Pivotal role of the CCL5/CCR5 interaction for recruitment of endothelial progenitor cells in mouse wound healing
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BM-derived endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) are critical and essential for neovascularization in tissue repair and tumorigenesis. EPCs migrate from BM to tissues via the bloodstream, but specific chemotactic cues have not been identified. Here we show in mice that the absence of CCR5 reduced vascular EPC accumulation and neovascularization, but not macrophage recruitment, and eventually delayed healing in wounded skin. When transferred into Ccr5−/− mice, Ccr5−/− BM cells, but not Ccr5−/− cells, accumulated in the wound site, were incorporated into the vasculature, and restored normal neovascularization. Consistent with these observations, CCL5 induced in vitro EPC migration in a CCR5-dependent manner. Moreover, expression of VEGF and TGF-β was substantially diminished at wound sites in Ccr5−/− mice, which suggests that EPCs are important not only as the progenitors of endothelial cells, but also as the source of growth factors during tissue repair. Taken together, these data identify the CCL5/CCR5 interaction as what we believe to be a novel molecular target for modulation of neovascularization and eventual tissue repair.

Introduction
Wound healing is a complex but well-orchestrated biological event, with interplay between different tissue structures and a large number of resident and infiltrating cell types (1, 2). The process is composed of 3 phases: inflammation, proliferation, and tissue remodeling. During the inflammatory phase, neutrophils and macrophages appear in the wounded area to phagocytose bacteria and debris. In the proliferative phase, fibroblasts produce collagen matrix, while new blood vessels invade the forming granulation tissue, and epidermal cells migrate across the wound surface to close the breach. During the remodeling phase, fibroblasts reorganize the collagen matrix and ultimately assume a myofibroblast phenotype to effect connective tissue compaction and wound contraction. The molecular mediators of wound repair have not been fully delineated, but include cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors (1, 3).

Chemokines are small, secreted proteins that are produced constitutively or in an inducible manner by most cell types and that induce directed cell migration (4, 5). Chemokines are involved in physiological and pathological processes, such as lymphoid organ development, inflammation, and tumorigenesis, because they coordinate trafficking of leukocytes, endothelial cells, fibroblasts, and other cell types, acting at specific G protein–coupled receptors (4, 6). Several chemokines are expressed in healing wounds, and abnormal expression or activity of chemokines is associated with impaired healing (3). Although chemokine function in wound healing is thought to include recruitment of leukocytes, the precise roles of most chemokines in wound healing have not yet been addressed.

Endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) were previously identified as BM-derived endothelial precursor cells that contribute to vascularization (7, 8). Neovascularization is essential for the survival of growing, injured, and ischemic tissue. EPCs have been presumed to be involved in a number of conditions requiring neovascularization, including peripheral vascular disease (8), myocardial ischemia (9), stroke (10), retinopathy (11), and tumor growth (8, 12), as well as wound healing (8, 13). Similar to leukocyte trafficking, EPCs are thought to mobilize from the BM into the circulation and home to injured tissues under the guidance of signals such as hypoxia, growth factors, and chemokines. However, specific molecular mechanisms that regulate the mobilization and homing of EPCs have not been defined. Here, we demonstrated that CCL5 specifically induced in vitro migration of EPCs by acting at CCR5 expressed on the cell surface. Consistently, CCR5-deficient (Ccr5−/−) mice exhibited impaired neovascularization during excisional skin wound repair process, with reduced EPC homing to wound sites. Our observations suggest that the CCL5/CCR5 interaction is a major regulator of EPC homing during wound healing.

Results
Expression of CCR5 and its ligands during skin wound healing. We first examined the expression of CCR5 and its ligands, CCL3, CCL4, and CCL5, at skin wound sites in WT mice. Ccr5 mRNA and its protein were faintly detected in unwounded skin tissue. After injury, the expression of CCR5 increased at the mRNA and protein levels, reaching the maximal level at 3 days and remaining at this level through 6 days after the injury (Figure 1, A–C). At the wound sites, CCR5 protein was detected on F4/80+ macrophages and CD31+ endothelial cells (Figure 1D). Concomitantly, gene expression of Ccl3, Ccl4, and Ccl5 at the wound sites started to increase at 1 day after injury. The mRNAs of Ccl3 and Ccl4 reached...
collagen production and neovascularization, both indispensable steps for skin wound healing.
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These observations indicated that CCR5 deficiency impaired gene expression of collagen type 1 (Col1a1) (Figure 2). CCR5 expression by F4/80+ macrophages was expressed by CCL3, CCL4, and CCL5, whereas only Ly-6G+ neutrophils expressed CCL3 (Figure 2, D–G). In contrast, no CCR5 ligands were detected in α-SMA–positive myofibroblasts (data not shown). These observations suggested that the expression of CCR5 and its ligands was enhanced locally during skin wound healing.

