Spatiotemporal trafficking of HIV in human plasmacytoid dendritic cells defines a persistently IFN-α–producing and partially matured phenotype
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Introduction

Plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) are innate immune cells that are specialized to produce IFN-α and to activate adaptive immune responses. Although IFN-α inhibits HIV-1 replication in vitro, the production of IFN-α by HIV-activated pDCs in vivo may contribute more to HIV pathogenesis than to protection. We have now shown that HIV-stimulated human pDCs allow for persistent IFN-α production upon repeated stimulation, express low levels of maturation molecules, and stimulate weak T cell responses. Persistent IFN-α production by HIV-stimulated pDCs correlated with increased levels of IRF7 and was dependent upon the autocrine IFN-α/β receptor feedback loop. Because it has been shown that early endosomal trafficking of TLR9 agonists causes strong activation of the IFN-α pathway but weak activation of the NF-κB pathway, we sought to investigate whether early endosomal trafficking of HIV, a TLR7 agonist, leads to the IFN-α–producing phenotype we observed. We demonstrated that HIV preferentially traffics to the early endosome in human pDCs and therefore skews pDCs toward a partially matured, persistently IFN-α–secreting phenotype.
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Figure 1
HIV-activated pDCs are not refractory to restimulation to produce IFN-α. (A and B) pDCs were incubated with media alone (0), AT-2 HIV (AT2), live HIV (LMN), R848, influenza virus (Flu), Sendai virus, CpGA, or CpGB for 18 hours. Supernatants were removed, and cells were washed, counted, and restimulated for 18 hours (restimulation denoted as 0-0, AT2-AT2, LMN-LMN, etc.). IFN-α was measured in the supernatants by ELISA and corrected for cell number. (C) Experiments were repeated for 6–10 donors. Percent IFN-α restimulation denotes IFN-α produced after the second stimulation relative to that after the first. Dots represent mean of 2 replicates per donor. (D) HIV-stimulated pDCs could be restimulated with different TLR ligands, whereas R848-stimulated pDCs could not be restimulated. Data are means (n = 2 replicates) and representative of 2 independent experiments. (E) pDCs were stimulated with media or AT-2 HIV for 12 hours; sorted; and then stimulated with AT-2 HIV for 24 hours. AT-2 HIV–activated IFN-α–secreting pDCs produced more cytokine per cell than did HIV-activated pDCs that did not produce IFN-α upon initial stimulation. Data are means (n = 2 replicates) and representative of 2 independent experiments. (F) Culture supernatants were applied to a COS1 pRLpISRE cell line that produces luciferase upon exposure to bioactive type I IFNs. pDCs produced bioactive type I IFN upon repeated stimulation with HIV. Data are mean ± SEM (n = 2 replicates) and representative of 3 independent experiments.
levels of the transcription factor IRF7 and was dependent upon the autocrine IFN-α/β receptor feedback loop. By skewing pDCs toward a partially matured and persistently IFN-α-secreting phenotype, HIV may promote its survival by blunting adaptive immune responses and by inciting inflammatory responses to amplify activated target cells for infection.

Results
HIV-activated pDCs are not refractory to restimulation to produce IFN-α.

