Impaired mucosal defense to acute colonic injury in mice lacking cyclooxygenase-1 or cyclooxygenase-2
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To investigate roles in intestinal inflammation for the 2 cyclooxygenase (COX) isoforms, we determined susceptibility to spontaneous and induced acute colitis in mice lacking either the COX-1 or COX-2 isoform. We treated wild-type, COX-1−/−, COX-2−/−, and heterozygous mice with dextran sodium sulfate (DSS) to provoke acute colonic inflammation, and we quantified tissue damage, prostaglandin (PG) E2, and interleukin-1β. No spontaneous gastrointestinal inflammation was detected in mice homozygous for either mutation, despite almost undetectable basal intestinal PGE2 production in COX-1−/− mice. Both COX-1−/− and COX-2−/− mice showed increased susceptibility to a low-dose of DSS that caused mild colonic epithelial injury in wild-type mice. COX-2−/− mice were more susceptible than COX-1−/− mice, and selective pharmacologic blockade of COX-2 potentiated injury in COX-1−/− mice. At a high dose, DSS treatment was fatal to 50% of the animals in each mutant group, but all wild-type mice survived. DSS treatment increased PGE2 intestinal secretion in all groups except COX-2−/− mice. These results demonstrate that COX-1 and COX-2 share a crucial role in the defense of the intestinal mucosa (with inducible COX-2 being perhaps more active during inflammation) and that neither isoform is essential in maintaining mucosal homeostasis in the absence of injurious stimuli.

intestinal (9) and colonic (19) mucosal injury through the synthesis of PGs that promote epithelial regeneration. In contrast, COX-2 expression is induced during inflammation (17), and its expression is inhibited by endogenous glucocorticoids (18).

COX-2 gene and protein expression is stimulated in macrophages and other cell types by proinflammatory cytokines like IL-1 (20) and TNF-α (21, 22). This process is mediated in colonic epithelial cells by the activation of the nuclear factor NF-κB (23). COX-2 overexpression in rat intestinal epithelial cells inhibits the expression of cytoprotective heat-shock proteins (24). Based on these observations, some researchers have attributed the anti-inflammatory action of NSAIDs to the inhibition of COX-2; and harmful effects of NSAIDs on the gastrointestinal mucosa are attributed to the blockade of COX-1 activity (25, 26). This association of COX-2 with inflammatory events led to the development of selective COX-2 inhibitors expected to display systemic anti-inflammatory properties, while avoiding gastrointestinal toxicity.

Selective COX-2 inhibitors reduce air pouch and foot pad experimental inflammation in animal models without causing gastrointestinal injury, in contrast to classical NSAIDs (27, 17). Recently, a clinical trial in patients with osteoarthritis emphasized the safety of the selective COX-2 inhibitor rofecoxib, which caused significantly less gastroduodenal ulceration than the NSAID ibuprofen (28). However, COX-2 inhibitors have also been shown to be harmful when there is pre-existing gastrointestinal inflammation, because they delay gastric ulcer healing in mice (29) and exacerbate colonic mucosal inflammation in rats (30). These toxic effects suggest a protective role for mucosal COX-2 in gastrointestinal inflammation.

COX-1 mediates epithelial regeneration in a model of irradiation-induced intestinal injury in mice (9), which supports the cytoprotective role attributed to COX-1 in gastrointestinal inflammation. However, COX-1–deficient mice do not exhibit any evidence of spontaneous gastric injury in the absence of an inflammatory stimulus and, surprisingly, are more resistant than their wild-type (WT) littermates to indomethacin-induced gastric damage (31). These observations, and the fact that the majority of patients receiving NSAIDs do not develop clinically significant gastrointestinal ulcerations, suggest that COX-1 does not exclusively mediate gastrointestinal protection, and instead it acts in concert with other redundant, protective molecules.

The aim of this study was to investigate the relative roles of COX-1 and COX-2 isoforms in spontaneous and induced inflammation of the intestinal mucosa. So far, all the studies related to the function of COX-1 and COX-2 in intestinal inflammation have involved pharmacologic inhibitors, which may not be entirely selective and have potential nonspecific side effects. As a complementary approach to selective pharmacologic blockade, we used mice genetically lacking either COX-1 (31) or COX-2 (32) expression. We investigated the presence of spontaneous colitis as well as the relative severity of acute DSS-induced colitis in the absence of each of the COX isoforms; we compared heterozygous and WT littermates and the inhibition of both COX isoforms by partial pharmacologic blockade of COX-2 in COX-1+/− mice.

**Methods**

**Mice.** WT, COX-1+/− homozygous, COX-1+/− heterozygous, COX-2+/− homozygous, and COX-2+/− heterozygous outbred C57black6/SV129 mice were maintained on a 12-hour light/dark schedule in filter top isolators with autoclaved water under specific pathogen-free (SPF) conditions, and fed autoclaved standard laboratory chow ad libitum. Genotypes of mice were determined on DNA isolated from tails, using previously described protocols (31, 32). Genomic DNA totaling 8–10 μg was digested with BamHI (COX-1) or SacI (COX-2) overnight and applied to a 0.8% agarose gel. After separation using agarose gel electrophoresis, the DNA was transferred to Hybond nylon membrane. Membranes were probed with 32P-labeled specific probes for COX-1 or COX-2. Membranes were then washed and exposed overnight to Kodak XAR film (Eastman Kodak, Rochester, New York, USA) with an intensifying screen.

