The linkage of Kaposi sarcoma (KS) to infection by a novel human herpesvirus (Kaposi sarcoma–associated herpesvirus [KSHV]) is one of the great successes of contemporary biomedical research and was achieved by using advanced genomic technologies in a manner informed by a nuanced understanding of epidemiology and clinical investigation. Ongoing efforts to understand the molecular mechanisms by which KSHV infection predisposes to KS continue to be powerfully influenced by insights emanating from the clinic. Here, recent developments in KS pathogenesis are reviewed, with particular emphasis on clinical, pathologic, and molecular observations that highlight the many differences between this process and tumorigenesis by other oncogenic viruses.

The pathobiology of KS

It is now 15 years since the discovery by Yuan Chang, Patrick Moore, and their colleagues (1) of DNA from a novel herpesvirus in biopsy specimens of human Kaposi sarcoma (KS). That virus, now called KS-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) or human herpesvirus 8 (HHV-8), has since been cloned (2–4) and sequenced (2, 5), grown in culture (6), and extensively studied in vitro. Epidemiologic studies (7, 8) provide strong evidence that infection by KSHV is required for KS tumorigenesis and further link the viral genome to at least two rare lymphoproliferative disorders: primary effusion lymphoma (PEL) and multicentric Castleman disease (MCD) (9).

This review discusses the proposed mechanisms underlying the association of KSHV with KS, with particular emphasis on how they relate to the distinctive clinical and pathologic features of this unique human neoplasm.
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of marker expression in spindle cells, it also suggests that we may never be able to assign the exact endothelial lineage of spindle cells by marker studies alone.

Spindle cells are often referred to as the “malignant” cells of KS. But this designation is not strictly correct, and its continuing use largely reflects the absence of an alternative word to denote their centrality to KS pathogenesis. In fact, spindle cells have few properties in common with malignant transformed cells—they usually (27, 28) (though not invariably; ref. 29) lack clonality, even in well-developed lesions. They are typically diploid—a sharp contrast to classical cancers, which are usually strikingly aneuploid. When put into culture, most spindle cells fail to display another malignant phenotype: reduced dependence on extracellular growth factors. In fact, KS spindle cells display the opposite phenotype—exaggerated dependence on such factors. To date, the only reproducible way to grow such cells in culture has been to incubate them in conditioned medium from activated T cells (18, 19, 30), an environment laden with cytokines and growth factors. Moreover, cells prepared in this fashion do not display other experimental signatures of malignant transformation: they do not grow in soft agar and do not produce tumors in nude mice (18, 19, 31).

Everything we know about the clinical behavior of KS also supports the distinction from traditional malignancy. KS occurs in two major forms—classical KS, which is unaffiliated with HIV infection, and AIDS-related KS. The two forms are histologically identical, and both are etiologically linked to antecedent KSHV infection (32). Classical KS is typically an indolent disorder that is generally confined to the skin, especially that of the legs. The lesions progress very slowly, such that many patients require no therapy—indeed, cases of spontaneous remission, though uncommon, have been well documented (33). When these lesions do progress, most such progression is local, with widespread dissemination being distinctly uncommon. Thus, classical KS is rarely life threatening (34). Although some traditional cancers can also be indolent, this relatively benign natural history is certainly compatible with the observation that spindle cells are not fully malignant by classical criteria.

AIDS-KS presents a more malign face—on the skin it can be widespread, involving large areas of the body surface, sometimes in a symmetrical fashion (35). Local nodularity and edema can be marked and can be profoundly disfiguring. Life-threatening complications arise from its propensity for visceral involvement, especially in the lungs (leading to respiratory failure) or the gastrointestinal tract (resulting in gastrointestinal bleeding). Interestingly, in AIDS-KS, the temporal pattern of occurrence of multifocal lesions is often not consistent with spread from a primary lesion, but rather suggests independent occurrences (multicentricity) (33). This inference has been supported by molecular analysis of KSHV genomes from KS lesions, which has affirmed that different lesions from the same patient often harbor genomes of differing terminal structure, suggesting they arose from independent infection events (28).