We first evaluated whether pDCs can be restimulated by HIV to produce IFN-α after incubation with HIV compared with other TLR7 and TLR9 agonists. Purified pDCs were incubated with live HIV, 2,2′-dithiodipyridine–inactivated HIV-1 (AT-2 HIV), R848, heat-inactivated influenza virus, Sendai virus, CpGA, or CpGB for 18 hours. Culture supernatants were removed after centrifugation, and cells were washed, counted, resuspended in culture media, and restimulated for another 18 hours. IFN-α was measured in these culture supernatants by ELISA and corrected for cell number (Figure 1, A and B). Cell numbers for the representative experiment shown in Figure 1, A and B, and ranges for all experiments are shown in Table 1. Purified pDCs stimulated with live HIV, AT-2 HIV, or CpGA were not refractory to restimulation. All experiments were performed with 300 ng/ml of CXCR4 tropic MN HIV, as this amount and type of HIV lab strain causes maximal IFN-α production by pDCs based on prior studies (1, 23), but pDCs stimulated with CXCR4 MN HIV and CCR5 tropic ADA HIV at doses ranging from 3 ng/ml to 3 μg/ml were also not refractory to restimulation (data not shown). In comparison, pDCs stimulated with R848, CpGB, and inactivated influenza were completely refractory to restimulation, whereas those stimulated with Sendai virus were partially refractory (Figure 1C). Furthermore, pDCs first stimulated by R848 were refractory to restimulation with both TLR7 and TLR9 ligands and pDCs first stimulated by AT-2 HIV were not refractory to restimulation with either TLR7 or TLR9 ligands (Figure 1D). When the length of initial stimulation of pDCs was extended to 36 hours, HIV-activated pDCs still produced IFN-α upon 18-hour restimulation, but R848- and CpGB-stimulated pDCs could not be restimulated (Supplemental Figure 1; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI44960DS1). Of note, R848-stimulated pDCs produced a range of IFN-α (0–1 pg/cell) depending on the donor tested; however, regardless of the amount of IFN-α produced or the amount of R848 used (100 nM to 10 μM), the cells were always refractory to further stimulation to produce IFN-α. As demonstrated in our previous studies, RNAs obtained from control microvesicles prepared from HIV-uninfected cells matched to those used to produce HIV virions are ineffective at activating pDCs (1, 24). To confirm that we were restimulating HIV-activated IFN-α–producing pDCs and not merely activating pDCs that had not been activated during the first overnight incubation, we used the Miltenyi IFN-α secretion assay kit to select IFN-α–producing pDCs. pDCs were first stimulated with AT-2 HIV for 12 hours, sorted and separated into IFN-α–secreting and non–IFN-α–secreting cells, and then stimulated again with AT-2 HIV for 24 hours. Instead of becoming refractory to further stimulation, AT-2 HIV–activated IFN-α–secreting pDCs produced even more cytokine per cell than did pDCs that did not produce IFN-α upon initial stimulation (Figure 1E). To evaluate whether type I IFNs produced by pDCs upon repeated stimulation with HIV are bioactive, we used a cell-based bioassay using the COS1 pRLpISRE cell line that produces luciferase upon exposure to bioactive type I IFNs, including all IFN-α subtypes and IFN-β. We found that pDCs were able to produce bioactive type I IFNs upon repeated stimulation with HIV (Figure 1F). We next investigated whether pDCs from HIV-infected subjects retain the capacity to pro-

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stimulus</th>
<th>Experiment starting cell count</th>
<th>Representative</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>10,000–30,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT-2 HIV</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>15,000–35,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live HIV</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>20,000–30,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CpGA</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>15,000–35,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R848</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>40,000–50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza virus</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>40,000–50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CpGB</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>40,000–50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sendai virus</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>40,000–50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Purified pDCs were initially incubated with the indicated stimuli for 18 hours; for initial stimulation, all cell counts were 50,000. After removal of supernatant, washing, and counting, cells were resuspended in culture media and restimulated for another 18 hours with the same stimulus. 

aAbsolute cell number for the representative experiment in Figure 1, A and B. bRange for all experiments.

