The diabetogenic mouse MHC class II molecule I-A\(^{\beta7}\) is endowed with a switch that modulates TCR affinity
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Genetic susceptibility to autoimmunity is frequently associated with specific MHC alleles. Diabetogenic MHC class II molecules, such as human HLA-DQ8 and mouse I-A\(^{\alpha7}\), typically have a small, uncharged amino acid residue at position S7 of their \(\beta\) chain (\(\beta57\)); this results in the absence of a salt bridge between \(\beta57\) and Argc76, which is adjacent to the P9 pocket of the peptide-binding groove. However, the influence of Argc76 on the selection of the TCR repertoire remains unknown, particularly when the MHC molecule binds a peptide with a neutral amino acid residue at position P9. Here, we have shown that diabetogenic MHC class II molecules bound to a peptide with a neutral P9 residue primarily selected and expanded cells expressing TCRs bearing a negatively charged residue in the first segment of their complementarity determining region 3\(\beta\). The crystal structure of one such TCR in complex with I-A\(^{\alpha7}\) bound to a peptide containing a neutral P9 residue revealed that a network of favorable long-range (greater than 4 Å) electrostatic interactions existed among Argc76, the neutral P9 residue, and TCR, which supported the substantially increased TCR/peptide-MHC affinity. This network could be modulated or switched to a lower affinity interaction by the introduction of a negative charge at position P9 of the peptide. Our results support the existence of a switch at residue \(\beta57\) of the I-A\(^{\alpha7}\) and HLA-DQ8 class II molecules and potentially link normal thymic TCR selection with abnormal peripheral behavior.

Introduction
An association between the HLA locus and autoimmune dysfunction was suggested as early as 1971 (1). Later, attention focused on MHC class II genes with the discovery of an association between HLA-DR4 and rheumatoid arthritis in 1978 (2). Thirty years have now passed since these seminal studies, and yet our general understanding of antigen presentation and T cell recognition at a molecular level has not provided an expected explanation for this association. One of the most striking examples of MHC allele linkage disequilibrium with autoimmune diseases is provided by type 1 diabetes (T1D) and the identification of a single residue polymorphism that confers either susceptibility or resistance to the disease (3). Indeed, almost all alleles linked to T1D share a common nonsynaptic acid residue at position S7 of their \(\beta\) chain (3). The absence of a negative charge at \(\beta57\) eliminates an interdomain salt bridge between Argc76 and \(\beta57\); however, this substitution does not compromise the overall stability of the molecule or its capacity to bind peptide (4, 5). The absence of a negative charge at \(\beta57\) reshapes the P9 pocket of the HLA-DQ8/I-A\(^{\alpha7}\) peptide-binding groove and exposes a large positively charged patch on the MHC surface (4, 6). An important functional consequence of this \(\beta57\) polymorphism is a clear propensity for these MHC molecules to bind peptides with a negatively charged residue at position P9. However, this feature is not absolute and many noncharged P9 peptides efficiently bind diabetogenic MHC molecules, such as HLA-DQ8 (7) or I-A\(^{\alpha7}\) (5). These peptide-MHC (pMHC) complexes will as a result characteristically have an exposed positive P9 patch on the MHC surface due to the “unpaired” charged Argc76 residue.

We have investigated whether T cells could recognize this patch on such pMHC complexes. However, this region, and in particular \(\beta57\), lies at the extreme end of the MHC peptide-binding groove and is typically beyond the reach (>10 Å) of the closest TCR loops (i.e., CDR2\(\alpha\) and CDR3\(\beta\)). Hence, direct recognition of this part of pMHC might require the TCR to shift toward the C-terminal part of the peptide, away from its most common P5-centric position (8, 9). Our studies here were aimed at addressing this outstanding question and examining whether I-A\(^{\alpha7}\)/HLA-DQ8 molecules could potentially support a unique mode of TCR recognition. Indeed, we have recently shown in celiac disease that the HLA-DQ8 \(\beta57\) polymorphism promoted the recruitment of T cells bearing a negative charge in CDR3\(\beta\) during the response against native gluten peptides containing a neutral residue at P9, supporting the idea that this MHC polymorphism was directly affecting T cell responses (10). First, we expanded this observation to new antigenic systems and I-A\(^{\alpha7}\), and then we proceeded with structural and biophysical studies to discover potential mechanisms.

Results
Endogenous self peptides with non Asp/Glu P9 residue bias TCR CDR3\(\beta\) usage. We first determined whether our observation in the human HLA-DQ8/gliadin system (10) could be generalized to murine I-A\(^{\alpha7}\) and other antigens by immunizing NOD mice with a glutamic acid decarboxylase 65 peptide (GAD) having a glycine (underlined) at P9 (LKKMREIIGWGSG; region 221–235 and hereafter designated GAD\(_{221-235}\)) or its variant GAD\(_{221-235}\)E with a Glu at P9. Peptide-specific T cell hybridomas that were isolated and sequenced after peptide binding were biased towards TCRs recognizing residues outside the CDR3\(\beta\) of the TCR (Figures 1A and 2A). These results were reproducible in the HLA-DQ8/gliadin system as well as in a celiac disease model (10) and in the mouse analogy of type 1 diabetes (Figure 2B).

Additionally, we showed that the FTY-720 (forskolin-treatment induced myelin basic protein (MBP))-specific T cell hybridomas selected after MBP in a 5-ASA–treated model were biased towards TCRs recognizing residues outside the CDR3\(\beta\) of the TCR (Figure 2C). In this system, the bias was normalized in the absence of 5-ASA (Figure 2D). This finding was consistent with the notion that the diabetogenic mouse MHC class II molecule I-A\(^{\beta7}\) is endowed with a switch that modulates TCR affinity.
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ment whereby T cells reactive against I-A\textsuperscript{\beta} were identified (Figure 1C). Likewise, the reciprocal depletion experiment, i.e., deplete and select against I-A\textsuperscript{\beta} 2.5mi 9D and 9Q, respectively, allowed us to identify T cells exclusively reactive against I-A\textsuperscript{\beta} 2.5mi 9Q (Figure 1C). These experiments revealed that 3 distinct TCR populations exist in NOD mice: (a) TCRs reactive only to 2.5mi 9D, (b) TCRs that are reactive against either 2.5mi 9D or 9Q, and (c) TCRs that only react to 2.5mi 9Q. The latter TCR population is significantly higher than the “2.5mi 9D only” TCR population and also has a higher average affinity for pMHC as inferred by the higher mean fluorescence intensity.

