The evolutionarily conserved TSC/Rheb pathway activates Notch in tuberous sclerosis complex and Drosophila external sensory organ development
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Mutations in either of the genes encoding the tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC), TSC1 and TSC2, result in a multisystem tumor disorder characterized by lesions with unusual lineage expression patterns. How these unusual cell-fate determination patterns are generated is unclear. We therefore investigated the role of the TSC in the Drosophila external sensory organ (ESO), a classic model of asymmetric cell division. In normal development, the sensory organ precursor cell divides asymmetrically through differential regulation of Notch signaling to produce a pIIa and a pIIb cell. We report here that inactivation of Tsc1 and overexpression of the Ras homolog Rheb each resulted in duplication of the bristle and socket cells, progeny of the pIIa cell, and loss of the neuronal cell, a product of pIIb cell division. Live imaging of ESO development revealed this cell-fate switch occurred at the pIIa-pIIb 2-cell stage. In human angiomyolipomas, benign renal neoplasms often found in tuberous sclerosis patients, we found evidence of Notch receptor cleavage and Notch target gene activation. Further, an angiomyolipoma-derived cell line carrying biallelic TSC2 mutations exhibited TSC2- and Rheb-dependent Notch activation. Finally, inhibition of Notch signaling using a γ-secretase inhibitor suppressed proliferation of Tsc2-null rat cells in a xenograft model. Together, these data indicate that the TSC and Rheb regulate Notch-dependent cell-fate decision in Drosophila and Notch activity in mammalian cells and that Notch dysregulation may underlie some of the distinctive clinical and pathologic features of TSC.

Introduction

Tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) is a multisystem tumor suppressor gene syndrome caused by mutations that inactivate either TSC1 or TSC2. TSC is characterized by neurologic disease (seizures, mental retardation, and autism), pulmonary lymphangiomyomatosis (LAM), and hamartomatous tumors of the brain, heart, kidney, and skin (1). The hamartin and tuberin proteins (TSC1 and TSC2, respectively) function as a heterodimeric complex to inhibit the Ras homolog Rheb, which activates the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) complex 1 (TORC1) (2–7). The TSC/Rheb/TOR pathway is conserved in Drosophila, in which it has been shown to regulate cell size (8–15) and the timing of neuronal development (16).

Unusual cell lineage expression patterns are a hallmark of TSC lesions in humans. The central nervous system lesions in TSC include cortical tubers and subependymal giant cell astrocytomas, both of which contain distinctive “giant cells” that inappropriately coexpress neuronal and glial-lineage markers (17, 18). Outside the central nervous system, pulmonary LAM and renal angiomyolipomas are among the most clinically devastating features of TSC (19). LAM cells and angiomyolipoma cells express both smooth muscle and melanocytic markers, and angiomyolipomas exhibit differentiation plasticity, with vascular, smooth muscle, and fat cells all arising from a common precursor cell (20). The molecular mechanisms underlying these unusual cell-fate determination patterns in TSC tumors are not well understood.

To study the potential role of the TSC signaling network in cell-fate determination, we used the Drosophila external sensory organ (ESO), which is a well-studied model of asymmetric cell division. The sensory organ precursor (SOP) cell divides asymmetrically to produce 2 daughter cells, the pIIa cell and the pIIb cell. The pIIa cell divides once more to form the external cells of the ESO (the socket and hair cells), and the pIIb cells divides twice to generate a neuron, a sheath cell, and an apoptotic glial cell (Figure 1A). The asymmetry between the pIIa and pIIb is established by differential regulation of Notch signaling. Activation of Notch signaling in the pIIa cell and suppression of Notch in the pIIb cell is controlled through multiple mechanisms, including asymmetric segregation of the Notch antagonist Numb to the pIIb cell during SOP mitosis (Figure 1A).