*Figure 2*

HIV-infected subjects, similar to uninfected donors, produce IFN-α upon restimulation with HIV, but not with CpGB, influenza, or R848. (A) pDCs from a chronically HIV-infected subject, incubated with AT-2 HIV, live HIV, CpGB, influenza virus, or R848 for 18 hours. Culture supernatants were removed after centrifugation, and cells were washed, counted, and restimulated for 18 hours. IFN-α was measured in the culture supernatants by ELISA and corrected for cell number. (B) Restimulation experiments were repeated with AT-2 HIV, CpGB, influenza virus, or R848 for 3 donors, 1 chronically infected (as in A) and 2 with early infection. Percent IFN-α restimulation denotes IFN-α produced after second stimulation relative to that after the first. Dots represent mean of 2 replicates per donor.
Figure 3
HIV-activated pDCs, like CpGA-activated pDCs, express lower levels of costimulatory molecules; however, all cells are refractory to inflammatory cytokine production upon restimulation, regardless of TLR agonist used. (A) Maturation of pDCs after 18 hours induced by HIV AT-2, live HIV, CpGA, CpGB, influenza virus, or R848, as measured by CD86, CD40, and CCR7. Data are from 1 experiment, representative of 5 independent experiments. Dashed line is isotype control, dark gray histogram is unstimulated 18 hours, light gray histogram is stimulated with agonist. (B) Inflammatory cytokine production by HIV-activated pDCs versus comparator agonists, tested using cytometric bead array analysis. None of the agonists, including HIV, allowed for restimulation of inflammatory cytokines compared with initial stimuli (*P < 0.05, Student’s t test). Data are mean ± SEM (n = 3 replicates) and representative of 3 independent experiments. (C) Allogeneic T cell proliferation at day 6 after coculture with differentially matured pDCs, expressed as percent proliferation by CFSE. pDCs matured with HIV and CpGA stimulated T cell proliferation similar to that by unstimulated pDCs, whereas pDCs matured with CpGB, influenza virus, or anti-CD3/anti-CD28 stimulated significantly more T cell proliferation than did unstimulated pDCs (*P < 0.05, 2-tailed Student’s t test). Data are represented as mean ± SEM (n = 3 replicates) and representative of 4 independent experiments.
Figure 4
HIV-activated pDCs increase mRNA expression of IRF7, which correlates with ability to produce secondary IFN-α responses. (A) pDCs were incubated with media alone, AT-2 HIV, or R848 for 18 hours, then washed for immediate preparation of mRNA or washed and placed back in culture with AT-2 HIV for 18 hours. cDNA was prepared for qRT-PCR. Relative expression of gene products was normalized to GAPDH. Whereas TLR7 expression increased in pDCs exposed to either AT-2 HIV or R848, and TLR9 expression was variable, IRF7 expression increased relative to unstimulated pDCs (*P < 0.05; Student’s t test) in AT-2 HIV–exposed pDCs, but decreased in R848-exposed pDCs. Data are mean ± SEM (n = 3 replicates) and representative of 3 independent experiments. (B) IRF7 expression was spontaneously increased by unstimulated cells and further augmented by AT-2 HIV stimulation, but was decreased by R848 over the time course. (C) R848-stimulated pDCs produced IFN-α within 30 minutes, but were no longer producing by 2–4 hours. In contrast, AT-2 HIV–stimulated pDCs did not begin to produce IFN-α for 6 hours, but continued to produce at 12 hours. Data are representative of 5 independent experiments. (D) To test whether exogenous IFN-α could rescue the block to restimulation with R848, purified pDCs were incubated with IFN-α and R848; again, pDCs could not be restimulated to produce IFN-α (*P < 0.05 versus first stimulation with R848; Student’s t test). Data are mean ± SEM (n = 3 replicates) and representative of 2 independent experiments.

duce IFN-α upon repeated stimulation with HIV. We isolated fresh pDCs from HIV-infected subjects and found that pDCs from HIV-infected subjects, similar to those of uninfected donors, produced IFN-α upon restimulation with HIV but not with CpGB, influenza, or R848 (Figure 2). All donors were antiretroviral naive; 2 were early-infected subjects obtained from the NIAID Center for HIV/AIDS Vaccine Immunology (CHAVI) cohort with HIV EIA positive, Western blot indeterminate with CD4 346, VL 191,008, and CD4 428, VL 434,625, respectively, and the third was a chronically infected patient (>5 years) recruited through Bellevue Hospital/NYU with CD4 681, VL 59,800.

HIV-activated pDCs express lower levels of costimulatory molecules but are refractory to inflammatory cytokine production upon restimulation. It is known that pDCs are activated by TLR agonists through the engagement between endosomal TLR7 or TLR9 and the adaptor molecule MyD88, leading to the assembly of a multiprotein signal-transducing complex in the cytoplasm that activates and phosphorylates IRF7 for initiation of type I IFN gene transcription and activates NF-κB and MAPKs to induce the transcription of proinflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and costimulatory molecules (25). Because the TLR signaling pathways diverge after MyD88 engagement, we were interested to study whether HIV-activated pDCs are unique only in their persistence of IFN-α production, or whether there are also differences between HIV and other TLR agonists in the NF-κB pathway. pDCs were placed in culture with live HIV, AT-2 HIV, R848, CpGA, CpGB, Sendai virus, or heat-inactivated influenza virus. After incubation, cells were washed and stained with anti-CD123–PE, anti-CD86–APC, anti-CD40–PerCP, anti-CCR7–FITC, or isotype control and analyzed by flow cytometry. HIV-activated and CpGA-activated pDCs upregulated the migration molecule CCR7 and costimulatory molecule CD86 to a much lesser degree than did pDCs activated with comparator agonists (including Sendai virus; data not shown). CD40 upregulation was not different between groups (Figure 3A). To study differences between HIV-activated pDCs and pDCs stimulated with the other agonists in terms of tolerance to inflammatory cytokine produc-
ed IFN-α–producing pDCs from those that were not producing IFN-α after 12 hours, using the Miltenyi IFN-α secretion assay kit. Using this strategy, we clearly saw IRF7 nuclear translocation in HIV-activated IFN-α–producing pDCs compared with those that were not producing IFN-α after 12 hours (Supplemental Figure 2D). There was no discernible pattern (i.e., increased cytoplasmic as well as nuclear IRF7) in HIV-activated compared with R848-activated pDCs.

