High plasma concentrations of lipoprotein(a) [Lp(a)], which is encoded by the APOA gene, increase an individual’s risk of developing diseases, such as coronary artery diseases, restenosis, and stroke. Unfortunately, increased Lp(a) levels are minimally influenced by dietary changes or drug treatment. Further, the development of Lp(a)-specific medications has been hampered by limited knowledge of Lp(a) metabolism. In this study, we identified patients suffering from biliary obstructions with very low plasma Lp(a) concentrations that rise substantially after surgical intervention. Consistent with this, common bile duct ligation in mice transgenic for human APOA (tg-APOA mice) lowered plasma concentrations and hepatic expression of APOA. To test whether farnesoid X receptor (FXR), which is activated by bile acids, was responsible for the low plasma Lp(a) levels in cholestatic patients and mice, we treated tg-APOA and tg-APOA/Fxr<sup>−/−</sup> mice with cholic acid. FXR activation markedly reduced plasma concentrations and hepatic expression of human APOA in tg-APOA mice but not in tg-APOA/Fxr<sup>−/−</sup> mice. Incubation of primary hepatocytes from tg-APOA mice with bile acids dose dependently downregulated APOA expression. Further analysis determined that the direct repeat 1 element between nucleotides –826 and –814 of the APOA promoter functioned as a negative FXR response element. This motif is also bound by hepatocyte nuclear factor 4α (HNF4α), which promotes APOA transcription, and FXR was shown to compete with HNF4α for binding to this motif. These findings may have important implications in the development of Lp(a)-lowering medications.

Introduction
Lipoprotein(a) [Lp(a)] is a plasma lipoprotein found in humans and Old World monkeys but is absent in conventional laboratory animals. Plasma Lp(a) concentrations are under strict genetic control and range from less than 1 mg/dl to more than 200 mg/dl, with medians of 8 to 9 mg/dl (reviewed in refs. 1, 2). Lp(a) is a complex plasma lipoprotein formed through covalent binding of free APOA, which is synthesized predominantly in the liver, with apoB-100 of low-density lipoprotein (3). Although it has been known for many years that elevated plasma Lp(a) concentrations are associated with thrombo-atherogenic diseases (4–6), recent evidence from large cohorts has finally confirmed a causal relationship (7–11). Therefore, in a consensus report, the European Atherosclerosis Society recommended screening for Lp(a) in people at moderate to high risk of cardiovascular disease, in which the desirable cut-off for Lp(a) was set at less than 50 mg/dl (12).

The thrombo-atherogenic properties of Lp(a) have also been well documented in transgenic mice (13, 14). Several hemostatic pathways have been attributed to the pathomechanisms of Lp(a) (15, 16). Due to its high atherogenicity, several attempts were made to treat individuals with increased Lp(a) levels with either medication or diet (16), without success. Even though nicotinic acid and its derivatives lower Lp(a) levels by up to 30%, they are not widely used due to frequent side effects. Therefore, to date, there is no safe drug available for the treatment of individuals with elevated plasma Lp(a) levels, and the development of new drugs is hampered by a lack of detailed knowledge of both Lp(a) biosynthesis and catabolism.

Previous turnover studies in humans demonstrated that plasma Lp(a) levels strongly correlate with its rate of biosynthesis but not with the fractional catabolic rate (17, 18). Thus, any attempt to control plasma Lp(a) levels should focus on an interference with APOA biosynthesis. This has been supported by in vivo studies using antisense strategies in which plasma levels of an N-terminal APOA fragment expressed in mice under the control of the CMV promoter were reduced to almost zero (19). However, small molecule medications are not yet available.

The farnesoid X receptor (FXR, also known as NR1H4) is a bile acid–activated receptor and belongs to the nuclear receptor superfamily of ligand-activated transcription factors (20–23). FXR is mainly expressed in the liver, intestine, kidney, and adrenal glands. FXR heterodimerizes with the retinoid X receptor (RXRα; also known as NR2B1), binds to FXR response elements (FXREs) that are usually but not exclusively inverted repeat-1 (IR-1), and regulates transcription of target genes (24). A direct repeat (DR) with a similar core sequence is also compatible for binding of FXR, either as a monomer or heterodimer (24–27). FXR plays important roles in bile acid, cholesterol, lipoprotein, and triglyceride metabolism. Activation of hepatic FXR modulates the expression of many hepatic genes involved in lipid metabolism. Studies using Fxr<sup>−/−</sup> mice have illustrated the importance of this nuclear receptor in maintaining cholesterol and bile acid homeostasis (28, 29).

