Defective regulation of gap junctional coupling in cystic fibrosis pancreatic duct cells
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The cystic fibrosis (CF) gene encodes a cAMP-gated Cl– channel (cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator [CFTR]) that mediates fluid transport across the luminal surfaces of a variety of epithelial cells. We have previously shown that gap junctional communication and Cl– secretion were concurrently regulated by cAMP in cells expressing CFTR. To determine whether intercellular communication and CFTR-dependent secretion are related, we have compared gap junctional coupling in a human pancreatic cell line harboring the ΔF508 mutation in CFTR and in the same cell line in which the defect was corrected by transfection with wild-type CFTR. Both cell lines expressed connexin45 (Cx45), as evidenced by RT-PCR, immunocytochemistry, and dual patch-clamp recording. Exposure to agents that elevate intracellular cAMP or specifically activate protein kinase A evoked Cl– currents and markedly increased junctional conductance of CFTR-expressing pairs, but not in the parental cells. The latter effect, which was caused by an increase in single-channel activity but not in unitary conductance of Cx45 channels, was not prevented by exposing CFTR-expressing cells to a Cl– channel blocker. We conclude that expression of functional CFTR restored the cAMP-dependent regulation of junctional conductance in CF cells. Direct intercellular communication coordinates multicellular activity in tissues that are major targets of CF manifestations. Consequently, defective regulation of gap junction channels may contribute to the altered functions of tissues affected in CF.


Introduction

Cystic fibrosis (CF), the most common autosomal recessive disease among Caucasians, is characterized by severely altered functions of absorbing and secreting epithelia, including chronic supplicative lung disease, pancreatic fibrosis that usually leads to exocrine pancreatic failure, and high concentrations of electrolytes in sweat (1). Although the genetic defect responsible for CF is caused by mutations of the gene coding for cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR), a cAMP-activated, nucleotide trisphosphate-regulated Cl– channel in the apical membrane of epithelial cells (2–5), the pleiotropic manifestations of CF remain unclear.

Evidence is accumulating that failure of the apical Cl– channel is not solely responsible for the complex manifestations of the disease. Among the various roles of CFTR within the epithelial cells, it has been demonstrated that the anionic conductance also acts as a regulator of other membrane channels. Indeed, outward rectifying Cl– channels (ORCC) cannot be activated by cAMP in CF airway cells, an anomaly that can be corrected by expression of wild-type CFTR (6–8). Later studies have demonstrated that CFTR also modulates the cAMP-dependent regulation of amiloride-sensitive Na+ channels (ENaC) and activation of K+ channels in various epithelial cell types (9–12). More recently, it has been reported that regulation of intestinal HCO3− is impaired in CF mice (13, 14). The mechanisms by which CFTR coordinates the regulation of all of these independent ion transporters remain, however, a matter of debate.

Experimental approaches aimed at correcting the CF defect by expression of wild-type CFTR have revealed that correction of as few as 6–20% of CF cells is sufficient to restore their normal fluid transport properties (15, 16). It has been hypothesized that ionic coupling via gap junctions may serve as the mechanism for amplification of the functional effects of the corrected cells (15). Gap junctions, by mediating the intercellular diffusion of second messengers and small metabolites, indeed provide a pathway to coordinate multicellular activity. In keeping with this view, several studies have shown that agents that activate or inhibit CFTR activity in various epithelial cells also alter their capability for intercellular communication (17–19). Conversely, disruption of gap junctional communication has been associated with altered functions in tissues in which manifestations of CF occur (20–23). However, whether epithelial cells devoid of functional CFTR exhibit abnormal intercellular communication is not known.

