The combination of rituximab, a type I anti-CD20 mAb, with conventional chemotherapy has significantly improved the outcome of patients with B cell malignancies. Regardless of this success, many patients still relapse with therapy-resistant disease, highlighting the need for the development of mAbs with higher capacity to induce programmed cell death. The so-called type II anti-CD20 mAbs (e.g., tositumomab) that trigger caspase-independent B cell lymphoma cell death in vitro and show superior efficacy as compared with rituximab in eradicating target cells in mouse models are emerging as the next generation of therapeutic anti-CD20 mAbs. In this issue of the JCI, Ivanov and colleagues identify the lysosomal compartment as a target for type II mAbs (see the related article beginning on page 2143). These data encourage the further clinical development of type II mAbs as well as other lysosome-targeting drugs in the treatment of B cell malignancies.

Caspase-mediated apoptosis is the main mechanism of action of most current anti-cancer treatments. Defects in apoptosis signaling pathways are, however, among the major hallmarks of cancer, and apoptosis-inducing therapies further seek for highly apoptosis-refractory tumor cell clones (1). Accordingly, new strategies to kill cancer cells by nonapoptotic mechanisms have flourished during the past decade, and many mediators of alternate cell death pathways have been identified. Among them are the lysosomes with their large arsenal of proteolytic and lipolytic hydrolases (2, 3).

The lysosome: an emerging target for cancer therapy

Lysosomes function as cellular recycling and waste disposal units by degrading organelles and macromolecules delivered to the lysosomal compartment by autophagy, endocytosis, and phagocytosis (4). The degradation is performed by over 50 lysosomal hydrolases that can process all the major macro molecules of the cell to break down products of protein, carbohydrate, lipid, and nucleic acid metabolism as well as cell debris (5). Apoptosis-refractory tumor cells may have adapted mechanisms to downregulate uptake of endocytosed ligands (6) or to evade receptor-mediated autophagy (7). In several cases, it is, however, also possible to overcome such mechanisms by using chemicals or antibodies that target the lysosomal compartment (8).

Importantly, apoptosis is a process that is tightly controlled by multiple pathways that are interconnected at various levels. While this is true for most cancers, a subset of cancer cells may rely on a unique set of activating or inhibiting signals to induce apoptosis. Identification of such signals can lead to the design of novel combination therapies that target these unique pathways (9). In the lysosomal compartment, apoptosis is regulated by a number of enzymes, including cathepsins B, D, E, and K (10), which play key roles in both the induction and execution of apoptosis (11). Apoptosis-stimulating and -inhibiting signals control these enzymes directly or indirectly, and their expression can be regulated at many levels (12).

Apoptotic induction by chemotherapy drugs or by radiation often leads to activation of the caspase family of proteolytic enzymes (13). In the lysosomal compartment, the activity of caspases is tightly regulated by their inhibitors, the BCL-2 family of proteins (14). Indeed, many cancer cells have acquired resistance to chemotherapeutic agents by upregulating the expression of BCL-2, which blocks apoptosis by inhibiting the activity of pro-caspases (15). Lysosome-targeting agents can overcome this resistance by interfering with the activity of the BCL-2 family of proteins (16). Lysosomes can also be targeted to degrade specific tumor cell populations by using antibodies or toxins that target the lysosome membrane or the lysosomal enzymes (17).
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lysosomes. The further development of lysosome-targeting drugs for cancer treatment was, however, discouraged for many decades because of the presumption that they would kill all lysosome-bearing cells, i.e., all human cells except for mature red blood cells. The widespread apoptosis resistance in aggressive cancers together with recent discoveries indicating that the cancer-associated dramatic increase in lysosomal activity sensitizes cancer cell lysosomes to permeabilization has, however, revived interest in lysosome-targeting drugs in cancer treatment (3).

