Arhgap24 inactivates Rac1 in mouse podocytes, and a mutant form is associated with familial focal segmental glomerulosclerosis
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The specialized epithelial cell of the kidney, the podocyte, has a complex actin-based cytoskeleton. Dynamic regulation of this cytoskeleton is required for efficient barrier function of the kidney. Podocytes are a useful cell type to study the control of the actin cytoskeleton in vivo, because disruption of components of the cytoskeleton results in podocyte damage, cell loss, and a prototypic injury response called focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS). Searching for actin regulatory proteins that are expressed in podocytes, we identified a RhoA-activated Rac1 GTPase-activating protein (Rac1-GAP), Arhgap24, that was upregulated in podocytes as they differentiated, both in vitro and in vivo. Increased levels of active Rac1 and Cdc42 were measured in Arhgap24 knockdown experiments, which influenced podocyte cell shape and membrane dynamics. Consistent with a role for Arhgap24 in normal podocyte functioning in vivo, sequencing of the ARHGAP24 gene in patients with FSGS identified a mutation that impaired its Rac1-GAP activity and was associated with disease in a family with FSGS. Thus, Arhgap24 contributes to the careful balancing of RhoA and Rac1 signaling in podocytes, the disruption of which may lead to kidney disease.

Introduction

The kidneys filter plasma and reabsorb solutes and nutrients to maintain the appropriate extracellular environment. The proximal component of the nephron, the glomerulus, is the primary filtration barrier that prevents the loss of serum proteins into the primary filtrate. The glomerular filtration barrier consists of fenestrated endothelial cells, a thick glomerular basement membrane, and specialized epithelial cells (podocytes) arranged in series. Genetic or acquired diseases of the filtration barrier, especially of the basement membrane or the podocyte, result in the loss of serum proteins into the urine, progressive damage to the glomerulus, and loss in renal function (1).

The podocyte has a complex cellular architecture composed of a parachute-like cell body that attaches to the basement membrane through primary foot processes that in turn arborize into smaller actin-based secondary foot processes (2). In proteinuric kidney diseases, such as focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) and minimal change disease, podocytes rearrange their actin cytoskeleton and retract or efface their foot processes (3). While it is unclear how this change results in the leakage of serum proteins into the urine, podocyte foot process effacement appears to be a key step in the breakdown of the filtration barrier. Studies to understand the molecular basis of podocyte foot process effacement in vitro have shown that, in response to stress, podocytes switch from a RhoA-dependent stationary state to a Cdc42- and Rac1-dependent migratory state (4, 5). These studies suggest the intriguing possibility that altered membrane dynamics and increased cell motility are the mechanisms underlying foot process effacement in vivo.

Since podocyte membrane reorganization is a common feature of proteinuric kidney diseases, we sought to understand the regulation of membrane dynamics of these cells. Using an in vitro model of podocyte differentiation, we found that podocytes reduced their membrane ruffling activity as they were differentiated. We found that decreased membrane ruffling in differentiated podocytes was dependent on the presence of the GTPase-activating protein (GAP), Rho-GAP 24 (Arhgap24). Previous work from Stossel and colleagues has shown that Arhgap24 (also known as Filamin A-binding RhoGAP [FilGAP]) is a GAP for Rac1 and that it suppresses lamellipodia formation and cell spreading downstream of RhoA signaling (6). Their work showed that the highest level of Arhgap24 transcript was present in the kidney. Here we show that Arhgap24 was highly expressed in podocytes of the kidney and was upregulated as these cells differentiate in vivo. The ARHGAP24 gene is highly conserved, implying an important role for the gene product. When we sequenced the DNA from patients with FSGS, we identified a loss-of-function mutation in the ARHGAP24 gene in a kindred
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with familial kidney disease. Taken together, these results suggest that Arhgap24 controls the RhoA-Rac1 signaling balance in podocytes that appear to be dysregulated in proteinuric kidney diseases, such as FSGS.