In summary, these results suggested that a compensating mechanism existed where I-A\textsuperscript{\beta} bound peptides with a neutral residue at position P9 were able to elicit TCRs with Asp/Glu residues (i.e., negatively charged) in the first segment of their CDR3\beta loop. Further, TCRs specific for the 2.5mi 9Q peptide have on average a higher avidity compared with TCRs raised against 2.5mi 9D.

Exogenous peptides with non-Asp/Glu P9 residues also bias TCR CDR3\beta usage. We then examined the TCR response against the classical exogenous antigen hen egg lysozyme (HEL) and its immunodominant HEL\textsubscript{11–27} peptide (AMKRHGLDNYRGYSGLGN), which carries a Gly residue (underlined) at position P9. NOD mice were immunized with the peptide, and individual T cells were sorted after tetramer staining and analyzed by single-cell RT-PCR/sequencing to examine their CDR3\beta sequences. An increased number of negatively charged residues were found within the first 3 residues of the CDR3\beta loop for CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cells specific against HEL\textsubscript{11–27} peptide (17/25, 68%; Table 1 and Supplemental Tables 5 and 6). The complementary immunization with a mutant peptide carrying a negative charge at P9 (HEL\textsubscript{11–27}9E) and sorting of T cells with the same pMHC resulted in a lower percentage of TCRs carrying negatively charged residues in CDR3\beta (11/22, 50%; Table 1 and Supplemental Tables 5 and 6).

The results from those 3 different antigenic systems indicated that TCR recognition of I-A\textsuperscript{\beta} peptide complexes was strongly associated with selection of a negatively charged residue in CDR3\beta when the peptide lacked an Asp/Glu at P9. This situation was identical to the one described for recognition of native gliadin peptide in the context of HLA-DQ\textsubscript{\beta} (10), suggesting that TCR recognition of both HLA-DQ\textsubscript{\beta} and I-A\textsuperscript{\beta} was directly influenced by the nature of the P9 region.

Structure of I-A\textsuperscript{\beta} GAD\textsubscript{221–235} suggests that the P9 pocket is accessible to TCR. A possible explanation for these observations was provided from our determination of the crystal structure of I-A\textsuperscript{\beta} in complex with GAD\textsubscript{221–235} to 3.1 Å resolution (Supplemental Table 7). The packing of I-A\textsuperscript{\beta} GAD\textsubscript{221–235} within the crystal lattice revealed a striking feature, namely an I-A\textsuperscript{\beta} dimer whose principal interface contact was formed as the direct result of the insertion of a glutamate side chain into the P9 peptide-binding pocket of another I-A\textsuperscript{\beta} molecule (Figure 2A). This complementation of charge, although nonphysiological, confirmed that the positive patch created around P9 was surface accessible and hence could conceivably be directly recognized by the side chain of a negatively charged residue from a TCR.

A comparison of the electrostatic surfaces of I-A\textsuperscript{\beta} GAD\textsubscript{221–235} and I-A\textsuperscript{\beta} GAD\textsubscript{221–235}9E (Figure 2B; the last structure was modeled from I-A\textsuperscript{\beta} GAD\textsubscript{221–235}) clearly showed that the I-A\textsuperscript{\beta} GAD\textsubscript{221–235}9E surface was noticeably more positively charged around the P9 region.
due primarily to the presence of the uncompensated charge on the MHC residue Argα76. For I-A^α^GAD_221–235^9E, the pairing of 9E with Argα76 resulted in the neutralization of the aforementioned unpaired positive charge. Importantly, the C terminus of the GAD_221–235 peptide does not contain any positively charged residues. Hence, the observed bias in the TCR CDR3β repertoire, seen between I-A^α^GAD_221–235 and I-A^α^GAD_221–235^9E, cannot be attributed to the presence of a positively charged residue on the peptide itself. Thus, the enhanced presence of negatively charged residues in CDR3β for TCRs selected against I-A^α^GAD_221–235 is most likely linked to the introduction of favorable electrostatic interactions between TCR and the P9 region of I-A^α^.

An important question that remained unanswered at this point was whether the TCRs could be making direct contact with the P9 peptide side chain. If true, this would provide a simple explanation for our observations (i.e., skewing of the TCR repertoire). This mode of TCR binding would be surprising, since the P9 side chain is not easily accessible for TCR recognition, being positioned obliquely downwards and lying at the outermost edge of the TCR footprint. We surmised that the P9 side chain was unlikely to be directly involved in TCR recognition and concluded that this observation could only be explained by either direct recognition of the positively charged patch on the surface of I-A^α^ or by an indirect effect (e.g., long-range electrostatic) that is secondary to the non-complementation of charge by the peptide at P9. The former possibility might require an important shift of the TCR footprint over MHC in order to place CDR3β over β57 (more than 10 Å). This mode of recognition could conceivably lead to a refocusing of the TCR CDR3 loops away from their normal P5-centric position.

Polyclonal TCR recognition of 2.5mi/HEL_11–27 is still P5 centric. The central role of the peptide P5 side chain for recognition by T cells was tested directly in the 2.5mi and HEL_11–27 systems by immunizing NOD mice with these peptides followed by in vitro stimulation of the responding CD4^+^ cells either with the eliciting peptide or a peptide variant with a glycine at position P5. In both cases, loss of a side chain at P5 resulted in no T cell activation (Supplemental Figure 1). This observation suggested that no major shift of the TCR along the MHC had occurred, although recognition of P5 by CDR1α (or CDR3α) could not be entirely discounted even following a shift of the TCR footprint.