Rituximab has heralded a new era in cancer treatment

Rituximab, a chimeric mAb targeting a B cell–specific CD20 surface antigen (Ag), was the first therapeutic mAb approved for use in treating human malignancy by the US Food and Drug Administration. Over the last decade, its addition to conventional chemotherapy has greatly increased the response rates and survival times in patients with both aggressive and indolent B cell malignancies, including diffuse large B cell lymphoma, follicular lymphoma, mantle cell lymphoma, and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (5–7). In spite of these achievements, a significant proportion of patients still relapse with a disease that has acquired resistance against immunochemotherapy. In particular, it has been demonstrated that tumors with defective apoptosis pathways due to the overexpression of the antiapoptotic protein MCL1 and transcriptional repressor BCL-6, constitutive activation of the NF-κB pathway, or loss of tumor suppressor TP53 are less sensitive than other tumors to combination therapies with rituximab (8–11).

The efficacy of mAbs as anticancer agents may rely on several mechanisms. In addition to the Ag-independent IgG-induced pathways, i.e., Ab-dependent cellular cytotoxicity and complement-dependent cytotoxicity, mAbs may trigger a number of Ag-specific mechanisms that either inhibit cell growth or activate cell death pathways (Figure 1). Even though rituximab-mediated cross-linking of the CD20 Ag has been reported to activate the caspase-dependent mitochondrial apoptosis pathway in sensitive target cells in vitro, several lines of evidence suggest that its in vivo efficacy relies mainly on conventional mechanisms involving the complement and immune effector cells (12).

The clinical success of rituximab has led to a growing interest in mAb-based therapies in general and in those targeting CD20 in particular. The functional analysis of numerous existing anti-CD20 mAbs has allowed their division into at least two functionally separate groups based on their ability to redistribute CD20 molecules in the plasma membrane and activate various effector functions (13). The so-called type II anti-CD20 mAbs (such as tositumomab) are more potent in inducing programmed cell death than the type I anti-CD20 mAbs (such as rituximab) both as single agents and when combined with low-dose X-ray radiation (14). Importantly, the greater capacity of type II mAbs to induce programmed cell death in vitro correlates with their better efficacy in depleting malignant B cells in murine xenograft models as well as B cells in human CD20–transgenic mice (15, 16).
Lysosomes as targets for type II mAbs

Based on the observation that F(ab')2 fragments of type II but not those of type I anti-CD20 mAbs provide substantial immunotherapy, it has been suggested that the greater efficacy of type II anti-CD20 mAbs relies on their ability to signal programmed cell death (15). Taking into consideration the highly apoptosis-resistant nature of many B-cell malignancies, it is important to note that tositumomab induces a non-apoptotic cell death, which is independent of caspase activation and insensitive to the antiapoptotic action of BCL-2 (13). So far, the only clue to the nonapoptotic cytotoxic mechanism of action of tositumomab is its correlation with homotypic adhesion (aggregation) of the cells. In this issue of the JCI, Ivanov and colleagues shed further light on this mechanism (17).

They provide ample data causally connecting tositumomab-induced cell death with actin reorganization, homotypic adhesion, and lysosomal membrane permeabilization. Using a variety of B-cell lymphoma cell lines and a panel of primary samples from patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia combined with pharmacological inhibitors of actin polymerization, they convincingly demonstrate that both the homotypic adhesion and cell death induction depend on actin dynamics. Using the Raji B-cell lymphoma cell line as a model system, they then take a closer look at the cellular and molecular changes induced by tositumomab. In this model system, they identify lysosomes as effectors of tositumomab-induced cytotoxicity. They demonstrate that tositumomab triggers an initial increase in the volume of the lysosomal compartment followed by the collapse of this compartment prior to plasma membrane permeabilization and apoptosis. Interestingly, the causative link between lysosomal leakage and cell death is provided by the remarkable cytoprotection brought about by the otherwise subtoxic pharmacological inhibitors of cysteine cathepsins (Z-Phe-Gly-NHO-Bz-POM) and a vacant proton pump (concanamycin A and bafilomycin).

