Dietary nitrate, nitric oxide, and restenosis
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Endothelium-derived NO controls the contractility and growth state of the underlying vascular smooth muscle cells and regulates the interaction of the vessel wall with circulating blood elements. Acute injury of the vessel wall denudes the endothelial lining, removing homeostatic regulation and precipitating a wave of events leading to myointimal hyperplasia. In this issue of the *JCI*, Alef and colleagues provide evidence that in the injured vessel wall, the disruption of the NOS pathway is countered by induction of xanthine oxidoreductase, an enzyme capable of producing NO from nitrite. In addition, they link low dietary nitrite levels to increased severity of myointimal hyperplasia following vessel injury in mice.

The role of endothelium-derived NO in vascular homeostasis

The endothelium is a diaphanous film of tissue comprising a single-cell monolayer that lines the luminal surface of all blood vessels. Despite its fragility, this delicate membrane exerts dramatic control over vascular homeostasis. Endothelium-derived factors control the contractility and growth state of the underlying VSMCs, and regulate the interaction of the vessel wall with circulating blood elements. NO is arguably the most influential of these paracrine substances.

NO is derived from the metabolism of l-arginine by NOS. NO activates soluble guanylate cyclase to produce cGMP, which participates in signaling pathways involving phosphorylation (1). Furthermore, NO regulates the activities of enzymes and signaling proteins by S-nitrosylation of thiol moieties (2). The endothelial synthesis of this potent molecule is highly regulated, in response to hemodynamic (e.g., shear stress) as well as humoral (e.g., serotonin) factors (3). Endothelium-derived NO suppresses platelet adhesion, leukocyte infiltration, and VSMC proliferation and migration (3). Finally, endothelium-derived NO suppresses the endothelial expression of adhesion molecules and chemokines that would otherwise initiate vascular inflammation (3). Cardiovascular risk factors (e.g., hypercholesterolemia, diabetes mellitus) impair the synthesis and bioactivity of endothelium-derived NO (4, 5). Their adverse effect on the NOS pathway is mediated by asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA; the endogenous antagonist of NO synthesis) and by the vascular generation of superoxide anion (O2·−), which degrades NO to peroxynitrite anion (ONOO−) (4, 5).

Myointimal hyperplasia: response to vascular injury and loss of endothelial homeostasis

Acute injury of the vessel wall (as occurs with balloon angioplasty) denudes the endothelium, fractures the internal elastic lamina, and damages the underlying VSMCs, removing homeostatic regulation and precipitating a wave of events that can lead to myointimal hyperplasia. Platelets adhere to collagen in the damaged vessel wall, and secrete PDGF and other proliferative factors. Infiltrating immune cells secrete inflammatory cytokines that contribute to cellular proliferation, and injured VSMCs release FGF. Vascular progenitor cells circulating in the blood may also contribute to the injury response (6). In the absence of the moderating influence of the endothelium, VSMCs from the media proliferate and migrate into the intimal space, and secrete extracellular matrix. This phenotypic modulation is transcriptionally regulated by CArG box DNA sequences within promoter chromatin of VSMC genes (7).

At the site of vascular injury, arginase is induced, converting arginine to urea and ornithine (8). Ornithine serves as a precursor for polyamines that are known to stimulate VSMC proliferation. Although the endothelial source of NO generation is lost, the activated VSMCs and the infiltrating immune cells express the inducible form of NOS (iNOS). Unfortunately, this enzyme is an imperfect replacement for endothelial NO synthase (eNOS). Unlike eNOS, which produces small amounts of NO in a compartmentalized and regulated fashion, iNOS is constitutively active, with a Vmax that is a thousand-fold that of eNOS. As a result, iNOS quickly outstrips the reduced supply of arginine and donates electrons to oxygen, generating O2·− (9). Superoxide anion combines with NO to form the cytotoxic radical ONOO−. Subsequent activation of oxidant-sensitive transcriptional pathways increases VSMC proliferation and migration (10), contributing to the myointimal lesion.
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A new role for exogenous nitrites in restoring vascular homeostasis

In this issue of the JCI, Alef and colleagues (11) provide evidence that in the injured vessel wall, the disruption of the NOS pathway is countered by induction of xanthine oxidoreductase (XOR). They studied alterations in vascular NOS levels after injury of the rat carotid artery by balloon angioplasty. One week after vascular injury, arginase-1 expression and activity were increased in the injured vessel wall. They also confirmed that vascular injury induced the expression of vascular iNOS. However, NO biosynthesis (as reflected by vascular nitrosothiols) was not increased, except in the presence of an arginase inhibitor, indicating that i-arginine had become rate limiting for NO production.

In an attempt to correct the deficit in NO biosynthesis, the investigators administered sodium nitrite to the animals before vascular injury. Sodium nitrite reduced myointimal hyperplasia after vascular injury by 50%–80%, depending upon the route of administration. This benefit was associated with a 10-fold increase in NO generation by the injured vessels, an effect that was not attenuated by the NOS inhibitor N-nitro-l-arginine methyl ester (L-NAME). Sodium nitrite increased NO generated by cultured VSMCs, also in a NOS-independent manner. Furthermore, sodium nitrite inhibited proliferation of the cells in vitro. This effect was associated with upregulation of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21Waf1/Cip1, which is known to mediate in part the antiproliferative effect of NO. In subsequent studies, they documented that regression of established myointimal hyperplasia could be induced by supplemental sodium nitrite. How did sodium nitrite lead to the vascular generation of NO? XOR is an enzyme that is capable of producing NO from nitrite. Alef et al. observed that vascular injury induced the expression and activity of XOR in the vessel wall. They observed that the XOR antagonist allopurinol blocked the antiproliferative action of sodium nitrite in vitro and abrogated the therapeutic effect of dietary nitrite on the injured murine carotid artery. These studies suggest that dietary nitrite was converted to NO by XOR in the vessel wall. A dietary source of nitrite was important in vascular tissues and in blood, particularly in states of hypoxia and acidosis (12, 13). Alternatively, or in addition, others have proposed that the bioactivation of nitrite to NO may involve hemoglobin, myoglobin, neuroglobin, aldehyde oxidase, carbonic anhydrase, eNOS, and mitochondrial enzymes, and in particular aldehyde dehydrogenase (14).