In the absence of a TCR-pMHC structure, an explanation for this effect at a distance, other than electrostatic, was not easy to provide, but the functional consequences of a negative charge at P9 could be evaluated in the HEL system. Mice were immu-
Figure 2
Analysis of the I-A\(^{\beta}\)GAD\(_{221-235}\) structure. (A) A nonphysiological I-A\(^{\beta}\)GAD\(_{221-235}\) dimer observed in the crystal. The principal interface contact is formed when the glutamate side chain (E\(_{171}\)) of 1 MHC molecule binds in the P9 pocket of a second I-A\(^{\beta}\) molecule in the crystal lattice. View is centered on the P9 pocket of I-A\(^{\beta}\) (ribbon trace in cyan). The GAD peptide is displayed in ball-and-stick and appears as maroon. A symmetry-related I-A\(^{\beta}\) is displayed as a ribbon trace in yellow. The GAD P9 peptide residue (Gly) is highlighted by an asterisk. (B) Electrostatic surfaces of I-A\(^{\beta}\)GAD\(_{221-235}\) (left) and I-A\(^{\beta}\)GAD\(_{221-235}9E\) (right). The GAD\(_{221-235}\) molecular surface is more positively charged around the P9 region (highlighted by an asterisk) compared with GAD\(_{221-235}9E\). Positive charge is contoured blue, while negative charge is red (–5 to +5 kT/e). View is looking down onto the pMHC surface, with the P9 peptide residue circled.

Representative T cell hybridomas were isolated after HEL\(_{11-27}\) or HEL\(_{11-27}9E\) immunizations (clones 21.30.7 and 72.10, respectively) and tested for activation by HEL\(_{11-27}\) and mutant peptides. Clone 21.30.7 (hereafter called 21.30) was, analogous to the polyclonal response, strongly influenced by the presence of a negative charge at P9 unlike clone 72.10 (Figure 3, C and D). TCR 21.30 was approximately 100 times more sensitive to HEL\(_{11-27}\) than HEL\(_{11-27}9E\). The TCR \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) chains of these clones were isolated by RT-PCR, sequenced, and used to produce recombinant soluble TCR molecules suitable for structural studies.

It was noteworthy that TCR 21.30 had a negatively charged residue (Asp\(_{109}\)) at position 3 of its CDR3\(\beta\) loop (WDRAGNTL). The exact mode of how TCR 21.30 could be sensitive to position P9 remained unclear until we obtained the crystal structure of TCR 21.30 in complex with I-A\(^{\alpha}\)HEL\(_{11-27}\) at a nominal resolution of 3.5 Å (Supplemental Table 8).

Structure of TCR 21.30/I-A\(^{\alpha}\)HEL\(_{11-27}\) complex reveals a canonical mode of recognition. TCR 21.30 straddles I-A\(^{\alpha}\)HEL\(_{11-27}\) in a central position with its long axis forming a 48° angle with the MHC axis along the binding groove (Figure 4, A and B). This docking mode disproved the hypothesis, at least for this TCR, that the TCR had significantly shifted along the pMHC groove to engage the P9 region in a classic charge-charge interaction. Further, TCR 21.30 did not directly recognize the P9 pocket (Figure 4A and Figure 5A) and only made tangential contact with the backbone of the P9 residue (Figure 5B) via the CDR3\(\beta\) loop residue Trp108. The exact role of Asp\(_{109}\) in the recognition of the I-A\(^{\alpha}\) P9 pocket is complicated by the fact that its primary contact is to the peptide HEL\(_{11-27}\)Arg P8 (Figure 5B). This interaction and, in particular, the salt bridge formed between Asp\(_{109}\) and HEL Arg P8 clearly represented a focal point for TCR 21.30 recognition of I-A\(^{\alpha}\)HEL\(_{11-27}\). In addition, Asp\(_{109}\) is separated from Arg\(_{76}\) (i.e., the P9 pocket) by approximately 12.5 Å and thus does not make direct contact. The sensitivity of TCR 21.30 toward the status of the P9 pocket (i.e., charged or uncharged) can be explained by 2 models. First, a negatively charged P9 residue could modulate TCR 21.30 reactivity via secondary effects on the positively charged peptide Arg P8 residue. In this scenario, favorable electrostatic interactions between Arg P8 and Glu P9 would cause a reduction in the affinity between TCR 21.30 and wild-type HEL\(_{11-27}\) peptide or HEL\(_{11-27}9E\) peptide and for proliferation in vitro (Figure 3). In all experiments (\(n = 4\)), the in vitro recall response with the HEL\(_{11-27}\) peptide was always superior to the response to the mutant 9E peptide (Figure 3A), whereas in the reciprocal situation (immunization with 9E), both responses were equivalent (Figure 3B). These results indicated that the chemical nature of the P9 residue was a critical factor for the amplitude of the resulting polyclonal response and could influence the activation of a CD4 population selected with a peptide with Gly at P9.

Another interesting feature of the TCR 21.30 footprint over I-A\(^{\alpha}\)HEL\(_{11-27}\) (Figure 4B) was the unusual placement of the CDR3\(\alpha\) and CDR3\(\beta\) loops, both of which point outward and away from position P5 of the peptide. The CDR3\(\alpha\) loop has a minimal role in peptide recognition (2 contacts with P2 and 7 contacts with P-1), possibly due to the tip of the loop being composed of small residues (GGSG). In contrast, CDR3\(\beta\) appears focused on the C-terminal residues P7, P8, P9, and P11 and to a lesser extent on I-A\(^{\alpha}\) residues (Tryp60, Tryp66, and Argp70) located in the \(\beta1\) \(\alpha\)-helix (Supplemental Table 9). This particular docking mode still allowed the P5 side chain to be recognized by Lys337 (CDR1\(\alpha\)) and Argp110 (CDR3\(\beta\)) (Supplemental Table 9). Even though it resides far from the P9 region (Figure 4A), CDR3\(\beta\), by pointing outward, contacts not only MHC residues but, more importantly, senses, via Trp108 and Asp109, the C-terminal P7, P8, P9, and P11 residues of the HEL\(_{11-27}\) peptide and the surrounding MHC groove (Supplemental Table 9 and Figure 5B).