Here we show that inactivation of Tsc1 or overexpression of Rheb in SOP cells results in a phenotype consistent with ectopic Notch activation: duplication of the pIIa cell and its progeny, the hair and socket cell, at the expense of the pIIb cell. We further find evidence for Notch receptor cleavage and Notch target gene activation in human angiomyolipoma tumors and an angiomyolipoma-derived cell line with biallelic TSC2 inactivation. Our results reveal what we believe to be a novel, evolutionarily conserved pathway, in which the TSC1/TSC2/Rheb proteins regulate the activity of Notch, which in turn may act to regulate cell fate and cell prolif-
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Drosophila. Based on previous observations that central nervous system lesions in TSC show inappropriate expression of neural and glial markers (17, 18), we asked whether TSC loss or Rheb activation results in increase in external cells (twinning) in Tsc and Rheb mutant cells. Our data indicate that Rheb activation or Tsc inactivation results in incorrect asymmetric targeting of cell fate determinants such as Pon-GFP to the anterior-posterior axis, instead of the apical-basal axis. Importantly, the pIIb cells with misaligned spindles subsequently gave rise to duplicate bristle and socket cells (Figure 1F). Despite the change in orientation of the mitotic spindle, Pon-GFP was correctly segregated asymmetrically to only 1 daughter cell. These findings are consistent with model whereby Rheb induces a pIIb-to-pIIa cell-fate switch by promoting ectopic Notch activation, resulting in the twinning phenotype (Figure 1, C–F).

| Figure 1 | Tsc and Rheb regulate Notch-dependent cell-fate decisions in Drosophila. (A) The ESO lineage arises from a SOP cell (pl) and consists of 5 cells: 2 external cells, the hair (h) and socket (so), and 3 internal cells, the neuron (n), sheath (sh), and apoptotic glial cell (g). Numb (red) is asymmetrically localized in the mitotic pl and pilla cells. The mitotic spindles of the pl and pilla cells align on an anterior-posterior axis; the spindles of the pIIb and pIIb cells align along the apical-basal axis. (B) Missing bristles and bald patches (asterisks) and duplicated bristles (arrows) in both Tsc mutant clones and Rheb-overexpressing ESOS. (C) Rheb expression inappropriately induces Notch activity in the pl cells, causing it to divide in a pilla-like manner, resulting in a duplicate socket and hair cell. (D and E) Both correct cell fate specification (white arrows) and loss of internal neuron (Elav, red, red arrows) and extra socket [Su(H), purple, arrows and arrowhead] cells in Tsc1 mutant clones (GFP, green) and Rheb-overexpressing ESOS. All panels are single XY sections extracted from a Z series. (D) Bottom panels are higher-magnification images of the boxed area from the top left panel. Tsc mutant organs exhibit extra external cells and loss neuron phenotypes (arrowheads). Su(H) immunostaining (top right panel, purple arrows) confirmed extra socket (boxed area). (E) Rheb overexpression results in duplication of external cells (white arrowheads) and loss of neurons (Elav, red). (F) Representative example of live imaging of a plb-to-pla cell-fate switch in Rheb-expressing pl cells, leading to sensory organ twinning phenotype. pl and plb cells divide within the plane of the epithelium (white arrows) and segregate Pon-GFP correctly to 1 daughter cell. Scan of the same cells in the living pupa at 12 hours after division reveals a double socket and double hair ESO, and the thorax of the same adult fly showed a sensory organ twinning from this SOP cluster (black arrow). Scale bar: 10 μm (B and D–F). |
Numb during SOP mitosis. In order to determine whether Rheb acts in the same pathway or in a parallel pathway to Numb, we used a genetic approach and assessed the interaction between Rheb and 3 other genes that impact Notch activity: a nonphosphorylatable form (3A) of lethal giant larvae [l(2)gl], nuclear fallout (Nuf), and Rab11. Nuf and Rab11 regulate Notch activity by controlling Delta trafficking (28), while l(2)gl3A regulates Notch activity by controlling the asymmetric targeting of Numb (29). When combined with l(2)gl3A expression, Rheb synergistically enhanced the twinning phenotype (Figure 2 and Supplemental Table 1), while when combined with Rab11 or Nuf, Rheb had only a minor impact on twinning (Figure 2A and Supplemental Table 1). From these data we conclude that Rheb likely functions to control Notch signaling during ESO development via a pathway that is parallel to asymmetric targeting of Numb.

