Short ragweed allergen induces eosinophilic lung disease in HLA-DQ transgenic mice
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The human leukocyte antigen (HLA) restriction of the IgE response to different allergens in humans has been a subject of numerous published studies. However, the role and contribution of specific HLA class II molecules in the pathogenesis of allergic airway inflammation are unknown and difficult to assess. HLA-DQ6 and HLA-DQ8 transgenic mice lacking endogenous mouse class II gene expression were actively immunized and later challenged intranasally with short ragweed (SRW) allergenic extract. The HLA-DQ transgenic mice developed pulmonary eosinophilia and lung tissue damage. We also found an increase in total protein (TP) level and IL-5 production in bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid and an increase in SRW-specific Th2-type immunoglobulins (IgG1, IgG2b) and total serum IgE levels. Under similar treatment, DQ-negative full-sib control mice were normal. The allergic response could be significantly inhibited or abrogated in HLA-DQ mice by systemic treatment with anti-DQ mAb. The in vivo responses of HLA-DQ6 and HLA-DQ8 mice showed differences in terms of levels of eosinophilia, BAL protein, IL-5 concentration, and lung hyperreactivity to inhaled methacholine. These findings demonstrate the crucial role for specific HLA-DQ molecules in SRW-specific CD4+ T-cell activation and resulting recruitment of eosinophils into the airways.


Introduction

The familial incidences of allergy and asthma suggest a genetic basis for these diseases. Recently, genome-wide searches and candidate gene approaches have been used to examine the possible involvement of a number of genes in the development of atopy and asthma. The regions of potential linkage to markers were detected with one or more asthma phenotypes on chromosomes 5q, 6p, 11q, 12q, 13q, and 14q, respectively (1–3).

Human leukocyte antigen (HLA) molecules are encoded by highly polymorphic gene families located on chromosome 6p. They play a pivotal role in regulating the T-cell immune response and have been implicated in susceptibility to a wide range of diseases with an immunologic basis. The association of HLA haplotypes and ragweed allergy was the first human Ir (immune response) gene to be recognized (4), and HLA-DR2 and HLA-DQ6 restriction of IgE reactions to antigen 5 is well documented (5–7). Recently, strong relationships between the immune response to several highly purified allergens and specific HLA-DR and DQ haplotypes have been published (reviewed in ref. 8). In addition, specific HLA-DR and DQ molecules have been shown to be implicated in house dust mite asthma (9, 10), aspirin-induced asthma (11), soybean epidemic asthma (12), and occupational asthma and atopy involving isocyanates (13) or acid anhydrides (14). However, the role and contribution of specific HLA class II molecules in the development of allergic asthma are unknown and are difficult to assess in humans because of their genetic heterogeneity.

Eosinophils play an important role in the pathogenesis of allergic airway inflammation. The infiltration of the airway wall with a large number of activated eosinophils and release of their toxic granule proteins and lipid metabolites cause damage to bronchial epithelial cells (15–19). It is believed that an airway hyperresponsiveness, the hallmark of asthma, occurs as a result of this eosinophil-mediated damage. In the majority of clinical studies, pulmonary eosinophilia has been shown to correlate with disease severity (15, 17), and the resolution of airway eosinophilia correlates with the remission of asthma symptoms (16).

Recently, a number of murine models of antigen-induced pulmonary eosinophilia and airway hyperreactivity (AHR) have been developed to study the role of B cells (20, 21), T cells (20, 22–24), mast cells (25), NK cells (20), dendritic cells (26), IgE and its receptor (27, 28), cytokines (29–32), leukotrienes (33), and costimulatory molecules (34, 35) in the mechanisms of immune responses in asthma. However, in all of these animal models, MHC class II molecules are mouse derived. We have generated transgenic mice expressing human DQ6 (HLA-DQAI*0103 and HLA-DQB1*0601) and DQ8 (HLA-DQAI*0301 and HLA-DQB1*0302) genes (36–38). These mice lack endogenous class II molecules, and the only functional class II molecules on antigen-presenting cells (APCs) are human DQ6 or DQ8.
We previously reported that our HLA-DQ transgenic mice vigorously responded to short ragweed (SRW) in vitro, with Th2-type cytokine production (39). The in vitro response was mediated by CD4+ HLA-DQ–restricted T cells. The current study shows that HLA-DQ mice develop significant lung eosinophilia, epithelial damage, and AHR in response to intranasally administered SRW allergen. The disease is allergen specific, restricted by the HLA-DQ molecules, and mediated by CD4+ T cells. The humanized mouse model of SRW-induced allergic asthma will be valuable in identifying allergenic epitopes critical for disease and development of potential immunotherapy.