Results

Differentiated podocytes reduce ruffling of their cell membranes. Podocytes can be propagated in vitro by conditional expression of a temperature-sensitive SV40 large T antigen at the permissive temperature of 33°C. Shifting the cells to the nonpermissive temperature (37°C) induces destabilization of the large T antigen, growth arrest, and morphologic changes that mimic podocyte differentiation in vivo (7). During development, podocytes reorganize their cell membranes from broad sheets into sieve-like undifferentiated podocytes rapidly ruffled their membranes, whereas differentiated podocytes had reduced membrane motility, correlating with the reduced frequency of ruffled cell membranes (Supplemental Videos 1 and 2; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI46458DS1). This difference is also apparent by kymographic analysis (Figure 1A, insets, and Figure 1B).

Podocytes upregulate Arhgap24 when they differentiate. Next, we evaluated the expression of the small G proteins and their regulators that might control membrane motility in this in vitro model of podocyte differentiation. We isolated RNA from undifferentiated and differentiated mouse podocytes and performed gene expression analysis using microarrays. Our cultured podocytes expressed genes for several known podocyte-specific proteins, such as Wilms tumor protein 1 (Wt1), podocin (Nphs2), CD2-associated protein (Cd2ap), podocalyxin (Podxl), synaptopodin (Synpo), α-actinin-4 (Actn4), and to a lower extent nephrin (Nphs1). We focused our analysis on the expression patterns of known regulators of the actin cytoskeleton and membrane dynamics, such as GAPs and guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) for Rac1, RhoA, and Cdc42 (a list of these genes is available as a supplemental data table in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database, series GSE23856; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds/). Of these known GAPs and GEFs, the message for Arhgap24 was highly upregulated when podocytes were differentiated in vitro. Arhgap24 was an intriguing gene, because previous work from Stossel and colleagues had shown high transcript levels in the kidney (6). However, the cell expressing Arhgap24 within the kidney was unknown. We found that RNA from in vivo–isolated podocytes also had a high level of Arhgap24 transcript (8). Next, we examined Arhgap24 gene expression in publicly available microarray data (the Genito-Urinary Molecular Anatomy Project; www.gudmap.org) generated from microdissected glomeruli isolated at various stages of kidney development. The Arhgap24 message was upregulated as glomeruli progressed from the E12.5 renal vesicle stage to the E15.5 S-shaped body to the almost mature E15.5 renal corpuscle (Figure 2A).

Next, we confirmed the microarray results by quantitative RT-PCR. Arhgap24 transcript levels increased approximately 70 fold when podocytes were differentiated (Figure 2B). To confirm upregulation at the protein level, we generated Arhgap24-specific antiserum in Armenian hamsters and rabbits. Preabsorption of these antisera with Arhgap24 antigen abolished specific signal (Supplemental Figure 1). When podocytes were differentiated
in vitro, they upregulated a 95-kDa band corresponding to the Arhgap24 protein (Figure 2C). The lower band seen in the undifferentiated podocytes (at approximately 50 kDa) did not correspond to the predicted molecular weight of known splice isoforms of Arhgap24. This band likely represents a specific degradation product of Arhgap24, perhaps due to increased turnover of the protein in undifferentiated podocytes. Confocal imaging of podocytes showed that Arhgap24 was enriched in structures at the base of the cell, and the staining intensity at these sites increased with differentiation (Figure 2D). Since Arhgap24 has been described to localize to focal adhesions (6), we verified that these structures were in fact focal adhesions by colabeling with vinculin (Figure 2E). These experiments showed that Arhgap24 is highly expressed in the focal adhesions of cultured podocytes and is upregulated as these cells are differentiated.

Arhgap24 is expressed in kidney podocytes in vivo. Next, we tested Arhgap24 expression and localization in murine tissues and its specific localization within the kidney. Immunoblotting of lysates from several tissues showed that the highest level of full-length Arhgap24 protein (95-kDa band) was in the kidney. Again, the approximately 50-kDa putative breakdown product was seen in lysates from the brain, kidney, and liver. We then evaluated whether Arhgap24 was expressed in the glomeruli of the kidney. We trapped magnetic particles within the glomeruli by beating heart perfusion and then used magnetic separation to isolate whole glomeruli to more than 95% purity (Figure 3B and ref. 9). Arhgap24 was enriched in the glomerular fraction (verified by podocin immunoblotting) compared with the flow through fraction that contained mostly tubule fragments (Figure 3C). Next, we stained sections of mouse kidney and detected the greatest signal...
within glomeruli (Figure 3D). This signal was specific since it was completely abolished by preabsorption of the antiserum with Arhgap24 antigen (Supplemental Figure 1C). Within the glomeruli, the Arhgap24 signal colocalized with the podocyte marker synaptopodin, confirming that Arhgap24 is expressed in podocytes in vivo (Figure 3E). Arhgap24 was expressed in podocytes, even at early stages of glomerular development in the embryonic mouse kidney (Supplemental Figure 2).