In the present study, we report that transcription of the APOA gene is under strong control of FXR, which binds to a negative control element located at the –826-bp region of the human APOA
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promoter. FXR was found to interfere with the hepatocyte nuclear factor 4α-mediated (HNF4α-mediated) (HNF4α is also known as NR2A1) activation of APOA transcription.

**Results**

**Elevated bile acid levels drastically reduce plasma Lp(a) levels in humans.** We consistently noticed in various clinical settings that patients suffering from obstructive jaundice exhibited very low or even undetectable levels of plasma Lp(a). To study this in a more systematic way, patients with obstructive jaundice were analyzed for markers of cholestasis, such as bilirubin, lipoprotein X (LP-X), and plasma bile acid concentrations, and the results were correlated with Lp(a) levels. Supplemental Table 1 (supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI45277DS1) lists the results from 20 patients suffering from biliary obstruction due to pancreatic, gallbladder, or bile duct cancer. In addition, 1 patient with congenital biliary atresia and 5 patients with choledocholithiasis were included. All patients had elevated plasma bilirubin concentrations (316 ± 48 μmol/l) and were positive for plasma LP-X (370 ± 47.7 mg/dl). Notably, the patients had plasma total bile acid levels of 98.9 ± 9.2 μmol/l that were more than 10-fold higher when compared with those of healthy individuals (Figure 1A). In 13 out of 20 of these patients, the plasma Lp(a) concentrations before therapy were less than 1 mg/dl, which is the detection limit of the particular assay. The remaining 7 patients had very low Lp(a) levels in relation to their APOA isofrom (K-IV repeats). After successful surgical or endoscopic treatment of biliary obstruction, bilirubin, LP-X, and total bile acid levels were normalized, and Lp(a) concentrations rose significantly to levels that correspond to those of healthy controls with the corresponding APOA isoforms. Mean plasma Lp(a) levels were 2.7 ± 1.1 mg/dl before therapy and 20.3 ± 4.4 mg/dl after therapy (Figure 1B).

**A cholestatic model with elevated bile acid levels exhibits very low plasma and hepatic expression of APOA.** To determine the effects of obstructive cholestasis on plasma levels and hepatic APOA expression, mice transgenic for human APOA (tg-APOA mice) and tg-APOA mice that were Fxr deficient (tg-APOA/Fxr−/− mice) were subjected to biliary obstruction by common bile duct ligation (CBDL) for 3 days. CBDL in tg-APOA mice resulted in significantly elevated serum liver enzymes (Supplemental Table 2), total bile acids, and bilirubin (Figure 2, A and B). The accumulation of endogenous bile acids in tg-APOA mice led to dramatic reduction of plasma APOA levels by 87% (Figure 2C) and of hepatic APOA mRNA expression by 98% (Figure 2D). CBDL in tg-APOA/Fxr−/− mice showed a small but measurable reduction of plasma APOA by 15% and hepatic mRNA by 19% (Supplemental Figure 1), which might be due to inflammation and hepatic injury. In conclusion, low APOA levels found in mouse and human cholestasis suggested that APOA expression is regulated by bile acids in vivo.

**Cholic acid feeding reduces plasma APOA concentrations and hepatic APOA expression in transgenic APOA mice.** To study regulation of the human APOA gene expression by bile acids in a non-cholestatic model, tg-APOA and tg-APOA/Fxr−/− mice expressing the human APOA gene were fed for 5 days with either a normal chow diet (control) or a chow diet supplemented with 0.2% cholic acid (CA) (w/w). No changes in body weight or food intake were observed between control and treated groups (data not shown). Plasma total cholesterol and triglyceride levels were reduced in tg-APOA mice upon CA feeding but remained unchanged in tg-APOA/Fxr−/− mice (Supplemental Figure 2). A 0.2% CA supplementation led to a significant 72% decrease in plasma APOA levels in tg-APOA mice (Figure 3A). To evaluate whether the reduction of plasma APOA levels was due to decreased APOA mRNA levels in liver, real-time quantitative PCR analysis was performed. APOA mRNA levels were significantly decreased in the livers of the CA-fed tg-APOA mice (Figure 3B). Western blot analysis of liver homogenates confirmed that repression also occurs at the protein level upon CA feeding in tg-APOA mice (Figure 3C). In tg-APOA/Fxr−/− mice, however, plasma APOA concentrations (Figure 3D), hepatic APOA mRNA levels (Figure 3E), and protein levels (Figure 3F) were comparable in control and CA-treated mice. Taken together, these data indicate that both plasma levels and hepatic expression of human APOA are downregulated by CA feeding in tg-APOA mice in an FXR-dependent manner.