**Methods**

**Mice.** The production and characterization of transgenic mice expressing human DQ6 (HLA-DQA1*0103 and HLA-DQB1*0601) and DQ8 (HLA-DQA1*0301 and HLA-DQB1*0302) genes in mice deficient in endogenous class II molecules (H-2A\(b\)0) have been described previously (36–38). Expression of HLA class II molecules in H-2A\(b\)0 mice induces the selection of CD4+ V\(\beta\)TCR+ cells and restores CD4+ T-cell population in the periphery to a substantial level (5.0–9.3%) (37). There is no surface expression of H-2A\(a\)b, H-2E\(b\)b, or H-2A\(a\)b/HLA-DQ\(b\)hybrid molecules on peripheral blood lymphocyte in HLA-DQ transgenic mice (36–38). The DQ-negative, A\(b\)0 full sibs were used as controls. Mice were bred and maintained in the pathogen-free Immunogenetics Mouse Colony at the Mayo Clinic.

**Flow cytometry.** The levels of expression of HLA-DQ molecules were monitored on freshly prepared spleen mononuclear cells (MNCs) and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) cells using two-color flow cytometry (37, 38). Commercial anti-murine conjugated mAb's (PharMingen, San Diego, California, USA) used for cell staining included anti–CD3-PE (17A2), anti–B220-PE (RA3-6B2), anti–Mac1a-PE (M1/70), and anti–CD11c-FITC (HL3). Anti–HLA-DQ mAb IVD12 was obtained from a cell line prepared in our laboratory. DTAF goat anti-mouse IgG Fc (Accurate Chemical & Scientific Corp., Westbury, New York, USA) was used as a secondary antibody. Cells treated with biotin-conjugated anti-DQ (IVD12) were then cultured with PE-labeled streptavidin (PharMingen). Both single- and two-color flow cytometry were performed using a FACS Vantage cytometer and analyzed with CellQuest software (both from Becton Dickinson Immunocytometry Systems, Mountain View, California, USA).

**Antigen.** SRW (Ambrosia artemisiifolia) extract was purchased from Bayer Corp. (Elkhart, Illinois, USA).

**Anesthetic agent.** Stock avertin solution (10 g of 99% 2,2,2-tribromoethanol [Aldrich Chemical Co., Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA]) was dissolved in 10 mL TERT-amyl alcohol (catalog no. A730-1; Fisher Scientific Co., Fairlawn, New Jersey, USA). One hundred microliters of stock solution was diluted in 5 mL PBS. An intraperitoneal injection of 1 mL per mouse was used for euthanizing, and 0.2–0.3 mL was administered for anesthesia.

**Immunizations with SRW.** Age-matched H-2A\(b\)0, HLA-DQ6, HLA-DQ8, and, as a control for mouse genetic background, C57BL/6 (B6J) mice were used. Each experimental group consisted of 4–5 animals. Mice were sensitized by intraperitoneal injection of 40 \(\mu\)g SRW extract adsorbed to 1 mg aluminum hydroxide in 0.5 mL sterile endotoxin-free PBS (pH 7.2; GIBCO BRL, Grand Island, New York, USA) A booster injection was given 7 days later. On day 14, mice were challenged intranasally 2 times 6 hours apart by application of 250 \(\mu\)g/100 \(\mu\)L of dialyzed extract in the nostrils under light anesthesia. Intranasal application was performed as described previously (33, 40) using a sterile 1-cc plastic syringe with a sterile plastic tip for micropipette. Vehicle-sensitized control mice

---

**Figure 1**

Representative examples of BAL fluid cells isolated from PBS-treated HLA-DQ or H-2A\(b\)0 mice (a), SRW-sensitized and -challenged H-2A\(b\)0 (b) and HLA-DQ6 (c) mice, and anti-DQ-treated SRW-immunized HLA-DQ6 mice (d) 48 hours after intranasal challenge with allergenic extract. No eosinophils were recovered in BAL from any groups of PBS-treated mice (a) or SRW-sensitized and -challenged MHC class II knockout mice (b). Eosinophils were found to dominate the cellular infiltrate into BAL of HLA-DQ6 and HLA-DQ8 transgenic mice (c). Only a few eosinophils can be seen in anti-DQ-treated SRW-immunized mice (d). e, eosinophils; l, lymphocytes; m, macrophages.
were injected with an equal volume of aluminum hydroxide suspension in PBS and were challenged intranasally with PBS alone. Another group of mice was injected and boosted with SRW extract as already described here, but they were challenged intranasally with PBS only. Forty-eight hours after challenge, mice were euthanized and BAL fluids were withdrawn from mouse lungs.

**In vivo antibody administration.** Purified non–complement-fixing anti–HLA-DQ mAb IVD12 or isotype-matched IgG1 antibody MOPC-21 (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Missouri, USA) was used. Five hundred micrograms of mAb was injected intraperitoneally 24 hours before, simultaneous with, and after each allergen application. All reagents for in vivo applications were routinely tested for endotoxin contamination using the Timed Gel Formation Endotoxin Kit (Sigma Chemical Co.) per manufacturer’s instructions. No contamination was observed.