Functional studies correlate P9 negative charge with low-affinity TCR 21.30 recognition. We tested the relative importance of the HEL\(_{11-27}\) peptide P5, P8, and P9 positions using peptide variants in T cell 21.30 hybridoma stimulation assays (Figure 6). Substituting the P5 Asp residue with an Asn (removal of charge) was tolerated, although the peptide half-maximal effective concentration (EC\(_{50}\)) was increased approximately 100-fold. However, removing the P5...
side chain by introducing a glycine abrogated I-A\(^\text{g7}\) HEL\(11-27\) recognition by TCR 21.30 and demonstrated that P5 Asp remains a crucial residue for TCR 21.30 binding. The importance of P8 was shown by the fact that the conservative substitution of Lys for Arg caused the EC\(\text{50}\) to increase approximately 10-fold. Furthermore, truncation of the P8 side chain with a P8 Ala substitution abolished TCR 21.30 recognition. We also demonstrated that position P9 and the last 5 residues of HEL were not needed for TCR 21.30 binding to I-A\(^\text{g7}\) HEL\(11-27\). First, a HEL peptide truncated after P8 (HEL\(11-21\)) still stimulated TCR 21.30 better than a HEL\(11-27\) 9E peptide (Figure 6). Second, a redesigned recombinant pMHC molecule in which segment 23–27 of HEL\(\text{WT}\) was replaced with a (GS)\(3\) linker sequence stimulated TCR 21.30 as well as I-A\(^\text{g7}\) HEL\(11-27\), suggesting that the contacts between CDR3\(\beta\) Trp108 and P11 were not contributing significantly to the binding energy (Figure 7).

The striking dichotomy that TCR 21.30 displays in its response to substitutions at position P9 of the peptide was further documented by using other neutral P9 residues, such as Asn, Gln, and Met (Figures 6 and 7), which all displayed activation profiles similar to that observed for HEL\(11-27\). In contrast, negatively charged P9 residues (i.e., Asp or Glu) were recognized with reduced affinity (Figure 7). Interestingly, stimulation of clone 21–30 with I-A\(^\text{a}\) HEL\(11-27\) molecules, where Ser\(\beta\)57 was mutated to an Asp, also resulted in an activation profile similar to that observed for I-A\(^\text{a}\) HEL\(11-27\) 9E (Figure 8). Together these results suggest that the affinity of TCR/pMHC recognition could be switched from low to high based upon whether Arg\(\alpha\)76 was paired with a neighboring negatively charged residue.

**TCR affinity correlates with peptide charge at P9.** The respective roles of ionic strength and conformation on TCR 21.30 affinity were investigated by measuring TCR/pMHC kinetics using surface plasmon resonance (SPR). Substitution of HEL\(11-27\) P9 Gly \(\rightarrow\) Glu reduced the TCR/pMHC affinity by approximately 30-fold with a penalty for both the kinetic on and off rates (Figure 9A and Supplemental Table 10) and in essence mirrored the functional data (Figure 6). This difference in affinity approximately corresponds to a –2 kcal/mol difference between the 2 TCR/pMHC complexes in the Gibbs free energy of binding. To put in context, this difference in binding energetics is of the same order of magnitude as the formation of a strong salt bridge (3–6 kcal/mol) (13). A comparable reduction in affinity was also observed for TCR 21.30 binding to I-A\(^\text{a}\) HEL\(11-27\) with a Ser\(\beta\)57Asp (Figure 9B) mutation. Once again, TCR 21.30 recognition of I-A\(^\text{a}\) is clearly affected by the presence of a negative charge in the P9 pocket. A mutant TCR 21.30 with a CDR3\(\beta\) Asp109Gly mutation also bound I-A\(^\text{a}\) HEL\(11-27\) with reduced affinity (Figure 9A), emphasizing the importance of Asp\(\beta\)109 for TCR 21.30 binding.

The off rate of the TCR 21.30/I-A\(^\text{a}\) HEL\(11-27\) complex is approximately 6.7 times slower compared with 21.30/I-A\(^\text{a}\) HEL\(11-27\) 9E (Supplemental Table 10) and directly attributable to the P9

---

**Figure 3**

T cell recall response against HEL\(11-27\) or HEL\(11-27\) 9E peptides. Polyclonal CD4+ T cell response against HEL\(11-27\), HEL\(11-27\) 9E, or control peptide after immunization with (A) HEL\(11-27\) or (B) HEL\(11-27\) 9E. (C) T cell hybridoma 21.30 response to HEL\(11-27\) or HEL\(11-27\) 9E peptides. (D) Response profile of clone 72.10, a T cell that was raised against HEL\(11-27\) 9E. HEL\(11-27\), open triangles; HEL\(11-27\) 9E, filled triangles; control peptide, circles. *\(P < 0.05\); **\(P < 0.01\).
the absence of an aspartate residue at position 57 of the MHC β chain and susceptibility to T1D was first noted over twenty years ago (3). Biophysical and structural studies have since ruled out the possibility that the loss of the salt bridge between Argβ76 and β57 was detrimental to either the integrity of I-Aβ or its capacity to bind peptide (4–6). It remains clear, however, that the absence of a negative charge in this region of the I-Aβ7 leads to the preferential binding of peptides carrying an Asp or Glu at position P9 of the peptide groove (4, 5, 18). Nevertheless, the I-Aβ7 binding motif is sufficiently promiscuous to allow binding of peptides containing a neutral residue at P9 (4, 5).

Upon binding, these neutral peptides cause the P9 region of the I-Aβ7 binding groove to be more positively charged due to the presence of the unpaired Argβ76. We have now examined the consequences of this unique feature on the selection of TCR repertoire against I-Aβ7 neutral P9 peptides.

Our results, obtained from 3 distinct antigenic systems, convincingly demonstrate that in the absence of Asp/Glu P9 residues, selected TCRs have a strong tendency to have Asp/Glu residues in CDR3β. A remarkably similar observation was also reported in 2 earlier studies that examined the T cell repertoire of CD4+ T cells infiltrating the pancreas of young NOD mice. Here, in both cases, a similar signature was detected whereby the number of TCRs with Asp and Glu residues in the N-terminal region of their CDR3β was increased (19, 20). We have also recently reported the same feature for the recognition of HLA-DQ8 native gliadin peptide complexes in which the P9 residue is Gln (10).