To address this question, we have studied basal and cAMP-stimulated Cl– and gap junctional currents in a human pancreatic cell line (CFPAC-1) harboring the ΔF508 mutation of CFTR and have compared responses in the same cell line transfected with wild-type CFTR cDNA (24, 25). We show in this study that expression of functional CFTR correlates with a reduction in the extent of cell-cell coupling and restores the normal cAMP-dependent regulation of gap junctional coupling.
Figure 1
Expression of CFTR and Cx45 in CFPAC-1 and PLJ-CFTR cells. (a) RT-PCR of mRNA isolated from both cell lines, as well as from T84, CAPAN, and SKHep1 cells, using primer pairs specific for CFTR (lanes 2–5) and Cx45 (lanes 6–10). Amplification products of the expected sizes for CFTR (expected size: 410 bp) were detected in T84 and PLJ-CFTR cells (lanes 1 and 4, respectively). No products were detected with these primers in CFPAC-1 and SKHep1 cells (lanes 3 and 5, respectively). mRNA for Cx45 (expected size: 309 bp) was detected in CFPAC-1, PLJ-CFTR, and SKHep1 cells (lanes 6, 8, 9, and 10, respectively), but not in T84 cells (lane 7). Molecular markers are shown in lanes 1 and 11 (b and c). Indirect immunofluorescence of CF and corrected pancreatic duct cells cultured on glass coverslips. Punctate labeling for Cx45 was detected in CFPAC-1 (b) and PLJ-CFTR (c) cell clusters at cell-cell contacts. Scale bar: 60 μm.
200–500 μM diphenylamine carboxylic acid (DPC), a Cl-channel blocker (28). Forskolin and DPC were maintained as stock solutions in DMSO and 100% ethanol, respectively. These agents were added to the bath solution at the appropriate final concentrations. All currents and voltage signals were acquired at a 2-kHz sampling rate using Pulse software connected to an EPC-9 patch-clamp amplifier (Heka Elektronik, Lambrecht, Germany), and stored on the hard disk of a PowerMacintosh computer (Apple Computer, Inc, Cupertino, California, USA). For off-line analysis of the data, the average membrane current recorded at ~70 mV was calculated for each sweep and expressed as a function of time. Final displays of the traces were generated using the IGOR software (WaveMetrics Inc., Lake Oswego, Oregon, USA).

Cell-coupling measurements. For dye coupling studies, 1 cell within a cluster was impaled with thin-tip microelectrodes filled with a 4% Lucifer yellow (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Missouri, USA) solution prepared in 130 mM LiCl and buffered to pH 7.2 with 10 mM HEPES-KOH. The tracer was allowed to fill the cells by simple diffusion for 3 minutes. At the end of the injection, the electrode was removed and the number of fluorescent cells was counted.

For electrical coupling studies, the dual whole-cell patch-clamp approach was applied on pairs of cells to monitor gap junctional conductance. Both cells of a pair were voltage clamped at a common holding potential of 0 mV using the EPC-9 amplifier and a PC-501A amplifier (Warner Instrument Corp., Hamden, Connecticut, USA). To measure gap junctional conductances ($g_j$), transjunctional potential differences ($V_j$) were elicited by changing the holding potential of 1 member of a cell pair. $I_j$ was defined as the current recorded in the cell kept at a 0 mV. Junctional conductance ($g_j$) was then calculated by $g_j = I_j/V_j$. Series resistance was not compensated and was less than 2% of the combined junctional and cell input resistances. Cell pairs exhibiting junctional currents that could be measured while applying $V_j$ of 10 mV were defined as coupled. In the remainders, or in pairs in which $g_j$ was pharmacologically reduced with the gap junction blocker halothane (29), gating of single gap junction channels could be detected with $V_j$ of 35–85 mV. Digitized current traces were filtered at 0.1–1.0 kHz for analysis and display of single-channel activity using customized software (MacDAQ; kindly provided by A.C.G. van Ginneken, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands). To determine unitary gap junctional conductances ($g_j$), the amplitudes of single-channel transitions were measured and divided by the applied $V_j$. Conductance values were then converted into step-amplitude histograms with a bin width of 4 pS. Peak values of the single-channel conductance distributions were determined by fitting the data to Gaussian distributions using IGOR software; results obtained from the fits are expressed as mean ± SD. For dual patch-clamp experiments, pipettes were filled with a solution containing (in mM): 135 CsCl, 0.5 CaCl$_2$, 5.5 EGTA, 3 MgATP, and 0.1 GTP, buffered to pH 7.2 with 10 mM HEPES-CsOH. CsCl was chosen to replace KCl in these experiments in order to improve resolution of single gap junction channels by reducing activity of nonjunctional channels. The bath solution contained (in mM) 136 NaCl, 4 KCl, 1 CaCl$_2$, 1 MgCl$_2$, and 2.5 glucose and was buffered to pH 7.4 with 10 mM HEPES-NaOH. Stimulation of protein kinase A (PKA) was either performed by adding 100 μM of the $S_\alpha$ diastereomer of adenosine-3’,5’-cyclic monophosphothioate ($S_\alpha$-cAMPS; Biolog, Bremen, Germany) directly to the intracellular solution immediately before use, or by adding the cAMP cocktail to the external solution. All experiments, representing recordings from about 100 cell pairs, were performed at room temperature. All data were expressed as mean ± SEM and are compared using unpaired t tests.