**HIV-activated IFN-α production is IFN-α inducible, in contrast to R848-activated IFN-α production.** To evaluate whether the autocrine type I IFN feedback loop is necessary for IFN-α production when pDCs are stimulated with AT-2 HIV in comparison to R848 (26), we preincubated the pDCs for 30 minutes with blocking antibodies to IFN-α, IFN-β, and IFN-α/β receptor and then stimulated for 18 hours with AT-2 HIV or R848. IFN blocking antibodies attenuated IFN-α production upon AT-2 HIV stimulation (*P < 0.05 versus AT-2 HIV stimulation without antibodies; 2-tailed Student’s t test), but not R848 stimulation. Data are mean ± SEM (n = 3 replicates) and representative of 4 independent experiments. (B) Bioactive IFN-α production was confirmed by testing the culture supernatants by ELISA for IP-10, an IFN-α–inducible protein. (C) IFN blocking antibodies also reduced IRF7 expression of AT-2 HIV–activated pDCs and reduced expression of IRF7 in unstimulated and R848-stimulated cells.

**Figure 5**

HIV-activated IFN-α production is IFN-α inducible, whereas R848-activated IFN-α production is not. (A) pDCs were preincubated for 30 minutes with blocking antibodies to IFN-α, IFN-β, and IFN-α/β receptor and then stimulated for 18 hours with AT-2 HIV or R848. IFN blocking antibodies attenuated IFN-α production upon AT-2 HIV stimulation (*P < 0.05 versus AT-2 HIV stimulation without antibodies; 2-tailed Student’s t test), but not R848 stimulation. Data are mean ± SEM (n = 3 replicates) and representative of 4 independent experiments. (B) Bioactive IFN-α production was confirmed by testing the culture supernatants by ELISA for IP-10, an IFN-α–inducible protein. (C) IFN blocking antibodies also reduced IRF7 expression of AT-2 HIV–activated pDCs and reduced expression of IRF7 in unstimulated and R848-stimulated cells.

Although expression did not increase significantly after overnight incubation. However, in pDCs, but not mDCs, IRF7 and IFN-stimulated gene 54 (ISG54) increased significantly after overnight incubation (Figure 6B and C), again suggesting that human pDCs express low levels of bioactive type I IFNs that maintain increased baseline IRF7 and other IFN-stimulated genes.

**SOCS1 and SOCS3 are not inhibited by HIV.** The SOCS protein family has been implicated in the negative regulation of many cytokine-stimulated pathways. SOCS1 and SOCS3 are strongly induced by IFN-α, and overexpression of these proteins has been shown to suppress IFN-α production by interfering with the JAK/STAT pathway (J.J. Krolewski, unpublished observation). We were interested to investigate whether there are differences in SOCS1 and SOCS3 mRNA expression in pDCs exposed to AT-2 HIV or R848. AT-2 HIV caused gradually increased expression of both SOCS1 and SOCS3 over 24 hours compared with unstimulated pDCs. R848 caused a sharp and immediate increase in SOCS3, but not SOCS1, although the increased expression was not sustained (Figure 7). Early upregulation of SOCS3 might contribute to inhibition of further IFN-α production after R848 stimulation.

**HIV is retained in early endosomes.** Studies have suggested that TLR9 signaling in early endosomes leads to IFN-α production by pDCs, whereas TLR9 signaling in late endosomes induces pDC maturation and inflammatory cytokine production (27–29). Because HIV bodies reduced IRF7 expression in unstimulated pDCs was surprising and suggested that pDCs use the type I IFN autocrine feedback loop to maintain increased baseline levels of IRF7.