Subsequently, we profiled hepatic expression of known FXR target genes involved in bile acid and cholesterol metabolism after a 5-day feeding of tg-APOA and tg-APOA/Fxr−/− mice with CA (Figure 3, G and H). As expected, CA treatment of tg-APOA mice led to a strong inhibition of both Cyp7a1 and Cyp8b1 (30–32), 2.3-fold upregulation of small heterodimer partner (Shp, also known as NR0B2) (33), and induction of Bsep. No changes were observed in the hepatic mRNA expression of Lrhl1 and Hnf4a. Egf15 mRNA in the ileum was upregulated by 2.8 fold (34). CA feeding did not change hepatic expression of Cyp3a11, a target gene of pregnane X receptor
(PXR; also known as NR1I2) in tg-APOA mice, indicating that PXR was not activated by 0.2% CA in the diet. In contrast, CA treatment had no impact on mRNA levels of FXR target genes in tg-APOA/Fxr–/– mice, yet Cyp3a11 expression was induced (35, 36).

Bile acids can induce inflammation in the liver and cause liver damage (37). Moreover, cholestasis in humans and mice is characterized by high inflammation (38). Therefore, we studied the hepatic expression of several proinflammatory genes. A 0.2%-CA feeding did not change the expression levels of proinflammatory cytokines such as Il6, Il1b, and Tnfa in tg-APOA mice (Supplemental Figure 3A), whereas Il6 expression was 2.6-fold increased in tg-APOA/Fxr–/– mice (Supplemental Figure 3B). Taken together, these results showed that bile acids repress APOA expression by an FXR-mediated mechanism.

CA and GW4064 decrease human APOA gene expression in primary hepatocytes. To further study the direct mechanism of the inhibitory effect of FXR on hepatic APOA expression, we then studied the influence of FXR agonists on APOA expression in mouse primary hepatocytes. For this purpose, primary hepatocytes were isolated from tg-APOA mice and incubated with different concentrations of the natural FXR ligand CA. Analysis of mRNA levels by real-time quantitative PCR revealed a significant dose- and time-dependent decrease in APOA transcript levels, suggesting a transcriptional effect (Figure 4, A and B). Western blot analysis confirmed that this CA-mediated repression also occurs at the protein level (Figure 4C). Cell viability was assessed with the trypan blue exclusion test, revealing that all concentrations of CA were well tolerated by the cells (data not shown).

Since bile acids may exert FXR-independent effects by activating other signal transduction pathways (39, 40), we additionally tested the influence of the synthetic nonsteroidal FXR agonist GW4064 on APOA gene expression. Treatment of primary hepatocytes with 5 μM GW4064 for 24 hours resulted in a significant decrease of APOA mRNA (Figure 4D) and protein levels (Figure 4E) when compared with those of vehicle-treated controls. In addition, we measured the expression levels of control FXR target genes after treatment with CA and GW4064 and found that both ligands increased Shp and markedly decreased Cyp7a1 and Apo1 mRNA levels (Supplemental Figure 4, A and B).

Overall, these results demonstrate that both natural and synthetic FXR agonists downregulate human APOA expression in cultured mouse primary hepatocytes via a transcriptional mechanism.

Mapping of an FXRE in the human APOA promoter. To provide direct evidence for the FXR-mediated inhibitory effect on the APOA promoter and to further identify relevant promoter elements, a 2-kb fragment of human APOA promoter (from −1,952 bp to +52 bp, referred to herein as hAPOA−1,952/+52 promoter) was cloned into pGL3-luciferase reporter plasmid (Figure 5A). In addition, a series of 5′ deletion constructs were generated, as shown in Figure 5D. Transient transfections were performed in HepG2 cells with the hAPOA−1,952/+52 promoter construct in the absence or presence of FXR and FXR agonists. FXR alone resulted in a 29% decrease in promoter activity, and this effect was further enhanced by the addition of chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA) (63%) (Figure 5B). Likewise, incubation with FXR and GW4064 also strongly repressed the activity of the hAPOA−1,952/+52 promoter by 57% (Figure 5C). In the absence of FXR overexpression, the hAPOA−1,952/+52 promoter was inhibited by 25% or less by CDCA or GW4064 alone. This decrease likely resulted from the activation of the endogenous FXR that is expressed in HepG2 cells (27).