**Diffusion of nasally administered solutions into the mouse respiratory tract.** Ethidium bromide in PBS (4%) was applied intranasally to determine which parts of the mouse lungs came in contact with solutions given nasally (41).

**BAL cell composition.** Mice were euthanized at 48 hours after the last intranasal challenge. BAL fluids were collected as described earlier (40). BAL cells were washed once with PBS by centrifugation at 200 g for 5 minutes. The cell pellets were resuspended in 1 mL PBS. Total leukocytes in BAL fluids were determined for each sample with standard hemocytometer. Cytospin preparations were made with 200 μL of BAL fluid (Cytospin 2; Shandon Inc., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania) and stained by Giemsa. The differential cell counts were determined from 4 high-power fields using a×10 wide-field eyepiece (Leeds Precision Instruments Inc., Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA) and were evaluated in a double-blind manner. The absolute macrophage, neutrophil, lymphocyte, and eosinophil counts were ascertained (32).

**Total protein concentration in BAL fluids.** The level of total protein (TP) was measured using a commercial kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, California, USA) using the Bradford method.

**Cytokine protein levels in BAL fluids.** IL-4, IL-5, IFN-γ, and TNF-α were measured using sandwich ELISA. Minikit for IL-4, IFN-γ, and TNF-α from Genzyme Diagnostics (Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA) were used according to instructions provided. IL-5 content was measured using a mini-kit from Endogen Inc. (Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA). BAL samples were diluted 1:1 with dilution buffer before applying to plates. All ELISA assays were performed as described previously (39). The sensitivity was as follows: IL-4, 15 pg/mL; IL-5, 5 pg/mL; IFN-γ, 10 pg/mL; TNF-α, 10 pg/mL.

**Histologic examination.** In separate experiments, the trachea and lungs were removed from euthanized mice (n = 4–5 per group) 48 hours after allergen challenge. Sections 4-mm thick were prepared as described (42), using 10% formalin for fixation and paraffin for embedding, and then were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Evaluation of histologic alterations was done with 3 samples for each mouse in a double-blind study.

**Immunohistochemistry.** The sections from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded lung tissue were deparaffinized using a xylene/ethanol/water gradient. To view and count eosinophils, immunohistochemical staining using a rabbit anti-mouse major basic protein (MBP), kindly provided by G.J. Gleich (Mayo Clinic), was performed as described (30). The sections were examined using fluorescence microscopy.

The specific staining for HLA-DQ expression was performed using a culture supernatant from the cell line producing mAb specific for HLA-DQ α-chain (IVD 12), HLA-DQ6/8 (TB1), HLA-DR (L227, cross-reacts with HLA-DQ6β), H-2Aβ/α (Y-17, CD4 (GK1.5), and CD8 (53-6.72) were prepared in our laboratory (38, 39). Slides were counterstained for 30 seconds with hematoxylin. The sections were examined using light microscopy.

---

**Figure 2**

Cellular composition of BAL fluid of PBS-treated (a) and SRW-sensitized and -challenged (b) mice. Each experimental group consisted of 4–5 animals. Mice were immunized as described in Methods. BAL fluids were obtained at 48 hours after appropriate (PBS or SRW) intranasal challenge. The results for each group of mice are expressed as mean ± SEM from 1 of 3 independent experiments. *Significant differences (P < 0.04) between SRW-treated H-2Aβ and HLA-DQ mice. †P < 0.05 HLA-DQ6 vs. HLA-DQ8 mice. ‡P < 0.05 anti-DQ-treated HLA-DQ6 vs. mAb-untreated SRW-immunized counterparts.

**Cell proliferation assays.** Mice were sensitized and challenged as already described here. Spleens were harvested 48 hours after intranasal challenge, and MNCs were prepared for in vitro cultures as described previously (37, 39). The cells were cocultured with medium alone, 10 μg/mL of ConA, or 200 μg/mL of SRW. Cultures were incubated for 24 hours and were then pulsed with 1 μCi of [3H]thymidine per well during the final 24-hour incubation. Triplicate wells were harvested and radioactivity was measured in a beta counter (Beckman Instruments, Palo Alto, California, USA).

The BAL cells were obtained from SRW-treated H-2Aβ and HLA-DQ6 mice on day 5 after challenge, pooled within the experimental group (n = 10 and n = 5, respectively), and prepared for the in vitro cultures. Briefly, 500,000 cells per well were cocultured with SRW for 48 hours, labeled with [3H]thymidine, and harvested on day 3 of incubation.

**In vitro mAb blocking study.** Culture supernatants from the cell lines producing mAb’s specific for HLA-DQ α-chain (IVD 12), HLA-DQ6/8 (TB1), HLA-DR (L227, cross-reacts with HLA-DQ6β), H-2Aβ/α (Y-17, CD4 (GK1.5), and CD8 (53-6.72) were prepared in our laboratory (38, 39).