Delayed wound healing with depressed neovascularization, but not macrophage recruitment, in the absence of CCR5. In WT mice, wound areas were reduced to approximately 10% at 6 days after injury, and wound closure was almost complete at 10 days (Figure 3, A and B). On the contrary, in Ccr5−/− mice, wound areas remained over 30% of the original wound area even at 6 days after injury, and wounds were not closed at 10 days (Figure 3, A and B). De novo production of collagen is essential for wound healing. Gene expression of collagen type 1 (Col1a1) was significantly reduced at day 3 and 6 in Ccr5−/− versus WT mice (Figure 3C). Consistently, hydroxyproline contents, as an indicator of collagen accumulation, were significantly reduced in the wounds of Ccr5−/− mice compared with those of WT mice (Supplemental Figure 2). CCR5 expression by F4/80+ cells and CD31+ cells (Figure 1D) prompted us to explore the role of CCR5-mediated signals in macrophage recruitment and neovascularization. After wounding, macrophages were recruited to similar extents in both WT and Ccr5−/− mice (Figure 3, D–G). In contrast, CD31+ vessel density within the wound bed decreased in Ccr5−/− compared with WT mice 6 days after injury (Figure 3, H and I). Similar observations were obtained by using the antibody against another endothelial marker, VE-cadherin (Supplemental Figure 3). These observations indicated that CCR5 deficiency impaired collagen production and neovascularization, both indispensable steps for skin wound healing.

Contribution of CCR5+ BM-derived cells to skin wound healing. Because CCR5 is expressed by BM-derived and non–BM-derived cells (5), we explored the contribution of BM-derived CCR5+ cells to skin wound healing by using BM chimeric mice generated from WT and Ccr5−/− mice. Both WT and Ccr5−/− mice transplanted with Ccr5−/− mouse-derived BM cells exhibited delayed wound healing compared with the respective recipients of WT BM cells (Figure 4, A and B). Gene expression of Col1a1 and hydroxyproline content at wound sites were significantly decreased in WT and Ccr5−/− mice transplanted with Ccr5−/− mouse–derived BM cells (Figure 4C and Supplemental Figure 4). Likewise, neovascular areas were consistently smaller in both WT and Ccr5−/− mice transplanted with Ccr5−/− mouse–derived BM cells than in the respective WT BM recipients (Figure 4, D and E). These observations are indicative of an essential contribution of BM-derived CCR5+ cells to skin wound healing. We next determined the localization of BM-derived cells in skin wound sites, by intravenously injecting PKH26-labeled BM cells into lethally irradiated WT or Ccr5−/− mice. PKH26-labeled WT-derived BM cells were barely detected in uninjured skin sites, but accumulated in the wound sites to similar extents, whether injected into WT or Ccr5−/− mice (Figure 4F). In contrast, fewer PKH26-labeled Ccr5−/− mouse–derived BM cells accumulated at the wound bed for both recipient groups (Figure 4F). Moreover, a substantial proportion of PKH26-labeled cells expressed CD31 when they reached the skin wound site (Figure 4G), which suggested that CCR5+ BM-derived cells might contribute to neovascularization.

Reduced EPC recruitment to skin wound sites in the absence of CCR5. Evidence is accumulating in support of the crucial contribution of BM EPCs to neovascularization in damaged tissue (8, 14). Hence, we evaluated the dynamics of EPCs in BM, peripheral blood, and wound sites in the course of wound healing in WT and Ccr5−/− mice. The number of EPCs, defined as c-Kit+ Tie-2+ cells, was constant in BM during the course of wound healing in both WT and Ccr5−/− mice (Figure 5, A and B) and increased in peripheral blood to a similar extent in WT and Ccr5−/− mice (Figure 5, C and D). In contrast, EPC numbers in wound sites were increased markedly in WT mice, but not in Ccr5−/− mice (Figure 5, A–C). Moreover, the protein levels of these CCR5 ligands were barely detected in uninjured skin sites, but accumulated in the wounds intravenously injecting PKH26-labeled BM cells into lethally irradiated WT or Ccr5−/− mice. PKH26-labeled WT-derived BM cells were barely detected in uninjured skin sites, but accumulated in the wound sites to similar extents, whether injected into WT or Ccr5−/− mice (Figure 4F). In contrast, fewer PKH26-labeled Ccr5−/− mouse–derived BM cells accumulated at the wound bed for both recipient groups (Figure 4F). Moreover, a substantial proportion of PKH26-labeled cells expressed CD31 when they reached the skin wound site (Figure 4G), which suggested that CCR5+ BM-derived cells might contribute to neovascularization.