To avoid endogenous FXR-mediated feedback inhibition, transient transfection experiments were performed in COS-7 cells, a nonhepatic cell line. Transfection of COS-7 cells in the absence or presence of FXR repressed the hAPOA−1,952/+52 promoter activity by 24%, an effect that was significantly enhanced by CDCA (43%) (Supplemental Figure 5). These experiments demonstrated that ectopic expression of FXR and a physiological concentration of CDCA are required to repress the APOA promoter activity in nonhepatic cells.

Since Shp was induced by CA treatment in vivo and in vitro, we subsequently studied the APOA promoter activity upon cotransfection of cells with increasing concentrations of a SHP expression plasmid. Surprisingly, SHP did not lower APOA promoter activity but further enhanced it (Supplemental Figure 6). Taken together, these results showed that FXR can regulate APOA promoter activity in a direct and SHP-independent manner.

Next, to identify promoter elements responsible for the observed effects of FXR, HepG2 cells were transfected with 5′ deletion constructs of the human APOA promoter in the absence or presence of FXR and/or CDCA. Reduced promoter activities were noted for both the −1,446-bp and −857-bp constructs (Figure 5D). However, the repression was relieved for −757, −657, −477, and −148-bp promoter constructs, indicating that the region between −857 bp to −757 bp of the human APOA promoter contains a potential negative FXRE, which might be responsible for the observed bile acid response.

Notably, in silico MatInspector promoter analysis (41) and NUBIScan algorithm (42) suggested the presence of a DR-1 element located between nucleotides −826 and −814. Previous stud-
ies have already shown that the DR-1 element can function as an FXRE (24, 43, 44).

To test whether this DR-1 site could mediate FXR-dependent repression of the APOA promoter, we introduced mutations in the context of the full-length hAPOA–1,952/+52 promoter (WT) and generated 2 mutant constructs (M1 and M2), as shown in Figure 5E. Mutation (M2) of this site completely abolished the FXR-mediated repression of APOA promoter activity (Figure 5F), indicating the binding of FXR to the second half site of the DR-1 element.

Taken together, these results suggest that the DR-1 site, located between nucleotides –826 and –814, is a negative response element via which FXR represses human APOA promoter activity.

Figure 3
CA decreases plasma levels and hepatic expression of APOA in tg-APOA mice but not in tg-APOA/FxrΔΔ mice. tg-APOA mice (n = 8 per group) and tg-APOA/FxrΔΔ mice (n = 8 per group) expressing human APOA were fed 0.2% CA (w/w) mixed in normal chow for 5 days. Control mice received normal rodent chow. (A and D) Plasma levels of APOA were measured by DELFIA and are expressed as mean ± SD (**P ≤ 0.01). (B and E) Mouse liver APOA mRNA levels were analyzed by real-time quantitative PCR and normalized to cyclophilin and are expressed relative to those of control mice. Results represent mean ± SEM (**P ≤ 0.001). (C and F) Western blot analysis and densitometric quantification of APOA levels in the protein extracts from liver tissue (expressed as mean ± SD relative to controls; **P ≤ 0.01). The gene expression profile was analyzed in (G) tg-APOA mice and (H) tg-APOA/FxrΔΔ mice by real-time quantitative PCR. mRNA expression in control mice was arbitrarily set to 1 and normalized to that of cyclophilin. Results represent mean ± SEM (***P ≤ 0.001, **P ≤ 0.01, *P < 0.05).
FXR bound as a monomer to the radiolabeled probe containing a wild-type DR-1 element (DR-1 WT) (Figure 6A, lanes 7 and 8) but not to the probe carrying the mutated DR-1 element (DR-1 M2) (Figure 6A, lanes 11 and 12). Formation of the FXR-DNA complex was specifically competed by cold DR-1 WT probe (Figure 6B, lanes 3–5), whereas the DR-1 M2 probe did not compete (Figure 6B, lane 6). Binding of FXR to the DR-1 WT probe was also competed by a cold IR-1 probe (Figure 6B, lane 7); notably, the cold IR-1 and cold DR-1 WT probes competed with a similar efficiency for the labeled DR-1 WT oligo. These results indicated that FXR binds specifically to the DR-1 site of human APOA promoter.

FXR competes for HNF4α binding to the DR-1 element. DR-1 elements have been shown to function as HNF4α response elements (45). In order to investigate the regulation of APOA gene expression by HNF4α, we overexpressed HNF4α in cultured primary hepatocytes and studied the expression of the human APOA gene. As shown in Figure 7A, adenovirus-mediated overexpression of HNF4α in mouse primary hepatocytes from tg-APOA mice dose dependently induced the expression of APOA mRNA levels compared with LacZ-transfected cells.