Mechanistically, the structure of TCR I-Aβ7HEL11–27 dimerized our initial idea that the TCR had to shift toward the P9 pocket in order to recognize I-Aβ7 with an uncharged P9 residue and also showed that a noncontact residue could heavily influence TCR recognition. This mode of recognition for TCR 21.30 does not rule out the possibility that direct recognition of the P9 patch could be accomplished directly by other TCRs (in an analogous manner to that observed for the I-Aβ7/GAD221–235 dimer). However, we believe that our proposed switch would be unlikely to function in this scenario since the P9 position would be expected to function as a surrogate P5 residue, i.e., any significant substitutions at P9 (e.g., Gly Glu) would now be expected to result in loss of TCR recognition. Biophysical and functional activation studies of TCR 21.30 binding revealed that the absence of negatively charged P9 peptide residue resulted in a 30-fold increase in affinity due to increased favorable electrostatic interactions. More importantly, our study of both the HEL and 2.5mi antigenic systems showed that the potential gain in TRC/pMHC affinity provided by the absence of an aspartate residue at position 57 of the MHC β chain and susceptibility to T1D was first noted over twenty years ago (3). Biophysical and structural studies have since ruled out the possibility that the loss of the salt bridge between Arg β76 and β57 was detrimental to either the integrity of I-Aβ or its capacity to bind peptide (4–6). It remains clear, however, that the absence of a negative charge in this region of the I-Aβ7 leads to the preferential binding of peptides carrying an Asp or Glu at position P9 of the peptide groove (4, 5, 18). Nevertheless, the I-Aβ7 binding motif is sufficiently promiscuous to allow binding of peptides containing a neutral residue at P9 (4, 5).

Upon binding, these neutral peptides cause the P9 region of the I-Aβ7 binding groove to be more positively charged due to the presence of the unpaired Arg β76. We have now examined the consequences of this unique feature on the selection of TCR repertoire against I-Aβ7 neutral P9 peptides.

The role of electrostatics in recognition was tested directly by measuring TCR/pMHC interaction kinetics in the presence of increasing concentrations of salt. As shown in Figure 9D, increasing concentrations of NaCl lowered the affinity of both I-Aβ7HEL11–27 and I-Aβ7HEL11–27G9E. However, the reduction in affinity for I-Aβ7HEL11–27G9E was mostly accounted for by a faster off rate, whereas the drop in affinity for I-Aβ7HEL11–27 was entirely associated with a slowing of the on rate. As expected, I-Aβ7HEL11–27 Aspβ57 behaved comparably to I-Aβ7HEL11–27E (data not shown). These experiments supported the hypothesis that the fast on rate and high affinity of TCR 21.30 for I-Aβ7HEL11–27 was driven mainly by electrostatic forces linked to the absence of a negatively charged residue at, or near, P9 and the corresponding presence of negatively charged residues on TCR. Therefore, we have identified in this particular MHC/TCR pair a switch between normal and high affinity that is linked to a non-TCR contact residue on the peptide and a CDR3β negatively charged amino acid. The presence of the same feature in polyclonal populations specific for antigens bearing no charge at P9, as suggested by our functional data, argues strongly against an alternate explanation involving conformational changes of the TCR.

Discussion

A single residue polymorphism of MHC class II gene products dominates the genetic makeup of T1D and other autoimmune conditions such as celiac disease (17). A correlation between
“direct model” envisages that TCR and in particular CDR3β see the P9 region directly via favorable long-range electrostatic interactions, although the possibility exists that longer CDR3β loops may still make direct contact with the P9 pocket. Replacement of the peptide P9 residue with a negatively charged residue breaks or weakens the aforementioned TCR-pMHC electrostatic interaction and hence causes a reduction in the resulting affinity. Our data suggest that the GAD_{221–235} and 2.5mi antigenic systems would be good examples of this “direct model.” A second “indirect model” envisages that TCR recognition is heavily influenced by a positively charged peptide residue at P8 (e.g., Arg/Lys) via favorable electrostatic interactions and that the neighboring P9 residue dictates the strength of the electrostatic interaction between P8 and TCR CDR3β by having no impact (neutral residues) or a competing deleterious effect (Asp/Glu).

It is important to emphasize that both models establish the notion of an I-A^d switch located at residue β57. Further, these models demonstrate the uniqueness of β57 by highlighting its dual role in defining peptide specificity and modulating TCR affinity.

Is this switch model or models applicable to the human homolog of I-A^d, namely HLA-DQ8? We have recently shown for celiac disease (10) that TCRs raised against HLA-DQ8 and native gluten peptide (with a neutral Gln P9 residue) do indeed have a preponderance of

---

**Figure 5**

TCR 21.30/I-A^d/HEL_{11–27} structure. (A) Space-filling view of the complex, looking from behind the TCR and down toward the MHC, highlights how far β57 and P9 are from the TCR-binding interface. (B) The CDR3β loop points outward toward the P9 pocket and C terminus of the peptide. However, the main focus of CDR3β remains peptide residue Arg P8.

---

**Figure 6**

Reactivity of clone 21.30 to stimulation by mutant HEL peptides. HEL_{11–27} mutations at positions P5, P8, and P9 as well as a truncated HEL peptide (HEL_{11–21}) were tested at various concentrations in a classic T cell presentation assay using irradiated splenocytes as APCs and clone 21.30 as responder cells. IL-2 release was measured using an IL-2 reporter cell line. Each assay was repeated at least twice. A representative experiment is shown. Values are mean of triplicates ± SD.
Asp/Glu residues in CDR3β when compared with TCRs raised against deamidated gluten peptide (Glu at P9). This observation strongly suggests that a similar mechanism may be functioning for HLA-DQ8.

