitney U test for unpaired nonparametric data. The proportion of apoptotic cells in representative sections from wild-type and Jaffa mice was determined by TUNEL staining as described above.

**Quantitating cartilage repair in inflammatory arthritis.** To quantitate cartilage repair in the AIA model, the individual day-28 aggrecan loss score for each mouse was divided by the mean score for day-7 aggrecan loss for the appropriate genotype. The cartilage repair score at day 28 was calculated by subtracting the number from 100: positive scores indicate percent improvement, and negative scores indicate the percent by which the score worsened, compared with day 7.

**Statistics.** Differences in the release of aggrecan into the medium associated with genotype and treatment were assessed using 2-factor ANOVA and Fisher’s post-hoc analysis. Unpaired nonparametric data for parameters scored in the AIA and DMM models were analyzed using Mann-Whitney U test. All data were analyzed using the StatView software package for Macintosh (StatView 5.0; SAS Institute Inc.). P values of 0.05 or less were considered statistically significant.
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