Cytokinesis failure occurs in Fanconi anemia pathway–deficient murine and human bone marrow hematopoietic cells
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Fanconi anemia (FA) is a genomic instability disorder characterized by bone marrow failure and cancer predisposition. FA is caused by mutations in any one of several genes that encode proteins cooperating in a repair pathway and is required for cellular resistance to DNA crosslinking agents. Recent studies suggest that the FA pathway may also play a role in mitosis, since FANCD2 and FANCI, the 2 key FA proteins, are localized to the extremities of ultrathin DNA bridges (UFBs), which link sister chromatids during cell division. However, whether FA proteins regulate cell division remains unclear. Here we have shown that FA pathway–deficient cells display an increased number of UFBs compared with FA pathway–proficient cells. The UFBs were coated by BLM (the RecQ helicase mutated in Bloom syndrome) in early mitosis. In contrast, the FA protein FANCM was recruited to the UFBs at a later stage. The increased number of bridges in FA pathway–deficient cells correlated with a higher rate of cytokinesis failure resulting in binucleated cells. Binucleated cells were also detectable in primary murine FA pathway–deficient hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) and bone marrow stromal cells from human patients with FA. Based on these observations, we suggest that cytokinesis failure followed by apoptosis may contribute to bone marrow failure in patients with FA.

Introduction

Fanconi anemia (FA) is a rare inherited disorder characterized by congenital abnormalities, progressive bone marrow failure, and cancer susceptibility. The major cause of death of FA patients is bone marrow failure (1), which usually occurs earlier in life than cancer development. Depletion of bone marrow cells, leading to bone marrow failure, is partly attributed to senescence and/or apoptosis of the HSCs (2, 3). Senescence has been observed in bone marrow HSCs from FA mice after exposure to repetitive hyperxia-hypoxia treatments (4). The origin of apoptosis remains unclear and may have diverse underlying causes. Dereplication of apoptotic pathways has been described in FA pathway–deficient bone marrow cells. Accordingly, FA pathway–deficient cells are hypersensitive to the proapoptotic effects of interferon-γ and tumor necrosis factor α (5–7). Since FA is a chromosomal instability disorder, another likely cause of apoptosis is the accumulation of increased DNA damage in FA pathway–deficient cells.

FA is genetically heterogeneous, and 13 complementation groups (FANCA, -B, -C, -D1, -D2, -I, -E, -F, -G, -J, -L, -M, and -N) have been identified to date. An additional FA-like disorder has recently been shown to result from biallelic mutation in the gene RAD51C, which suggests that this may represent a 14th FA subtype, FAFCO (8). The FA proteins cooperate in a repair mechanism designed to remove DNA crosslinks during the S phase of the cell cycle. The FA pathway is activated when the replication fork encounters a DNA lesion. The first event of the cascade is the activation of a nucleolar complex of 8 FA proteins (FANCA, -B, -C, -E, -F, -G, -L, and -M), called the FA core complex (9). Among the FA core complex proteins, only FANCM displays DNA remodeling activity. FANCM contains ATP-dependent translocase activity, which promotes replication fork reversal (10). More recently, FANCM has also been proven to control replication fork progression (11, 12). Therefore, besides its role in recruiting the core complex in the chromatin (9), FANCM has been proposed to directly function in DNA replication and repair. The second step of the FA pathway is monoubiquitination of FANCD2 and FANCI by the activated FA core complex (9). Modified FANCD2 and FANCI subsequently accumulate in foci on chromatin, where they colocalize with other repair proteins and participate in DNA interstrand crosslink repair (13). These foci, assembled during S phase, are believed to disassemble once the damage is repaired, before the exit from S phase.