An important unanswered question is why would the switch act differently in the thymus and in the periphery? The answer is that it probably does not. However, in the thymus, T cells endowed with a potential switch will be deleted when selected on peptides with neutral P9 residues (negative selection). On the other hand, a number of thymic emigrant T cells with potential switches (e.g., most Vβ8-bearing cells) will be selected on peptides with an Asp/Glu P9 residue and access the periphery where an encounter with a peptide having a neutral P9 residue will make them potentially dangerous by increasing their affinity and lessening their MHC and coreceptor threshold. The thymic selection of BDC 2.5 T cells on mutant I-A<sub>g7</sub>PD MHC (in which positions β56 and β57 are Pro and Asp, respectively) paired with the failure of I-A<sup>α</sup>PD to present pancreatic antigens to BDC 2.5 T cells (21) argues strongly in favor of this hypothesis by demonstrating that the β57 mutation is required only for T cell activation in the periphery and not the thymus. An alternative nonexclusive explanation is that very small “switch populations” arise and expand over time in the periphery through antigen-driven affinity maturation (22); the results in the BDC 2.5 system that showed the predominance of junctional editing in the coding of CDR3β Asp/Glu residues support such a scenario.

Figure 7
Stimulation of clone 21.30 with I-A<sup>α</sup>HEL<sub>11–27</sub> or I-A<sup>α</sup>HEL<sub>11–27</sub> P9 mutants or truncated I-A<sup>α</sup>HEL<sub>11–22</sub>. MHC peptide complexes were coated in 96-well plates at increasing concentrations and used to stimulate clone 21.30 overnight. IL-2 production was measured using an IL-2–dependent reporter line. Values are the mean of triplicates ± SD.

Figure 8
Reactivity of clone 21.30 to I-A<sup>α</sup> with a β57 S → D mutation. A negatively charged residue introduced into either the peptide and/or the MHC has similar consequences for the activation and affinity of T cell clone 21.30. Stimulation of clone 21.30 with pMHC molecules bound to the surface of culture plates. Tetramized molecules of HEL<sub>11–27</sub> peptide or its 9E mutant in the context of I-A<sub>g7</sub> or the I-A<sub>g7</sub>β57 S → D mutant were used to stimulate clone 21.30 overnight. IL-2 production was measured using an IL-2–dependent reporter cell line. Values are the mean of triplicates ± SD.
The MHC class II region dominates the genetic landscape of T1D (17). This strong association has, for many years, suggested that T1D-associated MHC class II molecules have a direct role in the disease pathogenesis. The prevention of diabetes onset by the introduction of an I-A<sup>β</sup> β<sup>57</sup> S→D mutant in transgenic NOD mice (23) supports this idea. Here, for what we believe is the first time, we have shown that the diabetogenic MHC I-A<sup>β</sup> has a unique molecular signature that is directly linked to the polymorphic residue β<sup>57</sup>. The critical issue of testing the relationship of our switch model to autoimmunity now needs to be addressed.

**Methods**

**Immunization.** NOD/LtJ mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories and housed in pathogen-free conditions. For in vivo T cell response studies, mice were immunized in the base of the tail and the foot paw with 5 μg of peptide reconstituted in PBS and emulsified in complete Freund’s adjuvant (Sigma-Aldrich). Animals were sacrificed between 3 and 12 days following immunization. All experimental procedures involving animals were performed according to institutional guidelines of The Scripps Research Institute and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of The Scripps Research Institute.

**Cells.** BW5147 (TCR<sup>αβ</sup> )<sup>+</sup> cells and T cell hybridomas were maintained in DMEM/10% FCS medium.

**Peptides.** Synthetic peptides, 2.5mI 9D (AHHPiWARMDA), 2.5mI 9Q (AHHPiWARMQA), 2.5mI 5G (AHHPiGARMQA), 2.5mI 9G (AHHPiGARMQA), GAD<sub>221→235</sub> (LKKMEIIEGWPGSG), GAD<sub>221→239</sub>9E (LKKMEIIEGWPGSG), HEL<sub>11→27</sub> (AMKRHLNDGYRSGLN), HEL<sub>11→27</sub>9E (AMKRHLNDGYRSGLN), HEL<sub>11→27</sub>5G (AMKRHLNDGYRSGLN), HEL<sub>11→27</sub>5S (AMKRHLNDGYRSGLN), HEL<sub>11→27</sub>8A (AMKRHLNDGYRSGLN), HEL<sub>11→27</sub>8B (AMKRHLNDGYRSGLN), and HEL<sub>11→21</sub> (AMKRHLNDNY) were greater than or equal to 95% pure as determined by HPLC and were synthesized by AnaSpec Inc.

**Establishment of T cell hybridomas.** Lymphocytes from peptide-immunized mice were stimulated in vitro with peptide for 4 days and then stimulated with concanavalin A (2 μg/ml) for a further 3 days. After washing, cells were mixed with BW5147 in 50% w/v polyethylene glycol (PEG) solution (Hybrimax; Sigma-Aldrich). After culturing with hypoxanthine/aminopterin/thymidine media, T cell hybridomas were tested for reactivity to peptide with γ-ray-irradiated NOD splenocytes (antigen-presenting cells) by measuring the amount of IL-2 released for 24 hours. IL-2 production was determined using the IL-2–dependent cell line NK. The hybridomas from positive wells were expanded and cloned at 0.3 cells per well.

To test the response of T cell hybridomas against I-A<sup>β</sup>/peptide complexes, 96-well flat-bottom plates (Corning Inc.) were coated with serially diluted MHC/peptide complexes in PBS overnight at 4°C and washed 3 times with PBS. T cell hybridomas were washed twice in PBS, resuspended in complete DMEM, and added at 5 × 10<sup>4</sup> cells per well in 200 μl for 24 hours at 37°C. To test the response of T cell hybridomas against tetramer of I-A<sup>β</sup> peptide, T cell hybridomas were cultured with the tetramers for 24 hours at 37°C. Supernatants were harvested and IL-2 production determined using the NK cell line. γ-H-thymidine uptake was determined by liquid scintillation analysis and expressed as cpm.