Next, we studied the effect of HNF4α overexpression on the activity of the hAPOA–1,952/+52 promoter. As shown in Figure 7B, overexpression of HNF4α in HepG2 cells dose dependently transactivated the human APOA promoter. However, additional cotransfection with FXR and/or CDCA treatment abolished the HNF4α-mediated transactivation (Figure 7C). This effect might be due to the occupancy of the HNF4α response element (DR-1) by FXR. HNF4α-mediated transactivation of the hAPOA–1,952/+52 promoter was also observed in the nonhepatic cell line, COS-7, which neither expressed FXR nor HNF4α. Cotransfection with FXR alone or with FXR and CDCA significantly inhibited HNF4α transactivation (Supplemental Figure 5), suggesting that FXR competes with HNF4α for the DR-1 binding motif. We then performed a mobility shift assay to check whether HNF4α binds to the DR-1 element at the −826-bp region of the human APOA promoter. HNF4α bound to the radiolabeled probe containing DR-1 WT, and the protein-DNA complex was specifically competed by cold unlabeled WT probe (Figure 7D).

Taken together, these results suggest that this response element at −826 bp might be occupied by HNF4α at the basal level, whereas bile acid activation leads to a switch of occupancy of this site by FXR.

To further confirm the interaction of FXR with the DR-1 element in the APOA promoter, in vivo ChIP experiments were performed with liver tissue isolated from tg-APOA mice fed for 24 hours with normal chow or with chow containing 0.2% CA (Figure 7E).

In the control group, antibodies against HNF4α precipitated DNA encompassing the DR-1 element (−826–to −814-bp region) in the human APOA promoter. HNF4α bound to the radiolabeled probe containing DR-1 WT, and the protein-DNA complex was specifically competed by cold unlabeled WT probe (Figure 7D).

Taken together, these results suggest that this response element at −826 bp might be occupied by HNF4α at the basal level, whereas bile acid activation leads to a switch of occupancy of this site by FXR.

To further confirm the interaction of FXR with the DR-1 element in the APOA promoter, in vivo ChIP experiments were performed with liver tissue isolated from tg-APOA mice fed for 24 hours with normal chow or with chow containing 0.2% CA (Figure 7E).

In the control group, antibodies against HNF4α precipitated DNA encompassing the DR-1 element (−826–to −814-bp region) in the human APOA promoter. HNF4α bound to the radiolabeled probe containing DR-1 WT, and the protein-DNA complex was specifically competed by cold unlabeled WT probe (Figure 7D).

Taken together, these results suggest that this response element at −826 bp might be occupied by HNF4α at the basal level, whereas bile acid activation leads to a switch of occupancy of this site by FXR.

To further confirm the interaction of FXR with the DR-1 element in the APOA promoter, in vivo ChIP experiments were performed with liver tissue isolated from tg-APOA mice fed for 24 hours with normal chow or with chow containing 0.2% CA (Figure 7E).
observed, whereas 0.2%-CA feeding increased the occupancy of both FXR and RXR to the Shp promoter.

Taken together, these results prove that the DR-1 element at the –826- to –814-bp region of the human APOA promoter could mediate the FXR repression of APOA transcription by a competitive mechanism between FXR and HNF4α (Supplemental Figure 7).

Discussion

Meta-analyses from prospective and epidemiological studies demonstrated an association of elevated plasma Lp(a) levels with an increased risk for ischemic heart diseases and stroke (7–11). Lp(a) is causally associated with an increased risk for myocardial infarction and reported to increase the likelihood for major adverse cardiovascular events when plasma Lp(a) levels exceed 30 mg/dl by 2.3 fold (9, 46). Therefore, unraveling molecular and pharmacological factors reducing Lp(a) plasma concentration as well as gene expression and protein levels in transgenic mice, an effect abolished in Fxr−/− transgenic APOA mice. Furthermore, in vitro activation of FXR by bile acids or a nonsteroidal FXR agonist normalized dyslipidemia in rodent models lacking APOA expression. It will therefore be of interest to measure Lp(a) in ongoing human clinical trials using FXR agonists.

