Plasmacytoid dendritic cells induce NK cell–dependent, tumor antigen–specific T cell cross-priming and tumor regression in mice
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A prerequisite for strong adaptive antiviral immunity is the robust initial activation of the innate immune system, which is frequently mediated by TLR-activated plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs). Natural antitumor immunity is often comparatively weak, potentially due to the lack of TLR-mediated activation signals within the tumor microenvironment. To assess whether pDCs are capable of directly facilitating effective antitumor immune responses, mice bearing established subcutaneous B16 melanomas were administered TLR9-activated pDCs directly into the tumor. We found that TLR9-activated pDCs induced robust, spontaneous CTL cross-priming against multiple B16 tumor antigens, leading to the regression of both treated tumors and untreated tumors at distant contralateral sites. This T cell cross-priming was mediated by conventional DCs (cDCs) and was completely dependent upon the early recruitment and activation of NK cells at the tumor site. NK cell recruitment was mediated by CCR5 via chemokines secreted by pDCs, and optimal IFN-γ production by NK cells was mediated by OX40L expressed by pDCs. Our data thus demonstrated that activated pDCs are capable of initiating effective and systemic antitumor immunity through the orchestration of an immune cascade involving the sequential activation of NK cells, cDCs, and CD8+ T cells.

Introduction

Accumulating evidence suggests that the generation of potent adaptive immunity is dependent on the initial stimulation of a strong innate immune response (1, 2). Pathogen exposure generates robust T cell–mediated immune responses largely through the recognition of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) by innate immune cells. Tumors by nature are not foreign and do not express PAMPs. Thus, they do not effectively activate innate immunity, and potent tumor-specific T cell responses are usually not detected in cancer patients. A promising approach to improving antitumor immunity involves the application of exogenous PAMPs to boost innate immune cell activation that will subsequently trigger potent adaptive immune responses.

Many viral pathogens stimulate innate immunity through the direct activation of plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs). During viral infection, pDCs can be activated through PAMP receptors TLR7 and TLR9 to produce very high amounts of type I IFNs that, in turn, can activate monocytes, NK cells, T cells, and B cells (3–8). IFN-α secretion by pDCs is also known to activate conventional DCs (cDCs) to prime virus-specific T cells (5, 9). Thus, pDC activation early in viral infection is a crucial first step in orchestrating appropriate innate immune cell activation and the adaptive antiviral immune response that follows.

pDCs have also been implicated in the triggering of T cell–mediated autoimmunity. In patients with SLE, pDCs induce autoimmune manifestations by activating cDCs to trigger T cell responses, as well as by promoting differentiation of B cells into Ab-secreting plasma cells (6, 10). They also play a prominent role in psoriasis, where they initiate T cell–mediated immune destruction of skin cells (11).

Although pDC involvement has been clearly linked to viral immune responses and autoimmunity, it is unclear what potential impact pDCs may have in the context of initiation of antitumor immune responses. We demonstrate here within the context of an aggressive B16 mouse melanoma tumor treatment model that activated pDCs are indeed capable of initiating the orchestration of an immune cascade involving the sequential activation of NK cells, cDCs, and CD8+ T cells, ultimately culminating in effective antitumor immunity. These results provide novel insights into the mechanisms by which pDCs can bridge the gap between the innate and adaptive arms of the immune system to generate effective antitumor immune responses.

Results

Activated pDCs induce strong antitumor activity in vivo requiring CD8+ T cells. pDCs, largely through the TLR-mediated secretion of Type I IFNs, play a critical role in initiating the generation of adaptive antiviral immune responses. To determine whether pDCs are capable of triggering antitumor activity in vivo, we used murine B16 melanoma, an aggressive tumor with low immunogenicity, as a tumor model. Highly purified pDCs were generated from bone marrow of mice receiving a single injection of plasmid DNA encoding Flt3L, as described previously (12). Mice were inoculated subcutaneously with B16 tumor cells, and established tumors were treated 7 days later with a single intratumoral (i.t.) injection of CpG-activated or resting pDCs.

Treatment of mice with activated but not resting pDCs or saline led to a significant antitumor response (Figure 1A), with concomi-
Activated pDC administration induces systemic antitumor activity requiring CD8+ T cells. Mice bearing 7-day, established subcutaneous B16 tumors were treated by i.t. injection with CpG-activated pDCs, resting pDCs, or saline. Depicted are (A) tumor growth (*P = 0.043 for pDC/CpG versus pDC) and (B) mouse survival as monitored over time following treatment (**P = 0.02 for pDC/CpG versus pDC). (C) Mice bearing subcutaneous B16 tumors in both flanks were treated by i.t. pDC injection in only 1 tumor. Graph depicts growth of untreated, contralateral tumors over time following treatment with CpG-activated or resting pDCs (P = 0.021 for pDC/CpG versus pDC). (D) Mice bearing single subcutaneous B16 tumors were treated as described in the setting of Ab-mediated CD4+ T cell or CD8+ T cell depletion. Graph depicts tumor growth over time following treatment (**P = 0.009 for pDC/CpG versus pDC/CpG with CD8 depletion). Data shown are expressed as mean ± SEM and are representative of 2 to 3 independent experiments with similar results.