**RNA extraction and RT-PCR.** Total RNA from T cell hybridomas was isolated with TRIzol (Invitrogen), and Moloney murine leukemia virus (MMLV) (Invitrogen) was used for reverse transcription according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Construction, expression, and purification of recombinant TCR, and I-A<sup>β</sup>/peptide molecules; generation of tetrameric MHC molecules.** TCR cDNA was isolated with TRIzol (Invitrogen), and Moloney murine leukemia virus (MMLV) (Invitrogen) was used for reverse transcription according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The corresponding positive (pMHC, blue) and negative (TCR, red) surfaces are circled. Positive charge is contoured blue, while negative charge is red (−5 to +5 kT/e). (D) Effect of increasing salt concentrations on the binding of I-A<sup>β</sup>HEL<sub>11→27</sub> (black) and I-A<sup>β</sup>HEL<sub>11→27</sub>9E (red) to TCR 21.30. I-A<sup>β</sup>HEL<sub>11→27</sub> was injected at 2 μM and I-A<sup>β</sup>HEL<sub>11→27</sub>9E at 8 μM with increasing NaCl concentrations (150, 175, 200, 225, and 250 mM NaCl). Sensorgrams are double subtractions (control pMHC and buffer). Kinetic on (squares or upside-down triangles) and off rates (triangles or diamonds) are plotted relative to physiological ionic conditions (150 mM). Representative experiments are presented (n = 5).

**Figure 9**

SPR affinity measurements between TCR/pMHC. (A) Affinity measurements of TCR 21.30 for I-A<sup>β</sup>HEL<sub>11→27</sub> and I-A<sup>β</sup>HEL<sub>11→27</sub>9E (top) and TCR 21.30 CDR3 Δ109 D→G and I-A<sup>β</sup>HEL<sub>11→27</sub> (bottom) (pMHC molecules were injected at 5, 2.5, 1.25, 0.625, 0.312, and 0.167 μM over immobilized TCR). Sensorgrams represent specific binding after subtraction of control (I-A<sup>β</sup> 2.5mI) and were fitted using global analysis with the BioLogic software using a 1:1 Langmuir model (residuals are shown below the sensorgrams). Overlays are at 5 μM. (B) SPR measurement of the affinity of recombinant TCR 21.30 for I-A<sup>β</sup>HEL<sub>11→27</sub> and I-A<sup>β</sup> IβS7→D HEL<sub>11→27</sub>. TCR was immobilized on a CM5 chip (1200 RU’s), and pMHC molecules were injected at 2 μM concentration. Sensorgrams presented in the figure are the subtraction of the experimental trace minus the negative control (I-A<sup>β</sup> 2.5mI). (C) Electrostatic surfaces of I-A<sup>β</sup>HEL<sub>11→27</sub> (left) and TCR 21.30 (right) showing charge complementarity between the 2 surfaces. TCR has been “peeled off” the MHC (180° rotation) to reveal the interacting surfaces. The corresponding positive (pMHC, blue) and negative (TCR, red) surfaces are circled. Positive charge is contoured blue, while negative charge is red (−5 to +5 kT/e).
washed with FACS buffer (PBS containing 3% FCS and 0.05% NaN3) and incubated with 0.5 mg/ml of avidin (Sigma-Aldrich) and Fc block (BD Biosciences) in FACS buffer for 1 hour at room temperature (RT). Cells were then washed once and stained with PE-labeled or PE-cyanine 7-labeled MHC/peptide tetramers at a final concentration of 10 μg/ml in FACS buffer for 1 hour at RT. For containing of surface markers, FITC–anti-CD4 (clone RM4-4; BD Biosciences), allophycocyanin–anti-B220 (clone RA3-6B2; eBioscience), and allophycocyanin–anti-CD8 (clone 53-6.7; eBioscience) were used. For TCR Vb analysis, mouse Vb TCR screening panel (BD Biosciences) was used. Exclusion of dead cells was done by propidium iodide (Invitrogen). Flow cytometry was performed using a FACS LSRII instrument or FACS Calibur (BD) and the data analyzed using FlowJo software (TreeStar Inc). Staining of T cell hybridomas with MHC tetramers was carried out in the same way.

**CD4**+ **T cell response.** For purification of CD4+ T cells, lymph node or spleen cells from immunized mice were incubated with Fc block for 1 hour at 4°C. Cells were then incubated with biotinylated anti-CD8a (clone 53-6.7), biotinylated anti-CD11b (clone M1/70), biotinylated anti-CD45R (clone RA3-6B2), biotinylated anti-CD90 (clone 30-H4), biotinylated anti-CD49b (clone; BD Biosciences), and biotinylated anti-major histocompatibility complex class II (clone AMS-32.1; BD Biosciences). After washing, cells were incubated with Streptavidin Particles Plus-DM (BD Biosciences) and CD4+ T cells were enriched by negative selection with BD IMag Cell Separation System (BD Biosciences). The percentage of CD4+ T cells after purification was confirmed by flow cytometric analysis. CD4+ T cells (4 × 105) were cultured with peptide and 2 × 105 cells of γ-ray irradiated splenocytes derived from NOD for 72 hours. 3H-Thymidine was added during the last 18-18 hours of culture. For measurement of IFN-γ, the cell culture supernatants were collected after 48 hours incubation. The amount of IFN-γ was determined by ELISA (Opti EIA; BD Biosciences) following the manufacturer’s protocol.

**Single-cell PCR and CDR3β sequencing.** Single-cell suspensions from immunized or naive NOD mice were prepared by mechanical disruption in the same way as the cell staining. Cells were washed with sorting buffer (PBS containing 1% FCS, 1 mM EDTA, and 25 mM HEPES) and incubated with avidin and Fc block. After washing, the cells were stained with PE-labeled or PE-cyanine 7-labeled MHC/peptide tetramers. Cells were then stained with FITC–anti-CD4, allophycocyanin–anti-B220, and allophycocyanin–anti-CD8. Enriched CD4+ T cells, which were prepared in the same way as in the experiments for CD4+ T cell responses, were also used for tetramer and antibody staining. The single tetramer-positive CD4+ T cell was directly sorted into cDNA reaction mixture of 96-well PCR tubes by FACS Vantage DiVa. This single reaction mixture contains 3 μl/ml MLV-RT (Invitrogen) with 1 × buffer, 0.5 nM sperrmide, 0.1 mg/ml BSA, 10 μg/ml oligo d(T), 400 μM each dNTP (Invitrogen), 10 mM dithiothreitol, 800 μM ribonuclease inhibitor (recombinant RNasin), 100 μg/ml Escherichia coli transfer RNA, and 1% Triton X-100. cDNA was synthesized at 37°C for 75 minutes and 42°C for 30 minutes, then stored at −80°C until use.