Recently, 2 studies demonstrated that a small number of FANCD2-I foci persist beyond the S phase of cell cycle and are detected in mitosis (14, 15). These persistent foci localize to DNA fragile sites and mark the extremities of ultrathin DNA bridges (UFBs), which link sister chromatids during mitosis (14). Different classes of DNA bridges interlink sister chromatids during cell division. For instance, chromatin bridges—revealed by conventional DNA dyes—contain missegregated DNA and may be derived from dysfunctional telomeres or misregulated chromosome cohesion. The UFBs represent a second class of bridges. They are not detectable by staining with classical DNA dyes and are coated by BLM, the RecQ DNA helicase mutated in Bloom syndrome (BS), and Plk-interacting checkpoint helicase (PICH), an SNF2-family ATPase (16, 17). The UFBs, which link the centromeres of sister chromatids, occur naturally in mitosis (17). They represent fully replicated DNA and do not display FANCD2-I foci at their extremities (14). In contrast, the PICH-BLM UFBs, which display FANCD2-I foci at their extremities, are likely to be derived from unresolved replication intermediates. Their generation occurs when replication is incomplete, preferentially at fragile loci under replication stress, but also in between 2 replication forks, leading to the formation of ssDNA catenates between sister chroma-
tids. BLM has been shown to participate in the resolution of these bridges and the maintenance of genetic information during mitosis. Indeed, persistent DNA bridges may lead to micronucleation (14).

The role of the FA pathway in the regulation of UFBs during mitosis remained unclear. Here, we showed that FA pathway deficiency affected the presence of UFBs and the completion of cell division. Based on our findings, we propose a mechanism potentially leading to bone marrow failure in patients with FA.

Results

**Increased mitotic DNA bridges in FA pathway–deficient cells.** Perturbation of replication by treatment with DNA-damaging agents, such as aphidicolin (APH), mitomycin C (MMC), and hydroxyurea, has previously been shown to increase the frequency of mitotic cells with UFBs (14). Replication stalling also activates the FA pathway, and FA pathway–deficient cells are hypersensitive to MMC. We therefore investigated the importance of the FA pathway in the formation of PICH-BLM UFBs in untreated populations of cells. We first scored the number of mitotic cells displaying UFBs in FA patient–derived FANCG-deficient fibroblasts (PD326; referred to herein as FA-G cells) and in their FANCG cDNA–corrected counterparts (Figure 1, A and B). The PICH-BLM UFBs were observed in about 90% of the FA-G cells during anaphase, when the sister chromatids separate, until late telophase, when the chromatin decondenses (Figure 1, A and B). In contrast, only about 50% of mitotic cells displayed PICH-BLM bridges in anaphase-telophase or in late telophase. Data are mean and SD from 2 independent experiments. (C) Distribution of FA-G cells plus vector or FANCG cDNA based on the number of PICH-BLM bridges per mitotic cell. (D) Frequency of mitoses displaying BLM bridges in vector-transduced and reconstituted FA-A cells (FA-A+V and FA-A+A, respectively). Data are mean and SEM from 3 independent experiments. (E) Frequency of mitoses displaying BLM bridges in shScramble, shFANCA, or shFANCI cells. Data are mean and SD from 2 independent experiments. Numbers within bars denote number of mitotic cells analyzed. **P < 0.02, ***P < 0.01, Student's t test.
The BRCA2 gene, which encodes FANCD1, also had increased BLM bridges (Supplemental Figure 1C). Finally, to extend this finding to a different cell type, we examined HeLa cells stably expressing shRNAs targeting FANCA or FANCI (referred to herein as shFANCA and shFANCI, respectively). These cells also exhibited an increase in PICH-BLM UFBs compared with scrambled shRNA control cells (referred to herein as shScramble; Figure 1E), further demonstrating that the FA pathway–deficient cells have an increase in PICH-BLM bridges and missegregated chromatids in mitosis. Functional knockdown of the FA pathway in these transduced HeLa cells was further confirmed by the absence of FANCD2 monoubiquitination (Supplemental Figure 1D).