Renal angiomyolipomas express Notch and Delta and have higher levels of Notch activity than normal kidney. We next investigated whether Notch activity is Rheb dependent, using the 621-101 cell line, which was derived from an angiomyolipoma and has inactivation of both alleles of the TSC2 gene (30). Using real-time RT-PCR, we monitored the levels of endogenous HES1 and hairy/enhancer-of-split related with YRPW motif 1 (HEY1) in cells treated for 72 hours with the γ-secretase inhibitor, N-[N-(3,5-Difluorophenacetyl-l-alanyl)]S-phenylglycine t-butyl ester (DAPT), which prevents Notch cleavage and activation. DAPT treatment decreased HES1 transcript level by 60% (Figure 5A), suggesting that these cells have Notch activity. Next, to determine whether this Notch activity is Rheb dependent, 621-101 cells were treated with Rheb siRNA. Depletion of Rheb significantly decreased HES1 and HEY1 transcript levels (Figure 5B). To further confirm that Rheb regulates HES1 and HEY1 levels, we reexpressed TSC2, which decreases the GTP-bound active form of Rheb via tuberin’s GTPase-activating pro-
tein domain. Expression of TSC2 decreased endogenous HES1 and HEY1 transcript levels (Figure 5C), similarly to Rheb siRNA. To test directly whether Rheb regulates Notch activity, 621-101 cells were treated with control or Rheb siRNA, and the presence of cleaved Notch was examined by Western immunoblotting. Depletion of Rheb decreased the level of the 110-kDa cleaved Notch product detected with anti-C terminus Notch1 antibody in the whole cell lysate (Figure 5D, left) and anti-cleaved Notch1 Val 1744 antibody in the nuclear fraction (Figure 5D, right).

*TSC2 and Rheb regulate Notch signaling in mammalian cells.* To determine whether TSC and Rheb regulate Notch activity in cells that are not derived from TSC patients, we measured the endogenous level of HES1 transcript in Tsc2-null mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs). Consistent with our results from angiomyolipoma-derived cells, we found that Tsc2-null MEFs have higher levels of HES1 relative to littermate-derived control MEFs (Figure 6A). Next, we used HES1- and HEY1-luciferase reporter assays to monitor Notch activity in 3 melanoma-derived cell lines. Downregulation of Rheb with siRNA decreased HEY1-luciferase activity in all 3 cell lines and decreased HES1-luciferase activity in 2 of the lines (Figure 6B). In HEK293 cells, downregulation of TSC2 resulted in a 3-fold increase in HEY1-luciferase activity (Figure 6C), and downregulation of Rheb resulted in a 40% decrease in HEY1-luciferase activity (Figure 6D).

Rheb-dependent Notch activation is insensitive to TORC1 inhibition. The most well-studied target of the TSC/Rheb pathway is TORC1. Interestingly, in HEK293 cells treated with TSC2 siRNA, the TORC1 inhibitor rapamycin did not block the increased HEY1 luciferase activity (Figure 6E). To further explore whether Rheb-dependent Notch activation is rapamycin sensitive, we monitored endogenous levels of cleaved Notch by Western immunoblot in 621-101 cells. Treatment with DAPT for 72 hours (as a positive control) decreased levels of the 110-kDa band representing cleaved Notch (Figure 7A), which was also confirmed with an antibody detecting specifically cleaved Notch1 at Val 1744 (data not shown). Treatment with rapamycin (20 nM) did not inhibit cleaved Notch (Figure 7A), consistent with the results using the luciferase assay in HEK293 cells. These data suggest that Rheb’s effects on Notch activity are TORC1 independent, with the caveat that it is increasingly recognized that TORC1 is not completely blocked by rapamycin. Therefore, we treated 621-101 cells with Torin 1, an ATP-competitive mTOR kinase inhibitor (31). Similarly to rapamycin, Torin 1 inhibited the phosphorylation of ribosomal protein S6 but did not inhibit Notch cleavage (Figure 7B), while as a control DAPT inhibited Notch cleavage but did not affect phosphorylation of S6. Finally, as a third approach to inhibit TORC1, we downregulated Raptor using siRNA. Similarly to rapamycin or Torin 1 treatment, downregulation of Raptor did not affect Notch cleavage but decreased phosphorylation of ribosomal protein S6 (Figure 6C). These results suggest that Rheb’s activation of Notch is TORC1 independent.

Inhibition of Notch signaling inhibits the growth of Tsc2-null xenograft tumors. To determine whether Notch activity contributes to the growth of Tsc2-null cells, we injected Tsc2-null ELT3 cells, which were derived from the Eker rat model of TSC (32), bilaterally into the flank region of SCID mice. When the tumors reached 250 mm³, the animals were treated with the γ-secretase inhibitor, DAPT. DAPT inhibited tumor growth by more than 50% relative to vehicle control (Figure 8A) and was associated with a significant decrease in Ki-67 positivity (Figure 8B). DAPT’s inhibition of Hes1 expression was confirmed by RT-PCR and immunoblot (Figure 8C). These results suggest that Notch activation drives proliferation, thereby promoting growth of Tsc2-null tumors.