One other clinical aspect of KS is worth noting here—namely, its relationship to inflammatory states, both systemic and local. In the era prior to effective antiretroviral therapy, it was often noted that AIDS patients with stable KS who experienced a severe systemic infection would sometimes develop a florid worsening of KS during or after the infection. More telling still is the propensity of KS to occur at local sites of inflammation (e.g., surgical incisions or sites of prior zoster eruptions) (36–38). These observations suggest that an inflammatory microenvironment, which is always a part of KS histology, actually promotes the establishment or development of KS lesions—an idea that is strikingly concordant with the need for cytokine-rich media for the propagation of spindle cells in vitro.

From a pathogenetic viewpoint, it is helpful to think of KS as being composed of three parallel processes: proliferation (principally affecting spindle cells), inflammation, and angiogenesis. Unlike traditional cancer, which is predominantly a proliferative state driven by tumor cells that have achieved substantial autonomy and only later trigger inflammatory and angiogenic responses, KS is a disease in which all three processes participate simultaneously from its inception and are continuously necessary for the lesion to progress. A useful synthesis envisages that spindle cells produce proinflammatory and proangiogenic factors that recruit inflammatory cells and neovascular elements; these in turn provide growth factors and other substances necessary for spindle cell survival and proliferation (19, 39, 40). Unlike in traditional cancers, no one component of this triad is truly autonomous. Although this is an attractive formulation, it has been difficult to test experimentally, in no small measure owing to the absence of suitable animal models of KS. Nonetheless, this paradigm rationalizes most of the cardinal clinical and experimental observations made to date.

The etiologic role of KSHV

In the 1980s, the widespread occurrence of KS in AIDS patients initially suggested that HIV might be its proximate cause. But two facts soon put this idea to rest: (a) HIV proviral DNA was not present in the tumor; and (b) not all HIV-positive subjects were equally at risk of KS. KS risk was much greater in homosexual men with AIDS than in any other AIDS risk group (41). It soon became clear that sexual transmission of HIV was linked to much higher risk of KS than parenteral transmission of the virus, even though recipients in both cases became equally immunodeficient. This suggested that, in addition to HIV infection, a second agent linked to sexual activity must be involved—the search for which led Chang, Moore, et al. to KSHV (1).

The discovery of the KSHV genome allowed rapid development of both PCR tests for viral DNA and serologic tests for antiviral antibodies. This in turn made possible population-based studies...
that soon delineated the key facts of KSHV epidemiology — all of which supported a central role for KSHV infection in KS development. The major pillars of this association have been reviewed elsewhere (8) and can be summarized as follows: (a) all KS lesions, whether HIV-positive or -negative, harbor KSHV DNA (42–44); (b) in KS tumors, KSHV infection specifically localizes to the spindle cells, the cell type whose proliferation is thought to drive KS histogenesis (16); (c) in any given locale, KSHV seroprevalence is high (30%–60%) in regions where classical KS is common (Southern Mediterranean and Africa) and low (1%–5%) in regions where classical KS is rare (e.g., the United States) (7, 46); (d) KSHV infection precedes KS development (48) and prospectively predicts elevated KS risk (49); and (f) consonant with KS epidemiology, KSHV is sexually transmitted, with male homosexuals at especially high risk (49, 50). Taken together, these facts strongly imply that KSHV is the agent predicted by KS epidemiology and is necessary for KS development — KS is never observed in the absence of KSHV.

However, these facts also imply something equally important: that while necessary for KS development, KSHV infection is not sufficient for it. For example, although 1%–5% of the U.S. population is KSHV-seropositive, most of these individuals never develop overt KS. Population-based estimates suggest that even in endemic zones, only about 1 in 10,000 infected subjects will develop classical KS (51–54). Clearly, additional events are necessary to trigger KS development. The identity of those additional events in classical KS is unknown and represents one of the great unsolved problems of KS research. In AIDS-KS, however, the second hit is clearly HIV. The magnitude of HIV’s contribution to KS risk is immense — 50% of dually infected men who receive no effective treatment for either HIV or KSHV will develop KS in a ten-year period (49). Further evidence that HIV infection is a central cofactor comes from the greater than 90% decline in incident KS in the United States and Europe in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) (55, 56). Moreover, established KS frequently goes into remission when AIDS-KS patients are treated with HAART (57–59). Given this, it seems a bit diminutive to refer to HIV as a mere “cofactor” — but formally speaking, that is its role.