Figure 2
Localization of FANCM protein to PICH-BLM bridges. (A) Representative images for BLM and FANCM bridges in HeLa cells in anaphase and late telophase. Cells were stained for DNA (blue), microtubules (red), and BLM or FANCM (green). Original magnification, ×100. (B) Frequency of mitotic HeLa cells displaying BLM or FANCM bridges in anaphase and late telophase. Data are mean and SD of 2 independent experiments. (C) Frequency of HeLa cells displaying FANCM bridges in late telophase after treatment with control siRNA (siLacZ) or BLM siRNA for 72 hours. Data are mean and SEM of 3 independent experiments. (D) Representative confocal images of FANCM bridges (green) linking DNA fragile sites marked by FANCD2 foci (red color and arrows). Insets show higher-magnification views of FANCM bridges connecting FANCD2 foci. HeLa cells were treated for 18 hours with aphidicolin (0.3 μM) and then released for 6 hours before fixation. Original magnification, ×100; ×250 (insets). (E) Frequency of shScramble, shFANCA, and shFANCI cells displaying FANCM bridges in late telophase. Data are mean and SD from 2 independent experiments. (F) Frequency of control cells (shCtrl), cells stably knocked down for FANCM (shM), or FANCM-deficient cells complemented with WT cDNA (shM+M WT) or expressing FANCMK117R displaying BLM bridges (shM+M K117R) in mitosis. Data are mean and SEM from 3 independent experiments. Numbers within bars denote number of mitotic cells analyzed. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.02, ***P < 0.01, Student’s t test.
FANCM localization on UFBs. BLM and the FA core complex proteins are subunits of the same multisubunit complex, named the BRAFT complex (18). Recent evidence supports crosstalk between the FA pathway and the BLM helicase (14, 15, 19, 20). Indeed, FANCM independently recruits both the FA core complex and BLM to the site of the DNA crosslink, promoting combined action of the FA pathway and BLM to repair the damage (20, 21). FANCM interacts with BLM via its partner proteins, TopoIIIα and RMI1 (20). We therefore hypothesized that FANCM, like BLM, TopoIIIα, and RMI1 (17), may coat the UFBs.

By immunostaining, we observed FANCM structures similar to the mitotic bridges in late telophase in HeLa cells, which contain an intact FA pathway and the BLM helicase (14, 15, 19, 20). Indeed, FANCM independently recruits both the FA core complex and BLM to the site of the DNA crosslink, promoting combined action of the FA pathway and BLM to repair the damage (20, 21). FANCM interacts with BLM via its partner proteins, TopoIIIα and RMI1 (20). We therefore hypothesized that FANCM, like BLM, TopoIIIα, and RMI1 (17), may coat the UFBs.