**Figure 2** Differential activation of Cl– currents by cAMP in CFPAC-1 and PLJ-CFTR cell pairs. Examples of membrane currents recorded from a CFPAC-1 (a) and PLJ-CFTR (b) cell pair. Whereas exposure of PLJ-CFTR cells to 10 μM forskolin, 500 μM 8-Br-cAMP, and 500 μM CPT-cAMP induced inward currents that could be blocked by 2 successive applications of 200 μM DPC ($n = 6$), the cAMP cocktail (cAMP) had no effect on membrane currents of CFPAC-1 cells. Note a small leakage current that developed with time in both traces. Bars indicate the duration of the drugs’ superfusion. The bath solution is renewed every minute. Dashed lines indicate the zero current level.

**Figure 3** Differential effects of cAMP on junctional conductance of CFPAC-1 and PLJ-CFTR cell pairs. Examples of junctional conductances evaluated from a CFPAC-1 (a) and PLJ-CFTR cell pair (b). Whereas exposure of PLJ-CFTR cells to 10 μM forskolin, 500 μM 8br-cAMP, and 500 μM CPT-cAMP increased their electrical coupling in a reversible manner, the cAMP cocktail (cAMP) was without effect on junctional conductance of the CFPAC-1 cell pair. Bars indicate the duration of drugs’ superfusion. Dashed lines indicate the zero junctional conductance level.
Results

Expression of CFTR and connexins. The endogenous expression of CFTR mRNA could not be detected by RT-PCR in CFPAC-1 cells (Figure 1a, lane 3). In contrast, transcript for CFTR was detected in PLJ-CFTR cells (Figure 1a, lane 4) and in T84 cells, a colonic cell line that was used as a positive control (lane 2). SKHeP1 cells, a hepatoma cell line devoid of CFTR, was used as a negative control (lane 5). To identify connexin mRNAs in CFPAC-1 and PLJ-CFTR cells, RT-PCRs were performed with specific primer pairs for Cx26, Cx32, Cx37, Cx40, Cx43, or Cx45. As shown in Figure 1a (lanes 8–10), only 1 amplification product corresponding to Cx45 mRNA was detected in both cell lines and in SKHeP1 cells, the latter cell line being used as a positive control for this connexin (30). Cx45 mRNA was also detected in CAPAN cells (lane 6), another cell line also derived from human pancreatic duct cells, but not in T84 cells (lane 7), supporting a previous report (18).

The expression of Cx45 protein was confirmed by immunofluorescence labeling on CFPAC-1 and PLJ-CFTR cells using specific antibodies raised against Cx45 (27). As shown in Figure 1, b and c, punctate labeling at cell-cell contacts was observed in both cell lines. In keeping with the RT-PCR data, no immunolabeling for Cx26, Cx32, Cx37, Cx40, and Cx43 was detected (data not shown).

Effect of cAMP on CFTR-dependent Cl– currents in CF and CFTR-corrected cells. cAMP-dependent Cl– currents were searched for in single CFPAC-1 cells under whole-cell patch-clamp conditions. As expected, exposure of CFPAC-1 cells to agents that elevate the intracellular cAMP concentration, a mixture of 500 μM Bz-cAMP, 500 μM CPT-cAMP, and 10 μM forskolin (hereafter referred to as the cAMP cocktail), did not evoke Cl– currents (Figure 2a) in 87.5% of the cells studied (Table 1). Only in 1 CFPAC-1 cell was a small cAMP-activated inward current observed. The expression of wild-type CFTR in CFPA-1 cells has been shown to restore cAMP-dependent activation of Cl– currents (10, 25). As shown in Figure 2b and Table 1, the cAMP cocktail evoked inward currents in 6 (67%) of 9 PLJ-CFTR cells studied. These currents were ascertained to be carried by Cl–, as they were blocked by DPC (Figure 2b), a Cl– channel blocker (28).