**Airway hyperresponsiveness.** AHR in PBS- or SRW-sensitized mice was measured 48 hours after the last intranasal challenge by recording respiratory pressure curves by whole-body plethysmography (model PLY 3211; Buxco Electronics Inc., Sharon, Connecticut, USA) in response to the increasing doses of inhaled methacholine (Sigma Chemical Co.) as described.
previously (32, 44). This method allows measurements of spontaneous breathing in an unrestrained mouse. Values of PenH (enhanced pause, index of pulmonary airflow obstruction) were calculated by BioSystem XA software (Buxco Electronics, Inc., Sharon, Connecticut, USA) using the following equation: PenH = (Te/RT-1) × (Pef/Pif), where Te is expiration time, RT is relaxation time, Pef is peak expiratory flow, and Pif is peak inspiratory flow.

Allergen-specific antibody levels and serum total IgE. H-2A\(^b\), HLA-DQ6, and HLA-DQ8 mice were bled before the first immunization with SRW, on days 6 and 13 during the sensitization protocol, and again 48 hours and 96 hours after intranasal challenge. Sera were prepared and stored at −70°C until assayed for SRW-specific antibody and total IgE levels.

The amount of antigen-specific IgM, IgG1, IgG2a, IgG2b, IgG3, and IgA in the sera was measured by ELISA. Briefly, 10 \(\mu\)g/mL of SRW extract in PBS (pH 7.2) was used to coat plates (Immulon 1; Dynatech Laboratories Inc., Chantilly, Virginia, USA). After incubating with sera diluted 1:50 or 1:100 in Tris-buffered saline (pH 7.2) for 2 hours at 37°C, plates were washed, and appropriate alkaline-phosphatase-conjugated mAb (Southern Biotechnology Associates Inc., Birmingham, Alabama, USA) was added to the wells. After 1 hour of incubation, plates were washed again and treated with mAB (Southern Biotechnology Associates Inc.) added to each plate, and an optimal concentration of the coupling agent in PBS was included in each assay. The amount of antigen-specific IgM, IgG1, IgG2a, IgG2b, IgG3, and IgA was determined by ELISA using matching antibody pairs (R35-72 IgM, 5 ng/mL; IgG1, 10 ng/mL; IgG2a, 40 ng/mL; IgG2b, 20 ng/mL; IgA, 2.5 ng/mL; IgG3, 40 ng/mL).

An IgE-specific ELISA was used to quantitate total IgE antibody levels in serum using matching antibody pairs (R35-72 and R35-92) obtained from PharMingen. As an internal positive control, pooled serum from SRW-immunized BALB/c mice was included in each assay.

**Results**

**Expression of HLA-DQ molecule in the BAL and lymphoid tissue of transgenic mice.** Flow cytometry analysis of spleen MNCs revealed that DQ transgene is expressed on 7.38–15.41% of CD3\(^+\) cells, 2.71–8.15% of Mac 1\(^+\) cells, 25.68–32.51% of B220\(^+\) cells, and 0.9–1.53% of CD11c\(^+\) cells. In BAL, 80.66–92.92% of Mac 1\(^+\) cells and 79.68–90.33% of B220\(^+\) cells coexpressed DQ antigen. There was no significant difference in transgene expression between HLA-DQ6 and HLA-DQ8 mice.

**Distribution in the respiratory tract of solutions administered nasally.** Using 100 \(\mu\)L of ethidium bromide for intranasal administration, bright signals were found in the mouse trachea, bronchi, and bronchioles, whereas staining was weak in the lung parenchyma (data not shown). Therefore, even if intranasal delivery of liquid allergen does not completely reflect the natural way of human exposure to airborne allergens, this volume allows the antigen deposition in small airway vessels.

**SRW-induced BAL eosinophilia is present in HLA-DQ transgenic mice after airway challenge.** In the unimmunized H-2A\(^b\) and HLA-DQ6 mice, BAL fluids yielded 515,000 ± 84,606 and 490,000 ± 61,913 cells/mL, respectively. The majority of cells (>98%) were small resting macrophages (not shown). The total number of cells and cellular distribution in the BAL fluids of PBS-treated mice was similar to that of naive mice (Figures 1a and 2a). In contrast, there was a significant increase in total number of BAL cells at 48 hours after SRW intranasal challenge in sensitized HLA-DQ6 transgenic mice (Figure 2, a and b; \(P < 0.01\) compared with PBS-treated counterparts and SRW-treated H-2A\(^b\) mice). The HLA-DQ mice, but not MHC class II knockout mice, were able to develop eosinophilic infiltration into BAL (Figure 1, c and b; and Figure 2b), which amounted to 69.00 ± 5.14% and 51 ± 14.30% in HLA-DQ6 and HLA-DQ8 mice, respectively. BAL lymphocyte differential was greatly increased in HLA-DQ6 mice (Figure 2b; \(P < 0.01\) vs. H-2A\(^b\) mice). Thus, eosinophils were the primary cell type in BAL of SRW-treated HLA-DQ mice, whereas macrophages predominated in H-2A\(^b\) mice. The genetic background control group of B6/J mice showed only 10 ± 5% of eosinophils.
eosinophilia in response to SRW. These data indicate that the prevalence of eosinophilia in BAL fluids after allergen inoculation depends on the presence of specific HLA-DQ molecules.