By immunostaining, we observed FANCM structures similar to the mitotic bridges in late telophase in HeLa cells, which contain an intact FA pathway (Figure 2A). FANCM staining was decreased following siRNA knockdown of FANCM protein, further confirming the specificity of antibody staining (Supplemental Figure 2A). These structures, hereafter referred to as FANCM bridges, were detected in late telophase, when the cleavage furrow has ingressed and chromatin has partially decondensed. In contrast, BLM bridges, which appeared early in anaphase, tended to decrease toward the end of mitosis (Figure 2, A and B). Because of this temporal difference, colocalization of BLM and FANCM was rarely observed (Supplemental Figure 2B). Likewise, PICH, which is known to colocalize with BLM, only rarely colocalized with FANCM (Supplemental Figure 2C). In addition, for rare cells in late telophase, both PICH and FANCM were observed on the same bridges, but in different regions (Supplemental Figure 2D), which suggests that FANCM may replace PICH on the UFBs in late mitosis. We found that BLM depletion reduced FANCM localization to the UFBs (Figure 2C). Given that BLM depletion increases PICH bridges (14), the failure of FANCM to localize to the UFBs cannot be attributable to the decreased number of bridges. Instead, our results suggest that BLM may play a direct role in recruiting FANCM to UFBs late in mitosis. We found that BLM depletion reduced FANCM localization to the UFBs (Figure 2C). Given that BLM depletion increases PICH bridges (14), the failure of FANCM to localize to the UFBs cannot be attributable to the decreased number of bridges. Instead, our results suggest that BLM may play a direct role in recruiting FANCM to UFBs late in mitosis. We found that BLM depletion reduced FANCM localization to the UFBs (Figure 2C). Given that BLM depletion increases PICH bridges (14), the failure of FANCM to localize to the UFBs cannot be attributable to the decreased number of bridges. Instead, our results suggest that BLM may play a direct role in recruiting FANCM to UFBs late in mitosis. We found that BLM depletion reduced FANCM localization to the UFBs (Figure 2C). Given that BLM depletion increases PICH bridges (14), the failure of FANCM to localize to the UFBs cannot be attributable to the decreased number of bridges. Instead, our results suggest that BLM may play a direct role in recruiting FANCM to UFBs late in mitosis. We found that BLM depletion reduced FANCM localization to the UFBs (Figure 2C). Given that BLM depletion increases PICH bridges (14), the failure of FANCM to localize to the UFBs cannot be attributable to the decreased number of bridges. Instead, our results suggest that BLM may play a direct role in recruiting FANCM to UFBs late in mitosis. We found that BLM depletion reduced FANCM localization to the UFBs (Figure 2C). Given that BLM depletion increases PICH bridges (14), the failure of FANCM to localize to the UFBs cannot be attributable to the decreased number of bridges. Instead, our results suggest that BLM may play a direct role in recruiting FANCM to UFBs late in mitosis. We found that BLM depletion reduced FANCM localization to the UFBs (Figure 2C). Given that BLM depletion increases PICH bridges (14), the failure of FANCM to localize to the UFBs cannot be attributable to the decreased number of bridges. Instead, our results suggest that BLM may play a direct role in recruiting FANCM to UFBs late in mitosis. We found that BLM depletion reduced FANCM localization to the UFBs (Figure 2C). Given that BLM depletion increases PICH bridges (14), the failure of FANCM to localize to the UFBs cannot be attributable to the decreased number of bridges. Instead, our results suggest that BLM may play a direct role in recruiting FANCM to UFBs late in mitosis. We found that BLM depletion reduced FANCM localization to the UFBs (Figure 2C). Given that BLM depletion increases PICH bridges (14), the failure of FANCM to localize to the UFBs cannot be attributable to the decreased number of bridges. Instead, our results suggest that BLM may play a direct role in recruiting FANCM to UFBs late in mitosis.
the UFBs later in mitosis, where it replaces BLM and PICH, presumably to facilitate the resolution of persistent UFBs.

The presence of FANCM in the UFBs prompted us to investigate the impact of FANCM deficiency on the PICH-BLM bridges. We used HEK293 cells with stable knockdown of FANCM via expression of an artificial micro RNA sequence specifically targeting FANCM (siRNAmir) (20). Consistent with FANCA and FANCI knockdown (Figure 1E), FANCM deficiency increased the number of BLM bridges compared with control cells (Figure 2F), which suggests that BLM localization to the UFBs does not require FANCM. Complementation of the shFANCM cell line with a siRNA-resistant WT FANCM rescued the defect (Figure 2F).

FANCM plays at least 2 independent functions in the FA pathway: first, it recruits the core complex to chromatin and promotes FANCD2-I ubiquitination; second, it plays a more direct role in repair (22). Accordingly, a patient-derived FANCM-deficient cell line exhibited cytokinesis failure in murine Fancg–/– and Fancd2–/– HSCs and in human FA bone marrow stromal cells. (A) LSK cells from Fancg–/– and Fancd2–/– mice and their respective WT siblings were grown for 48 hours before staining for microtubules (red), nuclear membrane (Lap2; green), and DNA (blue). Representative images of mononucleated as well as binucleated Fancd2–/– LSK cells are shown (note the Lap2 bridge between the 2 nuclei in the third column). Original magnification, ×63. (B) Frequency of binucleated cells in LSK population from bone marrow of 4 pairs of Fancg–/– and WT siblings and 3 pairs of Fancd2–/– and WT siblings. At right, the fold increase in binucleated cells between FA pathway-deficient and WT samples was calculated for each pair of mice; data are mean and SEM. (C) Frequency of binucleated cells in the bone marrow stromal cell population of 3 healthy donors (control, mean and SEM shown) and 3 FA patients. (D) LSK cells from 3 pairs each of Fancd2–/– and WT and Fancg–/– and WT siblings were grown for 48 hours before staining with annexin V. The absolute frequencies of annexin V–positive apoptotic LSK cells and the fold increase in the number of apoptotic cells is shown. Numbers within and above bars denote number of cells analyzed. **P < 0.02, *P < 0.05, Student’s t test.
line is partially deficient for FANCD2 ubiquitination and displays MMC sensitivity (22). Complementation with FANCM WT restores FANCD2 ubiquitination and confers resistance to MMC. Interestingly, expression of an ATPase mutant form of FANCM (FANCMK117R) corrects FANCD2 ubiquitination, but does not restore MMC resistance (22). Therefore, to distinguish between a direct effect of FANCM deficiency on UFBs and an indirect effect through FANCD2 ubiquitination, we quantified the BLM bridges in FANCM siRNA mir cells expressing FANCMK117R (20). The mutant protein did not restore WT levels of BLM bridges (Figure 2F), which suggests that the FANCM ATPase activity plays a direct role in preventing DNA bridges or in their resolution.