**High baseline mRNA expression of IRF7 in unstimulated pDCs is likely a result of constitutive low-level type I IFN production by pDCs.** To test the hypothesis that high IRF7 expression in unstimulated pDCs is not constitutive, but is induced by spontaneous type I IFN production, we used a reporter COS cell line that is highly sensitive to type I IFNs (lower limit of detection, 1 U/ml) and produces luciferase upon exposure to these cytokines. We purified pDCs from 6 different donors and incubated the cells for 18 hours. Culture supernatants were applied to the COS cell line and assayed for bioactive type I IFN. All 6 donors produced varying amounts of bioactive type I IFNs in the absence of stimulation (Figure 6A). To explore this phenomenon further, we sorted pDCs and myeloid DCs (mDCs) to 99% purity and prepared fresh cells and incubated cells overnight in culture media without additional stimuli. IFNA and IFNB mRNA were expressed at low levels in pDCs, but not mDCs,
and CpGA similarly stimulate pDCs to produce persistent IFN-α responses and express low levels of markers of maturation, we sought to investigate whether HIV, like CpGA, also traffics primarily to early endosomes. pDCs were stimulated with fluorescently labeled GFP-HIV, FAM-CpGA, or FAM-CpGB. GFP-HIV was generated using a Vpr mutant of the X4-tropic HIV pNL4-3 and eGFP-Vpr plasmids; therefore, the viruses are nonreplicative, and the GFP protein visualized by microscopy incorporates with the conical core of the HIV particle, which is thought to be closely associated with the viral RNA (30, 31). This system has been used to tag HIV particles in order to follow intracellular virus behavior during the early steps of infection of target cells (32, 33). After overnight incubation, the fluorescently labeled agonists stimulated pDCs in a manner similar to that of unlabeled agonists (i.e., GFP-HIV), and FAM-CpGA stimulated pDCs to produce strong IFN-α responses but minimal expression of CD86, whereas FAM-CpGB–stimulated pDCs produced minimal IFN-α and induced high expression of CD86 (data not shown). For lysotracker imaging, pDCs were incubated with GFP-HIV or CpGA for 18 hours or CpGB for 1 hour. These time points were chosen because minimal GFP-HIV or FAM-CpGA was taken up by pDCs before 12 hours, and FAM-CpGB was taken up entirely by 1 hour and was subsequently degraded and no longer visualized at 12 hours (data not shown). After incubation, pDCs were imaged live for analysis of late endosomal/lysosomal (lysotracker) trafficking or fixed and stained with specific antibodies for early endosomal and recycling compartments (EEA-1 and transferrin receptor). Live lysotracker trafficking and fixed cells were imaged using the Advanced Precision PersonalDV imaging system at ×60. Images were deconvoluted and analyzed using ImageJ. Colocalization of fluorescently labeled ligands with endosomal markers were analyzed quantitatively using intensity correlation analysis (Figure 8). For each cell that contained both green
HIV infection induces an inflammatory and immune-activated state characterized by increased levels of plasma inflammatory cytokines and increased markers of cell turnover, activation, and exhaustion (12, 34–39). Potent antiretroviral therapy restores the immune system by suppressing HIV to clinically undetectable levels, but does not completely reverse inflammation and immune activation (40). Recent findings reveal that HIV-infected persons, even with full virologic suppression on antiretroviral therapy, are at increased risk of cardiovascular events and of renal and liver disease (41). An evolving model suggests that these increased comorbidities are linked to heightened inflammation and immune activation. The cause of immune activation is unknown, but pathogenic stimulation of innate immune cells by HIV is thought to be a major contributor (42). For the first time to our knowledge, we have found that pDCs are dysregulated by HIV to persistently produce IFN-α upon restimulation and to incompletely mature the cells, which may contribute more to disease pathogenesis (inflammation and immune activation) than to protection (stimulation of protective adaptive immune responses). Notably, previous studies have reported decreased IFN-α production by PBMCs from HIV-infected subjects in response to activation by TLR agonists ex vivo (22, 43–46), whereas we showed preserved and