Figure 5

Bile acids and the nonsteroidal FXR agonist GW4064 downregulate human APOA promoter activity via FXR. (A) Scheme of the full-length hAPOA–1,952/+52 promoter–driven luciferase reporter system. (B and C) HepG2 cells were transfected with the hAPOA–1,952/+52 promoter reporter plasmid (150 ng) in the presence of either the pcDNA3 (control) or FXR expression vector (150 ng). Cells were subsequently treated with CDCA (100 μM), GW4064 (500 nM), or vehicle for 36 hours. Values are normalized to internal control β-galactosidase and expressed as percentages. Transfections were performed in triplicates, and each experiment was repeated at least 3 times. (D) Scheme of the deletion constructs of the human APOA promoter used in the luciferase reporter assay. HepG2 cells were transfected with the indicated human APOA promoter reporter plasmids (150 ng) in the presence of pcDNA3 empty or FXR expression vector (150 ng). Cells were then treated with CDCA (100 μM) or vehicle for 36 hours. Values are normalized to internal control β-galactosidase activity. (E) Scheme showing wild-type and mutant sequences. Mutations are indicated in bold lowercase letters. Underlined letters define the DR-1 element. (F) Mutational analysis of the human APOA promoter. HepG2 cells were transfected with the wild-type and mutant (M1, M2) human APOA promoter reporter plasmids in the presence of pcDNA3 empty or FXR containing expression vector (150 ng). Cells were then treated with CDCA (100 μM) or vehicle for 36 hours. Values are normalized to β-galactosidase activity and expressed as percentages. Data are presented as mean ± SD (**P ≤ 0.01, *P < 0.05).
FXR was found to directly repress *APOA* promoter activity by binding to a DR-1 site shared with HNF4α, leading to suppression of transcription. Several molecular regulators were found to bind to and modulate the promoter region of *APOA*. These include binding sites for HNF1, HNF4α, RXR, and LINE among others (51, 52). FXR is highly expressed in the liver and was found to bind to IR-1 response elements in promoters as a heterodimer with RXR as well as to various DR elements (24), thereby transactivating cognate target genes. In addition, FXR can bind monomeric response elements and hence directly repress gene transcription (24–27).

Recently, the location and sequence of FXRE was systematically studied via ChIP and sequencing (53). In this work, Chong et al. identified 1,656 binding sites, including 10% located in the proximal 2 kb of the promoter. Moreover, up to 25% of these FXREs were not classical IR-1. In our study, by combining reporter assays, site-directed mutagenesis, EMSA, and ChIP, we unambiguously identified a DR-1 located at –826-bp upstream of the transcription start site as what we believe to be a new negative FXRE in the promoter of *APOA*. This site is therefore compatible with the architecture of a bona fide FXRE. Since Chong et al. used a mouse liver not expressing *APOA* chromatin-enriched material, our response element could not be found in their database. However, the DR-1 located at the –826- to –814-bp region was found to be bound and activated by HNF4α as shown by transfection, EMSA, and ChIP. In addition, HNF4α was competitively displaced by FXR, as demonstrated in Figure 7E. HNF4α is well known to be involved in lipid, glucose, and bile acid homeostasis (54). A competition between FXR and HNF4α was previously found in the promoter of *APOCIII* (24, 43, 44). It is therefore tempting to speculate that the balance between FXR and HNF4α binding on gene promoters could coordinate a network of genes involved in lipid homeostasis (Supplemental Figure 7). The precise mechanism for this suppression, including the events involved in a nonproductive FXR binding to a response element, requires additional studies.

FXR also transactivates mouse *Fgf15*, a gene that is expressed almost exclusively in the terminal ileum, and its human ortholog, *FGF19*, a gene that is expressed in the small intestine as well as in the liver. FGF15/19 signals from intestine to the liver to repress

---

**Figure 6**

FXR binds to the DR-1 element of the human *APOA* promoter as a monomer. (A) EMSAs were performed with radiolabeled IR-1 consensus FXRE (lanes 1–4), DR-1 WT (lanes 5–8), and DR-1 M2 (lanes 9–12) probes using in vitro transcribed/translated RXR (lanes 2, 6, and 10), FXR (lanes 3, 7, and 11), both RXR and FXR (lanes 4, 8, and 12), or unprogrammed reticulocyte lysate (lanes 1, 5, and 9) as indicated. (B) Competition EMSAs on radiolabeled DR-1 WT probe were performed by adding 50-fold, 100-fold, 200-fold molar excess of the indicated cold DR-1 WT (lanes 3–5) and 50-fold molar excess of cold DR-1 M2 (lane 6) and IR-1 (lane 7) probes. Numbering indicates relative intensity of the bands.
the transcription of key enzymes of bile acid biosynthesis (34, 55). Notably, FXR activation efficiently repressed \( \text{APOA} \) in vitro in primary mouse hepatocytes that do not express \( \text{Fgf15} \), indicating that FXR can regulate the \( \text{APOA} \) gene in an FGF15/19-independent manner. Further studies will be required to clarify a possible additional role of FGF15/19 in \( \text{APOA} \) gene repression.