The exact mechanisms by which HIV amplifies KS risk during KSHV infection remain contentious. Certainly the immune deficiency state of advanced HIV disease is a major contributor to risk — a fact supported by the existence of transplant-associated KS, which arises from KSHV infection in the context of iatrogenic immune suppression (60). But there are other, more direct possibilities. Laboratory experiments indicate that in certain settings, HIV infection can augment KSHV replication, in both cell-autonomous (61, 62) and paracrine (63) fashions. However, the frequency of dual infection of cells in vivo by HIV and KSHV is very low (17), making a major contribution from cell-autonomous pathways unlikely. Paracrine pathways provide a more attractive mechanistic connection. Mercader et al. (63) found that cytokines, especially oncostatin M and IFN-γ, produced by HIV-infected cells can trigger lytic KSHV reactivation, which could foster dissemination of KSHV infection, thereby predisposing to KS. Barillari and Ensoli have proposed a different connection, pointing out that soluble HIV Tat protein can serve as a growth factor for cultured KS spindle cells in vitro (64). Sadly, absent a reliable animal model of KS, decisive in vivo tests of any of these ideas will be difficult.

KSHV virology: a primer

As found in the virion, KSHV DNA is a linear duplex of approximatively 165 kb; 140 kb of this DNA contains coding information, flanked on either side by tandem (terminal) repeats of 1.4 kb of highly GC-rich noncoding sequences (65, 66). In infected cell nuclei, the genome circularizes to form a covalently closed circular episome. The complete nucleotide sequence (2) of KSHV predicts the existence of at least 87 ORFs.

Tropism. Phylogenetic analysis reveals that KSHV is a member of the lymphotropic (or γ) herpesvirus subfamily, whose prototype human member is EBV (Figure 2). Like EBV, KSHV’s primary target cell is the B cell, and in healthy seropositive hosts viral DNA is principally found in this compartment (67). Evidence that KSHV also infects endothelium in vivo derives chiefly from the presence of viral DNA in KS spindle cells, and also in some cells lining the aberrant slit-like vessels of KS lesions (68, 69). One study has also sighted KSHV markers within monocytes infiltrating KS lesions (70). But overall, it bears emphasis that KSHV’s tropism in vivo is quite restricted. This is in sharp contrast to its behavior in vitro: KSHV will efficiently infect a wide variety of adherent human cells in culture, including epithelial cells, fibroblasts, and keratinocytes, as well as endothelial cells (71–73). Paradoxically, most established human B cell lines are not infectible, though recent studies show

**Figure 2**

Phylogenetic tree of selected major herpesviruses. HSV, herpes simplex virus; VZV, varicella-zoster virus; HCMV, human cytomegalovirus; HHV, human herpesvirus; HVS, herpesvirus saimiri (a simian virus). Figure reproduced with permission from *Journal of Virology* (193).
that primary peripheral blood B cells can be infected in vitro if they are first activated by CD40 ligand and IL-4 (74). Why cell types that can be so readily infected in vitro are refractory in vivo is unknown, but this fact needs to be kept in mind when evaluating claims about KSHV tropism based solely on studies in culture.

Replication cycles. Like all herpesviruses, KSHV can express its genes in one of two alternative genetic programs, depending upon the circumstances of infection. The first of these, latency, is a state in which viral gene expression is sharply restricted, with only a handful of viral genes being stably expressed. In latent infection, the incoming linear viral genome circularizes in the nucleus, and the resulting large episome is autonomously maintained there, usually at low-moderate copy number. Because most viral genes are not expressed, there is no cytotoxicity and no virus is released. In KSHV, as in EBV, latency is the default pathway, at least in culture. In most established cell lines in culture, the latent infection that follows KSHV exposure displays no evident phenotype (73). Similarly, primary B cells infected with KSHV become neither immortalized nor transformed (74, 75). This is in striking contrast to infection by EBV, whose latency program is powerfully immortalizing in B cells (76). However, there is one cell type whose in vitro infection by KSHV does yield phenotypic consequences — primary endothelial cells (Figure 3). When such cells are exposed to KSHV, striking morphologic changes occur, dominated by a rearrangement of the actin cytoskeleton that produces an elongated morphology strongly reminiscent of that of the spindle cell (77, 78). However, even these cells are not immortalized, do not grow in soft agar, and do not form tumors in nude mice — although they do display heightened resistance to induction of apoptosis by growth factor withdrawal (79).

