Ang II plays an important role in the pathophysiology of cardiovascular disease. Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors lower Ang II levels by inhibiting conversion of Ang I to Ang II, but Ang II levels have been shown to return to normal with chronic ACE inhibitor treatment. In this issue of the JCI, Wei et al. show that ACE inhibition induces an increase in chymase activity in cardiac interstitial fluid, providing an alternate pathway for Ang II generation.

Despite ongoing advances in modern medicine, cardiovascular disease (CVD) remains a major cause of death. Ang II has been implicated in the pathophysiology of atherosclerosis and heart failure (HF) due to its role in regulating multiple renal and cardiovascular functions, including salt/water retention, vasoconstriction, aldosterone secretion, cardiac hypertrophy, thrombosis, fibrosis, and others (1). In the classical renin-angiotensin system (RAS), angiotensinogen (AGT) produced in the liver enters the circulation, where it is cleaved by renin produced in the kidneys to form Ang I. Ang I is converted to Ang II by angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) bound to vascular endothelial cells. Ang II then binds to either the Ang II type 1 receptor (AT1), through which it exerts most of its known effects, or to the Ang II type 2 receptor (AT2), which is thought to oppose AT1 (2). However, research conducted over the last few decades has shown that the RAS is much more complex in both mechanism and effect than once thought (Figure 1).

Some of this complexity comes from the discovery of nonclassical RAS components. Although Ang II is generally considered to be the main RAS effector molecule, other Ang peptides have been discovered, including Ang-(1–7), Ang-(1–9), Ang-(2–8), Ang-(3–8), and Ang-(1–12) (2, 3). In particular, Ang-(1–7) opposes the effects of Ang II through binding to the Mas receptor (3) and inhibits bradykinin (BK) degradation, possibly through competitive binding to ACE (4), while Ang-(1–12) is an alternative precursor for Ang I and II generation (3). In addition, other enzymes cleave AGT and its products. Cathepsin, like renin, generates Ang I from AGT (5), ACE2, an ACE homolog, and neutral endopeptidases cleave Ang I and II to form Ang-(1–7) (2, 6), and some chymases efficiently cleave Ang I to produce Ang II (7) and may be responsible for generation of Ang II from Ang-(1–12) (3). Furthermore, both ACE and chymase have additional functions besides Ang peptide cleavage. ACE is also a kinasase (8), linking the RAS and the kallikrein/kinin system, while chymase activates procollagenase and MMPs and catalyzes degradation of thrombin and plasmin, playing an important role in tissue remodeling (7).

How and when these various components come into play depends on the context.
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Although the RAS was originally thought to function only as a circulatory system, it has since been established that local intracellular and extracellular RAS exist in several tissues, including the heart, kidneys, brain, VSMCs, and BM-derived cells (2, 5, 9). Ang II generated by tissue RAS may function intracellularly to exert an intracrine effect on local RAS function or may contribute to extracellular Ang II levels to exert an autocrine/paracrine effect, depending on the cell type and conditions (2, 5, 9).

**ACE inhibition increases cardiac interstitial chymase activity**

CVD is highly associated with RAS upregulation. In particular, HF in humans is associated with increased ACE transcription and activity in proportion to disease severity (7). ACE inhibitors (ACEIs) have therefore become a major component of standard therapy for CVD (10). However, although acute ACE inhibition decreases plasma Ang II levels, an escape phenomenon occurs with chronic treatment, with Ang II returning to normal levels (11). Furthermore, the specific mechanisms and relative contributions of the systemic and local RAS in the heart are not well understood. In this issue of the JCI, Wei et al. (12) provide additional insight into the RAS of the cardiac interstitium, the environment in which cardiac myocytes reside.

Previous studies in dogs showed that Ang II generation/degradation in the heart is compartmentalized (13) and that both ACE and chymase are important for Ang II generation in the cardiac interstitial fluid (ISF) (14). In addition, infusion of Ang I or Ang-(1–7) into the ISF was shown to increase BK levels, possibly due to inhibition of ACE (4).