Intriguingly, the data by Ivanov et al. show that type II mAbs directed against the MHC class II cell surface receptor HLA-DR also trigger actin-dependent homotypic adhesion and the lysosomal cell death pathway, whereas type I anti-CD20 mAbs do not (17). These data suggest that both the cell adhesion and the lysosomal cell death are dependent on the mode of interaction between the Ag and the mAb rather than on the nature of the Ag targeted. In this context, it would be interesting to determine whether this phenomenon also translates to type II mAbs recognizing other target molecules, such as CD99, whose cross-linking by mAb has been reported to induce caspase-independent cell death (18).

It remains to be revealed how type II mAbs trigger lysosomal destabilization. Based on the dependence of cell death on actin dynamics, the correlation between intercellular membrane exchange and cell death, the localization of disrupted lysosomes and leaked cathepsins into the areas of cell–cell contact, and the dramatic swelling of the lysosomal compartment, it is tempting to speculate that homotypic adhesions may induce an uncontrolled endocytosis response resulting in an enlargement of the lysosomal compartment. Interestingly, the cathepsin B staining pattern observed in the treated cells suggests that tositumomab dramatically increases the expression of this lysosomal hydrolase (17), whose increased activity can destabilize lysosomal membranes by a mechanism involving degradation of lysosomal membrane proteins (19). More quantitative experiments addressing cathepsin activity as well as the analysis of endocytic activity would, however, be needed to test this hypothesis, which was not addressed by the authors.

Future perspectives

The current study by Ivanov and coworkers demonstrates that type II mAbs such as tositumomab induce the nonapoptotic lysosomal cell death pathway (17). Given that many lymphomas have fundamental defects in both mitochondrial and death receptor signaling pathways and that these apoptotic defects specifically characterize the most aggressive and rituximab-resistant tumors, type II mAbs may provide new treatment options in aggressive B-cell tumors. Indeed, tositumomab treatment has been demonstrated to result in tumor regression in refractory/relapsed non-Hodgkin lymphoma patients and even more remarkable responses have been reported for the 131I-conjugated version of tositumomab (Bexxar) that shows efficacy even in cases in which rituximab-based therapy has failed (20, 21). It will be of importance to investigate in future clinical trials whether the superiority of the unconjugated type II mAbs compared with type I mAbs seen in the experimental models also holds true in patients (14–16).

Type II mAbs may also be beneficial as first-line therapy in combination with existent chemotherapeutic regimens, since it may prohibit the development of resistant cells with apoptosis defects. In light of this new data on the lysosomal involvement in the cytotoxicity induced by type II mAbs, combination therapies with agents further sensitizing cells to lysosomal destabilization (such as microtubule-disturbing drugs vincristine and pacitaxel) as well as with those inducing lysosomal destabilization (such as siramesine) should be experimentally tested (22, 23). Finally, it can be speculated whether regimens that cause activation of the lysosomal cell death pathway may also be effective in patients with inherited diseases caused by defects in proapoptotic genes such as TP53 (Li-Fraumeni syndrome) and FAS (autoimmune lymphoproliferative syndrome).
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The cytotoxic activity of lymphocytes is crucial for immune surveillance and homeostasis. Several independent, naturally occurring genetic models characterized by defects in granule trafficking or exocytosis have helped to decipher the multiple steps and molecules that regulate the cytotoxic process. The study by Rüder and colleagues in this issue of the JCI shows that an engineered absence of EBAG9, previously reported as a tumor-associated antigen, enhances cytotoxic activity of CTLs but not NK cells, likely acting on the endosomal-lysosomal trafficking of the cytotoxic effectors (see the related article beginning on page 2184). This finding adds a new piece to the puzzle of complex mechanisms that tightly regulate the capacity of the cytotoxic response and suggests a new target to negatively modulate CTL responsiveness.
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