Importantly, latency is not irreversible. Because the full complement of viral DNA is retained in the nucleus, under the appropriate circumstances the second program of viral gene expression, lytic replication, can be activated. In this program, expression of virtually all viral genes is activated, in a temporally regulated cascade; infectious viral progeny are produced, and the infected cell is killed (6, 80). The physiologic signals that trigger lytic KSHV reactivation in vivo are unknown. We do know that periodic “spontaneous” reactivation from latency occurs regularly, both in cell culture (6) and in vivo (72, 81, 82). In the human host, the principal site of lytic virus replication is the oropharynx, most likely in B cells of tonsillar or other pharyngeal lymphoid tissue, though growth in pharyngeal epithelium is another possibility (83). Careful clinical studies show that shedding of KSHV virions, reflecting periodic bouts of lytic reactivation, is intermittent and generally asymptomatic (81, 82). This biology underlies much of the epidemiology of KSHV, which is presumed to be driven by mucosal exposure to salivary virus, both in sexual transmission among adults (49) and in horizontal spread of virus among prepubertal children in the endemic zones of Africa and the Mediterranean basin (7).

How does KSHV infection predispose to KS?

For the virologist, this question reduces to: what KSHV genes are expressed in the tumor, and how do they act? Although this may seem a straightforward question, it is complicated by the fact that herpesviral genomes can be expressed via either latent or lytic programs. Both programs are on display in KS tumors (17), especially those of patients with advanced HIV-induced immune deficiency. Most spindle cells in an advanced KS tumor are latently infected, but a small minority of cells express lyric markers (17, 68, 69, 84).

The bimodal expression program of the KSHV genome requires that, when considering the pathogenetic roles of viral genes, careful attention be paid to which program of viral gene expression governs transcription of that gene; failure to do so can invite misinterpretation. For example, early findings that viral genes such as ORF-K9 (encoding an IRF-1 homolog; ref. 85) or ORF-74 (encoding a viral GPCR; ref. 86) could immortalize transfected mouse fibroblasts led to suggestions that they might drive proliferation in KS (85–88). However, the subsequent discovery that these genes appear to be expressed only during lytic growth (39, 89–91) rendered such roles implausible, since lytically infected cells invariably die. This is not to say that lyric genes can play no role in KS pathogenesis — in fact, considerable evidence is accumulating that they do (see Lytic genes and KS development, below). But because of the cell death induced by lytic replication, any contribution of lytic products to KS must be non–cell autonomous. Because of considerations such as these, in the following sections, the potential oncogenic contributions of latent- and lyric-cycle genes are discussed separately.

Latent viral genes. The best characterized latent genes constitute a major latency locus that is transcribed in all latently infected cells (Figure 4). This region includes several ORFs, encoding the proteins latency-associated nuclear antigen (LANA), viral cyclin (v-cyclin), v–Flice-inhibitory protein (v-FLIP), and kaposins A, B, and C. The first three genes are under the control of a single promoter (the LANA promoter, or Ltc), which generates a series of coterminal miRNAs via differential splicing (92–94). A second promoter (the kaposin promoter, or LTd) encodes a spliced transcript encoding the kaposins (95–97) and can also generate a bicistrionic RNA for v-cyclin and v-FLIP. This promoter also governs the expression of 12 pre-miRNAs (Figure 4), which can be processed to yield a total of 18 mature miRNAs (98–102). All of these latent products have been found to be expressed in KS spindle cells as well as PEL cells (103–105). A second locus, expressed in latent PEL cells, encodes the v-IRF3 (or LANA-2) protein, a member of the IRF superfamily that dominantly inhibits IFN induction (106). This gene is expressed only in PEL cells and not in KS cells and for this reason will not be further considered here. In this section, the functions of selected latent products with known or suspected links to KS pathogenesis are highlighted.
The best understood of the latency proteins is LANA, whose principal role in viral replication is to promote replication of the latent viral episome — a property mediated by its ability to bind specifically to sequences within the terminal repeats of the viral genome (107–112). Its ability to also bind cellular histones H2A and H2B (113) (and possibly other chromosomal proteins; ref. 114) also allows it to tether viral genomes to mitotic chromosomes, assuring their segregation to daughter cells in mitosis (107, 109, 113, 115). Thus, LANA expression is necessary for persistent infection, without which KS will not develop. However, it is to be emphasized that LANA’s support of genomic maintenance is inefficient — rapidly dividing cultured cells often lose the KSHV episome within 5–10 cell doublings (unless there is a genetic selection for episome segregation). In fact, strong clinical evidence (120) supports the idea that LANA is necessary for persistent infection, without which KS will not develop. However, it is to be emphasized that LANA’s support of genomic maintenance is inefficient — rapidly dividing cultured cells often lose the KSHV episome within 5–10 cell doublings (unless there is a genetic selection for episome maintenance) (116). This explains why most spindle cell lines derived from KS tumors lack the viral genome after outgrowth from the primary tumor (117–119). Interestingly, some viral genomes can undergo epigenetic changes that stabilize the latent genome, allowing persistence in the absence of selection (116) — such changes appear to be the rule in PEL but are uncommon in KS. The relative instability of KSHV genomes in KS has important implications for tumorigenesis. If KS lesion development requires viral persistence, and if episome maintenance is inefficient in spindle cells, then it might be predicted that progression of a KS lesion would require concomitant lytic replication to allow de novo infection of cells to replace infected cells lost via episome segregation. In fact, strong clinical evidence (120) supports the idea that lytic KSHV replication is also important for KS progression (see Lytic genes and KS development, below).