In their current study (12), Wei et al. used ACE selective [Pro9]Ang I, and chymase selective [Pro11,12-DAla2]Ang I to determine the relative contributions of ACE and chymase to basal ISF Ang II generation. Interestingly, although there was abundant non-ACE activity in LV homogenates, in agreement with previous reports that chymase is a major cardiac Ang II-forming enzyme (14, 15), microdialysis probe measurements with these enzyme selective substrates indicated that basal chymase-like activity in the ISF of conscious mice was negligible (12).

Subsequent experiments demonstrated that ACEI treatment for two weeks inhibited ACE activity but did not significantly decrease ISF Ang II levels (12). Wei et al. then showed that chronic ACE inhibition increased transcription and activity of MMCP4, an Ang II-forming chymase, and that ISF Ang II levels decreased following dual inhibition of ACE and MMCP4 (12). Experiments with the kinin B2 receptor (B2R) antagonist, Hoe-140, revealed that the ACEI-induced increase in ISF chymase activity and subsequent Ang II formation was dependent on B2R activation (12). Thus, it appears that chronic ACE inhibition increases BK, which causes an increase in chymase activity and maintenance of Ang II levels in the mouse LV ISF (Figure 2). These results are somewhat surprising, as it has been suggested that BK may mediate the beneficial effects of ACEIs. However, excess BK is proinflammatory (8), which could explain its apparently detrimental role here.

**Possible benefits of dual therapy**

Although ACEI therapy clearly improves outcomes in HF patients (16, 17), mortality and reduced quality of life due to HF and CVD remain high. Current guidelines recommend combining ACEIs with AT1 blockers (ARBs) (10) under the working assumption that ACEIs inhibit some Ang II generation and beneficially increase BK levels, while ARBs prevent downstream signaling by remaining Ang II. Nevertheless, this mode of dual therapy remains controversial. Both the Valsartan–Heart Failure Trial (Val-HeFT) and the Candesartan in Heart Failure: Assessment of Reduction in Mortality and Morbidity–Added (CHARM-Added) Trial found a slight improvement in BP and a significant improvement in mortality and morbidity due to congestive HF or CVD in patients treated with both ACEIs and ARBs compared with those treated with ACEIs.
alone (18, 19). However, dual therapy did not significantly improve overall mortality. On the other hand, in the Ongoing Telmisartan Alone and in Combination with Ramipril Global Endpoint Trial (ONTARGET), dual therapy caused worse renal outcomes than either ACEI or ARB alone (20), suggesting that the risks may outweigh the benefits.

To characterize the physiological relevance of chronic ACEI-induced chymase release, Wei et al. examined the effects of ACEI, chymase inhibitor, and combination treatment following myocardial infarction (MI) in hamsters (12). They found that dual treatment led to significant improvements in LV function and remodeling. Perhaps most striking is that survival in this group was comparable to that of the sham-operated group. These results suggest that dual ACEI/chymase inhibitor treatment may represent a viable alternative method for blocking Ang II function.

Remaining questions

Some questions remain regarding the mechanism of chymase induction and Ang II maintenance. Wei et al. suggest that the ACEI-induced increase in ISF chymase activity is due to degranulation of mast cells (MCs) by BK. As evidence of this, they cite the presence of B2R/MMCP4-positive MCs in the LV and absence of detectable chymase mRNA or expression in MC-deficient KitW/KitWv mice (12). However, because of technical difficulties, they were unable to definitively prove that MCs, not other e-kit–expressing cells, were responsible for the chymase activity. Nor could they determine whether BK affects chymase levels directly, by degranulating MCs, or indirectly, perhaps by increasing MC recruitment. In the functional study, although the authors describe phenotypic changes that occur in the different treatment groups, there is no data on post-MI Ang II levels. It therefore remains to be determined whether the benefits of dual inhibition are caused by reduced Ang II generation or suppression of chymase-associated LV remodeling. Furthermore, the study addresses only the extracellular RAS in the cardiac ISF. However, the intracellular RAS of cardiac myocytes and fibroblasts (which are themselves differentially regulated; see ref. 5) are likely also significant in determining the post-MI phenotype. Resolution of these mechanistic issues will be important in determining how and when chymase inhibition might be appropriate in a therapeutic setting.