In addition, FXR can indirectly modulate gene expression via the induction of \( \text{Shp} \) in the liver (31). Although SHP is a transcriptional...
repressor, it has no DNA binding motif (56) but interacts with several nuclear receptors, such as LRH-1 or HNF4α, thereby interfering with gene transcription. Recently, the SHP/LRH-1/CYP7A1 signaling pathway was disproved, and LRH-1 was identified as a master regulator of Cyp8b1 (57, 58). Since SHP is able to interact in vitro with multiple partners, the identification of the actual SHP targets is still an open question. Our transgenic APOA mice fed with CA or primary hepatocytes incubated with FXR activators were found to have more Shp and less APOA gene expression. We therefore wondered whether Shp induction could repress APOA. However, dose response transfection experiments with SHP expression plasmid showed that SHP did not repress and instead increased the APOA promoter activity in HepG2 as well as in COS-7 cells (Supplemental Figure 6). Conversely, FXR directly repressed APOA promoter activity by binding to a DR-1 also recognized by HNF4α. This was verified by ChIP assay, which impressively confirmed that the DR-1 element at the −826- to −814-bp region of the APOA promoter is occupied by HNF4α, whereas CA activation leads to a switch of occupancy of the site by FXR (Figure 7E). Taken together, our data suggest that SHP does not regulate the APOA promoter in contrast to FXR.

In view of the present results, FXR agonist could constitute a new therapeutic avenue to treat hyper-Lp(a) states and may be useful in the treatment of atherosclerotic disease and myocardial infarction. In addition, these results suggest that present and future FXR partial agonists, also called bile acid receptor modulators (BARM), have to be monitored for possible adverse effects on plasma Lp(a) levels in human clinical trials.

Methods

Chemicals. CA and CDCA were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. GW4064 was purchased from Tocris Bioscience. Collagenase was purchased from Worthington Biochemical Corporation.

Patients. Patients suffering from obstructive jaundice due to gallstones or malignancy were studied for markers of biliary obstruction and plasma Lp(a) concentrations. Blood of patients referred to surgery or endoscopy was analyzed immediately for plasma levels of Lp(a), bilirubin, total bile acids, and LP-X. After appropriate treatment, reversal of jaundice, and normalization of plasma bilirubin, plasma Lp(a) levels were measured again. All human studies were approved by the ethical committee of the Medical University of Graz and were performed in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration. Informed consent was received from all patients or their parents for drawing extra blood to perform lipid and lipoprotein analyses.

Analysis of plasma parameters and Lp(a) in patients. Lipids from human plasma were measured enzymatically using the assay kits from Roche Diagnostics. Lp(a) was quantified by an in-house DELFIA method. The preparation of Lp(a) and APOA and the standardization of the Lp(a) assay have been described in detail previously (59). The determination of APOA isofoms was performed by Western blotting as described previously (60). LP-X was measured by standard methods (61). Total plasma bile acids were measured enzymatically (62).

Animal experiments. All animal experiments were performed after approval of the protocol by the Austrian Federal Ministry of Science and Research, Division of Genetic Engineering and Animal Experiments (Vienna, Austria). For−/− mice (28) were backcrossed for 5 generations with tg-APOA mice, carrying a 110-kb human APOA gene surrounded by more than 60-kb 5′- and 3′-flanking DNA in the YAC (63). Mice were housed under a standard 12-hour-light/12-hour-dark cycle and fed standard rodent chow diet and water ad libitum. Female mice, between 10 and 12 weeks old, were used in all the experiments. For feeding studies, tg-APOA (n = 8) and tg-APOA/For−/− mice (n = 8) expressing the human APOA were divided into 2 groups. Animals were randomized based on plasma APOA levels. One group received a normal rodent chow diet (control), whereas the other group received the same diet supplemented with 0.2% (w/w) CA for 5 days. At sacrifice, mice were fasted for 4 hours before blood samples were collected. Liver and ileum samples were harvested and stored at −80°C until further analysis. For the ChIP assay, female tg-APOA mice (n = 3) were fed with either normal chow (control group) or chow with 0.2% CA for 24 hours. Freshly isolated liver tissue was pooled and used to isolate chromatin for immunoprecipitation.

Plasma lipid parameters in mice. Blood was collected by retro-orbital bleeding and EDTA plasma was prepared within 20 minutes. Plasma concentrations of APOA were measured enzymatically by an in-house DELFIA method. Plasma triglyceride (DiaSys) and total cholesterol concentrations (Greiner Diagnostics AG) were determined enzymatically according to the manufacturer’s protocols.