Figure 1

Activated pDCs induce robust cross-priming and tumor infiltration of antigen-specific CTLs. Five days following administration of activated pDCs, histological analysis of treated B16 tumors showed a large degree of infiltration by CD8+ T cells (Figure 2A). By contrast, little T cell infiltration was observed following treatment of mice with resting pDCs or saline, further supporting the notion that CD8+ T cells were mediating the antitumor response. To determine whether tumor-infiltrating T cells were specific for tumor-associated antigens, mice bearing B16 tumors transfected with OVA were given activated pDC treatment. Five days later, pDC-injected tumors were analyzed for numbers of infiltrating OVA257-264-specific T cells by tetramer staining. Strikingly, in activated pDC-treated tumors, OVA tetramer-positive cells comprised more than 40% of the total tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (Figure 2B). Notably, in untreated contralateral tumors, OVA257-264-specific cells still made up greater than 10% of total tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. By contrast, treatment with resting pDCs or saline induced very few tumor antigen-specific CTLs (Figure 2B). Collectively, these results demonstrate that activated pDC administration can induce robust cross-priming of tumor antigen-specific T cells, leading to systemic infiltration of both treated and distant tumor sites.

The functional capacity of cross-primed CD8+ T cells was also examined by stimulating splenocytes harvested from B16-OVA tumor-bearing mice at treatment day 5. These splenocytes were restimulated with or without OVA257-264 peptide, and IFN-γ production was quantitated by intracellular staining. As shown in Figure 2C, administration of activated pDCs led to over 60-fold more functional OVA257-264-specific T cells compared with treatment with resting pDCs. Although pDCs were extensively washed before injection, we next sought to eliminate the possibility that contaminating CpG was responsible for the induction of OVA-specific CTL response in our study. To this end, we injected mice i.t. with 1 μg of CpG (a 50-fold higher dose than the possible maximum contaminated CpG in our procedure) or CpG-preincubated NK cells. As shown in Supplemental Figure 2, only mice receiving CpG-activated pDCs showed significantly increased OVA-specific CTL responses as compared with unstimulated pDCs. By contrast, mice receiving the same number of CpG-preincubated NK cells or direct injection of...
of i.t. CpG showed relatively few OVA-specific CTLs, indicating that the vast majority of CTLs we observed in our study with activated pDCs were not induced by contaminating CpG.

Although OVA-specific T cell responses may accurately model tumor neoantigens, many tumor antigens are self antigens and are therefore subject to stricter immunological tolerance mechanisms. Furthermore, spontaneous cross-priming against OVA is relatively robust and may not be representative of most tumor antigens. To assess whether pDC-induced cross-priming could also be generated to other natural tumor antigens, we repeated the cross-priming study using native B16 tumors. In this setting, we found that activated pDCs could induce a strong CTL response against a natural, endogenous retroviral envelope protein, p15E, expressed by B16 as well as several other murine tumors (Figure 2C) (13). Thus, these data demonstrate that activated pDC treatment can induce cross-priming of multiple CTL specificities, not only against neoantigens like OVA, but also against natural, tumor self antigens.

Activated tumor antigen–positive cDCs mediate T cell cross-priming in tumor DLNs following pDC administration. Although pDCs have recently been shown to be capable of presenting exogenous antigens in vitro (14), studies performed in vivo have shown activated pDCs were capable of priming CD8+ T cell responses against endogenous but not exogenous antigens (15). We next set out to determine which type of APC was responsible for cross-priming tumor antigen–specific T cells in our model. A dynamic analysis of the T cell response over time following i.t. pDC administration showed that OVA-specific T cells initially appeared in the tumor draining LNs (DLN) at day 3 to day 4, followed approximately 1 to 2 days later by T cell infiltration of spleen and tumor (data not shown).

