Erythropoietin: when liability becomes asset in neurovascular repair

Maria B. Grant,1 Michael E. Boulton,2 and Alexander V. Ljubimov3

1Program in Stem Cell Biology and Regenerative Medicine, Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Texas, USA.
2Age-Related Macular Degeneration Center, Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Texas, USA.
3Ophthalmology Research Laboratories, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, and UCLA David Geffen School of Medicine, Los Angeles, California, USA.

Erythropoietin (Epo) leads to the proliferation and differentiation of erythroid precursors, but is also involved in diverse nonhematopoietic biological functions. In this issue of the JCI, Chen, Smith, and colleagues demonstrate that the temporal expression of Epo is critical for determining whether physiological or pathological repair occurs following neurovascular retinal injury in the oxygen-induced retinopathy neonatal mouse model (see the related article beginning on page 526). The pleiotrophic properties of Epo make it a likely novel therapy for treatment of neurovascular damage, but the timing of its use must be carefully considered to prevent untoward effects.

In 1994, Smith and coworkers (1) developed and refined a neonatal mouse model of proliferative oxygen-induced retinopathy (OIR; Figure 1). In this model, 7-day-old mouse pups with partially developed retinal vasculature are subjected to hyperoxia (75% oxygen) for 5 days, which stops retinal vessel growth and causes significant vaso-obliteration. On postnatal day 12, the pups are returned to room air, and by postnatal day 17, a florid compensatory retinal neovascularization occurs. This model of pathological neovascularization has been widely used as a substitute for proliferative diabetic retinopathy (DR) and in studies of various antiangiogenic compounds (2–4).

In this issue of the JCI, Chen, Smith, and associates highlight the importance of temporal expression of the hormone erythropoietin (Epo) in the pathogenesis of retinopathy using the OIR model (5).

They report that during the hyperoxic phase of the OIR model, mRNA levels of retinal Epo were suppressed, while during the subsequent hypoxic phase Epo mRNA levels were greatly increased (Figure 1). Early administration of Epo protected against hyperoxia-induced retinal vaso-obliteration and neural apoptosis, preventing vessel dropout and hypoxia-induced neovascularization in the second phase of this disease model. However, treatment with exogenous Epo during the second phase of the OIR model actually increased pathological neovascularization. Furthermore, Chen et al. (5) also show that hyperoxia resulted in the loss of bone marrow–derived (BM-derived) endothelial progenitor cell (EPC) contribution to vascular development (2). During retinal development, the suppression of endogenous growth factors by hyperoxia with subsequent vaso-obliteration is the critical initiating event responsible for the development of pathological neovascularization. This aberrant neovascularization is responsible for the vision-threatening aspects of retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) and is also seen in DR (6, 7). Patients with these conditions are treated once pathological vessels appear. However, treating “late-stage” disease may be much less effective and, as shown in the current study by Chen et al. (5), early treatment may be a more judicious approach, at least in the case of Epo.

Epo as a vasculogenic, neuroprotective, and EPC recruitment factor

The recent identification of critical hypoxia-regulated factors and the temporal changes in their expression represents an important step toward understanding mechanisms of disease progression in retinal neovascularization. The pharmaceutical industry has aggressively identified agents to specifically target late events in disease development when neovascularization is already present and to inhibit the hypoxia-regulated factors implicated in pathological neovascularization (8, 9). Most of these factors have intrinsic neural and retinal vascular protective effects, and their elimination may eventually contribute to cell and tissue dysfunction. More importantly, their expression represents a physiological adaptive response to ischemic tissue injury.

One such factor, Epo, is a glycoprotein (molecular mass is approximately 30 kDa) produced primarily in the kidney but with localized production also reported in a range of neural and nonneural tissues (10, 11). Epo is directly activated by the transcription factors HIF-1α (12), HIF-1α–like factor (HLF, also known as EPAS1) (13), and HIF-2α (14) and thus is induced in direct response to ischemic or hypoxic conditions. The Epo receptor (EpoR) also appears to be widely expressed, and ligand binding is associated with activa-
tion of the protein tyrosine kinase JAK2 and the downstream signaling molecule STAT5 (15, 16). EpoR can also signal through PI3K-Akt, MAPK, and, as shown in the current study by Chen et al., NF-κB (5). With this broad expression of both Epo and EpoR, a pleiotrophic role for Epo is anticipated. Whereas Epo is best known for its role in hematopoiesis as a factor that leads to the proliferation and differentiation of erythroid precursors, it is also involved in diverse nonhematopoietic biological functions (10, 17, 18). These pleiotrophic effects of Epo include: (a) neuroprotection by decreasing neuronal apoptosis and inflammation in acute brain injury models, by protecting the mouse retina against light-induced degeneration (19) and by reducing retinal ganglion cell loss following axotomy (20); (b) cytoprotection by inhibiting apoptosis and promoting angiogenesis to improve cardiac function in cardiac ischemia models of heart failure (21, 22); and (c) modulation of tissue remodeling by regulating cell maturation and division, preventing intimal hyperplasia (23), and reducing pulmonary vascular remodeling (24) in a hypoxic rat model of pulmonary hypertension without affecting hemodynamics or right ventricular hypertrophy.