Discussion
TSC is a multisystem disease, in which many tumors and lesions, including cerebral cortical tubers, subependymal giant cell astrocytomas, angiomyolipomas, and LAM, display unusual cell-fate determination patterns. While activation of Rheb and TORC1 is believed to drive the proliferative component of tumors carrying TSC1 or TSC2 mutations, little is known about the molecular basis of these cell lineage abnormalities. To investigate the cell fate abnormalities in TSC, we used the Drosophila ESO as a model. The ESO develops over approximately 6 hours, during the pupal stage, through a series of 4 asymmetric cell divisions. We tested 3 different Tsc1 mutant alleles and found that all 3 disrupted cell fate assignments in the ESO, leading to a double bristle phenotype. The double bristle resulted from a cell-fate switch, in which the pIlb cell, which normally has low Notch activity and generates the internal cells, acquired the characteristics of the pIIa cell, which normally has high Notch activity and gives rise to the hair and socket cells. Similar double bristles were observed in flies overexpressing Rheb, further confirming the role of the TSC/Rheb signaling axis in ESO development. The impact of the TSC/Rheb pathway on cell fate appears to be lineage specific, since we did not observe any impact of Rheb expression in the wing. This result may explain why evidence of Rheb-induced Notch pathway activation was not observed in previous studies of the *Drosophila* eye (16) and could also be related to the cell-lineage specificity of TSC tumors.
Multiple lines of data indicate that the regulation of Notch activity by the TSC proteins and Rheb is evolutionarily conserved between flies and mammals. Evidence of Notch pathway activation was present in angiomyolipoma tumor specimens. TSC2 and Rheb were found to regulate Notch cleavage and Notch target gene activation in an angiomyolipoma-derived cell line carrying biallelic TSC2 mutations. Evidence of Notch regulation by TSC2 and Rheb was also observed in melanoma-derived cell lines, MEFs, and HEK293 cells. Furthermore, inhibition of Notch signaling blocked the growth of Tsc2-null xenograft tumors, suggesting that this connection that we believe to be novel between TSC/Rheb and Notch activity may be related to the proliferative aspects of TSC lesions as well as their cell-fate abnormalities.

Treatment with the TORC1 inhibitor rapamycin, the TORC1 and TORC2 inhibitor Torin 1, or downregulation of Raptor, a key component of TORC1 (33), did not inhibit Notch activation in TSC2-deficient cells. This is consistent with the lack of a twinning phenotype in flies expressing the Rho target, dTOR kinase, in the SOP lineage (data not shown). These data suggest that Rheb’s regulation of Notch activity occurs via a TORC1-independent mechanism. TORC1 is the most well-studied effector of Rheb, but there are other indications that Rheb has rapamycin-insensitive targets (34–36). The identification of TORC1-insensitive pathways regulated by Rheb and TSC2 is particularly important clinically because TORC1 inhibitors have only partial clinical efficacy in patients with TSC and LAM. In the first completed trial, rapamycin as a single agent resulted in an approximately 50% regression of angiomyolipomas over 12 months of treatment, with nearly complete regrowth after discontinuation (37).

The Notch signaling pathway plays a central role in the regulation of neuronal progenitor cell differentiation (38, 39) and is required to maintain stem/progenitor cells in an undifferentiated status (40). Notch also impacts a broad range of cellular events during normal development and in tumors, including proliferation and migration (41–43). We speculate that Notch signaling in TSC2-deficient progenitor cells may underlie some of the clinical manifestations of TSC, by altering the terminal differentiation of these cells with subsequent inappropriate differentiation and/or proliferation. If this is correct, then a “pulse” of Notch inhibition could promote the completion of differentiation and thereby block further proliferation.

In summary, we report here that activation of Rheb leads to abnormalities in asymmetric cell division in Drosophila SOP cells. In mammalian cells, Rheb activates Notch, and Notch inhibition
Figure 6

Rheb-dependent Notch activation in mammalian cells. (A) Endogenous HES1 transcript levels measured by real-time PCR were higher in Tsc2−/−p53−/− MEFs, compared with Tsc2+/−p53−/− MEFs. Data represent mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05. (B) Rheb siRNA decreased HEY1-luciferase activity in 3 melanoma-derived cell lines and HES1-luciferase activity in 2 of 3 lines, relative to control siRNA. Cells were transfected with HEY1- or HES1-luciferase and with Rheb or control siRNA. Values were corrected to cells transfected with a promoter-less luciferase construct. Rheb downregulation was confirmed by immunoblot. Data represent mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05. (C and D) TSC2 downregulation increased and Rheb downregulation decreased HEY1-luciferase activity relative to control siRNA. HEK293 cells were transfected with HEY1–luciferase (HEY-1Luc) or promoter-less control and TSC2 (C) or Rheb siRNAs (D). TSC2 and Rheb downregulation was confirmed by immunoblot. Data represent mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05. (E) Rapamycin did not inhibit the HEY1-luciferase activity induced by loss of TSC2. HEK293 cells were transfected with HEY1-luciferase or promoter-less construct, followed by control or TSC2 siRNA, and incubated for 72 hours with DMSO or 20 nM rapamycin. Data represent mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05.