To determine whether pDCs or endogenous cDCs were responsible for cross-priming T cells in tumor DLN, tumor-bearing mice were treated with pDCs, and DLN and non-DLN were harvested 16 to 32 hours after injection. As shown in Figure 3A, tumor DLN from mice receiving activated pDCs were dramatically increased in size compared with those from mice receiving resting pDCs or saline. The absolute numbers of cDCs, but not pDCs, in tumor DLN was also increased approximately 3-fold as compared with those of control mice (Figure 3B). Furthermore, DLN isolated from activated pDC-treated mice displayed more CD86hi-expressing cDCs as compared with control group (Figure 3C and Supplemental Figure 3A). By contrast, the numbers and activation status of cDCs and pDCs remained unchanged in non-DLN (data not shown).

To examine whether pDCs or endogenous cDCs were responsible for directly inducing T cell cross-priming in DLN through the uptake and cross-presentation of tumor-associated antigens, we repeated the experiment using B16-OVA-GFP tumor–bearing mice were given i.t. pDC or saline injection. (A) Five days later tumor sections were stained for CD8+ T cells. Original magnification, ×100. (B) OVA-specific T cell infiltration of pDC-injected and un.injected tumors, as determined by OVA-tetramer staining. (C) Flow-cytometric analysis of intracellular IFN-γ production by splenic CD8+ T cells with no peptide, OVA peptide, or p15E peptide restimulation.
T cells, we sorted cDC (CD11c+ B220 DX5 CD19 CD3+) and pDC (CD11c− B220 DX5 CD19 CD3−) populations and separately cocultured these cells with OVA-specific OT-I T cells. As shown in Figure 3E, only ex vivo–isolated cDCs, but not pDCs, induced IFN-γ production by OT-I T cells. These results suggested that cDCs were responsible for acquiring and processing tumor-associated antigens and cross-priming tumor antigen–specific T cells.

Early NK cell tumor infiltration is critical for pDC-mediated T cell cross-priming and antitumor activity. The presence of tumor antigens within cDCs isolated from tumor DLN and generation of tumor antigen–specific CTLs implied that early tumor cell lysis had occurred, liberating antigens for uptake by APCs. As IFN-α is known to activate NK cell cytotoxicity (5, 16), we next examined whether NK cells played a role in tumor cell lysis at early time points in our pDC treatment model. Histological analysis of B16 tumors following administration of activated pDCs showed a dramatic infiltration of NK cells by day 2 (Figure 4A). By contrast, no such infiltration was seen following treatment of mice with nonactivated pDCs or saline. Along with increased NK cell numbers, tumor-infiltrating NK cells also demonstrated a more activated phenotype, as assessed by expression of the activation marker CD69 (Figure 4B). This activation of tumor-infiltrating NK cells by activated pDCs was not impaired by CD4 or CD8 depletion, further confirming that the Ab depletion likely had a minimal impact on injected pDCs (Supplemental Figure 4).

To determine whether tumor infiltration by activated NK cells was important for initiating subsequent T cell responses and antitumor activity, mice were depleted of NK cells prior to and during pDC treatment. As shown in Figure 4C, mice receiving NK cell depletion demonstrated a dramatic reduction in tumor-infiltrating CD8+ T cells, suggesting that NK cells were crucial for mediating the pDC-induced CD8+ T cell responses. Supporting this notion, NK cell depletion also completely abrogated cross-priming of functional OVA-specific and p15-specific T cells (Figure 4D). Furthermore, pDC treatment was rendered completely ineffective in inhibiting tumor growth when NK cells were depleted, demonstrating that the antitumor immune response was dependent on NK cells (Figure 4E).

NK cell recruitment is driven by CCR5 chemokine ligands produced by activated pDCs. The rapid influx of NK cells into tumor tissues following injection of activated pDCs suggested that pDCs may directly induce NK cell accumulation at the tumor site. To explore this hypothesis further, established B16 tumors were harvested 2 days following pDC injection and analyzed by flow cytometry. As shown in Figure 5A, injection of activated pDCs increased the percentage of NK cells within the tumor microenvironment, approximately 5- to 10-fold compared with mice injected with resting pDCs or saline. To determine whether increased NK cell accumulation was due to enhanced proliferation at the tumor site, an in situ BrdU incorporation experiment was performed. These experiments, however, could not detect significant proliferation of NK cells within the tumor microenvironment, indicating that NK cell accumulation in the tumors was likely not due to in situ NK cell proliferation (data not shown).

To further dissect the mechanism of NK cell i.t. accumulation, we next examined whether enhanced NK cell chemotaxis to tumor sites was induced by activated pDCs. To this end, we evaluated chemokine gene transcription by activated pDCs and the corresponding chemokine receptor genes expressed by NK cells using microarray analyses. Among a large panel of chemokines tested we found that, compared with resting pDCs, CpG-activated pDCs expressed high levels of chemokines CCL3, CCL4, and CCL5 as well as, to a lesser extent, CXCL10 (Figure 5B). Expression of these chemokines was also validated by measurement of chemokine protein levels in cell supernatants from activated pDCs. Consistent with the microarray results, substantial amounts of CCL3,
CCL4, and CCL5 proteins were detectable in supernatants of CpG-activated pDCs (Figure 5C).