Epo clearly has direct effects on resident vasculature and is known to mobilize circulating BM-derived cell populations to mediate vascular repair in both acute and chronic injury models (25, 26). BM-derived EPCs circulate in the bloodstream and are capable of endothelial repair. They migrate to areas of ischemia, respond to hypoxia-regulated factors such as Epo, and participate in both physiological and pathological neovascularization (Figure 2). Epo stimulates EPC proliferation, adhesion, and differentiation to endothelium.

**Clinical aspects of Epo administration**

The importance of the current study (5) is the applicability of the results to ongoing clinical therapies. Premature babies who are being treated with Epo are the same babies whose BM or peripheral blood cells are more likely to be dysfunctional. Extensive preclinical data indicate that impairment in the functional properties of these BM-derived cells leads to ineffective tissue repair (27, 28). The Epo treatment enhances the function of EPCs and may increase the benefit that these infants gain from Epo therapy. However, the timing of Epo treatment will be critical, and there is clearly a therapeutic window, which will need to be defined for each infant. Furthermore, the results could have relevance to our understanding of the pathogenetic basis of other diseases involving neovascularization (including wound healing and cancer). Recent reports reveal a possible association between elevated vitreous Epo concentrations and retinal vascular disease in DR (29), while suggesting a protective effect of Epo on the ischemic retina (30).

Studies have evaluated Epo in adult and neonatal animal models of injury and reported the prevention of hypoxic-ischemic brain injury, decreased neuronal apoptosis, decreased infarction volume, and improved functional outcomes (31, 32). However, these studies evaluated doses of Epo in the range of 1,000–5,000 U/kg, approximately 10 times the dose that generally is used to stimulate red blood cell production in infants. Clinical studies in adult stroke patients evaluated Epo doses of 33,000 U/day for 3 days, resulting in cerebrospinal fluid Epo concentrations 60- to 100-fold above baseline and improved functional outcomes (33).

Much less is known about Epo treatment for infants with anemia. The administration of human recombinant Epo
to preterm infants was first reported in 1990, when Halperin et al. (34) evaluated the use of Epo to treat anemia of prematurity. Since then, doses that have been evaluated in clinical studies that involved preterm infants ranged from 100 U/kg twice per week (35) to 5,000 U/kg per week (36). Adverse effects of treatment at all doses tested have been minimal, and the decreased number of transfusions reported is thought to be beneficial. The treatment of preterm infants with Epo is considered part of clinical care in many neonatology units throughout the United States and Europe, and outcomes continue to be evaluated.

In a recent study by Bierer et al. (37), extremely low birth weight infants showed survival benefit when receiving Epo, and the frequency of transfusions was significantly decreased. Furthermore, this was the first study to report an association between serum Epo concentrations and neurodevelopmental outcomes in preterm infants who receive Epo. These observations merit additional evaluation as to the possible benefit of Epo as a neuroprotective agent in neonates. Long-term neurodevelopmental follow-up of infants who are involved in randomized studies of Epo administration is clearly needed. However, several other studies evaluating neonatal outcomes after Epo therapy have reported no differences between treatment groups (38, 39). Despite unknowns about outcomes measures, particularly with respect to ROP, Epo treatment is considered part of the pharmacological armamentarium available for prematurity infant care.

In conclusion, the study by Chen et al. (5) highlights the potential therapeutic advantage of using a pleiotrophic drug to treat ROP, provided that it is given early in disease progression. Epo or EpoR agonists should not only prevent retinal vessel atrophy but may also provide neuroprotection, prevent aberrant neovascularization, and enhance recruitment of BM-derived cells to the pathological area. Timing is critical since, as Chen et al. demonstrate, if Epo is given at the later hypoxic stages, the severity of retinopathy increases. However, in the case of the eye, disease progression is easy to follow without invasive investigation and allows timing of the administration of drugs to be carefully monitored, hopefully resulting in better clinical outcomes. The data reported by Chen et al. may pave the way for clinical interventions with Epo in preterm infants at the early stage of ROP in order to inhibit vaso-obliteration in the retina and block the development of retinal neovascularization seen in the late stages of this disease.
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