Increase cytokinesis failure in FA pathway–deficient cells. Cell division may be inhibited by the presence of chromatin in the cleavage site, possibly resulting in cytokinesis failure and the formation of bi- and multinucleated cells (23, 24). We therefore determined whether FA pathway–deficient cells, which exhibit more DNA bridges in mitosis than do FA pathway–proficient cells, are more prone to cytokinesis failure. Indeed, we observed an increase in bi- and multinucleated cells (hereafter grouped and referred to as multinucleated cells) in patient-derived FA-A and FA-G cells versus their corrected counterparts (Figure 3A). As previously described, the nuclei from FA patient–derived cells are often lobated and display irregular shapes that may complicate the differentiation between mononucleated and multinucleated cells (25). Therefore, we determined the percentage of multinucleated cells in shFANCA and shFANC1 cells. Similar to the FA patient–derived cell lines, shFANCA and shFANC1 cells exhibited an increase in multinucleated cells compared with shScramble cells (Figure 3A).

Binucleated cells can result from cytokinesis failure or from a cell fusion event (24). To distinguish between these possibilities, we followed cell division of shScramble and shFANCA cells by live cell imaging. We confirmed that the binucleated cells scored in these cells lines originated from cytokinesis failure rather than from cell fusion (Figure 3, B and C, and Supplemental Movies 1 and 2). Interestingly, some FA pathway–deficient cells spent more time in cytokinesis than did control cells (Figure 3C). This is consistent with a delay in the completion of cytokinesis caused by the presence of chromatin bridges in the cleavage furrow (26). We also observed apoptosis after cytokinesis failure in FA pathway–deficient cells (Supplemental Figure 4 and Supplemental Movie 3). Finally, acute knockdown of a variety of FA genes caused an increase in multinucleated cells (Figure 3D), demonstrating that cytokinesis failure in FA pathway–deficient cells is not an acquired defect secondary to the long-term depletion of FA proteins. BLM appears to resolve UFBs (27), and BLM deficiency — like FA protein deficiency (Figure 1) — led to an increase in UFBs. In agreement with a model whereby DNA bridges lead to cytokinesis failure, the siRNA against BLM induced an approximately 10-fold increase in multinucleated cells. In addition, we found that cells expressing FANCM ATPase mutant, which displayed increased UFBs (Figure 2F), also had increased multinucleated cells (Figure 3E).

Increase in multinucleated FA pathway–deficient murine and human HSCs. Multiple studies have examined mouse knockout models for FA (2). Although FA mice do not develop spontaneous bone marrow failure, they do exhibit a defect in HSC proliferation and survival (28–30). We therefore examined the frequency of spontaneous binucleated cells among HSCs from Fancg–/– mice, Fancd2–/– mice, and sibling WT controls (Figure 4, A and B). HSCs were isolated as Lin–Sca-1–c-kit+ (LSK) cells from the bone marrow of mice from each representative genotype and were cultured ex vivo for 48 hours to stimulate cell division. Both Fancg–/– and Fancd2–/– HSCs exhibited a higher percentage of binucleated cells than their paired WT sibling controls (Figure 4B). Importantly, when stained for the nuclear membrane component LAP2, some binucleated cells exhibited LAP2 bridges joining the 2 nuclei (Figure 4A, third column). We were also able to detect FANCM bridges surrounded by LAP2 protein in HeLa cells in late mitosis (Supplemental Figure 5). LAP2 accumulates around chromatin when the nuclear membrane reforms at the end of mitosis. Therefore, the presence of LAP2 bridges in binucleated cells is a marker of cytokinesis failure due to chromatin trapped in the cleavage furrow (26). The nuclei of binucleated cells were often very close to each other and may not have allowed detection of a LAP2 bridge (Figure 4A, second column).