attenuates the growth of Tsc2-null cells in vivo. These findings reveal what we believe to be a previously unrecognized, evolutionarily conserved regulatory function of the TSC and Rheb proteins. Notch activation may contribute to the striking degree of cell-fate plasticity observed in TSC tumors, with angiomyolipomas differentiating into smooth muscle, fat, and vessels and expressing melanocytic markers, as well as in cells within cortical tubers and subependymal giant cell astrocytomas expressing both neuronal and glial markers. Notch activation could also contribute to the neurologic manifestations of TSC, which include seizures, mental
retardation, and autism. Finally, our work may highlight elements of the Notch signaling pathway (Notch, its ligands, and enzymes required for signaling activation) as potential therapeutic targets for TSC and LAM patients, for whom novel therapeutic concepts are urgently needed.

Methods

Fly strains and statistics. To generate mosaic flies expressing mutant Tsc1 in the SOP cells, we used the flippase recognition target/flippase recombination enzyme (FLP/FRT) system. Mutant clones were identified using the MARCM system (44), which generates GFP-labeled cell clones with homozygous mutations. Yellow white (yw), ubx-FLP; scabrous-Gal4 (scaGal4), UAS-Pon-GFP, UAS-tau-GFP; FRT82B tubulin-Gal80 females were crossed to yw; FRT82B Tsc1Q87X/TM3Sb− or to yw; FRT82B Tsc1W243X/TM3Sb− and to yw; FRT82B Tsc1R453X/TM3Sb− males (provided by I. Hariharan, University of California, Berkeley, California, USA).

To generate flies with transient expression of Rheb, we used the Gal4-UAS system (21). yw; scaGal4/curly of oyster (yw; scaGal4/CyO) females were crossed to w; UAS Rheb males (provided by F. Tamanoi, University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA).

Figure 7

Notch cleavage is insensitive to TORC1 inhibition in patient-derived cells. (A) Levels of the 110-kDa band representing the γ-secretase cleaved, active form of the Notch receptor, were decreased following treatment with the γ-secretase inhibitor DAPT as expected, while in rapamycin and DMSO treated cells, the 110-kDa band was present. Rapamycin inhibited the phosphorylation of ribosomal protein S6 as expected. (B) Treatment with the Tor kinase inhibitor Torin 1 did not inhibit Notch cleavage in 621-101 cells but did inhibit the phosphorylation of ribosomal protein S6. DAPT did inhibit the 110-kDa cleaved Notch band as expected. (C) Raptor downregulation did not inhibit Notch cleavage but did inhibit the phosphorylation of ribosomal protein S6. Actin and Creb were used as loading controls.

Figure 8

DAPT suppresses growth, proliferation, and Notch pathway activation in Tsc2-null xenograft tumors. (A) Growth of Tsc2-null ELT3 cell xenograft tumors in mice treated with DAPT (10 mg/kg/d) or placebo control. Data represent tumor volume (mm3) (mean ± SEM of DAPT-treated (n = 4) or placebo-treated (n = 3) mice. *P < 0.05. (B) Ki-67 staining of placebo- (left panel) and DAPT-treated (right panel) xenograft tumors (original magnification, ×100) and quantification of results. DAPT treatment reduced the proliferation index. Data represent mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05. (C) DAPT treatment of Tsc2-null tumors decreased endogenous Hes1 transcript level, measured by real-time PCR (mean ± SEM; *P < 0.05), and the level of Hes1 protein (arrow, upper band), measured by immunoblot.
To monitor the dynamics of SOP lineage division and spindle orientation the scaGal4 promoter was used to drive UAS-Pon-GFP and tau-GFP expression in Rheb transgenic flies. Female scaGal4, Pon-GFP, tau-GFP/Cyo flies (22, 23) were crossed to yw/d; scaGal4, UAS Rheb/Cyo males.