**Figure 3**
Arhgap24 is expressed in kidney podocytes in vivo. (A) Immunoblotting of tissue lysates shows that Arhgap24 is expressed in the kidney (expected size ~95 kD). Smaller bands likely represent specific degradation products. The positive control (+) is a lysate from HEK293 cells transfected with FLAG-tagged Arhgap24. The negative control (−) is HEK293 cell lysate. Brn, brain; Kid, kidney; Liv, liver; Lng, lung; Spl, spleen. (B) Magnetic separation of glomeruli after beating heart perfusion of mice with magnetic beads results in more than 95% pure isolated glomeruli (top). The flow through consists of tubule fragments (bottom). Original magnification, ×100. (C) Arhgap24 is enriched in the glomerular (Glom) fraction that also contains the podocyte protein, podocin. Tub, tubule. (D) A low-magnification view (original magnification, ×200) of a mouse kidney stained for Arhgap24 shows that the highest signal is detected in the glomeruli. (E) Within mouse glomeruli, the Arhgap24 signal colocalizes with that of the podocyte marker synaptopodin. Original magnification, ×600.

Subsequently, Rac1 can be inactivated by GAPs that stimulate the intrinsic GTPase activity of Rac1, resulting in the hydrolysis of GTP to GDP. Previous work has shown that Arhgap24 is a GAP protein that inactivates Rac1 (6). Since Arhgap24 is upregulated in podocytes as they differentiate, it was a logical candidate to inactivate Rac1 and thereby slow membrane ruffling in differentiated podocytes.

We investigated whether Arhgap24 upregulation was responsible for the reduced Rac1 activity and membrane motility of differentiated podocytes. We generated podocyte cell lines (lines 451 and 756) with 2 different Arhgap24 lentiviral knockdown constructs that coexpressed the YFP-actin reporter. Compared with that of the control vector (Fluc), the podocyte cell lines transduced with the 2 knockdown constructs resulted in approximately 85% (line 451) or 60% (line 756) downregulation of the Arhgap24 protein in differentiated podocytes (Figure 4A). After differentiation, the control and 2 Arhgap24 knockdown cell lines were assayed for their membrane motility as before. Compared
with that of the control knockdown, Arhgap24 knockdown resulted in increased membrane motility in differentiated podocytes (Figure 4B and Supplemental Videos 3–5). Kymograph analysis showed that Arhgap24 knockdown significantly increased membrane motility (Figure 4C).

Since Arhgap24 inhibits Rac1 and Cdc42 activity, we asked whether Arhgap24 knockdown resulted in increased levels of active Rac1 and Cdc42 in differentiated podocytes. We measured active Rac1, Cdc42, and Rhoa by standard pull-down assays and found that the Arhgap24 knockdown cell lines had higher levels of active Rac1 and Cdc42 compared with those of the control knockdown cell line (Figure 5A). Interestingly, Arhgap24 knockdown did not affect Rhoa activity. Another assay of Rac1 activity is epithelial monolayer wound closure. When a confluent monolayer of differentiated control knockdown podocytes was scratched/wounded, the cells migrated into the gap but did not completely close the wound in 24 hours. In contrast, both Arhgap24 knockdown cell lines showed accelerated wound closure kinetics, consistent with higher Rac1 activity (Figure 5B). Thus, Arhgap24 knockdown increases levels of active Rac1 and Cdc42. Two measures of increased Rac1 activity, membrane ruffling and epithelial wound closure, are enhanced when Arhgap24 levels are reduced in podocytes, consistent with its function as a Rac1-GAP.