Another important issue is the effect of interspecies differences in RAS components and function. There is tremendous variation in both the numbers and types of chymases, which may be Ang II forming and/or Ang II degrading (7). The relative contributions of ACE and chymase to Ang II formation also vary among species, as does Ang II sensitivity and signaling. Because of this, Wei et al. used hamsters, in which cardiac myocyte Ang II sensitivity more closely resembles that of humans, for their functional studies (12). Nevertheless, caution must be exercised in translating these results into the context of the human RAS.

Perhaps the most important question raised by this study, however, concerns the accepted rationale for ACEI treatment, namely suppression of Ang II. Given the existence of the escape phenomenon, it has been suggested that increased BK signaling, rather than reduced Ang II, may be responsible for the continuing beneficial effects of chronic ACE inhibition. However, if BK causes MC recruitment/degranulation and chymase release, as this study suggests, the increased BK may be at least partially responsible for maintained Ang II levels and may actually be detrimental rather than beneficial. Alternatively, ACE inhibition increases ACE2 expression, and the ACE2–Ang-(1–7)–Mas receptor axis has been shown to oppose the functions of the ACE–Ang II–AT1 axis (2, 3, 6), pro-

**Figure 2**

ACE inhibition induces chymase release, which prevents decreased Ang II formation. ACEI enters the interstitium from the systemic circulation and inhibits degradation of BK by ACE. Increased BK signaling through the B2R leads to chymase release, creating an alternative pathway for Ang II generation and contributing to adverse cardiac tissue remodeling. Inhibition of B2R signaling by Hoe-140 prevents ACEI-induced chymase release and suppresses Ang II formation. Similarly, LV function is improved by inhibition of chymase in conjunction with ACEI.
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Epithelial-mesenchymal transitions (EMTs) are believed to play a role in invasion and metastasis of many types of tumors. In this issue of the JCI, Chen et al. show that a gene that has been associated with aggressive biology in hepatocellular carcinomas initiates a molecular cascade that results in EMT.

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the fifth most commonly diagnosed, and the third most deadly, cancer worldwide (1). In the United States between 1975 and 2006, HCC was the only cancer with increasing mortality in men and women (2). Surveillance in patients at increased risk for developing HCC has been recommended for detection of early HCC (3); however, this is underutilized, and many patients present with locally advanced or metastatic disease. These patients are not liver transplantation candidates and have limited therapeutic options. Thus, there is an urgent need for identification of patients at risk for HCC and further risk stratification of these patients to improve the outcomes. Therefore, groups like Chen et al. (4) are applying gene profiling to identify better prognostic and/or therapeutic targets. Their present work, in this issue of the JCI, reveals that the oncogene chromodomain helicase/ATPase DNA binding protein 1-like gene (CDH1L) is commonly amplified in HCC (4).

Chen et al. demonstrated why CDH1L amplification is important in HCC (Figure 1 and ref. 4). CDH1L functions as a transcription factor that induces expression of the guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) ARHGEF9. GEFs catalyze exchange of GDP for GTP on Rho and Rac proteins, as well as Cdc42 (5). Cdc42 is an important regulator of cell motility, as mutations in Cdc42 are associated with cancers such as breast, colon, and prostate cancer (6). Cdc42 is involved in numerous cellular processes, including the actin cytoskeleton. Its activity is regulated by the Ras/Raf/MAPK pathway, which is known to be constitutively activated in HCC (7). Thus, Cdc42 is an attractive target for HCC therapeutics.
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