Contribution of CCR5+ BM-derived cells to skin wound healing. Because CCR5 is expressed by BM-derived and non–BM-derived cells (5), we explored the contribution of BM-derived CCR5+ cells to skin wound healing by using BM chimeric mice generated from WT and Ccr5−/− mice. Both WT and Ccr5−/− mice transplanted with Ccr5−/− mouse-derived BM cells exhibited delayed wound healing compared with the respective recipients of WT BM cells (Figure 4, A and B). Gene expression of Col1a1 and hydroxyproline content at wound sites were significantly decreased in WT and Ccr5−/− mice transplanted with Ccr5−/− mouse–derived BM cells (Figure 4C and Supplemental Figure 4). Likewise, neovascular areas were consistently smaller in both WT and Ccr5−/− mice transplanted with Ccr5−/− mouse–derived BM cells than in the respective WT BM recipients (Figure 4, D and E). These observations are indicative of an essential contribution of BM-derived CCR5+ cells to skin wound healing. We next determined the localization of BM-derived cells in skin wound sites, by intravenously injecting PKH26-labeled BM cells into lethally irradiated WT or Ccr5−/− mice. PKH26-labeled WT-derived BM cells were barely detected in uninjured skin sites, but accumulated in the wound sites to similar extents, whether injected into WT or Ccr5−/− mice (Figure 4F). In contrast, fewer PKH26-labeled Ccr5−/− mouse–derived BM cells accumulated at the wound bed for both recipient groups (Figure 4F). Moreover, a substantial proportion of PKH26-labeled cells expressed CD31 when they reached the skin wound site (Figure 4G), which suggested that CCR5+ BM-derived cells might contribute to neovascularization.

Reduced EPC recruitment to skin wound sites in the absence of CCR5. Evidence is accumulating in support of the crucial contribution of BM EPCs to neovascularization in damaged tissue (8, 14). Hence, we evaluated the dynamics of EPCs in BM, peripheral blood, and wound sites in the course of wound healing in WT and Ccr5−/− mice. The number of EPCs, defined as c-Kit+ Tie-2+ cells, was constant in BM during the course of wound healing in both WT and Ccr5−/− mice (Figure 5, A and B) and increased in peripheral blood to a similar extent in WT and Ccr5−/− mice (Figure 5, C and D). In contrast, EPC numbers in wound sites were increased markedly in WT mice, but not in Ccr5−/− mice (Figure 5, A–C). Moreover, the protein levels of these CCR5 ligands were barely detected in uninjured skin sites, but accumulated in the wounds intravenously injecting PKH26-labeled BM cells into lethally irradiated WT or Ccr5−/− mice. PKH26-labeled WT-derived BM cells were barely detected in uninjured skin sites, but accumulated in the wound sites to similar extents, whether injected into WT or Ccr5−/− mice (Figure 4F). In contrast, fewer PKH26-labeled Ccr5−/− mouse–derived BM cells accumulated at the wound bed for both recipient groups (Figure 4F). Moreover, a substantial proportion of PKH26-labeled cells expressed CD31 when they reached the skin wound site (Figure 4G), which suggested that CCR5+ BM-derived cells might contribute to neovascularization.

Reduced EPC recruitment to skin wound sites in the absence of CCR5. Evidence is accumulating in support of the crucial contribution of BM EPCs to neovascularization in damaged tissue (8, 14). Hence, we evaluated the dynamics of EPCs in BM, peripheral blood, and wound sites in the course of wound healing in WT and Ccr5−/− mice. The number of EPCs, defined as c-Kit+ Tie-2+ cells, was constant in BM during the course of wound healing in both WT and Ccr5−/− mice (Figure 5, A and B) and increased in peripheral blood to a similar extent in WT and Ccr5−/− mice (Figure 5, C and D). In contrast, EPC numbers in wound sites were increased markedly in WT mice, but not in Ccr5−/− mice (Figure 5, A–C). Moreover, the protein levels of these CCR5 ligands were barely detected in uninjured skin sites, but accumulated in the wounds intravenously injecting PKH26-labeled BM cells into lethally irradiated WT or Ccr5−/− mice. PKH26-labeled WT-derived BM cells were barely detected in uninjured skin sites, but accumulated in the wound sites to similar extents, whether injected into WT or Ccr5−/− mice (Figure 4F). In contrast, fewer PKH26-labeled Ccr5−/− mouse–derived BM cells accumulated at the wound bed for both recipient groups (Figure 4F). Moreover, a substantial proportion of PKH26-labeled cells expressed CD31 when they reached the skin wound site (Figure 4G), which suggested that CCR5+ BM-derived cells might contribute to neovascularization.
Similar observations were obtained when another combination of EPC markers, CD34 and Flk-1, was used (Supplemental Figure 5). Indeed, CCR5 was expressed by about 40% of c-Kit+ Tie-2+ EPCs in the peripheral blood (Figure 6). Collectively, these observations indicate that CCR5-mediated signals could recruit EPCs into skin wound sites from the circulation, thereby promoting neovascularization during skin wound healing.

**Essential involvement of the CCL5/CCR5 interaction in EPC migration.** The expression of CCR5 ligands was significantly increased at the wound sites of WT mice after wounding (Figure 2 and Supplemental Figure 1). Indeed, CCR5 was expressed by about 40% of c-Kit+ Tie-2+ EPCs in the peripheral blood (Figure 6). Collectively, these observations indicate that CCR5-mediated signals could recruit EPCs into skin wound sites from the circulation, thereby promoting neovascularization during skin wound healing.