In vivo treatment of HLA-DQ6 mice with anti-DQ mAb during sensitization inhibits airway eosinophilia. To evaluate the importance of HLA-DQ molecules on APCs in the development of allergic inflammation in our model, HLA-DQ6 mice were treated with anti-DQ mAb as described in Methods. The number of total leukocytes, macrophages, eosinophils, and lymphocytes recovered from BAL of anti-DQ–treated mice was significantly lower than that in nontreated mice (Figure 2b). Eosinophils represented only 10.9 ± 8.1% of the total cells recovered. At the same time, mice treated with control isotype antibody (mouse IgG1) did not show significant changes in either total number of lavage cells or in cell differentials compared with SRW-sensitized and -challenged counterparts (not shown). These results suggest that specific HLA-DQ molecules are essential for the development of allergen-induced BAL eosinophilia, as anti-DQ treatment of SRW-challenged HLA-DQ mice “normalized” the profile of the infiltrating cells.

Increased protein concentrations were detected in BAL fluids of SRW-treated HLA-DQ mice. The changes in lung permeability elicited by SRW instillation were measured by the change in TP contents of BAL fluids. At 48 hours after intranasal challenge with SRW, a significant increase in TP was observed in both HLA-DQ6 and HLA-DQ8 mice compared with PBS-treated counterparts (Figure 3a; P < 0.01 and P < 0.05, respectively). TP level in BAL fluid of SRW-sensitized and -challenged B6/J mice (49.47 ± 8.72 μg/mL) was not different from other control groups (not shown). There was a more than 3-fold decrease in TP level in anti-DQ–treated HLA-DQ6 mice compared with mAb-untreated SRW-sensitized HLA-DQ6 mice (89.68 ± 43.79 and 335.54 ± 69.88 μg/mL, respectively) (Figure 3a). Thus, the leakage of plasma proteins due to increased vascular permeability or increased local protein production in the lung was one of the characteristics of allergic response to SRW in HLA-DQ transgenic mice, and it could be reduced by anti-DQ mAb treatment.

Increased levels of IL-5 in BAL fluids of SRW-treated HLA-DQ mice. To examine the profile of cytokines involved in generating and perpetuating the lung inflammatory response in our models, BAL fluids obtained from PBS-treated or SRW-sensitized mice at 48 hours after appropriate challenge were analyzed for the presence of IL-4, IL-5, IFN-γ, and TNF-α cytokines. No IL-4, IFN-γ, or TNF-α was detected in any samples. No IL-5 was found in PBS-treated mice (Figure 3b). SRW sensitization and challenge resulted in the significant increase of IL-5 content in BAL of HLA-DQ6 mice (26.61 ± 10.81 pg/mL) but was lower in HLA-DQ8 mice (Figure 3b). There was no detectable IL-5 cytokine in BAL of SRW-sensitized H-2Aβ0 mice or anti-DQ–treated SRW-sensitized HLA-DQ6 mice. Thus, BAL eosinophilia is associated with an increase of the IL-5 secretion into airways of HLA-DQ transgenic mice and may be abrogated by systemic anti-DQ treatment.

Lung eosinophilia and epithelial damage in SRW-sensitized and -challenged HLA-DQ mice. The extent of the allergic airway inflammation induced by SRW exposure was further studied by histologic analysis. The lungs of unimmunized or PBS-treated H-2Aβ0 and HLA-DQ6 transgenic mice appeared normal with no sign of inflammation (Figure 4a). The lungs of SRW-treated HLA-DQ6 and HLA-DQ8 mice contained multifocal dense inflammatory infiltrates (Figure 4b), and the infiltrates consisted primarily of eosinophils (Figure 4, c and d). Epithelial damage, including hypertrophy, sloughing off epithelial cells, and...
increased spaces between them (Figure 4, b–d), was observed in HLA-DQ mice. The lungs of SRW-sensitized and -challenged H-2Aβ0 mice or SRW-sensitized transgenic mice challenged intranasally with PBS were not significantly different from the PBS control (not shown). Systemic anti-DQ mAb treatment of HLA-DQ6 mice during sensitization to SRW significantly decreased the lung inflammation (Figure 4e).