Since CCL3, CCL4, and CCL5 all bind to the chemokine receptor CCR5, we next examined whether NK cell migration into pDC-treated tumors was reduced in CCR5−/− mice. B16-bearing CCR5−/− or wild-type mice were treated with activated pDCs, and the frequencies of tumor-infiltrating NK cells were evaluated. As shown in Figure 5D, CCR5−/− mice showed approximately 4-fold fewer tumor-infiltrating NK cells compared with wild-type mice, suggesting that CCR5 likely plays a key role in pDC-mediated chemotaxis of NK cells into tumors.

Activated pDCs stimulate NK cell cytolytic function through cytokine-mediated mechanisms. Since NK cells recruited to pDC-injected tumors displayed an activated phenotype, we next explored whether pDCs could activate NK cell effector function directly, and if so, what mechanisms were involved. To investigate this, we first examined the ability of pDCs to induce NK cell cytolytic activity in vitro. Coculture of NK cells with activated pDCs, but not resting pDCs, induced strong NK cell cytotoxic activity against both YAC-1 and B16 tumor cell targets (Figure 6, A and B). Addition of mAbs specific for IFN-α/β but not of IL-12 or TNF-α abolished the ability of pDCs to stimulate NK cell cytotoxicity (Figure 6C). Moreover, addition of exogenous IFN-α/β to NK cell cultures mimicked the effects of activated pDCs, and separation of pDCs and NK cells by a transwell membrane did not diminish the cytolytic activity (Figure 6C). This finding was also confirmed in vivo, where NK cells exposed to activated pDCs demonstrated increased cytolytic activity (Figure 6D). Taken together, these data suggest that type I
IFNs produced by activated pDCs are responsible for the observed enhanced NK cell cytotoxicity.

OX40-OX40L interactions promote cell contact–dependent induction of IFN-γ secretion by NK cells. We next investigated the mechanisms by which activated pDCs augment another major NK cell effector function, inflammatory cytokine secretion. Freshly isolated NK cells were cocultured with pDCs in the presence or absence of CpG activation, and IFN-γ secretion was assessed by ELISA. As shown in Supplemental Figure 5A, in the presence of activated pDCs, NK cells produced much higher levels of IFN-γ compared with NK cells alone plus CpG, or NK cells cocultured with resting pDCs. Intracellular flow cytometry confirmed that the IFN-γ was produced by NK cells rather than by activated pDCs (data not shown).

To further define the mechanism by which activated pDCs induced IFN-γ release by NK cells, the coculture experiment was repeated in the presence of a panel of neutralizing Abs. As shown in Supplemental Figure 5A, blocking IFN-α/β and IL-12 only partially blocked the ability of activated pDCs to induce IFN-γ production by NK cells. Interestingly, separation of NK cells and activated pDCs by a transwell membrane even more strikingly inhibited this ability, suggesting that pDCs use additional cell contact–dependent mechanisms to elicit IFN-γ production by NK cells (Supplemental Figure 5A). Blocking experiments using
mAbs against several candidate NK cell surface markers, including NK1.1, NKG2D, and CD244, demonstrated that these molecules were likely not involved.

To further explore the molecular mechanisms mediating the cell contact–dependent production of IFN-γ by NK cells, we analyzed our microarray gene expression profile for candidate molecules specifically upregulated on the cell surface of pDCs upon CpG activation. In contrast to resting pDCs, CpG stimulation induced pDCs to upregulate the expression of several members of the TNF superfamily, including OX40L, 4-1BBL, and TRAIL. We therefore screened these molecules for their ability to mediate cell contact–dependent activation of NK cells by measuring IFN-γ production using either neutralizing Abs or pDCs from specific knockout mice. Among all the molecules assessed, OX40L appeared to be the most promising candidate, as its expression was significantly upregulated on activated pDCs, and corresponding expression of OX40 was also detected on NK cells (Supplemental Figure 5B). Indeed, NK cells activated by pDCs from OX40L−/− mice showed significantly impaired IFN-γ production compared with NK cells activated by wild-type pDCs (Supplemental Figure 5C). OX40L-deficient pDCs were found to secrete similar levels of cytokines IL-12, TNF-α, and IFN-α to those produced by wild-type pDCs in response to CpG activation (data not shown). Combined blockade of interactions with the use of OX40L-deficient pDCs and IFN-α/β-neutralizing Abs resulted in an even greater reduction in IFN-γ production by NK cells, approximately 5-fold less than that elicited by wild-type pDCs. This additive effect suggests that pDCs may promote NK cell cytokine secretion through distinct activation pathways.