Finally, we scored binucleated cells that arise naturally in primary bone marrow stromal cells derived from 3 patients with FA. Although we could not directly investigate HSCs from FA patients, we were able to detect an increase in binucleated cells in primary bone marrow fibroblasts from FA patients (Figure 4C). Collectively, these results suggest that FA pathway–deficient human and murine bone marrow cells exhibit an increase in cytokinesis failure, likely resulting from the presence of unresolved DNA bridges late in mitosis.

The outcome of a successful mitosis is 2 daughter cells with diploid nuclei. Failure to complete cell division results in tetraploid cells, such as the binucleated FA pathway–deficient cells we observed. The fate of most untransformed tetraploid cells is either death by apoptosis or cell cycle arrest and senescence (31–33). Nevertheless, the relative frequency of these 2 fates of tetraploid cells is unknown (34). We used the annexin V assay to detect apoptotic cells among the HSCs from Fancg–/– mice, Fancd2–/– mice, and sibling WT controls. HSCs were isolated and cultured as described above for detection of binucleated cells. For some samples, the same population of cells was split and used for either binucleated study or apoptosis measurements. Consistent with a model in which cytokinesis failure may contribute, at least in part, to apoptosis, the increase in binucleated HSCs in Fancd2–/– and Fancg–/– mice (Figure 4B) correlated with an increase in apoptotic cells (Figure 4D).

Discussion

The FA pathway participates in maintaining chromosome stability through its role in the DNA damage response and through DNA repair during the S phase of the cell cycle (9). Here we show that the FA pathway is also required for proper cell cycle progression through mitosis and completion of cell division. Cells deficient in FA proteins displayed higher rates of bi- and multinucleated cells as a result of cytokinesis failure. We observed this phenotype in cells deficient in core complex members FANCA, FANC G, and FANCM as well as cells deficient in FANC1 and FANCD2, the key effector proteins of the pathway, and in FANCD1, which has a direct role in DNA repair (9). Consistent with the increase in multinucleated cells, we found increased DNA bridges in FA pathway–deficient cells throughout mitosis. DNA bridges have been shown to occlude the division site and are a common cause for cytokinesis failure (23, 24). It is therefore possible that the increase in DNA bridges observed in FA pathway–deficient cells leads to a failure in cell division. This finding may also explain the cytokinesis defects observed in FANCD1-deficient cells (ref. 35, Figure 3C, and Supplemental Figure 1C). Our data suggest that a functional FA pathway is required for proper cell division and that mutations in FA genes may result in cytokinesis failure.
We also detected an increase in apoptosis, which correlated with deficient cells (Supplemental Figure 4 and Supplemental Movie 3). Interestingly, we observed apoptosis following cytokinesis failure in FA pathway–deficient cells (Supplemental Figure 4 and Supplemental Movie 3). We also detected an increase in apoptosis, which correlated with the increase in binucleated cells in HSCs from FA mice, which suggests that cytokinesis failure may contribute to the depletion of HSCs in FA. It is therefore tempting to propose a model in which an increase in UFBs, as a result of persistent DNA damage, causes an increase in cytokinesis failure and binucleated cells. Binucleated cells may die by apoptosis, leading to the bone marrow failure observed in FA. However, it is noteworthy that patients with other genomic instability syndromes, such as BS, only rarely develop bone marrow disease (43), even though their cells have elevated UFBs and cytokinesis failure. Importantly, p53-mediated apoptosis is attenuated in BS fibroblasts (44), which may explain the absence of bone marrow failure in BS. Mice with FA, which are generally maintained in a protected environment and are not naturally exposed to exogenous challenges such as infections, do not develop spontaneous bone marrow failure either (2). However, treatment of FA mice with the bacterial inflammatory product LPS leads to bone marrow failure (45). LPS mimics infection and elicits a strong immune response, promoting HSC proliferation and differentiation (46, 47). Importantly, we observed cytokinesis failure in vitro after inducing proliferation of HSCs. It is therefore likely that cytokinesis failure in proliferating HSCs contributes to the bone marrow failure in FA mice. Taken together, our observations suggest a model in which increased UFBs may lead to the cytokinesis failure observed in FA pathway–deficient HSCs, possibly contributing to bone marrow failure in patients with FA.