**EPC incorporation into newly formed vessels after wounding.** When PKH26-labeled cultivated WT mouse-derived EPCs were intravenously injected into WT mice immediately after wounding, the EPCs trafficked to the wound bed and were selectively incorporated into 21.8% ± 3.8% of neovessels with simultaneous CD31 expression at 6 days (Figure 7F). These observations suggested that EPCs could move to the wound site to differentiate into vascular endothelial cells and eventually promote angiogenesis after the injury.

**Reduced expression of VEGF and TGF-β in mice lacking CCR5.** We detected mRNAs of Vegf, Tgfb1, and Hgf in cultured EPCs (Supplemental Figure 8). Because VEGF and TGF-β are indispensable for wound healing, we examined the gene expression of these factors at wound sites of WT and Ccr5−/− mice. Under the experimental conditions...
ditions used, there were no significant between-strain differences in Vegf and Tgfb1 expression at the uninjured skin. Skin excision induced the gene expression of these growth factors in wound sites from WT mice at every time point examined, whereas Ccr5–/- mice showed significant attenuation of the enhanced gene expression at 3 and 6 days after injury (Figure 8, A and B). Consistent with the quantitative RT-PCR results, the protein levels of VEGF and TGF-β1 at the wound sites were significantly lower in Ccr5–/- mice than in WT mice (Figure 8, C and D). These observations suggested that the diminished expression of TGF-β1 and VEGF was attributable to reduced EPC accumulation in Ccr5–/- mice, which would eventually result in attenuated angiogenesis and collagen production.

**Discussion**

Accumulating evidence indicates that involvement of the chemokine system is crucial to skin wound healing (1, 3). In the present study, we showed in mice that gene expression of Ccr5 and its ligands was upregulated at wound sites and that CCR5 protein was exclusively detected in macrophages and endothelial cells. Consistent with an important functional role, genetic disruption of Ccr5 in our model delayed skin wound healing and attenuated neovascularization, but not macrophage recruitment, whereas transfer of CCR5+ BM cells into Ccr5–/- mice restored normal skin wound healing and neovascularization. Moreover, the CCR5+ BM-derived cells were incorporated directly into the vasculature.

---

**Figure 3**

Skin wound healing processes in WT and Ccr5–/- mice. (A) Kinetic analysis of skin excisional wound healing. Representative results from 3 independent experiments with 4 animals per group are shown. (B) Percent wound area at each time point relative to the original wound area (n = 6). (C) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis for Col1a1 mRNA at wound sites (n = 6). (D) Immunohistochemical detection of macrophages (F4/80) at wound sites in WT and Ccr5–/- mice. Representative results from 6 independent experiments are shown. (E) Number of macrophages per high-power microscopic field (hpf, ×200; n = 6). (F) Western blotting analysis using anti-F4/80 Ab and anti-α-tubulin Ab. Representative results from 6 independent experiments are shown. (G) Ratio of F4/80 to α-tubulin (n = 6). (H) Neovascularization was immunohistochemically evaluated at 6 days after injury. Representative results from 4 animals are shown. (I) Vascular area, identified as percent CD31+ area with Adobe Photoshop (n = 4). Original magnification, ×400 (D); ×200 (H). All values represent mean ± SEM. **P < 0.01, *P < 0.05 versus WT.
Figure 4
Effects of BM transplantation on skin wound healing of recipient mice transplanted with BM cells from WT or Ccr5−/− donors. (A) Kinetic analysis of skin excisional wound healing. Representative results from 2 independent experiments with at least 5 animals per group are shown. (B) Percent wound area at each time point relative to original area (n = 5). (C) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis for Collα1 mRNA at wound sites (n = 5). (D) Immunohistochemical analysis with anti-CD31 mAb at 6 days after injury. Representative results from 6 individual animals are shown. (E) Vascular area, identified as percent CD31+ area with Adobe Photoshop (n = 6). (F) Migration of PKH26-labeled BM cells at wound sites in BM chimeric mice at day 6 after injury. Representative results from 6 individual animals are shown. (G) PKH26-labeled BM cells recruited at the wound sites colocalized with CD31+ endothelial cells. Nuclear staining is blue. Original magnification, ×200 (D and F); ×400 (G). All values represent mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 versus respective recipients of Ccr5−/− BM cells.
Figure 5
Quantitative evaluation of EPCs after injury. (A and B) BM, (C and D) peripheral blood, and (E and F) wound sites were analyzed to determine c-Kit^+ Tie-2^+ EPCs. (A, C, and E) Flow cytometric analysis. Representative results from 6 independent experiments are shown. Numbers within plots denote percent cells in the respective gates. (B, D, and F) Percent c-Kit^+ Tie-2^+ EPCs. Values represent mean ± SEM (n = 6). **P < 0.01 versus WT.
in wound sites. These observations indicated that CCR5+ BM cells — except for macrophages — could contribute to neovascularization, an indispensable event for sustaining the newly formed granulation tissue (2).