LANA very likely makes additional, more direct biochemical contributions to tumorigenesis, since it has also been shown to bind and (partially) inhibit the cellular tumor suppressor genes p53 (121) and Rb (122). It also can posttranslationally upregulate expression of host β-catenin (123), which activates a proliferative gene expression program that includes the protooncogenes c-myc, c-jun, and cyclin D. Together, these activities could inhibit apoptosis and thus extend spindle cell survival, and also stimulate spindle cell proliferation.

The discovery that KSHV encodes a functional cyclin D homolog (termed v-cyclin) (124) in latency provoked great interest, given the known roles of this family of proteins in the regulation of the cell cycle (125) and the fact that v-cyclin makes cyclin-dependent kinase 6 (cdk6) more refractory to the inhibitory effects of cdk inhibitors such as p27 (126–128). However, it has been extremely difficult to rigorously identify its pathogenetic role in KS, since isolated expression of v-cyclin in cells tends to promote replicative stress and DNA damage responses, leading to growth arrest and, in some contexts, apoptosis (129, 130).

The role of the adjacent v-FLIP gene, which encodes a homolog of known cellular FLIPs, is much better understood. Cellular FLIPs are known to inhibit Fas-mediated caspase activation, promoting resistance to Fas-mediated apoptosis (131). Although it has been alleged that the KSHV v-FLIP protein shares this activity (132, 133), most current evidence suggests it does not (134). But there is no question that KSHV v-FLIP is a potent antiapoptotic effector; for example, siRNA-mediated inactivation of v-FLIP provokes apoptosis in PEL cells (135, 136). v-FLIP’s prosurvival activity is linked to its ability to activate the transcription factor NF-κB (137, 138). NF-κB is maintained in cells in an inactive cytoplasmic form, bound to the inhibitor IκB. v-FLIP binds and activates the γ subunit of IκB kinase (IKK) (139–141). The resulting IκB phosphorylation displaces IκB from NF-κB, releasing the active transcription factor to the nucleus, where it activates a large panel of antiapoptotic and proinflammatory genes. Expression of v-FLIP in spindle cells thus not only can extend their lifespan (142, 143) but also can explain, at least in part, the inflammatory phenotype of KS lesions. NF-κB activation by v-FLIP expression in endothelial cells has also been linked to a third phenotype relevant to KS — the dramatic rearrangement of the cytoskeleton that gives the cells their characteristic spindle shape (78, 144). Finally, in many (145) (but not all; ref. 146) cell contexts, activation of NF-κB by v-FLIP opposes lytic reactivation, thereby stabilizing latency.

A few kilobases away from the v-FLIP gene is the kaposin locus, a complex and poorly understood region that encodes at least 3 proteins, kaposins A, B, and C (147). Kaposin A is a tiny (60-aa) transmembrane protein whose overexpression in fibroblasts can lead (albeit inefficiently) to their transformation in vitro, suggesting that the molecule can stimulate signaling pathways linked
to growth deregulation (148). How it does so is unclear but may relate to its ability to bind cytohesin-1 (149), an exchange factor for ADP ribosylation factor (ARF) family GTPases, key regulators of vesicular trafficking and of the dynamics of the actin cytoskeleton (150). Kaposin B is a scaffolding protein, one of whose functions is to activate the p38 MAPK signaling pathway, via direct interaction with the kinase MK2, a key p38 substrate (151). An important consequence of this is the stabilization of cytokine and growth factor mRNAs, by inhibition of a degradative pathway that targets AU-rich elements (AREs) in their 3’ untranslated regions (UTRs) (151). Thus, Kaposin B is a second latent gene product that promotes the proinflammatory microenvironment so characteristic of KS lesions—and upon which they appear to depend.