**Immunostaining for mouse MBP.** To view and examine the level of eosinophilia in the lung tissue and identify their granule release, we performed immunostaining of eosinophil MBP using anti-MBP antibody. In PBS-treated mice, a few mature eosinophils were distributed throughout the lung parenchyma (Figure 5a). A similar picture was seen in lungs of SRW-sensitized and -challenged H-2Aβ0 mice (Figure 5b). In contrast, the massive perivascular and peribronchial eosinophilic infiltration was found in both lines of HLA-DQ transgenic mice (Figure 5, c and d). Using higher magnification, margination and migration of eosinophils through the blood vessel wall to the epithelium, and their granule release (f and g). Positive staining of infiltrating macrophages for MBP (h) as a result of its uptake from degranulating eosinophils. Arrow, blood vessel; arrowhead, bronchiole; m, macrophages.
extensive areas of extracellular MBP were observed. In anti-DQ–treated HLA-DQ6 mice, eosinophils were primarily seen in the lung parenchyma and around some blood vessels, and only a few were noticed in the mucosal layer or the lumen of the airways (not shown).

Decrease of HLA-DQ expression in lungs of transgenic mice by SRW treatment. To study the lung tissue distribution of the HLA-DQ transgene products in mice, specific immunostaining was performed, rendering DQ-expressing sites brown and cell nuclei blue. Examination of lung tissue from PBS-treated HLA-DQ6 transgenic mice revealed that all epithelial cells lining the air spaces, and vascular endothelial cells expressed HLA-DQ (Figure 6a). This was not observed in lung sections of transgene-negative animals treated with PBS or SRW (Figure 6b). SRW sensitization and challenge of HLA-DQ transgenic mice resulted in a significant loss of transgene expression in lung tissue (Figure 6c). The infiltrating inflammatory cells that were primarily eosinophils did not express HLA-DQ. Low-intensity expression was detected only on the apical part of bronchial epithelium (Figure 6c) and on endothelium of some large blood vessels (not shown). Anti-DQ treatment during protocol of sensitization to SRW reconstitutes the expression of HLA-DQ in transgenic mice (Figure 6d).

Inhibition of the immune response to SRW in transgenic mice by anti-DQ and anti-CD4 mAb’s. To identify the subpopulation of T cells necessary for the response to SRW in transgenic mice, different monoclonal antibodies were added to the wells containing cultures of spleen MNCs with SRW (Figure 7a). Proliferation was inhibited in cultures with anti-CD4 mAb by 98.55 ± 1.27% for HLA-DQ6 mice and by 92.92 ± 7.21% for HLA-DQ8 mice. The use of anti-DQ mAb (TB1) resulted in the inhibition of in vitro response by 77.96 ± 7.52% (HLA-DQ6) and 80.5 ± 11.49% (HLA-DQ8). Other mAb’s used showed no significant effect. No proliferation was observed in cultures of spleen MNCs obtained from PBS-treated animals or SRW-treated H-2Aβ0 mice.

BAL cells from HLA-DQ6 mice proliferated strongly during in vitro culture with SRW, as determined by [3H]thymidine uptake (Figure 7b). Blocking of either DQ or CD4 molecules inhibited SRW-specific proliferation, whereas other mAb’s had no effect on SRW-induced response. The H-2Aβ0 BAL cells did not respond to SRW (change in cpm, 187 ± 138). Thus, the immune response to SRW in both the lymphoid tissue and BAL cellular infiltrates of HLA-DQ transgenic mice is mediated by CD4-positive HLA-DQ–restricted T cells.

Increase of airway reactivity in SRW-treated HLA-DQ transgenic mice. To study whether HLA-DQ6 and HLA-DQ8 molecules contribute to the development of airway hyperresponsiveness, we challenged PBS- or SRW-treated mice with increasing concentrations of methacholine in a whole-body plethysmograph. Figure 8 shows that SRW-treated HLA-DQ mice developed a strong AHR 48 hours after last intranasal challenge with allergenic extract, compared with PBS exposed counterparts. In contrast, SRW treatment of H-2Aβ0 mice had no significant effect on AHR, and the methacholine response was comparable to that observed in PBS control. Thus, specific HLA-DQ molecules are required for the induction of AHR in vivo.