CBDL. Twelve-week-old female tg-APOA mice (n = 3–4 per group) and tg-APOA/For−/− mice (n = 3 per group) were subjected to CBDL as described previously (64). In brief, the common bile duct was ligated close to the liver hilus, immediately below the bifurcation, and dissected between the ligatures. Sham-operated animals were subjected to the same surgical procedure but without ligation of the common bile duct. Sera and livers were collected for analysis 3 days after surgery. Liver tissue was frozen in liquid nitrogen for further RNA preparations. Serum was stored at −80°C until analysis. Serum alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, alkaline phosphatase levels, and bilirubin were determined by routine tests and placed in 6-well collagen-coated plates (BD Biosciences) at a density of 1 x 10⁶ cells/well at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ for 4 hours. Thereafter, cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS and 100 units/ml penicillin and streptomycin for 16 hours. Experiments were performed in serum-free DMEM supplemented with various concentrations of the FXR ligands CA and GW4064.

The HepG2 and COS7 cells were obtained from ATCC. The cells were maintained in DMEM containing 10% FCS and 100 units/ml penicillin/streptomycin.

RNA extraction, reverse transcription, and real-time PCR. Total RNA from cells and mouse tissues was isolated using TRIZOL (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Two micrograms of total RNA were reverse transcribed using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). Quantitative real-time PCR was performed on a Light Cycler 480 instrument (Roche Diagnostics), using the Quantitect Fast SYBR Green PCR Kit (Qiagen). Primer sequences are listed in Supplemental Table 3. The gene expression values were normalized to cyclophilin A (Ppia) as a housekeeping gene. The data were analyzed by the public domain program Relative Expression Software Tool (REST; http://www.genequantification.de/download.html#rest) (66). Values are presented as mean ± SEM.

Protein extraction and immunoblotting. Livers were homogenized or cells were lysed in an ice-cold RIPA buffer. The lysates were centrifuged (12,000 g) at 4°C for 10 minutes, and the supernatant was collected. Protein was quantitated using the Bradford protein assay (Bio-Rad). Equivalent amounts of protein homogenates were resolved by SDS-PAGE, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, and probed with rabbit polyclonal antibodies to human APOA (1:1,250) and a monoclonal anti-mouse β-actin.
were annealed and radioactively labeled at the 5′ end using T4 polynucleotide kinase and γ-32P-ATP (Hartmann Analytic GmbH) (mutated bases are indicated by bold, lowercase letters, and underlined letters define the IR-1 element). Unincorporated nucleotides were removed by using Micro Bio-Spin 6 Columns (Bio-Rad). In vitro translated proteins (2.0 μl) were incubated for 20 minutes at room temperature in a total volume of 10 μl with binding buffer (Gel Shift Assay System, Promega) before the labeled probe was added. Binding reactions were further incubated for 30 minutes and resolved by 6% nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in 0.25X Tris-Borate-EDTA buffer at room temperature and 120 V for 3.5 hours. The gel was dried and exposed to an X-ray film. For competition experiments, unlabeled probes were included in the binding reaction at the indicated excess concentrations.

**Cmp assay.** The in vivo CHIP assay was performed with freshly isolated mouse liver tissue using the EpilQuik Tissue CHIP Kit (Epigenetek) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, with minor modifications. Liver tissue was fixed in formaldehyde for 12 minutes and then quenched for 5 minutes with glycine. The nuclei were extracted and sonicated to yield 500- to 1,000-bp DNA fragments. Aliquots of sheared chromatin were then immunoprecipitated using 4 μg anti-FXR (sc-13063; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.), anti-RXR (sc-553; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.), 2 μg anti-HNF4α antibody (sc-6556; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.), or 1 μg anti-IgG antibody. Nonprecipitated chromatin (input) was used as a positive control. DNA extractions were PCR amplified using the following flanking primers, and the PCR products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis: DR-1 element in the APOA promoter (DR-1 CHIP forward, 5′-TGGCGATGTGTTATGGAGAC-3′; DR-1 CHIP reverse, 5′-ACAGCGATTCTCATCACC-3′), distal region of the APOA promoter (distal CHIP forward, 5′-TCTCCCCCTCATGTGGCCAC-3′; distal CHIP reverse, 5′-CAATGCGGCCGACATAGAGAT-3′), and Ship promoter (5′-CGCTTAGACCTTTGGTGCCTCTG-3′; Ship reverse, 5′-CTGCCACGCTGCGCTGAC-3′).

**Statistics.** Statistical analyses of the experiments were performed with GraphPad Prism 5.0. Two-tailed, unequal Student’s t test was applied to determine statistical significance.
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