To test for enhancement of the twinning phenotype in Rheb flies, we crossed females of either yw; scaGal4 or yw; scaGal4, UAS Rheb/Cyo to either males UAS-I(2)g3A (provided by J. Knoblich, Institute of Molecular Biotechnology of the Austrian Academy of Sciences, Vienna, Austria) or UAS-Rab11-YFP (stock no. 9790) or UAS-EP3324 (Nuf) (both from Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center).

To statistically analyze the fly crosses for synergism, the sum of the means of twinning in Rheb-expressing and I(2)g3A-expressing flies was compared with the mean of twinning in flies with Rheb and I(2)g3A coexpression. The chance that the observed results represented synergy rather than additivity was calculated using the normal approximation to the distribution of the 3 means values.

**Time-lapse microscopy and image quantification.** For time-lapse microscopy, Drosophila pupae were dissected and imaged as previously described (33). For overnight movies, 2 stacks were acquired at 10-minute intervals using the vertical section scan mode on a Bio-Rad 1024 confocal microscope. Imaging was conducted over a large area; therefore, individual clusters are pixilated. For imaging of fixed samples, 2 stacks were acquired by using Nikon eclipse C1 confocal microscope.

**RNA extraction and real-time RT-PCR.** Total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was quantified using the Agilent 2100 BioAnalyzer in combination with a RNA 6000 Nano LabChip. RNA was reverse-transcribed using Moloney murine leukemia virus RT (Ambion) and a mixture of anchored oligo(dT) and random decamers. For each sample, 2 RT reactions were performed with inputs of 50 and 10 ng. Using TaqMan chemistry, S- nuclease assays were run on a 7900HT Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems). Ct values were converted to quantities in arbitrary units using a standard curve (5 points; 5-fold dilutions) established with a calibrator sample. For each sample, the 2 values of relative quantity were averaged.

**Antibodies.** The following antibodies were used: rat anti-ELAV (1:300, 78BA10; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), rat anti-Su(H) (provided by F. Schweiguth, École Normale Supérieure, Paris, France), anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 555 (Invitrogen), mouse anti-tubulin and mouse anti-actin (Sigma-Aldrich), and rabbit anti-Raptor, rabbit anti–phospho-S235/236 S6 ribosomal protein, anti-cleaved Notch1 Val 1744 (lot no. 5; Cell Signaling Technology), rabbit anti-Notch C terminus and rabbit anti-tuberin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.), rabbit anti-Rheb and rabbit anti-HES1 (Abcam), and rabbit anti-Ki67 (Vector Laboratories Inc.).

**siRNA and luciferase assays.** For siRNA downregulation, cells were transfected with 100 nM Rheb, TSC2, or Raptor SMARTpool siRNA, or nontargeting siRNA (all from Dharmacon) using Mirus Trans-IT TKO Transfection Reagent (Mirus). For luciferase assays, HEK293 cells were first transfected with the indicated siRNA and then, 24 hours later, with pHEY1-luciferase DNA or promoter-less luciferase control. Melanoma-derived cells were transfected with pHEY1-luciferase or pHES1-luciferase DNA or promoterless luciferase control simultaneously with siRNA. Both, pHEY1-luciferase and pHES1-luciferase DNA constructs were provided by M. Gessler (University of Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg, Germany). Luciferase levels were measured after addition of luciferase substrate, and the difference of relative luciferase units after correction to background (luciferase control) was calculated.

**Nuclear fractionation.** The preparation of nuclear extracts was performed using Cel1lytic NuCLEAR Extraction Kit (Sigma-Aldrich). Briefly, cells were allow swell with hypotonic buffer, then were disrupted using syringe and needle. The cytoplasmic fraction was removed, and nuclear proteins were released from the nuclei by a high salt buffer.

**DAPT, rapamycin, and Torin 1 treatment.** Cells were treated for indicated times with 10 μM DAPT (Calbiochem), 20 nM rapamycin (Biomol), or 250 nM Torin 1 (provided by D. Sabatini, Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA and N. Gray, Dana Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, Massachusetts, USA).

**Induction of subcutaneous tumors in nude mice.** ELT3 cells were inoculated bilaterally into the posterior back region of 6-week-old immunodeficient C.B17 SCID female mice. Tumor length, width, and depth were monitored with a Vernier caliper. When tumors reached 250 mm², mice were randomly assigned to intraperitoneal DAPT (Calbiochem) at a dose of 10 mg/kg/d for 3 consecutive days, followed by 4 days without treatment (4S) or vehicle control. The Fox Chase Cancer Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved this protocol.

**Statistics.** Both 2-tailed and 1-tailed Student’s t tests were used for all statistical analysis. P values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
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