Asahara and colleagues identified circulating EPCs as the key cell type contributing to neovascularization (7), and subsequent studies revealed that BM-derived EPCs are essential for the tissue repair process in ischemia-induced damage of the limb, kidney, and heart (9, 17, 18). BM-derived EPCs can also be incorporated into newly formed capillaries in granulation tissue, thereby promoting neovascularization during wound healing (16, 19). We also observed EPC recruitment to wound sites in WT mice and demonstrated that this process was CCR5 dependent. These observations suggest that CCR5-mediated signals can regulate EPC recruitment from BM to skin wound sites. This notion was further supported by our observations that EPCs expressed CCR5 (Figure 6 and Supplemental Figures 7 and 9).

BM-derived EPCs can be incorporated to newly formed vessels through multiple steps, including sensing the ischemic signal from the remote tissue, releasing EPCs from the BM niche into circulation, homing circulating EPCs to the target tissues, integrating EPCs into blood vessels, and in situ differentiation/maturati

**Figure 6**
Flow cytometric analysis of CCR5+ EPCs among c-Kit+Tie-2+ EPCs in peripheral blood cells. (A) c-Kit+Tie-2+ EPCs and (B) CCR5+ EPCs among c-Kit+Tie-2+ EPCs. Representative results from 6 individual animals are shown. Numerals within plots denote percent cells in the respective gates.

**Figure 7**
In vitro migratory response of EPCs to CC chemokines. (A–C) Effect of (A) CCL3, (B) CCL4, and (C) CCL5 on the migratory response of WT mouse–derived EPCs. Results are from 4 independent experiments. (D) CCL5-migrated EPCs expressed CCR5 (red), but not CD45 (green). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). (E) Migratory activity in WT and Ccr5−/− EPCs in response to CCR5 ligands, VEGF, and GM-CSF. Results are from 4 independent experiments. (F) EPCs were incorporated into wound capillaries in vivo (arrows). Yellow color indicates that EPCs (PKH26) were colocalized with CD31 (FITC). Representative results from 6 independent experiments are shown. Original magnification, ×200 (D); ×100 (F). All values represent mean ± SEM. **P < 0.01 versus medium alone (control).
EPCs into mature and functional endothelial cells (17, 20). Tissue ischemia is presumed to be the strongest stimulus for EPC mobilization from the BM to the circulation (14, 17). Moreover, EPC mobilization can be augmented by various cytokines, including G-CSF, GM-CSF, VEGF, and placental growth factor (15, 21–23). Wounding increased the number of circulating EPCs to a similar extent in WT and Ccr5–/– mice. Thus, CCR5-mediated signals may not regulate EPC mobilization from the BM into the circulation, but can control the EPC-homing step and/or later steps.

It still remains unclear how circulating EPCs are recruited to target tissue. The chemokine system is essential for recruitment of various cells (3, 24). In line with previous studies (25–27), we detected gene expression of several chemokine receptors, such as Ccr1, Ccr2, Ccr5, and Cxcr4, in cultured EPCs (Supplemental Figure 9). Several previously reported lines of evidence indicated that the CXCL12/CXCR4 interaction is partially responsible for EPC migration (26, 28). Spring and colleagues revealed that EPCs home into tumor, but do not mobilize from BM, in a CCR2- and/or CCR5-dependent manner (25). Thus, CXCR4-, CCR2-, and CCR5-mediated signaling can regulate EPC homing into the injured sites. However, we failed to detect a significant difference in the expression of the CXCR4 ligand, CXCL12, or the CCR2 ligand, CCL2, at the wound sites between WT and Ccr5–/– mice (Supplemental Figure 10). Thus, CXCR4- or CCR2-mediated signals can have few roles in EPC homing in this skin excisional wound healing process. It is more plausible that CCR5-mediated signals can directly control EPC homing from the circulation to wound sites, thereby contributing to neovascularization and subsequent wound healing.

Redundancy exists in the interaction among several chemokines and chemokine receptors. CCR5 is used by 3 specific ligands, CCL3, CCL4, and CCL5, and these ligands can use another chemokine receptor, CCR1 (29). Chemokines bind to heptahelical G protein-coupled receptors (4, 6). Each chemokine receptor uses multiple additional and distinct signaling pathways, such as Jak/Stat, MAPK, and PI3K, depending on the ligand and cell type involved (30). Moreover, chemokine signals can also be modulated through receptor dimerization, phosphorylation, desensitization, and internalization (31). These complexities can result in the previously observed different ligand efficacy in the CC chemokine/CCR5/CCR1 axis (32–35). In line with these observations, CCL5, but not CCL3 or CCL4, induced EPC migration in vitro to an extent similar to that of VEGF or GM-CSF. Moreover, given the previous observations that genetic deletion of CCL3 or CCR1 has no effect on neovascularization and subsequent wound healing (36, 37), these observations suggest that the interaction between CCL5 and CCR5 is specifically involved in EPC homing from the circulation to injured sites.