**Figure 6**
Immunohistochemical localization of HLA-DQ molecules in lungs, as detected by the brown avidin-biotin peroxidase reaction product. Representative areas of the airway tissue from PBS-treated HLA-DQ6 mice revealed transgene expression on epithelium and endothelium (a), which was not observed in the lungs of transgene-negative H-2Aβ0 mice (b). (c) SRW sensitization and challenge of HLA-DQ mice markedly reduce the antigen expression and lead to the prominent infiltration with cells not having HLA-DQ marker on their surface. (d) Anti-DQ treatment reconstitutes transgene expression in allergen-sensitized HLA-DQ6 mice.
HLA-DQ6 mice are capable of producing Th2-type SRW-specific antibodies. Mice were bled, and sera were collected at various times and assayed for the presence of SRW-specific antibodies by ELISA. The immune sera did not react with a control antigen, human thyroglobulin (OD, 0.01). The immunization of H-2A\(^{b}\) and HLA-DQ6 mice with ragweed extract induced a high level of production of IgM-specific antibodies that showed gradual decline after immunizations (Figure 9). The IgG response was significantly increased after a booster injection and challenge and was composed mainly of IgG1, IgG2b, and IgG3 antibodies in HLA-DQ6 mice, and only IgG3 antibodies in H-2A\(^{b}\) mice, and did not involve the IgG2a subclass (Figure 9). Serum levels of specific IgA were below the limit of detection (not shown). This confirms the ability of the HLA-DQ6 transgenic mice to generate Th2-dependent antibody responses to SRW extract (IgG1 and IgG2b); however, anti-ragweed IgM and IgG3 antibodies predominate. As expected, the levels of SRW-specific antibody isotypes in unimmunized mice were below the level of detection. However, trace amounts of SRW-specific IgG3 antibodies were detected in preimmune sera of both HLA-DQ6 and H-2A\(^{b}\) mice, and IgM antibodies only in H-2A\(^{b}\) mice.

**Increase in total IgE level in the immune sera of SRW-sensitized HLA-DQ mice.** In view of the association of allergic disorders with elevated serum IgE levels, we measured total IgE at different time points during sensitization to SRW. At day 6 after first injection with SRW, a decrease in serum IgE was found in all groups of mice (Figure 10). In the sera of SRW-boosted HLA-DQ6 and HLA-DQ8 mice, the significant increase in total IgE (241.35 ± 32.07 and 243.44 ± 25.23 ng/mL, compared...
with preimmune control 83.77 ± 18.95 and 142.35 ± 23.89 ng/mL, respectively; \( P < 0.01 \) and \( P < 0.02 \), respectively) was found, which was even more pronounced 48 hours after challenge in HLA-DQ6 mice. In contrast, H-2\( \text{A}^{b} \) mice did not exhibit an increase of total serum IgE during sensitization and challenge.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, the data presented here comprise the first report demonstrating the role and contribution of specific HLA class II molecules in the pathogenetic mechanism(s) in atopic diseases and asthma. We have generated an HLA-DQ transgenic mouse model of SRW-induced asthma to demonstrate that (a) there is a preferential perivascular and peribronchial accumulation of eosinophils 48 hours after allergen challenge; (b) the local increase of IL-5 parallels infiltration of lungs with eosinophils; (c) there is a strong increase in airway reactivity to nonspecific bronchoconstrictor methacholine; (d) there is an induction of SRW-specific immunoglobulins corresponding to Th2-type helper function to B cells, and an increased total IgE level; (e) the in vivo response to SRW is mediated by CD4-positive HLA-DQ-restricted T cells; and (f) systemic treatment with anti-DQ mAb reduces airway eosinophilia and lymphocyte infiltration and effectively abrogates tissue damage and IL-5 release. Other important findings here include (a) the absence of allergic response in MHC class II knockout mice, and (b) significantly lower (6- to 5-fold) SRW-induced eosinophilic inflammation in the genetic background control C57BL/6 mice.

This study demonstrates the expression of HLA class II molecules in normal lung tissue in transgenic mice, similar to that in humans. Recent studies have shown that human lung alveolar (45, 46) and bronchial (45, 47) epithelial cells and vascular endothelial cells (47) express MHC class II molecules. Chronic bronchitis (48), transplant rejection (47), and chronic asthmatic conditions (48, 49) were accompanied by overexpression of class II MHC antigens on lung epithelial cells. Our studies shows that an acute attack of asthma may decrease HLA class II expression on bronchial epithelium (Figure 6). In a chronic stage, it may be enhanced.

We used SRW extract as a natural airborne allergen instead of ovalbumin, which has been used in most studies involving murine models of asthma (22, 25–27, 29, 31, 33–35, 42). Although it is believed that asthma can be induced mostly by house dust mite, cockroach, mold, cat and dog allergens, and birch pollen (50), several recent studies have shown the involvement of SRW pollen in atopic asthma in humans (51–54).

The availability of well-characterized inbred mice enabled the creation of murine models of antigen-induced bronchopulmonary eosinophilia to address selected pathogenetic issues (20, 33, 42, 55–61). In allergen-sensitized inbred mice, allergen challenge is noted to induce the same changes in immune profile that take place in human subjects with allergic asthma. The use of transgenic and knockout mice for the multitude of mouse cytokines (29, 31, 32, 62), cells (20–22, 25, 60, 62), and adhesion molecules (22) has shown new evi-
ence for the role and significance of the immune system in the mechanisms of allergic tissue inflammation and AHR. Our HLA-DQ transgenic mice express individual functional human class II molecules in the absence of endogenous mouse class II genes, and they provide a new approach to study the genetics of human diseases (37, 38, 63). The severe inflammatory reaction, involving predominantly eosinophils, and strong AHR to nebulized methacholine measured in the lungs of our transgenic animals are most likely due to HLA-DQ-restricted immune responses. Observed variances in the in vivo responses of HLA-DQ6 and HLA-DQ8 mice to SRW (levels of eosinophilia, BAL protein, and IL-5 content, AHR) may be explained by previously demonstrated differences in epitope recognition (39) and their immunogenecity.

