spring meetings were not to become as obsolescent as a gathering of wainwrights. The fragmenting force was clearly that of the necessity and the compelling ascendency of specialization.

“In modern times the constituent branches of medical science are so expanded that they are not acquired by any physician in a lifetime, and still less by a pupil in his pupillage. The same is true even of individual branches.” This early example of Harvard angst appeared in the Boston Medical and Surgical Journal in 1850, before even the pathogenesis of infectious disease was firmly established (9). In subsequent generations, “the explosive growth of the constituent branches of medical science” continues to fragment medicine into subdisciplines based on organ systems or even on technologies. As an example, in 2007 a small town of cardiologists (>20,000) convened in Orlando, as one of the few venues that could accommodate the American Heart Association annual meeting. Topics as diverse as myocardial regeneration from stem cells and resuscitation science were among the more than 4,000 abstracts submitted for that multitude. Similar gatherings occur annually in many such societies with vast numbers of commitments and sharply focused participants. After all, in science, as in life, a truly well-rounded individual may be in danger of having a short radius. One can doubt, however, whether the most important legacy of Atlantic City, the culture and cohesiveness of academic internal medicine, is effectively transmitted thereby.

Fortunately within the current leadership of the spring meetings, Samuel Johnson’s view of history has largely prevailed. Let us hope that in a future generation, someone in an analogous fashion to the above will find it attractive to compose a brief “Homage to Chicago” as a uniquely valuable and singular era and setting for academic internal medicine.
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The feeling of congeniality at the Atlantic City meetings of the AAP and the ASCI was reinforced by a variety of social features. The graciousness and extensive public spaces of the meeting’s official hotel, Chalfonte-Haddon Hall — the comfortable lounges, meandering lobby, shielded sun porch — provided ample opportunity for small gatherings, unexpected reunions, and private exchanges. Various rituals reinforced the feelings of festive reunion: the Surf’n Sand Bar, Brighton Punch, the late lobster dinner at Hackney’s (Figure 1) all contributed to a feeling of community and warmth.

From 1932 until 1976, the societies met only in Atlantic City. It should be remembered that during this interval, regional meetings were few and specialty meetings virtually nonexistent. As a consequence, the finest research was presented here to a relatively small group of academic scholars. Presentation at the Congress Hall on the Steel Pier was the ultimate goal of budding investigators no less than exalted professors. The core of medicine was reasonably accessible to nearly everyone, since high technology, conceptual as well as technical, did not yet dominate the medical disciplines. It was a thrill to present a paper to a select, high-level audience, among whom were many hallowed figures in academic medicine.

The Atlantic City meetings nurtured the finest medical research embraced by a rich climate of academic collegiality. Maybe the science is much better now — more sophisticated, more reducive, with far greater explanatory power. Maybe the central focus on physiologic derangements yielded less profound insight into normal and deranged function than the genetic and molecular biology of today. Maybe the huge teams of investigators, bringing
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together diverse disciplines, are far more powerful than the more solitary system of the past. Maybe the medical manager is the more appropriate leader than the medical scholar.

But something has been sacrificed. The central core of medicine has become gravely weakened; the warmth of academic life has to some extent been replaced by impersonality and distance. And specialty meetings command the major scientific advances.

It would be foolish not to recognize the tremendous power of modern medical science. Everyone must surely acknowledge the enormous advance that is the product of specialization, technology, and conceptual analysis. But still, the attractiveness of the Atlantic City meetings — the qualities of shared knowledge, common goals, and warm friendships, reinforced by a congenial and familiar environment — constitute profound human values. The new biology may well be our ultimate medical triumph. But it would be nice to imagine how rich life would be if a little of the Boardwalk could be injected into the modern academic world.
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