Perforin and IFN-γ play critical roles in pDC-mediated, NK cell–dependent T cell cross-priming. To further address the molecular mechanisms behind the pDC-mediated antitumor immune response, we next asked whether perforin or IFN-γ were important for NK cell–dependent CTL cross-priming in our model. Activated pDC treatment was therefore given to IFN-γ−/− or perforin−/− mice bearing B16-OVA tumors, and 5 days following pDC injection the percentage of OVA-tetramer–positive CD8+ T cells was evaluated in the spleen. As shown in Figure 7, T cell cross-priming of OVA-specific T cells was completely abrogated in both perforin−/− mice and IFN-γ−/− mice. Collectively, these results suggest that perforin and IFN-γ, likely produced by NK cells, both play critical roles in pDC-mediated, NK cell–dependent T cell cross-priming.

Discussion
The immune system is largely successful at controlling or eliminating viral infections but is comparatively ineffective at preventing the outgrowth of tumors or eliminating metastatic deposits. A critical difference between antiviral immune responses and antitumor responses is the recognition of PAMPs by innate immune cells through TLRs. TLR-activated pDCs at sites of viral infection serve to activate multiple other immune cell subsets and to initiate the adaptive immune responses that follow (17, 18). Several groups have reported that activated pDCs can strongly enhance the ability of cDCs to initiate antiviral CTL responses, but it has remained unclear whether pDCs can elicit effective antitumor
immunologic responses by facilitating spontaneous T cell cross-priming against tumor-associated antigens.

This study sought to address this question using an in vivo tumor model. Our data reveal that activated pDCs can indeed orchestrate specific and systemic adaptive antitumor immune responses against B16 tumors and do so by inducing the rapid recruitment and activation of NK cells into the tumor microenvironment that, in turn, leads to subsequent cross-priming of tumor antigen–specific CTLs (Figure 8).

While pDC–NK cell interactions in vivo remain largely uncharacterized, crosstalk between cDCs and NK cells has been well documented (19–21). NK cell activation by cDCs is known to involve signals from both soluble cytokines and direct cell-to-cell contact (21–26). While this also appears to be true for pDC-mediated NK cell activation, the molecular interactions mediating this effect are quite different. In contrast to cDCs, pDC-mediated induction of NK cell cytolytic activity appeared to be mostly dependent on soluble Type I IFNs, with cell-to-cell contact crucial for inducing maximal NK cell cytokine production. Although NKG2D–NKG2D ligand interactions are important for NK cell activation by cDCs (26), this molecular interaction did not seem to be involved in pDC-mediated NK cell activation. By contrast, CCR5–CXCR5 interactions appeared to play a significant role in the induction of IFN-γ secretion from NK cells by activated pDCs. However, since blocking CCR5–CXCR5 interactions did not completely abrogate IFN-γ secretion, additional yet undefined mechanisms are likely to be involved.

Consistent with previous studies, we found that pDCs produce large amounts of chemokines CCL3, CCL4, and CCL5 upon stimulation with CpG (Figure 5) (4, 27). NK cell recruitment to pDC-injected sites is likely to be mediated through those chemokines interacting with CCR5, as NK cells from CCR5−/− mice showed a severe impairment in the ability to migrate to tumors injected with activated pDCs. Within the tumor microenvironment, activated NK cells may also secrete CCL3, CCL4, and CCL5, therefore constituting a positive loop to recruit more NK cells (24). Interestingly, chemokines CCL3, CCL4, and CCL5 are also known to induce the migration of activated memory T cells as well as monocytes (28, 29). The potential role of those cells in the generation of pDC-mediated antitumor immunity will require further characterization.

Two to three days following the recruitment of NK cells into the tumor microenvironment, cross-priming of tumor antigen–specific CD8+ T cells could be detected in tumor DLN. Notably, this was exquisitely dependent upon NK cells, as NK cell depletion completely abrogated cross-priming (Figure 4), as did removal of perforin and IFN-γ (Figure 7). Activated NK cells may facilitate the generation of adaptive T cell–mediated antitumor immunity through several potential mechanisms: (a) NK cell–induced tumor lysis could liberate tumor antigens that are subsequently taken up by cDCs, processed and cross-presented in order to prime antigen-specific CTLs. (b) NK cells can regulate cDC activation by enhancing their ability to produce proinflammatory cytokines and to elicit tumor-specific T cell responses (30–33). (c) IFN-γ upregulates MHC class I and class II expression in many tissues, including tumor cells and cDCs, possibly enhancing both direct and cross-presentation of tumor antigens (34). (d) IFN-γ produced by NK cells may directly stimulate CTL generation and helper T cell responses (35).