sustained IFN-α responses in response to HIV activation of pDCs in vitro. Discrepancies between our results and those of others may be based upon differences in TLR agonists used to activate pDCs. For example, Anthony et al. evaluated pDC function upon stimulation of PBMCs with the synthetic TLR9 agonist CpG (43), Chehimi et al. used influenza virus (44), and Kamga et al. used herpes simplex virus–1 (45). In our recent study we found that pDCs purified from acutely HIV-infected subjects produce normal to high IFN-α upon restimulation and to incompletely mature the cells, which may contribute more to disease pathogenesis than to protection. The idea that the impairment of pDC function in HIV infection is specific to the TLR agonist used (47). Although it is likely that pDCs exposed to HIV in vivo may respond less well to other TLR agonists, especially TLR9 agonists, we have previously shown that pDCs exposed to AT-2 HIV in vivo may respond less well to other TLR agonists ex vivo (22, 43–46), whereas we showed preserved and sustained IFN-α responses in response to HIV activation of pDCs in vitro. Discrepancies between our results and those of others may be based upon differences in TLR agonists used to activate pDCs. For example, Anthony et al. evaluated pDC function upon stimulation of PBMCs with the synthetic TLR9 agonist CpG (43), Chehimi et al. used influenza virus (44), and Kamga et al. used herpes simplex virus–1 (45). In our recent study we found that pDCs purified from acutely HIV-infected subjects produce normal to high IFN-α upon restimulation and to incompletely mature the cells, which may contribute more to disease pathogenesis than to protection. The idea that the impairment of pDC function in HIV infection is specific to the TLR agonist used (47). Although it is likely that pDCs exposed to HIV in vivo may respond less well to other TLR agonists, especially TLR9 agonists, we have previously shown that they are hyperresponsive to HIV ex vivo (22). We now provide mechanistic in vitro data in support of this ex vivo observation. There were striking differences between pDC maturation and IFN-α responses to various TLR7 and TLR9 agonists. Whereas R848, CpGB, and heat-inactivated influenza virus fully matured pDCs and made them refractory to repeated stimulation to produce IFN-α, Sendai virus was intermediate, and HIV and CpGA...
Figure 8
HIV and CpGA traffic predominantly to early (EEA-1) and recycling ( transferrin receptor) endosomes, whereas CpGB traffics predominantly to lysosomes (lysotracker). Shown are representative images of pDCs incubated with GFP-HIV, FAM-CpGA, or FAM-CpGB and stained with (A) EEA-1 or (B) transferrin receptor (Trans) or incubated with (C) lysotracker (Lyso). Differential interference contrast (DIC), fluorescent, merged, and PDM images are shown. Yellow in merged and PDM images indicates colocalization; blue/white points in PDM images correspond to negative intensity correlation (no colocalization). Images demonstrating colocalization are shown at higher magnification in the insets. Original magnification, ×60; ×120 (insets).
allowed for persistent IFN-α production upon restimulation. There was a delayed onset of IFN-α production by pDCs after HIV stimulation, but the production of IFN-α was prolonged, in contrast to the effect of R848 stimulation. Moreover, HIV-stimulated pDCs maintained high levels of IRF7 mRNA expression, which correlated with their ability to produce IFN-α upon repeated stimulation. In comparison, R848 fully matured pDCs and downregulated expression of IRF7. Microscopy experiments evaluating nuclear translocation of IRF7 after HIV versus R848 activation did not reveal discernible differences, which suggests that IFN-α-producing cells have undergone nuclear translocation of IRF7 regardless of stimuli. Inhibiting IFN-α signaling using IFN-α/β and IFN-α/β receptor blocking antibodies attenuated the ability of HIV to stimulate pDCs to produce IFN-α. In fact, blocking IFN-α signaling also decreased expression of IRF7 in control nonactivated pDCs in culture media overnight. Using qRT-PCR and a reporter cell line–based bioassay, we showed that elevated baseline IRF7 mRNA expression in human pDCs is likely not constitutive, but rather induced by the spontaneous production of IFN-α/β. To our knowledge, this has been demonstrated previously in murine pDCs (48), but not conclusively in humans.