Sequencing ARHGAP24 in patients with FSGS identifies several nonsynonymous changes in a highly conserved gene. Given the podocyte-specific pattern of expression of Arhgap24 and its effects on membrane dynamics and epithelial wound healing, we next asked whether variations in ARHGAP24 were associated with kidney disease. The ARHGAP24 gene consists of at least 8 exons (depending on the splice isoform) that span approximately 500 kilobases on the long arm of human chromosome 4. Complete exon sequencing of the ARHGAP24 gene in 310 patients (620 chromosomes) with biopsy-proven FSGS and 180 controls (360 chromosomes) identified 13 nonsynonymous sequence variations in the 2 main splice isoforms of Arhgap24 (Table 1). Two of these (P417A and F539L) were found in both patients and controls and also in the database 1000 genomes (www.1000genomes.org) (Supplemental Table 1). However, 7 of the nonsynonymous changes were only found in patients and not in any of the controls. These results suggest that variation in the ARHGAP24 gene may be linked with susceptibility to FSGS.

Identification of an ARHGAP24 variation in familial FSGS. Most of the nonsynonymous changes that we detected in patients with FSGS were in the uncharacterized portions of the Arhgap24 protein. However, 1 of the sequence variations (Q158R) is located in the GAP domain of Arhgap24 close to the catalytic arginine (resi-
due 175). This variation is predicted to result in a coding change that would substitute a positively charged arginine for a neutral polar glutamine at position 158 (isoform 1; NCBI accession no. NP_001020787) or position 65 (isoform 2; NCBI accession no. NP_112595). Remarkably, this residue is conserved in the ARHGAP24 gene across several model organisms that have been sequenced (Table 2). Of the other 2 nonsynonymous variations for which pedigree DNA was available (T97I and P417A), neither variation reliably correlated with disease status (Supplemental Figure 3).

We first identified the Q158R variation in a Hispanic proband (Supplemental Figure 4). Next, we explored the association of this variation with kidney disease in his family (Figure 6). The proband (patient number 1) had elevated serum creatinine levels (16 mg/dl), and a biopsy that was performed at age 20 that showed FSGS. His sister (patient number 101) also had biopsy-proven FSGS that had progressed to end-stage kidney disease by age 12. The mother (patient number 100) presented at a late stage and died at age 29 of renal failure. Sequencing showed that the patient’s affected sister and his mother both had the Q158R variation in the ARHGAP24 gene. In contrast, the proband’s 2 other siblings did not possess this variation. The brother (patient number 100) remains healthy, but the sister (patient number 102) had pregnancy-related proteinuria and hypertension. A biopsy was not performed on this individual, and she was lost to follow-up. However, an extended haplotype consisting of 4 flanking microsatellite markers (MSMs) showed that the haplotype of this sister was similar to that of the unaffected brother, making a disease-contributing rearrangement in this region of the genome unlikely (Figure 6).

In addition, the exon incorporating this variation was sequenced in an additional 554 control chromosomes (for a total of 914 control chromosomes) to decrease the probability that it represented a low-frequency noncausal variation. Altogether, we had sequenced over 900 control chromosomes across this span and did not detect the Q158R change. We also sequenced members of the affected kindred for mutations in genes known to cause hereditary FSGS and nephrotic syndrome, such as NPHS1, NPHS2, ACTN4, transient receptor potential cation channel, subfamily C, member 6 (TRPC6), phospholipase C, ε1 (PLCE1), and WT1 (exons 8 and 9) and inverted formin, FH2 and WH2 domain containing (INF2) (data not shown). There were no suggestive mutations in any of these genes, ruling out known monogenic causes of FSGS in this family. Therefore, in this kindred with familial FSGS, the Q158R variation of the ARHGAP24 gene tracked with severe, early-onset kidney disease.