Several reports, including our present study, have demonstrated that EPCs are incorporated into mature endothelial cells, but their contribution was estimated to be only 12%–25% of endothelial cells in newly formed vessels in animal models (27, 38–42). However, impaired EPC recruitment to injured or tumor sites causes severe angiogenesis inhibition (12, 43), consistent with our present observations. EPCs can release VEGF, HGF, G-CSF, GM-CSF, PDGF-B, and other growth factors that are important for the neovascularization process (43–45). We detected mRNA of Vegf, Tgfβ1, and Hgf in cultured EPCs (Supplemental Figure 8). Actually, in Ccr5–/– mice, the gene expression and protein levels of VEGF and TGF-β1 were significantly diminished at the wound sites. Thus, in addition to the differentiation to endothelial cells, we conclude that migrated EPCs simultaneously produce these growth factors to guide the accumulation of endothelial cells and to promote collagen production and neovascularization, eventually accelerating wound healing.

In summary, we have shown that CCR5-deficient mice display reduced EPC recruitment and subsequent attenuated neovascularization and collagen production in skin wounds, eventually resulting in delayed wound healing. If CCR5 plays a similar role in humans, our data predict that individuals lacking CCR5 as a result of homozygous inheritance of the complete loss-of-func-

**Figure 8**

Decreased VEGF and TGF-β1 expression at wound sites in Ccr5–/– versus WT mice. VEGF and TGF-β1 expression was determined by quantitative RT-PCR (A, Vegf mRNA; B, Tgfβ1 mRNA) and ELISA (C, VEGF protein; D, TGF-β1 protein). Values represent mean ± SEM (n = 6). **P < 0.01 versus WT.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ccl2 forward</td>
<td>5′-GACATCAGCTGTCTGCCTA-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ccl2 reverse</td>
<td>5′-CTCCACGCTACTCTGGGATCA-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ccl3 forward</td>
<td>5′-TGAAACCAAGCAGCTTGTGCTC-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ccl3 reverse</td>
<td>5′-AGCCATTGCCTAGGCGACTGTG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ccl4 forward</td>
<td>5′-CCATGAAGCTCTGGTGTCGTG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ccl4 reverse</td>
<td>5′-GCCGTTGCAAAGAAGACTGTG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ccl5 forward</td>
<td>5′-AGATCTTCGAGAAGCCTGTCA-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ccl5 reverse</td>
<td>5′-GGACAGCTCTGGTTCGTTG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cxcl12 forward</td>
<td>5′-GACCTTCACCTCGCAAGCTTCC-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cxcl12 reverse</td>
<td>5′-ACAGTCAAGCTCTGGTCTTA-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ccr5 forward</td>
<td>5′-GGTTTCTGAAAGGCGGTAAATC-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ccr5 reverse</td>
<td>5′-CTGTTGGACGATGGACACATC-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF forward</td>
<td>5′-GGAGATGTCCTCTACGCTGATG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF reverse</td>
<td>5′-GTCGTTTTTCTGGAATGAGCGCA-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tgfb1 forward</td>
<td>5′-GTGGTAGCACAATCTGGGAT-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tgfb1 reverse</td>
<td>5′-TTGGCTGACCCATGCTGCTA-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col1a1 forward</td>
<td>5′-GCTGAGATGGCAACAGGCTGTA-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col1a1 reverse</td>
<td>5′-TGTGATGGGAAAGAATGAGCA-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actb forward</td>
<td>5′-CATGCTTAAAGCCTTGATGAC-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actb reverse</td>
<td>5′-ATGGAGCCACACGATTCACA-3′</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tion allele Ccr5Δ32 may exhibit impaired wound healing. This allele is common among individuals of mixed European descent, with a frequency of 9.2% (46–48). A second clinical implication of our work is that the CCL5/CCR5 interaction should be considered as a potential molecular target for modulation of neovascularization. Although the properties of EPCs may warrant their use for inducing neovascularization in various clinical situations, the major obstacle for this treatment at present is the difficulty in obtaining a large number of EPCs. Exploitation of CCL5/CCR5 signaling could overcome this obstacle by promoting migration of a sufficient number of EPCs to the site where neovascularization is required.

**Methods**

**Reagents and Abs.** Recombinant murine CCL3, CCL4, CCL5, VEGF, and GM-CSF were purchased from R&D Systems. The following mAbs and polyclonal Abs (pAbs) were used for immunohistochemical and immunofluorescence analyses: rat anti-mouse Ly-6G mAb; rat anti-mouse CD31 mAb, and FITC-conjugated rat anti-mouse c-Kit mAb (BD Biosciences); rat anti-mouse F4/80 mAb (AbD Serotec); rabbit anti-F4/80 pAbs (Alpha Diagnostic International); mouse anti–α-tubulin mAb (Dakocytomation); goat anti-CCL3/ MIP-1α pAbs (GeneTex); rabbit anti-CCL4/MIP-1β pAbs (Torrey Pines Biolabs); goat anti-CCL5/RANTES pAbs, rabbit anti–Tie-2 pAbs, goat anti-CCR5 pAbs, and mouse anti-α-tubulin mAb (SantaCruz Biotechnolog). For flow cytometric analysis, the following Abs were commercially obtained: PE-conjugated anti-mouse Flk-1 mAb, FITC-conjugated rat anti-mouse CD34 mAb, and FITC-conjugated rat anti-mouse c-Kit mAb (BD Biosciences); PE-conjugated rat anti-mouse Tie-2 mAb and biotin-conjugated hamster anti-CCR5 mAb (eBioscience).