Recent studies reveal that pDCs may be functionally dichotomous; depending upon which TLR agonist is used, either they produce IFN-α or they develop a mature antigen-presenting phenotype capable of stimulating antigen-specific effector memory T helper cells (49). Much of the work exploring differences in pDC functionality based on distinct TLR ligation has been done comparing TLR9 synthetic agonists CpGA and CpGB. It has been shown that CpGA-stimulated pDCs cause higher and prolonged kinetics of type I IFN production compared with those caused by CpGB stimulation. In contrast, CpGB is more active than CpGA in stimulating IL-8 production and increasing costimulatory and antigen-presenting molecules. Additionally, CpGA, but not CpGB, activates the type I IFN receptor–mediated autocrine feedback loop (50). Studies using confocal microscopy have shown that CpGA forms multimeric complexes and is retained for long periods in the endosomal vesicles of pDCs, together with the MyD88-IRF7 complex, whereas monomeric CpGB traffics rapidly to late endosomal vesicles (27–29). A recent study showed that CpGB and influenza stimulate pDCs to form NF-κB–dependent intracellular pools of MHC II molecules that are persistently neo-synthesized and accumulate in antigen loading compartments.

In contrast, CpGA stimulation of pDCs does not lead to the formation of MHC II intracellular clusters (51). CpGB-stimulated pDCs efficiently process and present CMV antigens and are capable of stimulating CMV-specific effector memory T helper cells. CpGA-stimulated pDCs produce large amounts of type I IFNs, but fail to induce CMV-specific CD4+ effector memory T cells to produce IFN-γ (49). Interestingly, influenza virus induces IFN-α production but also matures pDCs fully, in comparison to CpGB, which stimulates minimal IFN-α production. Agonists such as influenza virus or CpGC can both stimulate IFN-α production and antigen-presenting capacity in pDCs; however, these pDCs that obtain the mature antigen-presenting phenotype cannot be restimulated to produce IFN-α (50). Thus, strong maturation correlates with a refractory state to further cytokine production. Potential mechanisms for inhibited IFN-α production after strong maturation include changes at the transcriptional and posttranscriptional level. At the transcriptional level, we showed downregulation of IRF7 mRNA after a strong maturation stimulus (i.e., R848). At the posttranscriptional level, it has previously been shown that strong activation of the NF-κB signaling pathway causes ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of IRAK1, a necessary component of the transductional transcriptional processor complex necessary for IRF7 phosphorylation and nuclear translocation (52).

In our experiments, we have shown that HIV, like CpGA, partially matured pDCs and activated the type I IFN receptor–mediated autocrine feedback loop, allowing for persistent IFN-α production upon repeated stimulation. We found that HIV behaved like

---

**Figure 9**

Statistical analysis of pooled microscopy data. Shown are pooled data from 2–3 different donors per experiment; each symbol represents a cell, and different colors represent cells from different donors. Percent colocalization was calculated as PD–positive green/red intensity correlation points relative to green points. Wilcoxon rank-sum tests were used to compare intrasubject medians and IQRs of combined HIV and CpGA subjects with those of CpGB-exposed pDCs from different donors. HIV and CpGA had similar trafficking colocalization; both differed significantly from CpGB.

**Table 2**

Statistical analysis of microscopy data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Descriptor</th>
<th>P(all)</th>
<th>P(vs. CpGB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EEA-1</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEA-1</td>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysotracker</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysotracker</td>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferrin receptor</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferrin receptor</td>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All conditions compared; Kruskal-Wallis test. **HIV and CpGA versus CpGB; Wilcoxon test.*
**Methods**

Cells. PBMCs were separated on Ficoll-Hypaque (Amersham Biosciences) from buffy coats (New York Blood Center). pDCs were purified by BDCA-4 magnetic bead separation (Miltenyi Biotec) as described previously (1), with a purity ranging from 80% to 95%. Cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 Glutamax (Invitrogen) with 5% PHS (Innovative Research), gentamicin, and HEPES.

**HIV subjects.** Subjects were recruited through NYU and CHAVI 012 clinical sites (Aaron Diamond AIDS Research Center, New York, New York, USA, and University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA) to undergo leukapheresis to allow for collection of large numbers of PBMCs. This study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Bellevue Hospital, New York University School of Medicine, University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, Aaron Diamond AIDS Research Center, and CHAVI. Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Activating agonists.** Purified pDCs were stimulated at 50,000 cells/100 μl media at 37°C, 5% CO₂, with R848 (10 μM; 3M Corp.), CpGA (5′-T′G-3′) and CpGB (5′-G′-T-3′). pDCs were incubated overnight with media from buffy coats (New York Blood Center). pDCs were purified by BDCA-4 magnetic bead separation (Miltenyi Biotec) as described previously (1), with a purity of 90%.