Methods

siRNAs and culture conditions. The synthetic siRNAs used contained the following sequences: siLacZ, 5′-AAGCTCATACTTTTAGGAA-3′; siFANCA, 5′-TGAGTTGATGCTATAAATCAAGAT-3′; siFANCD2, 5′-TTGGAGGAGTTATGGTCTA-3′; siFANCM, 5′-AAGCTCATAAAAGCCTGGGAA-3′; siBLM, 5′-TAAGCGGATGTTATGGTCC-3′; siFANCN1, 5′-CAGGAACACACTCAACTAA-3′ and 5′-TTTGGAGATACTGAGTTTAATA-3′. siRNAs duplexes were transfected using RNAiMax (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s protocol. 2 rounds of transfections were performed on days 0 and 3 for the experiment in Figure 3C. On day 5, the cells were trypsinized, and part of them was plated on coverslips. Cells on coverslips were fixed on day 6, and the rest of the cells were collected to assess the level of knockdown by Western blotting.

shRNAs and lentivirus production. The lentiviral vector pLKO.1 shFANCA was purchased from Open Biosystems (TRCN0000118982). The shRNA targeting FANCI (5′-AAGCCGATTTCCTTGAAC-3′) was designed using the siRNA selection program from the Whitehead Institute (48). The lentiviral vector pLKO.1 shScramble was purchased from addgene (plasmid 1864; ref. 49). The pLKO.1 plasmids were transfected in combination with pCMV-dR8.2 dvpr and pCMV-VSVG into 293FT cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) to produce lentiviral particles. HeLa cells were infected and subsequently grown in puromycin (1 μg/ml) to allow selection. Knockdown efficiency was tested by Western blotting using affinity-purified rabbit sera against FANCA (50) or the N terminus of FANCi (Supplemental Figure 1D).

Mice. Wild-type mice, Fancg−/− and Fancd2−/− mice, were generated in our laboratory. All the mice experiments were performed in accordance with the institutional animal care and use committee guidelines. The Animal Care and Use Committee (ACUC) of the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, reviewed and approved all the protocols and procedures. Fancg−/− mice were previously described in Yang et al. (51); Fancd2−/− mice were previously described in Parmar et al. (52). Bone marrow was harvested by flushing tibia and femurs from the hind limbs in HBSS++ (HBSS containing 2% FBS and 10 mM HEPES buffer; Gibco, Invitrogen). Cells were then passed through 21-gauge needles to obtain single-cell sus-
pensions. For LSK staining, cells were stained in HBSS++ using biotinylated anti-lineage antibody cocktail (anti-Mac1c, Gr-1, Ter119, CD3e, CD4, CD8a), PE-conjugated anti-Sca-1 antibody (clone c13-161.7), and allophycocyanin-conjugated anti-c-Kit antibody (clone 2B8), followed by staining with a secondary Cyochrome-Streptavidin. The samples were analyzed by flow cytometry using a BD FACSARia high-speed sorter. LSK cells were FACS sorted by gating Lin- cells for Sca-1 and c-Kit staining. The isolated LSK cells were cultured in a StemPro serum-free complete medium ( Gibco, Invitrogen) containing 40 ng/ml each murine SCF and murine thrombopoetin and allowed to proliferate for 48 hours. For scoring mono- and binucleated cells, the LSK cells were cytopospun on slides and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. For apoptosis detection, cells were stained with FITC-conjugated annexin V and analyzed by FACS. All the antibodies and reagents for apoptosis detection for staining were from BD Biosciences—PharMingen. For 2 of the 4 pairs of Fascγ- and littermate controls used in Figure 4B, the same cells were split and used for both assays.