Although it has been shown that pDCs are capable of cross-presenting exogenous antigens in vitro, it remains an open question whether pDCs are able to effectively cross-prime T cells in vivo (14, 36). Our data suggest that endogenous cDCs, not pDCs, migrating from the tumor site to tumor DLN likely act as the crucial intermediate APC type, bridging the gap between NK cell recruitment to the tumor site and T cell cross-priming in the tumor DLN (Figure 8). This is supported by several observations: (a) Only CD11c+ cDCs isolated from B16-OVA-GFP tumor DLN following activated pDC injection carried antigen from the tumor site. (b) cDCs, but...
not pDCs, purified from tumor DLN were capable of stimulating tumor antigen–specific T cells ex vivo. (c) Increased numbers of activated cDCs were found in activated pDC-treated tumor DLN compared with non-draining LNs or tumor DLN from mice injected with resting pDCs. In addition, treatment of B16-OVA tumor–bearing mice with pDCs derived from bm1 transgenic mice, which are incapable of presenting the H2-Kb–restricted OVAa37–254 peptide, showed similar levels of OVA-specific T cell cross-priming compared with those treated with pDC from wild-type mice, excluding the possibility that injected pDCs cross-presented tumor antigens in our model (Supplemental Figure 6). These observations support the findings that pDCs are less efficient than cDCs in vivo at cross-priming T cells against exogenous antigens (15).

In addition to responding to NK cell–derived signals, cDCs can also be strongly activated by pDCs. We and others have previously shown that activated pDCs can enhance the ability of cDCs to prime a CTL response (12, 37, 38). Both direct cell-to-cell contact and type I IFNs have been demonstrated to be important in promoting generation of CD8+ T cell responses mediated by cDCs. IFN-α has been shown as a potent licensor of cDC-mediated T cell cross-priming, possibly through upregulating the expression of MHC class I costimulatory molecules, TAP-1, and TLRs on cDCs as well as enhancing cell viability (39–41). Thus, it is likely that a combination of signals from pDCs, in conjunction with help from recruited NK cells, contributes to the licensing of cDCs to cross-prime the robust antigen-specific CTL response seen in our model.

These results suggest that harnessing the use of pDCs in cancer immunotherapy may represent a nonspecific innate immune manipulation that can ultimately manifest as a specific, adaptive antitumor immune response. In this context, it is important to note that the CD8+ T cell response induced by activated pDC injection was directed against multiple tumor-associated antigens, as we detected relatively robust CTL responses not only against a neo-antigen, OVA, but also against an endogenous self antigen, p15E. Equally important in the metastatic clinical setting, the CTL response generated by a single i.t. injection of pDCs was systemic in nature, infiltrating and slowing the growth of uninjected contralateral tumors. Although the antitumor activity at the distant tumors was relatively modest compared with injected tumors, the significant infiltration of distant tumors by tumor antigen–specific T cells suggests that activated pDCs have promising therapeutic potential for use in cancer treatments. It is also of interest that injection of activated pDCs in combination with CD4 depletion induced even more dramatic antitumor activity. This may indicate that CD4+ regulatory T cells are involved in suppressing the pDC-mediated antitumor immune response, although further investigation will be required to confirm this.

Clinically, the use of ex vivo–generated pDCs would present a formidable challenge, as large-scale human pDC generation and isolation is not currently feasible. However, pDCs have been found to reside in several human tumors and are therefore potentially ideal targets for activation with TLR7 and TLR9 agonists (42–45). Such therapeutic interventions have met with success in mouse tumor models, and several cancer trials are also currently underway to test the efficacy of these agents in humans (46–48). In this context, critical questions will be whether pDC numbers in situ are sufficient to initiate an effective antitumor response and whether their activation potential is impaired by an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment. It is also important to note that under certain circumstances, pDCs have been shown to suppress immune responses and are a negative prognostic factor in cancers such as primary breast cancer (49). However, the majority of these tumor-infiltrating pDCs were found to be either immature in phenotype or functionally impaired. It is thus possible that, similar to cDCs, resting and activated pDCs may have opposite functions that can lead either to suppression or induction of immune responses, respectively.

Gaining insights into pDC-mediated mechanisms of immune orchestration will be critical for designing the next generation of cancer immunotherapeutics, especially if TLR agonists themselves prove insufficient to halt tumor growth. For example, our study suggests that ectopic expression of CCR5 ligands at the tumor site could lead to NK cell infiltration, with exogenously provided IFN-α (currently available for clinical use) providing recruited NK cells with an activation signal enhancing their lytic function. Our results imply the possibility that such nonspecific interventions could ultimately set the stage for the generation of a broad adaptive antitumor immune response of multiple specificities.