Effects of cAMP on gap junctional coupling in CF and CFTR-corrected cells. The strength of intercellular communication in CFPAC-1 and PLJ-CFTR cells was first examined by injection of Lucifer yellow (mol wt = 443), a negatively charged fluorescent dye. In most cases, however, the tracer remained restricted to the injected cells, indicating absence of dye coupling. Exposure of the cells to agents that elevate intracellular cAMP did not change the extent of dye coupling (Table 2). Similar results were obtained with positively charged tracers of smaller radius, such as propidium iodide (mol wt = 414; n = 4) and neurobiotin (mol wt = 287; n = 4) (data not shown).

Despite the absence of dye coupling, electrical coupling could be detected in about 100 pairs of CFPAC-1 and PLJ-CFTR cells. Although the magnitude of gap junctional conductance (gj) was variable in both cell lines, gj remained stable throughout the recordings (from 5 to 45 minutes) and could be blocked in a reversible manner by halothane (n = 5). To evaluate the effects of elevation of intracellular cAMP on electrical coupling, pairs of CFPAC-1 cells were exposed to the cAMP cocktail while monitoring gj as a function of time. As shown in Figure 3a and Table 1, no changes in gj were observed in 89% of the CFPAC-1 cell pairs studied. In contrast, the cAMP cocktail markedly increased gj in 8 of 12 PLJ-CFTR pairs studied (Figure 3b and Table 1). The cAMP-induced changes in gj, which represent an increase
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Figure 4
Distribution of junctional conductance values evaluated in CFPAC-1 and PLJ-CFTR cell pairs monitored under dual patch-clamp, with intracellular solutions supplemented or not with 20–100 μM Sp-cAMPS. As shown, Sp-cAMPS did not affect junctional conductance of CFPAC-1 cells. In contrast, junctional conductance values of PLJ-CFTR cells, which are lower than those measured in parental cells, are markedly increased (P < 0.05) in the presence of Sp-cAMPS in intracellular solutions. Bars represent the median values of junctional conductance.

Table 1
Fraction of CFPAC-1 and PLJ-CFTR cell populations that exhibited inward Cl– currents and increased junctional conductance in response to the cAMP cocktail

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CFPAC-1</th>
<th>PLJ-CFTR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gj</td>
<td>1/8 (12.5)</td>
<td>1/9 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gj</td>
<td>6/9 (67)</td>
<td>8/12 (67)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values indicate the number of responding cells per total attempts. Percent values are given in parentheses.

Table 2
Extent of dye coupling between CFPAC-1 and PLJ-CFTR cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of labeled cells</th>
<th>CFPAC-1</th>
<th>PLJ-CFTR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAMP</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>21 (80.8)</td>
<td>11 (84.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>1 (3.8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>4 (15.4)</td>
<td>2 (13.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values indicate the number of microinjected clusters. Virtually no spread of Lucifer yellow was observed between CFPAC-1 and PLJ-CFTR cells. Incubation of both cell lines for 10–30 minutes with the cAMP cocktail did not change (P > 0.05) the extent of dye coupling. Percent values are given in parentheses.
of 43 ± 9% (n = 8) of the initial junctional conductance, were fully reversible after removal of the cAMP cocktail from the superfusing solution (Figure 3b).

A limitation of superfusing membrane-permeant cAMP analogues is that their effective intracellular concentration cannot be controlled, and nonspecific effects on other signaling pathways thus cannot be ruled out. To specifically activate PKA, 100 μM Sp-cAMPS was directly added to the intracellular solution. In these experiments, gj was evaluated 2–3 minutes after establishment of the dual whole-cell recording to allow diffusion of the PKA agonist into the cytosol (Figure 4). Under control conditions, CFPAC-1 cell pairs showed variable gj values with a median at 1,820 pS, whereas lower conductances were measured in PLJ-CFTR cell pairs (median: 195 pS). In the presence of Sp-cAMPS in the intracellular solution, no change in the distribution of gj values was observed in CFPAC-1 pairs (median: 1,690 pS). In contrast, PLJ-CFTR cell pairs showed increased (P < 0.05) gj values with a median of 2,230 pS. These observations strongly suggest that cAMP regulates gap junctional communication in PLJ-CFTR but not in parental CFPAC-1 cells. The effect of extracellular ATP (a potent autacoid agonist) on electrical coupling was also studied. No changes of gj (n = 7) were observed in CFPAC-1 and PLJ-CFTR cell pairs monitored in the presence of 10 μM ATP (data not shown).