**Animals.** Specific pathogen–free 8- to 10-week-old male C57BL/6 mice were obtained from Japan SLC and designated as WT mice in this study. Homozygous Ccr5−/− mice, generated as described previously (49), were backcrossed onto C57BL/6 mice for more than 10 generations. All animals were housed individually in cages under specific pathogen–free conditions during the experiments. Age- and sex-matched mice were used for the experiments.

**Experimental wound preparation and analysis.** Full-thickness skin wounds were created in the dorsal skin under sterile conditions as described previously (50). The same procedure was repeated 3 times, generating 6 wounds. Each wound site was digitally photographed at the indicated time points after injury, and wound areas were determined on photographs using Adobe Photoshop (version 7.0; Adobe Systems). Changes in wound area was expressed as the percentage of the initial wound area. In some experiments, wounds and their surrounding areas, including the scab and epithelial margins, were taken at the indicated time intervals with a sterile disposable 8-mm-diameter biopsy punch.

**Generation of BM chimeric mice.** The following BM chimeric mice were prepared: male Ccr5−/− BM→female WT mice, male WT BM→female Ccr5−/− mice, male WT BM→female WT mice, and male Ccr5−/− BM→female Ccr5−/− mice (51). BM cells were collected from the femurs of donor mice by aspiration and flushing. Recipient mice were irradiated at 12 Gy and then intravenously received 5 × 10^6 BM cells from donor mice in a volume of 200 μl sterile PBS under anesthesia. Mice were subsequently housed in sterilized microisolator cages and fed normal chow and autoclaved hyperchlorinated water for 6 weeks. To verify successful engraftment and reconstitution of BM in the transplanted mice, genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral blood and tail tissues of each chimeric mouse 6 weeks after BM transplantation with NucleoSpin Tissue Kit (MACHEREY-NAGEL). Then, we performed PCR to detect the Sry gene contained in the Y chromosome (forward primer, 5′-TTGCGTACACAAAAA-3′; reverse primer, 5′-AAACCTGCTGTTCTGCTGT-3′). The amplified PCR products were fractionated on 2% agarose gel and visualized by ethidium bromide staining. After durable BM engraftment was confirmed, full-thickness skin wound was made in the recipient mice as described above. In some experiments, BM cells (5 × 10^6) labeled with PKH26 (Sigma-Aldrich) were intravenously injected to recipient mice immediately after whole-body irradiation to delineate the trafficking of BM-derived cells.

**Histopathological and immunohistochemical analyses.** Wound specimens were fixed in 4% formaldehyde buffered with PBS and then embedded with paraffin to prepare sections. The sections were stained with H&E for histopathological analysis or were subjected to immunohistochemical analysis to evaluate neovascularization, as described previously (50). Histopathological and immunohistochemical findings were evaluated by 2 examiners without any prior knowledge of the experimental procedures.

**Double- or triple-color immunofluorescence analysis.** Double- or triple-color immunofluorescence analysis was conducted in order to identify the localization of CCR5, CCL3, CCL4, and CCL5 proteins as described previously (50). In some experiments, tissue samples of skin wounds were fixed in 4% PBS-buffered paraformaldehyde, to make 6-μm-thick sections. Thereafter, the sections were incubated with FITC-conjugated anti-mouse c-Kit Ab, anti–Tie-2, and anti-CCR5 Ab, as described previously (18).

**Measurement of hydroxyproline content.** Hydroxyproline content was measured as an index of collagen accumulation at the wound sites as described previously (50). Hydroxyproline content was calculated by comparison to standards (Sigma-Aldrich) and expressed as the amount per wound in micrometers.

**Western blotting analysis.** At the indicated time intervals after injury, wound samples were homogenized with a lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6; 150 mM NaCl; 1% Triton; and 1 mM EDTA) containing Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche) and centrifuged to obtain lysates. The lysates (30 μg) were electrophoresed in a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel and transferred onto PVDF membrane (50). The membrane was then incubated with anti-F4/80 mAb, anti-α-tubulin mAb. After the incubation of secondary Abs, the immune complexes were visualized using ECL System according to the manufacturer's instructions.