Methods

Mice. Female C57BL/6, Ccr5-, Prf-, IFN-γ-, and OX40L-deficient mice and bm1 mice (C57BL/6 background) were purchased from the NCI, Taconic, or The Jackson Laboratory and maintained in a pathogen-free animal facility at the MD Anderson Cancer Center. Mice were used at 8–10 weeks of age, and all animal experiments were performed according to protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center.

Cell lines and reagents. B16, B16-OVA, B16-OVA-GFP, YAC-1 and MCA205 tumor lines were cultured in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS, l-glutamine, sodium pyruvate, nonessential amino acids, and penicillin-streptomycin (all from Invitrogen/Life Technologies Inc.). Anti-mouse monoclonal Abs against CD4 (GK1.5) and CD8 (2.43) were obtained from the National Cancer Institute Biological Resources Branch Preclinical Repository. Anti-NK1.1 Ab was made from PK136 hybridoma cells (ATCC). Anti-asialo GM1 Ab against mouse NK cells was purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries. Abs used for flow cytometry analysis and cell sorting were purchased from BD—Pharmingen unless otherwise indicated. Neutralizing Abs against mouse IFN-γ and IFN-β were purchased from PBL Biomedical Laboratories. Neutralizing Abs against mouse TNF-α and IL-12 were purchased from R&D Systems. CpG-ODN-2216 was synthesized by Invitrogen Life Technologies.

pDC and NK cell isolation. Murine pDCs and NK cells were isolated from the bone marrow and spleen, respectively, of Flt3L-treated mice. An expression vector encoding full-length murine Flt3L cDNA (pORF-mFlt3L) was purchased from Invivogen. Injection of 10 μg of plasmid DNA encoding Flt3L was performed using the hydrodynamic-based gene delivery technique, as previously described (50). Bone marrow cells were isolated from femurs and tibiae 10 days after Flt3L plasmid injection, incubated with rat anti-CD16/32 mAbs (2.4G2) to block nonspecific binding, and then stained with the following mAbs: anti-CD11c, anti-B220, and anti-CD11b (all from BD Biosciences). pDCs with a CD11c+CD11b–B220– phenotype were sorted using a BD FACSAria. Although pDCs from Flt3L-treated mice have been shown to demonstrate a slightly more activated phenotype compared with pDCs from saline-treated mice, no significant phenotypic differences were observed in these 2 populations following CpG activation (data not shown). NK cells were isolated using DX5 microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec) and then sorted for the NK1.1+CD3+ cell population. Cell purity was greater than 98% after sorting. pDCs were stimulated with or without CpG-ODN-2216 (10 μg/ml) for 6 h for in vivo transfer experiments or overnight for in vitro studies. Cells were extensively washed at least 3 times with PBS before in vivo transfer.
Tumor treatment and monitoring. C57BL/6 mice (5–10 mice per group) were subcutaneously inoculated with 3–5 × 10^3 B16 tumor cells on day –7 or day –8. Tumor-bearing mice were treated on day 0 with i.t. injection of 2 × 10^6 resting or 6 × 10^5 Cpg-activated pDCs. Tumor growth and mouse survival were monitored. To study whether i.t. injection of pDCs was able to induce systemic antitumor activity, mice were subcutaneously inoculated with 3 × 10^6 B16 on left flank on day –7 and 2 × 10^6 B16 on right flank on day –2. Mice were treated with resting or activated pDCs by i.t. injection in tumors of left flank. CD4 and CD8 T cell depletions were performed by using anti-mouse CD4 (GKL.5) or CD8 (2.43) specific mAbs, intravenously injected (200 μg/mouse) on days –2 and 0. Intraportaline injections were repeated every 6 days thereafter during the experiment to maintain CD4 and CD8 cell depletion. In some experiments, NK cells were depleted by intraperitoneal injection of rabbit anti-asialo GM1 (200 μl) on days –2, 0, and 2 or depleted by intraperitoneal injection of anti-NK1.1 mAb (100 μg) on days –2, –1, 0, and 2. Tumor growth was monitored by measuring the perpendicularly diameters of tumors. Mice were sacrificed when tumor size reached 20 mm in diameter.

Immunohistochemistry. Immunohistochemistry was performed on frozen sections of mouse tumor from mice receiving either control or activated pDCs. Abs to NK cells (anti-Ly–49G2, clone 4D11) and CD8 T cells (CD8α, CD3) were used.