**Arhgap24 Q158R has reduced Rac1-GAP activity.** Another possibility was that a cosegregating mutation closely linked to the ARHGAP24 gene was responsible for early-onset kidney disease. We therefore tested whether the Q158R variation produced a defective form of the Arhgap24 protein. The Q158R variation is located close to the catalytically active site arginine residue of the GAP domain, and so we evaluated whether this change affected the Rac1-GAP activity of Arhgap24. For these experiments, we used the mouse Q156R protein, which corresponds to Q158R in human Arhgap24. As expected, wild-type Arhgap24 reduced the level of active Rac1 in cell lysates, showing again that it has GAP activity against Rac1 (Figure 7A). In contrast, transfection of the Q156R-mutated Arhgap24 resulted in a marked increase in the level of active Rac1. Titrating increasing levels of Q156R Arhgap24 against the wild-type protein confirmed that the Q156R mutation impairs the GAP activity of Arhgap24. Next, we tested whether the Q156R variant Arhgap24 could homodimer-
GAPs such as Arhgap24 and GEFs for small G proteins comprise a large family of proteins that have diverse patterns of spatial and temporal expression (11). Such a restricted pattern of expression may allow GAPs and GEFs to behave as cell-type specific effectors for ubiquitously expressed actin regulatory proteins. Here, we show that Arhgap24 is one such candidate for cytoskeletal regulation of the kidney podocyte.

The small G proteins, Rac1, Cdc42, and RhoA, control cell shape and motility through their effects on the actin cytoskeleton. In many systems, RhoA and Rac1 are mutually antagonistic (12, 13). However, the molecular basis of this counterregulation had been unclear until the discovery of Arhgap24. Stossel and colleagues showed that, in response to RhoA activation, Arhgap24 is phosphorylated by the RhoA effector kinase, ROCK, and this modification stimulates its GAP activity for Rac1 and Cdc42 (6). By catalyzing the conversion of Rac1 and Cdc42 to their inactive GDP-bound state, Arhgap24 inhibits cell spreading and cell motility. Recently, a similar mechanism was demonstrated for the closely related family member, Arhgap22, in melanoma cells (14). The diversity of GAPs likely ensures that specific ones may play a role in Rac1-RhoA counterregulation in different cell types and tissues.

Recent work from several groups has shown that the balance of RhoA and Rac1 signaling is carefully regulated in podocytes. Normally, the podocyte appears to be a stationary cell with predominantly active RhoA signaling. The podocyte-enriched adaptor protein synaptopodin controls a signaling module that promotes RhoA activity via 2 different mechanisms. Synaptopodin blocks Smurf1-mediated ubiquitination and subsequent proteasomal degradation of RhoA (15). Synaptopodin also disrupts IRSp53 binding to the Cdc42-Mena complex, interrupting Cdc42 signaling that opposes RhoA-mediated stress fiber formation (16). Synaptopodin itself is phosphorylated and protected from degradation by binding to 14-3-3 (17). Conversely, when it is dephosphorylated by calcineurin, synaptopodin is degraded by cathepsin L (17). The net result is that reduced synaptopodin levels lead to decreased RhoA levels and activity (15).

The RhoA-Rac1 signaling balance in podocytes is also responsive to hormonal stimulation. Binding of the vasoactive hormone angiotensin II to its receptor, angiotensin receptor type I (AT1R), leads to a calcium flux through the channels Trpc5