Effects of cAMP on single gap junction channels in CF and CFTR-corrected cells. To examine the effects of cAMP on single gap junction channel activity, large driving forces were applied to cell pairs exhibiting low gj or to pairs in which gj was reduced with halothane. As shown in Figure 5a, the activity of single gap junction channels was discriminated from that of other channels as steplike changes of opposite polarities but identical amplitudes recorded simultaneously in both current traces. The single-channel conductances (gj) of these unitary events were measured, and frequency histograms were constructed. As shown in Figure 5b (top), no difference was observed in the distribution of gj values between CFPAC-1 and PLJ-CFTR cell pairs. Both cell lines expressed a single population of gap junction channels that could be described by Gaussian relations with peak values at 30.8 ± 0.1 pS for CFPAC-1 cells and 33.2 ± 0.1 pS for PLJ-CFTR cells. To determine whether these distributions were changed by an elevation in intracellular cAMP, gj activity was studied in cell pairs dialyzed with Sp-cAMPS. Figure 5b (bottom) shows that PKA agonists did not change the distribution of gj values measured in either CFPAC-1 or PLJ-CFTR cell pairs. Indeed peak values of 31.1 ± 0.3 pS and 29.1 ± 0.1 pS, which are not different from control values, were respectively measured in both cell lines.

In an attempt to explore the mechanisms that underlie the increase in sensitivity to cAMP of gj, we studied the effect of the cAMP cocktail in PLJ-CFTR cell pairs exposed to the Cl– channel blocker DPC. Although DPC (200–500 μM) reduced gj by 49 ± 9% (n = 10), this effect was apparently unrelated to the expression of CFTR, as it could be observed in both CFPAC-1 and PLJ-CFTR cells. In the continuous presence of DPC, the cAMP cocktail was still able to increase gj by 31 ± 8% in 3 of 6 PLJ-CFTR cell pairs. In addition, the cAMP cocktail markedly increased the activity of single gap junction channels in 4 other pairs. As shown in Figure 6, few channel openings could be recorded in a PLJ-CFTR cell pair exposed to 500 μM DPC. When the cAMP cocktail was added to the superfusing solution, single-channel activity increased, as indicated by frequent current transitions from the closed...
Effects of cAMP on gap junction channel activity in a PLJ-CFTR cell pair. Traces represent junctional currents recorded under stationary conditions at a transjunctional potential of 55 mV. Four successive sweeps per experimental condition are shown. In the presence of 500 μM DPC, the channels remained closed almost all the time, with brief opening events (upward current deflections) being only occasionally detected. Addition of the cAMP cocktail (DPC + cAMP) increased the number of current transitions to the first and second levels of channel openings. Single-channel activity was again decreased when cAMP was omitted from the superfusing solution. Multiple levels of channel activity were rapidly detected as soon as DPC was washed out (Reversibility). Dashed lines represent the zero junctional current level.

Discussion

Our results describe the strength of gap junctional communication in a CF cell line and in the same cell line in which the defect was corrected by functional expression of CFTR. Whereas no Cl− currents and no changes in gj were observed in CF cells exposed to agents elevating intracellular cAMP concentration, the expression of CFTR was found to restore cAMP-dependent activation of both non-junctional and junctional conductances.

The CFPAC-1 cells, which derive from a pancreatic duct adenocarcinoma of a patient with CF, express low levels of ΔF508 CFTR mRNA and thereby failed to generate Cl− currents in response to cAMP (24). In contrast, PLJ-CFTR cells, a clone of CFPAC-1 cells transfected with a retroviral vector containing the wild-type CFTR cDNA, exhibited Cl− currents in response to cAMP (25). In symmetrical Cl− conditions, we found that 67% of the PLJ-CFTR cell population showed inward currents in response to cAMP that could be inhibited by the Cl− channel blocker DPC. The functional expression of CFTR in CFPAC-1 cells has also been associated with cAMP-dependent restoration of membrane recycling and activation of K+ currents (10, 31). Therefore, the CFPAC-1/PLJ-CFTR cells appear to represent a valid model to study the relationship between CFTR expression and modulation of gap junction–mediated intercellular communication.