Tumor antigen–specific T cell response. pDCs were purified and stimulated with or without Cpg for 6 h as described above, then washed with PBS in vivo before in vivo transfer. B16-OVA or B16 tumor–bearing mice were sacrificed 5 days after i.t. injection of pDCs. Splenocytes were harvested, and erythrocytes were depleted using ACK lysing buffer. Cells were stimulated with or without 1 μM OVA257–264 (SIINFEKL) or p15E peptide (KSPWFTTL) in the presence of Brefeldin A for 6 h. Cells were collected and incubated with rat anti-CD16/32 mAbs (2.4G2) to block nonspecific binding and then stained with anti-CD86 and intracellular IFN-γ according to the manufacturer’s instructions (BD Biosciences). If indicated, NK cells were depleted by anti-asialo GM1 or anti-NK1.1 Ab. To quantitate tumor antigen specificity of tumor-infiltrating T cells, B16-OVA tumor–bearing mice were sacrificed 5 days following pDC injection. Single-cell preparations were prepared from tumor tissues and stained with anti-CD86 and OVA-tetramer, with dead cells excluded by PI staining. In some experiments, Prf1+ or IFN-γ+ mice received pDC injection for established B16-OVA tumors. As above, antigen–specific T cell responses were evaluated using OVA tetramer staining 5 days later.

DC preparation and cross-priming assay. B16-OVA or B16-OVA-GFP tumor–bearing mice were sacrificed 16 to 32 h after pDC injection, and DLN and non-DLN were harvested for preparing single-cell suspensions. The absolute numbers and percentages of cDCs (CD11c+ B220 CD3 CD19 DX5+ and pDCs (CD11c−B220 CD3 CD19 DX5+), levels of CD86 expression, and percentages of GFP+ DCs were determined by flow cytometry. Dead cells were excluded by PI staining. To assess the ability of DC subsets to cross-prime OVA-specific T cells, cDC and pDC subsets were purified from tumor DLN from pooled mice (5 to 6 mice per group) by cell sorting and then analyzed ex vivo by coculture with activated OT-I T cells for 12 h at a 1:1 ratio. Activated OT-I T cells were prepared by culturing splenocytes derived from OT-I mice for 6 days in the presence of IL-2 and OVA257–264 peptide. T cell activation was evaluated using intracellular IFN-γ staining.

Assessment of NK cell migration. The migration of endogenous NK cells in response to pDC injection was evaluated in B16 tumor–bearing mice. Mice were i.t. injected with resting or activated pDCs and sacrificed on day 2. Single-cell suspensions were prepared from tumors and stained with NK1.1+, CD3−, CD45−, and CD69-specific mAbs. Non-viable cells were excluded by PI staining. CCR5+ or CCR7+ mice were used as recipients in some experiments.

Microarray analysis and bioinformatics. pDCs were sorted as described above to a purity of greater than 99%. Total RNA from resting or Cpg-activated pDCs was immediately isolated using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen) and used to generate cDNA and cRNA to hybridize onto the mouse Genome 430 2.0 array, according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Affymetrix). Scanned images were analyzed by the Affymetrix GeneChip software Microarray Suite 5.0. Signal intensities were normalized to the mean intensity of all the genes represented on the array, and global scaling was applied before comparison analysis.

NK cell cytotoxicity assay. pDCs were activated overnight with or without Cpg. NK cells (at a DC/NK ratio of 1:1) and 51Cr-labeled tumor cell lines B16 or YAC-1 cells at 1 × 10^4 target cells/well at different E:T ratios were added into the culture as triplicates in round-bottom 96-well plates. After 4 h, 51Cr release was determined against target cells. Specific 51Cr release was calculated as a percentage using the standard formula: [(sample release - spontaneous release)/(total release - spontaneous release)] × 100.

Cytokine ELISA and flow cytometry. NK and pDCs were purified as described above. pDCs were cocultured with NK cells at a DC/NK ratio of 1:1 (1 × 10^4 each) in the presence or absence of Cpg. IFN-γ production was determined in supernatant after 20 h of coculture using ELISA kits (Pierce Biotechnology Inc.). Neutralizing Abs and transwell studies were performed as described above. The levels of chemokine produced by pDCs at different time points after Cpg stimulation were performed using either searchlight array (Pierce Biotechnology Inc.) or ELISA (R&D Systems). The expression of OX40L and OX40 on pDC and NK cells was also tested using flow cytometry.

Statistics. The statistical analyses to compare tumor size, the percentage and absolute number of cells, cytolytic activity, and cytokine levels were determined using the Mann-Whitney nonparametric U test or Student’s t test. The statistical analysis to compare survival was determined using Kaplan-Meier test.
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