Are dietary nitrates and nitrites therapeutic?

Until very recently, nitrate (NO$_3^-$) and nitrite (NO$_2^-$) were viewed as contaminants in drinking water and in cured meats, and at the very least relatively inactive metabolites of endogenous NO. However, dietary NO$_2^-$ and NO$_3^-$ are enriched in leafy green vegetables, and some have argued that these nitrogen oxides participate in the cardio-vascular benefit of a vegetarian or Mediterranean diet (15). This argument is based on emerging support for an endogenous nitrate cycle in humans that has important physiological benefits (Figure 1). The salivary glands extract NO$_3^-$ from the plasma, concentrating it 10- to 20-fold (15). Anaerobic bacteria in the mouth that express nitrate reductases subsequently convert the nitrate to nitrite. When nitrite-rich saliva is swallowed, it reacts with the acidic gastric juices to form nitric acid (HNO$_3$), which is exceptionally active as an antibacterial. Subsequently, HNO$_3$ decomposes into NO$_2^-$, NO, and water. Alternatively or in addition, it is possible that the formation of HNO$_3$ (in equilibrium with N$_2$O$_3$, a potent nitrating agent), leads to the generation of nitrosothiols. In any event, NO increases local blood flow and protects the gastric mucosa (15).

The current study indicates that a similar process occurs in the vessel wall, where NO$_2^-$ is also converted to NO. The conversion of nitrite to NO by the enzyme XOR (and/or other metabolic pathways as discussed above) could replace or augment endothelial production of NO and thereby inhibit processes known to contribute to atherosclerosis, restenosis, and vascular inflammation. This human nitrate cycle may account for some of the anti-hypertensive effect observed in diets that are enriched in vegetables and fruit, such as in the DASH (Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension) study (16). Consistent with this hypothesis are the observations from small clinical studies that administration of dietary nitrate...
or nitrite can increase plasma cGMP and reduce blood pressure (15, 17, 18). However, like any potential therapy, there are potential adverse effects (15, 18). Dietary supplementation of nitrites can cause methemoglobinemia and interfere with oxygenation of the blood. Furthermore, dietary nitrite and nitrate can contribute to the formation of potentially carcinogenic nitrosamines, although the epidemiological association of dietary nitrogen oxides with cancer has been questioned (19). Accordingly, until there is more guidance from larger, randomized clinical trials, direct supplementation of the diet with nitrite or nitrate salts seems inadvisable. Adherents of the hypothesis may be served best by generous helpings of leafy green vegetables.
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Neutrophils give us a shock
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Systemic anaphylaxis is generally recognized as a severe allergic reaction caused by IgE-mediated activation of mast cells, leading to massive release of vasoactive mediators that induce acute hypotension and shock. However, experimental evidence in mice suggests that this view is too simple. Using a variety of techniques to manipulate immune cell makeup, Jönsson et al. come to the conclusion in this issue of the *JCI* that recognition of IgG1 and IgG2 antibodies by FcγRIII and FcγRIV receptors on neutrophils is a major pathway for induction of anaphylaxis. These exciting results suggest that we have to reevaluate our models for anaphylaxis in humans, which will have a direct impact on our therapeutic approaches for prevention of this potential deadly hypersensitivity reaction.

I turned to Wikipedia when I was searching for a way to explain the basics of anaphylaxis and came across the following statement: “True anaphylaxis is caused by degranulation of mast cells or basophils mediated by immunoglobulin E (IgE).” This is the classic teaching, present in all the immunology textbooks, but the paper in this issue of the *JCI* by Jönsson et al. (1) informs us that this view is, at best, incomplete. Instead, we learn that anaphylaxis can be mediated by neutrophils recognizing IgG/antigen complexes. In addition to turning around our understanding of anaphylaxis, this paper adds to the growing list of neutrophil functions besides just bacterial killing and protease production (2). Lately we have learned that neutrophils are major sources of cytokines and chemokines (3, 4). They play a direct role in influencing the recruitment and activation of monocytes/macrophages, T cells, and NK cells during inflammation (5–7). Neutrophils have been implicated as the primary initiators of immune complex-mediated diseases (8, 9). And now the shocking news (pun intended!) that they are major players in initiating anaphylaxis.

The history of anaphylaxis
Anaphylaxis is an acute, multisystem, severe type I hypersensitivity reaction that develops in minutes to hours following antigen exposure (10). In its mildest forms, it results in rashes (hives), wheezing, and some gastrointestinal symptoms (cramping, bloating). In its more severe forms, patients develop bronchoconstriction with hypoventilation, systemic vasodilation (leading to frank shock), cardiac dysrhythmias, and central nervous system abnormalities. Anaphylaxis most often
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