Gap junction channels are composed of 12 subunits called connexins, which are members of a large family of homologous proteins (32, 33). Each gap junction channel type is characterized by intrinsic properties and differential sensitivities to modulation by intracellular signaling pathways (21, 26, 34, 35). Although modulation of cell-cell communication at the transcriptional and translational levels by cAMP has been extensively reported, some studies have documented the short-term modulation of junctional coupling during PKA activation (21, 34–37). Here we have demonstrated that cAMP increased gap junctional conductance within minutes in pairs of cells expressing wild-type CFTR. This effect, which was induced by agents that either elevate intracellular cAMP or activate PKA, was not observed in cells harboring the ΔF508 mutation in CFTR. The increase in junctional conductance was observed in 67% of the PLJ-CFTR cell pairs studied, a fraction of the cell population that is similar to that developing Cl− currents in response to cAMP. The possibility that differential connexin expression underlies this difference in the cAMP effect is unlikely, as only Cx45 could be detected by RT-PCR and immunolabeling in both cell lines. Our results suggest, therefore, that expression of a functional CFTR protein in CFPAC-1 cells is associated with recovery of the cAMP-dependent regulation of Cx45-mediated gap junctional coupling.

We observed that the average strength of electrical coupling was higher between CFPAC-1 cells compared with that measured in PLJ-CFTR cells. The possibility that both cell lines express different basal levels of Cx45 cannot be ruled out. Alternatively, wild-type CFTR may depress basal cell-cell coupling. In this context, the rapidly reversible effect of cAMP on gj suggests that inoperative gap junction channels are present in or near the junctional membranes of PLJ-CFTR cells and that cAMP allows their activation. An increase in cell-cell coupling might reflect a change in their unitary conductances or a change in their activity. Previous studies have shown that unitary gap junction channel conductance can be regulated by phosphorylating treatments. Although Cx45 is a phosphoprotein (30), exposure to agents leading to PKA activation had no effect on the level of phosphorylation or unitary conductances of Cx45 channels expressed in SKHep1 and HeLa cells (26, 38). Although the unitary gap junctional conductances recorded in PLJ-CFTR were not affected, single-channel activity was markedly increased in the presence of cAMP. This suggests that the increase of electrical coupling in PLJ-CFTR cells may be caused by a change in the open probability of the Cx45 channels or by a change in the rate of assembly/degrada-
tion of connexons at gap junctional membranes. In any case, the latter effect appeared to depend on the presence of functional CFTR in the cell membrane.

The links between the expression of a CFTR protein and the modulation of gap junction channels are not known. A large body of evidence indicates that CFTR acts as a regulator of transcellular ion transport in epithelial cells (6–14). For example, CFTR has been shown to upregulate the activity of ORCC channels and to downregulate that of ENaC channels (6, 39). Hypotheses trying to explain the mechanisms by which CFTR regulates the activity of other ion channels include direct protein-protein interactions and/or the transport of ATP out of the cells, which would in turn activate purinergic membrane receptors (9, 40–42). Direct interactions between CFTR and gap junction channels appear unlikely because of their differential localization in the epithelial membrane. The possibility of an autocrine mechanism was also ruled out because exposure of CFPAC-1 and PLJ-CFTR cells to ATP did not change their extent of electrical coupling. Since blockade of ion fluxes through CFTR channels with DPC did not prevent the modulation of g2 by cAMP, it is conceivable that CFTR may associate with an intracellular factor that is necessary for basal and/or CAMP-dependent regulation of gap junction channels. Gap junctions are dynamic structures with short half-lives. Increasing evidence indicates that modifications of connexins, such as phosphorylation, dephosphorylation, and ubiquitination, may facilitate their incorporation to and/or retrieval from the membrane (43–47). Whether abnormal targeting of connexins for assembly/degradation is responsible for the differential regulation of gap junctional communication by CF cells remains to be investigated.

The pathophysiological consequences of CFTR as a regulator of intercellular communication in epithelial cells are unclear. Numerous studies have documented that perturbation of gap junctional communication in tissues known to be sites of CF manifestations is associated with the dysfunction of epithelial cells. For example, blockade of gap junctional communication alters the synchronized ciliary beating of tracheal cells and enhances the basal release of digestive enzymes by pancreatic acinar cells (23, 48). The CFPAC-1/PLJ-CFTR lines are known to have retained typical features of the native cells from which they derived (24, 25). Our finding that expression of CFTR is necessary for regulation of junctional conductance strongly suggests that cell-cell coupling is involved in the coordination of fluid secretion by the pancreatic duct epithelium. Consequently, the defective regulation of intercellular communication in human CF duct cells may contribute to certain clinical manifestations of the disease. Future studies should determine whether defect in gap junctional coupling regulation is a common feature in CF epithelia.
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