PCR amplifications of TCR β chain from cDNA of single tetramer-positive CD4+ T cell was performed by 2 rounds of PCR. After a first round PCR with external mixture coupled with a constant region external primer (Supplemental Table 11 and ref. 19), 2 μl of the first reaction was used for a second round PCR with each internal primer coupled with a constant region internal primer (Supplemental Table 11 and ref. 19). PCR products were checked for size on a 1.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide, purified (QIAquick PCR Purification Kit; QIAGEN), and used for direct sequencing with a constant region internal primer. To examine the accuracy of cell sorting, cDNA was analyzed for PCR with β-actin primers.

**CDR3β sequencing by TA cloning.** CD4+ T cells from naive NOD mice were prepared in the same way. Total RNA from CD4+ T cells was isolated with TRIzol and cDNA was synthesized using MMLV. PCR amplifications of TCR β chain from cDNA of CD4+ T cells were performed with internal mixture primers coupled with a constant region internal primer. PCR products running between 200 and 500 bp were cut out and gel purified (Gene Clean Kit III; MP Biomedicals LLC). These PCR products were directly ligated into pCR2.1 vector (Invitrogen) and sequencing with M13 reverse primer.

**SPR.** A BIACORE 2000 instrument was used to determine interactions between purified MHC/peptide complexes and TCR molecules. TCR or pMHC was immobilized by amine coupling chemistry on CM5 sensor chips. Injections of analyte at the appropriate concentrations were performed in filtered and degassed PBS at a flow rate of 20–30 μl/min. In all experiments, I-AβGPI282–292 was used as a negative control. Kd values as well as on and off rates were obtained by nonlinear curve fitting of subtracted curves using the 1:1 Langmuir binding model using the BLAevaluation program (version 3.0.2).

**Statistics.** Fisher’s exact test and Student’s t test (2-tailed) were used for statistical analyses. P < 0.05 was considered significant.

**Crystallization.** I-AβGAD211–213 was crystallized after first removing the acidic and basic leucine zippers by thrombin digestion. Residual COOH-terminal spacer sequences were digested with carboxypeptidase B, and

---

**Figure 10** Potential consequences of I-Aβ7 being endowed with a switch that modulates TCR affinity. TCRs are selected normally in the thymus against I-Aβ7 peptide complexes and the encounter of TCR with an Asp/Glu-containing CDR3β region with a neutral P9 peptide leads to negative selection. However, a small fraction of those same TCRs will be positively selected against pMHC with an Asp/Glu P9 peptide and migrate out of the thymus. In the periphery, normal recognition will occur in a majority of cases, followed by a normal burst of proliferation after recognition of pMHC (scenario no. 1). The exception (scenario no. 2) will happen when a TCR with an Asp/Glu-containing CDR3β detects a pMHC complex displaying a neutral P9 residue; in that instance, increased affinity will lessen pMHC and coreceptor threshold for activation and lead to increased expansion and potentially increased cytokine secretion.
I-AsGAD_{221-235} was then concentrated to 10 mg/ml in 20 mM HEPES and 25 mM NaCl (pH 7.5) and screened for crystallization. Crystals were grown in 28% PEG 8000, 0.1 M HEPES, pH 7.5, and 2% Jeффamine 900 and flash-cooled to 96 K with glycerol as a cryoprotectant (through a sequential soak in 1%, 5%, 10%, and 15% glycerol).

TCR 21.30/I-AsGADHEL_{11-27} was crystallized after removing the acidic and basic leucine zipper from both constructs by thrombin digestion. TCR and MHC were mixed at a final concentration of 100 μM in 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, and crystallized in 20% PEG 4000, 0.2 M K formate, 0.1 M Na cacodylate, pH 6.5. Data were collected on a single crystal cryogenically protected in mother liquor supplemented with 25% glycerol.

**Structure determination.** A single MHC crystal was used for data collection at beamline 9-2 of Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL). Data were integrated in space group P4_12_2 (version 1.9.0). A single I-As^ was assumed to be present in the asymmetric unit based on the calculated Matthews' coefficient (V_m = 2.9 Å³/Dalton, –58.0 solvent). Molecular replacement was carried out with CCP4’s version of Molrep (27) and the MHC class II search model I-AsGADHEL_{207-220} (Protein Data Bank [PDB] code 1es0), with the waters omitted. A clear rotation (correct solution was found 8.8σ above the next highest peak, resolution 39.2 to 3.1 Å) as well as a translation solution (correct solution was 11.9σ above the next highest peak) was found for I-As^ protein. Rigid body fitting (6.0 to 3.2 Å) was carried out using the program CNS (28) using 3 domains αβ, plus peptide, αβ, and β2 to give an R_{free} of 37.9%. Model building was carried out using the graphics program COOT (29), and iterative refinement cycles were carried out with Phenix (30). CNS simulated-annealed omit maps and the structure validation programs Procheck (31) and WHATIF (32) were used to monitor the progress of the refinement (Supplemental Table 7). The final structure contained 2,924 protein atoms, 1 sugar, and 1 HEPES molecule. The following residues were built according to the IMGT (http://www.imgt.org) unique numbering for AHIII 12.2 (PDB code 1LP9; 94% identity with TCR21.30 over 239 residues). Molecular surfaces were calculated with a probe radius of 1.4 Å. Electrostatic surfaces were calculated with the Delphi module within Insight II. Final charges were assigned to the protein coordinates. Electrostatic surfaces are contoured from ~5 to ~5 kT/e; red (negative) to blue (positive), respectively.

**TCR and MHC nomenclature.** TCR 21.30 V domains were numbered according to the IMGT (http://www.imgt.org) unique numbering for V-REGIONS. KABAT numbering was used for I-As^.
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