The kaposin transcription unit also encodes 12 pre-miRNAs (refs. 98–101; Figure 4). As noted above, these pre-miRNAs can engender 18 mature miRNAs at last count (102). Some of these miRNAs appear to function as modulators of the latent-lytic switch, which they can influence in either a negative (152) or positive (153) direction. Both host and viral mRNAs are targeted by the KSHV miRNAs, but identification of these targets is still in its infancy. One miRNA targets the expression of the viral RTA protein (152), the master regulator of lytic induction. As to host targets, several KSHV miRNAs have been found to downregulate thrombospondin, a known antagonist of angiogenesis—thus, they could contribute to the neovascular phenotype of KS (154). One KSHV miRNA, miRK11, shares seed sequence identity with a lymphoid-specific host miRNA (miR155) whose targets affect B cell differentiation (155, 156); this miRNA may play roles in B cell infection and possibly in PEL development. Its participation in KS pathogenesis is unknown, but very recent data indicate it could play a role in the regulation of endothelial differentiation via regulation of the MAF transcription factor (157).

Finally, very recent evidence suggests that a small number of genes outside the major latency locus may also be expressed in latency (158). Chief among these is ORF-K1, a transmembrane signaling molecule whose activity mimics signaling via the B cell antigen receptor (159). These findings may be important in KS pathogenesis, since it has been shown that K1 overexpression in primary endothelial cells can substantially extend their lifespan (160).

**Lytic genes and KS development.** In other oncogenic herpesviruses, the lytic cycle has not generally been considered to play a prominent role in tumorigenesis, since it causes cell death. In most formulations, its only (imputed) role is to allow spread of virus throughout the body early in infection, putting many cells into latency. From that point on, according to this view, the enhanced survival and proliferation engendered by latency promotes mutations, replicative errors, and chromosomal rearrangements that put the cells on their long mutational march to cancer. But does this model apply to KSHV and KS? Certainly the weak phenotype of KSHV latency provides ample grounds for wondering whether latency in this virus has the capacity to do all the heavy lifting of tumorigenesis. But much stronger evidence implicating the lytic cycle in KS has come from clinical study of the role of ganciclovir in AIDS patients (who were being treated with the drug for CMV retinitis). This revealed that patients receiving systemic ganciclovir had a 5- to 8-fold decline in incident KS during the follow-up period (120). Since these end-stage AIDS patients had certainly carried HIV and KSHV for many years, and since ganciclovir specifically blocks lytic replication and has no effect on latency, the results strongly suggest that lytic KSHV replication is crucially necessary throughout the long natural history of infection in order for KS to develop.

Why might this be so? There could be three (non–mutually exclusive) reasons. First, if KSHV latency is not immortalizing (73–75, 77), then even if a latently infected cell’s lifespan is extended (79), sooner or later that cell will die, and the only way it can be replaced is for another, uninfected cell to be recruited to latency by infection with a virus produced by lytic replication. Second, if latency is unstable (116), then proliferating infected cells will ultimately segregate uninfected daughter cells, and optimal growth of an infected lesion will be impaired without de novo infection of more cells by lytically produced virions. A third model harkens back to the notion that KS tumors comprise a triad of proliferation, inflammation, and angiogenesis. It was noted above that lytic infection of proliferating cells will kill them. However, the majority of the inflammatory and neovascular elements in a KS tumor are composed of uninfected cells. If a small percentage of KS cells are in the lytic cycle, and if these cells can secrete proinflammatory or proangiogenic factors, then they could influence the inflammatory and vascular components of the lesion even though their survival is only transient.