The HLA molecules have been implicated in several measures of the allergic phenotype and asthma in a number of studies (reviewed in ref. 1). The multicenter Collaborative Study on the Genetics of Asthma has shown an evidence for increased allele sharing for markers on 6p21.3-23 (P = 0.01) in Caucasian sibling pairs with asthma. Because 75% of the family members with asthma had at least 1 positive skin test, it was proposed that this finding may be similar to previously reported association between atopy and the HLA loci, or may be related to function of TNF-α gene located in this region (1). We did not find any measurable amount of TNF-α cytokine in BAL of HLA-DQ transgenic mice developing strong lung eosinophilia at 48 hours after allergen challenge. However, RT-PCR analysis of total RNA extracted from lung tissue showed the presence of TNF-α transcripts in all groups of mice sensitized to SRW including H-2Ab mice (not shown in results).

Histologic findings in SRW-sensitized and -challenged HLA-DQ transgenic mice (Figure 4, b–d) are different from results obtained using the conventional laboratory mice (21, 22, 40, 42, 55, 64) and are more reminiscent of human asthmatic pathologies (17, 18, 65). A characteristic of human disease is the occurrence of eosinophil lysis with free eosinophil granules in the airway mucosa (18, 66). Immunostaining with polyclonal antibodies to mouse MBP enabled us to see these distributions in HLA-DQ transgenic mice (Figure 5, e–g) illustrative for eosinophil activation. These data indicate that eosinophil infiltration of lung tissue and epithelial damage depend on the presence of APCs bearing specific HLA class II molecules, as well as CD4+ T cells, because active sensitization of H-2Ab mice with SRW allergen cannot induce eosinophil accumulation. Treatment with anti-DQ mAb greatly diminished SRW-induced airway eosinophilia and normalized the profile of infiltrating cells. Importantly, no SRW-induced airway eosinophilia and normal lung function measurements were performed in the Allergic Diseases Research Laboratory with generous help from Koji Iigima and Mickey Valyasevi. We thank Julie Hanson and her staff (Immunogenetics Mouse Colony) for outstanding mouse production; P. Zhou, S. Chen, and J. Baich for generating the transgenic mice; Michele Smart for tissue typing of transgenic mice and FACS analysis; Gerald J. Gleich (Allergic Diseases Research Laboratory) for the generous gift of the anti-mouse MBP antibody; Teresa Ryan, Carol Swanson, and Marcy Kulawski (General Hematology Laboratory) for hematoxylin/eosin staining of the cytospin slides; Linda McGee and her staff (Pathology Specimen Processing Laboratory) for help in preparing mouse lung histology slides; Tomas Beito for preparing the cell hybridomas; and Kristen M. Drescher for help with immunostaining for HLA-DQ. Also, we thank Gerald J. Gleich and H. Kita for providing helpful advice and supportive interest in our work. We are grateful to K.M. Leiferman, H. Kita, and Ellen Peterson for critically reviewing the manuscript.

Acknowledgments
This research was supported by National Institutes of Health grant AI-14764 and by funds from Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc. The immunostaining for mouse MBP was done in the Dermatology Research Laboratory at Mayo Clinic with the technical assistance of Ellen Peterson and Terry George, under the supervision of Kristen M. Leiferman. The lung function measurements were performed in the Allergic Diseases Research Laboratory with generous help from Koji Iigima and Mickey Valyasevi. We thank Julie Hanson and her staff (Immunogenetics Mouse Colony) for outstanding mouse production; P. Zhou, S. Chen, and J. Baich for generating the transgenic mice; Michele Smart for tissue typing of transgenic mice and FACS analysis; Gerald J. Gleich (Allergic Diseases Research Laboratory) for the generous gift of the anti-mouse MBP antibody; Teresa Ryan, Carol Swanson, and Marcy Kulawski (General Hematology Laboratory) for hematoxylin/eosin staining of the cytospin slides; Linda McGee and her staff (Pathology Specimen Processing Laboratory) for help in preparing mouse lung histology slides; Tomas Beito for preparing the cell hybridomas; and Kristen M. Drescher for help with immunostaining for HLA-DQ. Also, we thank Gerald J. Gleich and H. Kita for providing helpful advice and supportive interest in our work. We are grateful to K.M. Leiferman, H. Kita, and Ellen Peterson for critically reviewing the manuscript.