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variation</th>
<th>No. affected</th>
<th>No. controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T97I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R142C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q156R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L215V</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q359R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S396L</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P417A</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T451I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T481M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F539L</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N587I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The isoform 1 protein reference sequence is NCBI accession no. NP_001020787, and the isoform 2 reference sequence is NCBI accession no. NP_112595.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>aa</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Accession no.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Homo sapiens</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>vryekrygn lapmlveOcv dfirrgike</td>
<td>NP_001020787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pan troglodytes</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>vryekrygn lapmlveOcv dfirrgike</td>
<td>XP_001144292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mus musculus</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>vryekrygn lapmlveOcv dfirrgike</td>
<td>NP_083546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rattus norvegicus</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>vryekrygn lapmlveOcv dfirrgike</td>
<td>QSU27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callithrix jacchus</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>vryekrygn lapmlveOcv dfirrgike</td>
<td>XP_002745675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equus caballus</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>vryekrygn lapmlveOcv dfirrgike</td>
<td>XP_001495166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bos taurus</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>vryekrygn lapmlveOcv dfirrgike</td>
<td>DAA28474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canis familiaris</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>vryekrygn lapmlveOcv dfirrgike</td>
<td>XP_849790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallus gallus</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>vryekrygn lapmlveOcv dfirrgike</td>
<td>XP_420552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodelphis domestica</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>vryekrygn lapmlveOcv dfirrgike</td>
<td>XP_001371013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danio rerio</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>vryergrgn mapmlveOcv dfirrwglre</td>
<td>XP_001339010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The location of the region of interest in each protein is designated by the amino acid start and finish positions. The glutamine residue is shown in bold. NCBI accession numbers are shown.
and Trpc6. Recent work by Tian et al. has shown that Trpc5 associates with Rac1 in membrane microdomains, while Trpc6 has a separate association with RhoA (18). In response to AT1R stimulation, Trpc5 activation leads to Rac1 activation and RhoA inactivation. Triggering Trpc6 has the opposite response, with increased RhoA activity and reduced Rac1 activity. Of note, mutations in the TRPC6 gene have already been associated with human FSGS (19). Therefore, this recent study by Tian et al. provides new insights into how signaling events downstream of the angiotensin receptor may lead to dynamic cytoskeletal reorganization in podocytes via selective modulation of RhoA and Rac1 activity through calcium signaling.

Decreased RhoA activity and increased Rac1 activity is associated with proteinuric kidney disease, consistent with the idea that an imbalance in the RhoA-Rac1 signaling balance is harmful to the podocyte. HIV infects podocytes and modulates the cytoskeleton of the cell such that patients with HIV are susceptible to collapsing FSGS (20). In podocytes, the HIV adaptor protein nef interacts with p190RhoGAP that then inactivates RhoA (21). In addition, nef binds to diaphanous interacting protein and the Rac1-GEF vav2, resulting in Rac1 activation (22). Nef also downregulates synaptopodin expression (23) and may further destabilize RhoA signaling via the synaptopodin pathway outlined above. The net effect of HIV infection is a downregulation of RhoA signaling activity and a shift toward Rac1 signaling with consequent podocyte injury.

There is further evidence from mouse models that disrupting the RhoA-Rac1 signaling balance in podocytes can cause kidney disease. Studies on mice deficient in the Rho-guanine nucleotide dissociation inhibitor, RhoGDIdx (Arbgdia), demonstrate that Rac1 activation in podocytes is harmful. RhoGDIdx binds to and stabilizes Rac1 and RhoA, and, in its absence, levels of these small G proteins and their activity levels are dysregulated (24). In particular, Rac1 is relatively overactivated, leading to podocyte foot process effacement and proteinuria (25, 26). Interestingly, treatment with a Rac1 inhibitor reduced proteinuria and the severity of the kidney damage in this model. Taken together, these studies highlight that the careful control of relative RhoA and Rac1 activity is critical for the proper functioning of podocytes. However, we believe that until this report a direct regulator of Rac1 activity in response to RhoA signaling had not been described in podocytes. Here, we show that Arhgap24 is highly expressed in podocytes and inhibits Rac1-dependent membrane ruffling and epithelial wound healing. Our work predicts a role for Arhgap24 in vivo, we identified a mutation in the GAP domain of Arhgap24 in a kindred with familial FSGS. This mutation reduces the Rac1-GAP activity of Arhgap24. We also show that Arhgap24 dimerizes, and this may explain the dominant pattern of inheritance of the Q158R mutation (27, 28). Our studies show that Arhgap24 is a potential candidate gene to explain a subset of inherited FSGS. Perhaps, more informatively, Arhgap24 adds to the emerging model that aberrant Rac1 activation is a key step in podocyte dysfunction, leading to proteinuric kidney disease. Since Arhgap24 is selectively expressed in podocytes, modulating its function can alter relative RhoA/Rac1 activity, with potentially minimal systemic side effects, and provides an intriguing pathway for the therapy of proteinuric kidney disease.