Many genes in the lytic cycle have been proposed as candidates for contributing to such paracrine signaling. First, the virus itself encodes several secreted proteins that are active signaling molecules, some of which are clearly proinflammatory. Chief among these is v–IL-6, a homolog of cellular IL-6 that can signal by binding directly to gp130 without interaction with the high-affinity IL-6 receptor (161). v–IL-6 signaling upregulates an angiogenic program (162), especially the production of angiopoietin 2 (163)—a protein that is known to promote vascular remodeling and enhance vascular permeability. The latter feature is of great interest, since local edema is a prominent clinical feature of KS lesions. Three CC chemokine homologs are also encoded by KSHV (164). These chemokines have important effects on lymphocytes—some are chemotactic for Th2 cells (165, 166), contributing to immune evasion by promoting Th2 polarization of the microenvironment (167). But viral chemokines can also affect the microvasculature by promoting endothelial cell migration (168), upregulating VEGF expression (169), and stimulating angiogenesis (164, 170). Recent studies (171) reveal that the viral chemokine ligands v–CCL1 and v–CCL2 can promote the survival of primary endothelial cells by inhibiting Bim expression and thereby blocking apoptosis.

Beyond these secreted proteins, the KSHV lytic program also includes several transmembrane signaling proteins that can induce the expression of host genes with proinflammatory or proangiogenic potential. Chief among these is the viral GPCR (v-GPCR) encoded by ORF-74. As previously noted, this gene encodes a constitutively active signaling molecule with powerful effects on gene expression (86). Among the host angiogenic factors whose expression is induced by v-GPCR signaling are: VEGF (87, 172), a potent proangiogenic factor whose other activity is to promote vascular permeability; angiogenin (173); and angiopoietin 2 (163). KS lesions express all 3 factors, and lytic infection is associated with strong upregulation of angiopoietin 2 (174, 175). v-GPCR is not the only gene expressed in lytic infection that can upregulate VEGF; ORF-K1 expression, which is strongly upregulated during lytic infection (66, 176), also has this activity (177, 178). Other viral lytic proteins can upregulate inflammatory signaling by the host. For example, the product of ORF-K15 is a polytopic transmembrane protein whose cytosolic tail can under-
go tyrosine phosphorylation, leading to TRAF3 recruitment and NF-kB activation. The net result is activation of a large program of cytokine and chemokine expression (179, 180).

All of the above data were generated by transfection of individual lytic genes into uninfected cells in culture. However, a caveat must be made before these results can be extrapolated to the setting of viral infection: during authentic infection, starting midway through the lytic cycle (roughly contemporaneously with the expression of v-GPCR and the ORF-K15 protein), KSHV imposes a strong block on host gene expression. This block is due to a global acceleration of host mRNA decay, mediated by a SOX-mediated decay. However, modest increases in translation could allow for modest accumulation of their translation products. Thus, although transfection of individual KSHV signaling genes in cultured cells usually overstates the magnitude of the induction they can achieve in vivo, it does still provide useful qualitative hints about this process.

Recently, an interesting small animal model of KS pathogenesis has been presented by Mesri and colleagues that has further supported the likely role of lytic cycle genes in KS generation (182). In this system, murine bone marrow–derived endothelial cells were transfected with a bacmid bearing the entire KSHV genome. After selection for a linked drug-resistance marker, cell lines emerged in vitro that harbored the complete KSHV genome. These cells had several properties reminiscent of KS spindle cells, including elongated morphology; inability to grow in soft agar; upregulation of VEGF, VEGFR2, and angiopoietin 2; and instability of the viral episome (i.e., when drug selection was removed, the episome was rapidly lost). Implantation of these cells into nude mice produced vascularized, sarcoma-like lesions populated by spindle-shaped cells. Importantly, these lesions depended upon the presence of the viral genome; segregants that had lost the genome were incapable of producing the tumors upon implantation. This is an appealing set of properties and clearly reinforces the connection between viral gene expression and spindle cell shape, survival, and induction of neoangiogenesis. It is the first animal model that clearly reproduces the selective advantage conferred by the presence of the viral genome in vivo in the absence of overt transformation in vitro—a central feature of the human biology of KS.

However, there are indications that the model does not faithfully reproduce all the features of authentic KS. Expression profiling reveals that a large number of lytic KSHV genes are being expressed at elevated levels in these cells after implantation in the animal host (182). Even though many lytic genes are turned on (and are on in a large number of cells), no cytopathic effect is present and no viral progeny is being produced. It appears that a large population of these mouse cells is undergoing a kind of abortive infection not typical of what is seen in human KS. Given the rather promiscuous nature of gene expression in this model, and the large number of lytic genes that can trigger proangiogenic or proinflammatory changes, there are legitimate questions as to whether the model will be an accurate guide to the subset of viral genes that is actually responsible for KS development in human cells. Despite these caveats, the system is a substantial advance and is highly consistent with the idea that lytic gene expression contributes to the KS phenotype.