**Mononuclear cell isolation and flow cytometric analysis.** Mononuclear cells were isolated from BM, peripheral blood, or wound tissue homogenates as described previously (15, 18). Contaminated red blood cells were hemolyzed using ammonium chloride solution (IMGENEX). The resulting single-cell suspensions were incubated with the combination of PE-conjugated rat anti-mouse Tie-2 mAb and FITC-conjugated rat anti-mouse c-Kit mAb or that of PE-conjugated rat anti-mouse Flk-1 mAb and FITC-conjugated rat anti-mouse CD34 mAb for 20 minutes on ice. Isotype-matched control immunoglobulins were used to detect nonspecific binding of immunoglobulin. The stained cells were analyzed on a FACS Calibur flow cytometer (BD), and the obtained data were analyzed using CellQuest Pro software (BD Biosciences). Tie-2–c-Kit+ or CD34–Flk-1+ double-positive cells within the myeloid mononuclear cell population were enumerated as EPCs. In some experiments, the cells were stained with the combination of anti–Tie-2, anti–c-Kit, and anti-CCR5 antibodies to determine the CCR5+ cells among EPCs.

**EPC culture assay.** Whole BM cells were harvested by flushing femurs and tibias with PBS, and mononuclear cells were isolated by Histopaque-1083 (Sigma-Aldrich) density gradient centrifugation. Isolated BM mononuclear cells were cultured in EBGM-2 BulletKit medium (Clonetics; Lonza) supplemented with 5% FBS, antibiotics, and growth factors (EPC
medium) on fibronectin-coated 6-well plates or 4-well glass slides coated with fibronectin (BD Biosciences). 4–7 days after culture, attached spindle-shaped cells were confirmed to express the markers of EPCs, ACtLD (Invitrogen) and B-1 lectin (14, 15). Cultured EPCs were subjected to immunohistochemical, and RT-PCR analyses.

RT-PCR analysis. Total RNA was extracted from whole tissue or cultured EPCs using ISOGEN (Nippon Gene), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Total RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using PrimeScript Reverse Transcription (Takara Bio) with oligo(dT)15 primers. First-strand cDNA was synthesized from 3 μg total RNA with oligo(dT)15 primers using PrimeScript Reverse Transcription (Takara Bio). As described previously (52), real-time PCR was carried out using SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (Takara Bio) with specific primer sets (Table 1). Primers were prepared from Takara Bio. Amplification and detection of mRNA were performed using Thermal Cycler Dice Real Time System (TP800; Takara Bio) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. To standardize mRNA concentrations, transcript levels of Actb were determined in parallel for each sample, and relative transcript levels were corrected by normalization based on Actb transcript levels. Moreover, the gene expression of chemokine receptors, Flk-1, and growth factors on EPCs was evaluated by RT-PCR using appropriate primer sets (Supplemental Table 1).

Migration assay of EPCs. The contents of the upper and lower chambers were separated using polycarbonate filter (8 μm pore size). Mouse EPCs cultivated for 7 days were resuspended at 5 × 10^6 cells/100 μl in EB-2 medium supplemented with 0.5% BSA and were seeded in the upper compartment. Recombinant mouse CCL3, CCL4, CCL5, VEGF (100 ng/ml), or GM-CSF (50 ng/ml) was added to the lower compartment (15). The chambers were incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for 12 hours. The cells migrated into the lower chamber were counted manually in 5 random high-power fields (magnification, ×100) per well. All groups were studied at least in triplicate. In some experiments, migrated cells were subjected to immunostaining with anti-CCL5 Ab and anti-CDC45 Ab.

EPC adoptive transfer. PKH26-labeled EPCs (5 × 10^4) in 100 μl EB-2 media without growth factors were administered to WT mice via the tail vein immediately after wounding. To evaluate the accumulation of labeled EPCs at wounded sites, wound samples were removed 5 days later, and frozen sections of wound samples were immunostained with anti-CDC45 mAb, followed by the observation using fluorescence microscopy.

ELISA. Wound tissues were homogenized with 0.5 ml lysis buffer (10 mM PBS, 0.1% SDS; 1% Nonidet P-40; and 5 mM EDTA) containing Complete Protease Inhibitor Mixture (Roche Diagnostics). The homogenates were centrifuged at 18,000 g for 15 minutes. The supernatants were subjected to ELISA. CCL2, CCL3, CCL4, CCL5, CXCL12, TGF-β1, and VEGF protein levels were measured with commercially available ELISA kits (Quantikine M; R&D) according to the manufacturer’s recommendation. The detection limits for each method were as follows: CCL2, >2 pg/ml; CCL3, >10 pg/ml; CCL4, >7 pg/ml; CCL5, >5 pg/ml; CXCL12, >40 pg/ml; VEGF, >3 pg/ml; TGF-β1, >7 pg/ml. Total protein in the supernatant was measured with a commercial kit (BCA Protein Assay Kit; Pierce). The data were expressed as cytokine or growth factor (pg/ml) relative to total protein (mg/ml) for each sample.

Statistics. Means and SEMs were calculated for all parameters determined in this study. Statistical significance was evaluated using 1-way ANOVA with post-hoc testing with Scheffe’s F multiple comparison test or Mann-Whitney’s U test. A P-value less than 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant. Study approval. All animal experiments were approved by the Committee on Animal Care and Use at Wakayama Medical University.
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