**Methods**

**Podocyte cell culture.** Generation and propagation of conditionally immortalized murine podocyte cell lines has been described previously (7, 8). Briefly, podocytes were propagated on collagen I-coated dishes at 33°C (per-
massive temperature) in RPMI supplemented with 10% FBS and 10 U/ml of recombinant mouse IFN-γ (a gift from Robert Schreiber, Washington University School of Medicine). To induce differentiation, the medium was changed to RPMI with 5% FBS without IFN-γ, and the cells were shifted to 37°C (nonpermissive temperature) for 7 to 14 days. Under these conditions, cells underwent growth arrest, increased in size, and developed elongated cell processes.

For live cell imaging experiments, podocytes were lentivirally transduced with YFP-actin and purified by automated cell sorting. Control and knockdown cell lines were generated using a bicistronic lentiviral vector incorporating the targeting shRNA and YFP-actin expressed downstream of an internal ribosomal entry site. The control knockdown construct targeted the sequences 5′-TTAAGGAGCTAAT-3′ (line 451) and 5′-TAACGATGGCTAAGAAA-3′ (line 756), respectively. Stably transduced cell lines were generated by automated cell sorting for YFP expression.

**RNA isolation.** Isolation of primary mouse podocytes using Dynabead perfusion and flow cytometric cell sorting has been described previously (9, 29). RNA was extracted from 6,000 primary podocytes and from cultured podocytes grown at the permissive or nonpermissive temperatures using an RNeasy Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA quality was verified by gel electrophoresis and to ensure that the 260/280 nm absorbance ratio was greater than 1.8. A biotinylated cRNA target was generated and hybridized to an Affymetrix 430 v2.0 murine genome array (Affymetrix) following the manufacturer’s protocol.

**Microarray analysis.** The data sets for primary isolated podocytes (GSM253173) and the undifferentiated (GSM588087) and differentiated mouse podocyte cell line (GSM253174) have been deposited in the GEO database (series GSE23856; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds/). In addition to our own data, microarray data for E13.5 podocytes (GSM396532), E15.5 isolated podocytes (GSM309472), E12.5 renal vesicle (GSM144585), E15.5 S-shaped body (GSM144602), and E15.5 stage III–IV renal corpuscle (GSM144591) were obtained from the publicly available GenitoUrinary Molecular Anatomy Project (www.gudmap.org).

Microarray data were analyzed using dChip software (30). Expression data were normalized to the median value, and model-based expression analysis (MBEI) was performed using the perfect match/mismatch (PM/MM) model. Briefly, MBEI represents a weighted average of the PM/MM differences (30). Results were filtered on gene lists for known GAPs and GEFs generated by querying the Affymetrix NetAffx database (results are deposited as a supplemental data table in the GEO database, series GSE23856; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds/). GAPs or GEFs that changed at least 5 fold were chosen for manual curation (Supplemental Table 2). Of these genes, Arhgap24 was chosen for further analysis because of the magnitude of upregulation upon podocyte differentiation and quality of the probe set hybridization pattern.

*Arhgap24 antisera production.* Amino acids 390-604 of isoform 1 (NCBI accession no. NP_083546) of mouse Arhgap24 were cloned downstream of glutathione-S-transferase (GST) in the pGEX4T-1 expression vector. This portion of Arhgap24 is well conserved across species and lies downstream of the GAP domain in both isoforms of Arhgap24. GST-tagged Arhgap24 was expressed in BL21(DE3)lysS E. coli. After induction with IPTG and sonication of bacteria, soluble GST-Arhgap24 was batch purified using glutathione-agarose beads. GST-Arhgap24 was eluted with reduced glutathione and dialyzed against PBS to remove excess glutathione. This antigen was emulsified in complete Freund’s adjuvant (Sigma-Aldrich) and was used to immunize rabbits and Armenian hamsters. Hamster handling and immunization were performed by the Washington University School of Medicine Hybridoma Center. Specificity for Arhgap24 was confirmed by immunoblotting FLAG-tagged Arhgap24 transacted into HEK293 cells. Specific signal in immunoblotting and staining experiments was confirmed by quenching of signal with antisera preincubated with antigen.