KS clinical investigation: the gift that keeps on giving

While progress in the last decade on the molecular and cellular biology of KSHV infection has been explosive, clinical investigation has not stood still either. In fact, it has continued to provide provocative new observations that reshape our thinking about KSHV pathogenesis—and even suggest new directions for laboratory investigation. For example, examination of patients with AIDS-KS who undergo successful antiretroviral therapy reveals that as CD4+ T cell counts rise and immune function is restored, preexisting KS lesions often transiently get worse, with more swelling, induration, and vascularity (183, 184). With time, most such lesions will either stabilize or resolve, but on occasion the process is severe enough to warrant chemotherapy (185, 186). This transient worsening of KS during the period of immunologic recovery (termed immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome [IRIS]) is a powerful testament to the role of inflammation in KS pathogenesis. Studies of cytokine and chemokine expression in KS-IRIS lesions are currently underway in an effort to understand the nature of the signals that might promote KS; other efforts center on determining the nature of innate and adaptive immune responses that ultimately lead to resolution of KS in this context. It will also be of interest to see whether different patterns of viral gene expression are induced during the transient worsening.

The study of transplantation-related KS is also advancing our understanding of this disease. Traditionally, post-transplantation KS is treated with withdrawal or reduction of immunosuppressive drugs; generally, KS can be controlled by this maneuver, but injury to (or loss of) the transplanted organ is often the result (60). In an effort to improve the outcome of post-transplantation KS, clinicians have recently tried substituting rapamycin (sirolimus) for the calcineurin inhibitors cyclosporine or tacrolimus after the appearance of a KS lesion (187). Rapamycin is not a calcineurin inhibitor; it binds the 12-kDa protein FK506-binding protein 1A (FKBP12), and the resulting complex binds the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), thereby disrupting the function of one of the known mTOR signaling complexes, mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1). Signal via mTORC1 promotes enhanced translation and cell proliferation (188). (Note: Rapamycin likely also affects signaling via a second mTOR complex, mTORC2, at higher drug concentrations.) Rapamycin is also an immunosuppressant, acting to impair T cell proliferation in response to IL-2, but is less potent than the calcineurin inhibitors, which block IL-2 production by activated T cells.

The clinical results of rapamycin treatment of transplant-related KS are dramatic: in the largest series published to date, 15 of 15 patients responded with complete clinical remission of KS, all the while retaining functioning allografts (187). These results have been independently replicated (189), though not all centers report equally high response rates (190). Even allowing for variability in response rates, this is a great advance in KS management. But it also poses an important biological question: is the result solely due to
lissened immunosuppression, or does inhibition of mTOR signaling have direct antitumor effects? There is reason to believe that the latter might play a role: studies of both PEL cells and KSHV-infected endothelial cells have shown activation of PI3K and Akt phosphorylation (191, 192), both of which are events that occur upstream of mTORC1, the principal target of rapamycin action. Thus, viral infection may turn on the mTORC1 pathway. Rapamycin has been shown to induce growth arrest in many PEL cell lines (and apoptosis in a subset of them) (191), suggesting that this activation is functionally important; experiments are ongoing to determine the drug’s effects in KSHV-infected endothelial cells. But perhaps the most direct and accurate way to gauge rapamycin’s intrinsic anti-KS activity will be a clinical trial of the drug in classical (HIV-negative) KS patients, since they have no overt immune deficiency.

Coda

The pace of discovery in KS pathogenesis in the 15 years since the first sighting of the KS viral genome can only be described as breathtaking. Our understanding of the molecular basis of viral replication and gene expression has generated new hypotheses about the pathogenetic events that lead to this remarkable neoplasm. Everything we learn about replication from experimental systems, however, should be interpreted in the context of the human biology of KSHV. Most of the phenotypes of KSHV infection in cultured cells are subtle and not obviously informative about how KS evolves in vivo. Conversely, many of the phenotypes observed for individual KSHV genes overexpressed in transfected cells are more dramatic than those of KS itself and therefore invite misinterpretation. Such interpretive errors can be avoided only by rigorous comparison of each result with what is known of the pathologic and clinical behavior of KS. Continued close attention to how experimental results square with the picture emerging from ongoing clinical investigations promises to make the next 15 years even more illuminating than the last.
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