Human bone marrow stromal cells. Control bone marrow samples were purchased from Lonza (1M-125C). Bone marrow samples from patients with FA were obtained following Dana Farber Cancer Institute Institutional Review Board–approved informed consent. FA patients were diagnosed on clinical grounds with chromosomal breakage test. Primary fibroblast cultures were established from bone marrow aspirates and grown in Chang Medium D ( Irvine Scientific). The FA primary cell cultures used in Figure 4C are referred to as DF117, DF1653, and DF1238.

**Immunofluorescence and antibodies.** For the immunofluorescence experiments, cells were grown on glass coverslips (12-545-83 15CIR-1D; Fisherbrand). In the case of HEK293 cells (Figure 2F and Figure 3E) and to allow better adhesion, the coverslips were coated with poly-L-lysine ( Sigma-Aldrich). For quantification of binucleated cells and good preservation of microtubules, cells were fixed with 4% PFA (catalog no. 15710; Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 15 minutes at room temperature prior to permeabilization (0.2% Triton for 5 minutes at room temperature). Fixation and permeabilization were performed simultaneously for UBF detection (i.e., PICH, BLM, and FANCM) as described previously (53). Primary antibodies and dilutions were as follows: mouse anti-FANCD2, 1:80 (catalog no. FI17; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.); rabbit anti-BLM, 1:100 (catalog no. DR1034; Calbiochem); goat anti-BLM, 1:80 (catalog no. C-18; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), used only in Supplemental Figure 2B; rat anti-PICH, 1:600 (gift from E.A. Nigg, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland); rabbit anti-FANCN, 1:80 (catalog no. sc-98710; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.); mouse anti- Lap2, 1:1,000 (BD Biosciences); mouse anti-tubulin, 1:1,000 (catalog no. DM11A; abcam); rat anti-tubulin, 1:500 (catalog no. YL1/2; Novus Biologicals). All the incubations with primary antibodies were performed for 1 hour at room temperature, followed by 2 washes with PBS. Appropriate secondary antibodies conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488, 568, or 660 were used (1:1,000 dilution; Invitrogen). Incubations with secondary antibodies were performed in the dark for 50 minutes at room temperature, followed by 2 washes with PBS. Nuclear DNA was detected using Hoechst (1:5,000 dilution, catalog no. 33342; Sigma-Aldrich). Slides were mounted using Prolong Gold antifade reagent from Invitrogen.

**Indirect immunofluorescence and live cell imaging.** For indirect immunofluorescence, images were acquired with an Axiovert 200M inverted microscope (Zeiss) equipped with a C CD camera (CoolSnap; Photometrics) and Slidebook software (Intelligent Imaging Innovations). Confocal immunofluorescence images (Figure 2D and Supplemental Figure 3) were acquired with a Yokogawa CSU-X1 spinning disk confocal mounted on a Nikon Ti-E inverted microscope ( Nikon Instruments) equipped with an Orca ER charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (Hamamatsu Photonics) and Andor iQ software. Images presented in the figures are maximum-intensity projections of entire z stacks. For live cell imaging, cells were grown on glass-bottom, 12-well tissue culture dishes (Mattek) and imaged on a TE2000-E2 inverted Nikon microscope equipped with a cooled CCD camera (Orca ER; Hamamatsu Photonics) and the Nikon Perfect Focus system. Differential interference contrast images were captured at multiple points every 4 minutes for 24 hours with a ×20 0.5 NA Plan Fluor objective. All captured images were analyzed using NIS-Elements software.

**Statistics.** Statistical analyses were carried out using GraphPad Prism software using a 2-tailed Student’s t test. A P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.
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