**Table 3.** 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IRF7 forward</td>
<td>TACCCTACTACCTGGCTTTCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRF7 reverse</td>
<td>GCTCCATAGGAAAGACCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TL7 forward</td>
<td>TTTACCTGGATGGAACCCAGCCTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TL7 reverse</td>
<td>TCAAGCTGAGAAGGTGCTAAGCTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TL9 forward</td>
<td>CCACCTGGAAGAAGCTAAACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TL9 reverse</td>
<td>GCGCTCATGAAATGGAAGGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCS1 forward</td>
<td>GAACGCTTTTCGCCCTTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCS1 reverse</td>
<td>CTGGAAGAGGAGTGCAGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCS3 forward</td>
<td>GCAAGGGAGAGAAGAGCACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCS3 reverse</td>
<td>TCCCTCCAACACATTCGAGTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH forward</td>
<td>CCCACACACATGCACATTTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH reverse</td>
<td>TGGCCAAGTGGCTGGTCCTT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CpGA, trafficking to the early endosome to stimulate persistent type I IFN responses, instead of to the lysosome, where NF-κB and MAPks are activated to induce the transcription of proinflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and costimulatory molecules and where MHC complexes colocalize for antigen presentation. We speculate that it is the lack of strong activation of the NF-κB signaling pathway that allows for restimulation of pDCs to produce IFN-α. If CpGA and HIV are being retained in the early endosome and therefore not strongly activating the NF-κB signaling (maturation) pathway, pDCs can be persistently stimulated to produce IFN-α. Additionally, because IFN-α production relies on a positive feedback loop involving IRF7 upregulation, retention of activating ligands in the early endosomes may further facilitate ongoing IFN-α production. In vivo, IFN-α may act upon pDCs to skew their responses toward an IFN-α-producing phenotype while failing to induce efficient HIV antigen presentation to HIV-specific effector memory T cells. Effective HIV-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cells are deficient in chronic HIV infection and likely strongly contribute to lack of virologic control (53, 54).

Little is known regarding the mechanisms by which HIV-stimulated pDC dysregulation may contribute to immune activation and poor adaptive immune stimulation. Deeper understanding of HIV-pDC interactions and the TLR-induced signaling pathways that regulate type I IFN production versus maturation and antigen presentation could elucidate new therapies targeting immune activation and inflammation in HIV disease.
for luciferase activities, and then translated to IFN activities using a standard curve derived from a serial dilution of human IFN-α2a.

**Microscopy.** Nonreplicative GFP-HIV was generated by cloning a Vpr mutant of the X4-tropic HIV pNL4-3 and eGFP-Vpr plasmids in E. coli; purified using a Mid/Maxi kit (Qiagen); and transfected (Muris TransIT-293) for 48 hours using HEK 293T cells. Supernatants were collected, cellular debris was removed, and virus was concentrated by ultracentrifugation (133,907 g, 1 hour at 4°C), and quantified using p24 ELISA at 0.23 ng/ml (AIDS Vaccine Program). 5’FAM-labeled CpGA and CpGB were purchased from IDT. pDCs were stimulated with GFP-HIV (230 pg/mL), FAM-CpGA (1 μg), or FAM-CpGB (1 μg). After incubation, cells were added to well plates, and stained with anti-CpG 488 (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 hour. Cells were washed and then stained with goat anti-CpG 488 (Santa Cruz) for 1 hour. Cells were washed, stained, and mounted for Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Labs). For IRF7 staining, pDCs were stimulated with live HIV or R848 for 2–4, 6, and 12 hours; washed; plated on 0.01% poly-l-lysine–coated slides; and then stained with rabbit anti-IRF7 (5 μg/ml; Invitrogen) for 1 hour. Cells were washed, dried, and mounted in Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Labs).

**Statistics.** Statistical analysis was performed on at least 2–5 independent experiments using 2-tailed Student’s t-test (Figures 1–7). Statistical analysis of microscopy data (Figure 9) used nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis tests and the Wilcoxon rank-sum test using SPSS version 16.0 and SAS version 9.2. A P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.
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