**Confocal imaging.** Podocytes grown on sterilized collagen I-coated coverslips at 33°C or 37°C were fixed with 1% PFA in PBS for 10 minutes. Cells were then blocked and permeabilized for 1 hour with PBS with 2.5% FBS and 0.1% saponin. Primary rabbit anti-Arhgap24 antisera (1:300) in blocking buffer was applied to the cells for 1 hour at room temperature. After four 5-minute washes with blocking buffer, coverslips were mounted using ProLong Antifade mounting medium (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Images were captured with confocal settings using an Olympus FluoView FV1000 microscope. A similar protocol was used to stain Arhgap24 in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded mouse kidney after antigen retrieval. Podocytes were stained using a mouse anti-synaptotagmin monoclonal antibody (a gift from Peter Mundel, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA) (31).

For wound healing experiments, differentiated knockdown podocytes were plated to confluence on collagen I-coated coverslips. A scratch was created using a sterile 200 μl pipette tip. Loosely adherent cells were washed away by 3 vigorous washes with PBS. The cells were transferred to culture medium, and, at various time points, coverslips were harvested and fixed in 4% PFA in PBS. Cells were identified by nuclear staining with Hoechst dye.

**Tissue isolation and immunoblotting.** Whole mouse tissues (~200 mg) were snap frozen on dry ice and homogenized in cold lysis buffer containing 1% Triton and protease inhibitors using a dounce homogenizer. Postnuclear supernatants were loaded to equalize actin levels by immunoblotting. Glomerular isolation/enrichment experiments using magnetic particles were performed as described previously (9).

**Live cell imaging and kymograph analysis.** Podocytes that were lentivirally transduced with YFP-actin were cultured in glass bottom dishes at 33°C or differentiated at 37°C (nonpermissive condition) for 7 to 14 days and...
then imaged. Similarly, YFP-actin transfected Arhgap24 knockdown (lines 451 and 756) and control knockdown (Fluc) cell lines were differ-
entiated on glass bottom dishes for 7 to 14 days before imaging experi-
ments. Sequential images were captured every 15 seconds for a 20-minute
duration using an Olympus Fluoview FV1000 microscope. Movies were
assembled using Olympus Fluoview software. The Multiple Kymograph
plug-in of ImageJ (http://rsweb.nih.gov/iij/) was used to generate kymo-
graphs at 3 different locations of maximal membrane ruffling for each
cell imaged (32). For each kymograph, up to 13 actin spikes were mea-
sured, and the average length was computed as described previously (33).
A value of 1 was assigned to kymographs without a measurable actin
spike. Ruffling movies were generated by H. Suleiman, and the kymo-
graphic analysis was performed in a blinded fashion by S. Akilesh. Images
were assembled in Adobe Photoshop.

Sequence DNA was obtained from affected (n = 310) and control (n = 180)
individuals. DNA from 96 of the control individuals was purchased from
the Coriell Institute. PCR primer pairs were designed to completely
sequence exons and exon-intron junctions of ARHGA24. Bidirectional
sequencing using ABI Big Dye 3.1 sequencing chemistry on an ABI
PRISM 3730 sequencing platform was performed. Sequences were aligned using Sequencher software (Gene Codes) and
manually verified. The Q158R variation was determined by A.S. Shaw’s
laboratory and verified by M.P. Wimm’s laboratory. In addition, the exon
incorporating this variation was sequenced in an additional 554 control
chromosomes to rule out the possibility that it represented a low-fre-
quency noncausal variation.

Haplotype analysis. MSM primer sequences for markers D4S1538, D4S1534, D4S2409, and D4S2460 were obtained from UnSTS (http://
www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/units) or designed with Primer3 software
(http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/primer3/). Carboxyfluorescein succinimi-
dyl ester–labeled (FAM-labeled) oligonucleotides were synthesized by
Invitrogen Life Technologies and were run on the 3730 DNA Analyzer
(Applied Biosystems) and analyzed using GeneMapper Software v4.0
(Applied Biosystems). The analysis was carried out by visual inspection,
assigning the most likely linkage phase by minimizing the number of
recombinants in the pedigree.

Arhgap24 dimerization assay. FLAG- or GFP-tagged wild-type or Q156R
Arhgap24 were cotransfected into HEK293 cells. Cell lysates were
immunoprecipitated with M2 mouse anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma-Aldrich)
and assayed for FLAG- or GFP-tagged wild-type or Q156R
Arhgap24 dimerization assay
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