**Assessment of spontaneous gastrointestinal inflammation.** Clinical observations (twice weekly) included evaluation of body weight, diarrhea, occult blood in the stools (Hemoccult testing; SmithKline Beecham Pharmaceuticals, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA), and perianal inflammation. Mice were killed at 4 different ages (4, 8, 12, and 16 weeks). Blinded gross observations and coded histology scores were performed on the colon, cecum, distal ileum, proximal jejunum, and stomach (glandular and squamous regions). Tissue IL-1β concentrations were evaluated by ELISA (Genzyme Pharmaceuticals, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA) and tissue PGE2 concentrations by RIA (PerSeptive Biosystems, Framingham, Massachusetts, USA) in snap-frozen tissues homogenized in PBS buffer containing the following antiproteases: 50 μg/mL antipain-dihydrochloride, 2 μg/mL aprotinin, and 0.5 μg/mL leupeptin (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA).

**Induction of acute colitis.** Mice 12–15 weeks old were fed DSS (TdB Consultancy AB, Uppsala, Sweden) in their drinking water, according to the protocol of Cooper et al. (33). Three separate studies were conducted. In the first (high-dose DSS), the survival rate was evaluated in 5 WT, 6 COX-1+/−, 7 COX-1+/−, 6 COX-2+/−, and 8 COX-2+/− mice treated with high-dose DSS (10%) continuously administered in the drinking water for 5 days. In the second (low-dose DSS), 14 WT, 7 COX-1+/−, 7 COX-2+/−, 15 COX-1+/−, and 11 COX-2−/− mice received a low dose of DSS (2.5%) for 5 days. Control groups consisting of 6 WT, 5 COX-1+/−, 3 COX-2+/−, and 2 COX-2−/− mice were fed water without DSS. The third (pharmacologic inhibition of COX-2 in COX-1+/− mice), the selective COX-2 inhibitor NS-398 (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA) was administered to WT, COX-1+/−, and COX-
2/– mice starting 2 hours before treatment with 2.5% DSS for 5 consecutive days until the end of DSS treatment. Control WT, COX-1–/–, and COX-2–/– mice treated with 2.5% DSS received the vehicle for NS-398 under the same conditions. Ten to 15 mice per group were used. NS-398 was dissolved in DMSO and the stock solution was diluted in saline just before administration. NS-398 (1 mg/kg) was injected intraperitoneally every 8 hours following a previously described protocol that reported significant inhibition of colonic PGE2 production in DSS-treated mice (9).

Assessment of inflammation in DSS-treated mice. Daily clinical evaluations included measurement of water consumption and body weight, evaluation of stool consistency, and the presence of blood in the stools. A previously validated clinical score ranging from 0 to 4 (33) was calculated using the parameters of weight loss, stool consistency, and the presence or absence of fecal blood. Mice were killed at day 5, blood was collected by intracardiac puncture, and the liver and spleen weights were measured. The colon was removed and divided for histology and for evaluation of PGE2 and cytokine secretion. Peripheral blood hemoglobin content and white blood cell count were analyzed using a blood cell counter (Baker Instruments Corp., Allentown, Pennsylvania, USA) and hematocrits were measured by centrifugation of heparinized microcapillary tubes (Fisher Scientific Co., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA) at 10,000 rpm.

Histology. Samples of proximal colon (2–3 cm from the ileocecal junction) were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The histological examination was performed in a blinded fashion by 2 different coauthors (O. Morteau and L.A. Dieleman) using a scoring system previously validated and described (34). Three independent parameters were measured: severity of inflammation (0–3: none, slight, moderate, severe), depth of injury (0–3: none, mucosal, mucosal and submucosal, transmural), and crypt damage (0–4: none, basal 1/3 damaged, basal 2/3 damaged, only surface epithelium intact, entire crypt and epithelium lost). The score of each parameter was multiplied by a factor reflecting the percentage of involvement (1–4: 0%–25%, 26%–50%, 51%–75%, 76%–100%) and added. The maximum possible score is 40. In addition, the actual percentage of mucosal surface area ulcerated was estimated.

PGE2 and cytokine secretion. The stomach, cecum, and colon were removed, opened longitudinally, washed in PBS buffer containing penicillin, streptomycin, and fungizone (P/S/F, 100 U/mL), and then kept in cold serum-free media (RPMI 1640 1× with P/S/F). The organs were cut into small pieces in a Petri dish containing fresh media, and 100 mg of tissue fragments were incubated at 37°C in 1 mL of fresh media for 24 hours as described (35). Culture supernatants were harvested and assayed for PGE2 secretion by RIA (PerSeptive Biosystems) and for IL-1β and IL-10 secretion by ELISA (Genzyme Pharmaceuticals).

Statistical analysis. The data were expressed as the mean ± SEM. Parametric data were analyzed using the nonpaired Student’s t-test: a P < 0.05 was considered significant. Nonparametric data were analyzed by ANOVA.

Results

Genetic absence of either COX-1 or COX-2 expression does not affect the integrity of the normal gastrointestinal mucosa. None of the mice exhibited any clinical manifestations (diarrhea, rectal bleeding, rectal prolapse, fecal occult blood, or anemia) associated with spontaneous gastrointestinal inflammation. No abnormalities were observed during gross examination of the gastrointestinal tract (glandular and squamous parts of the stomach, duodenum, jejunum, ileum, cecum, colon, and rectum) from WT, COX-1–, or COX-2–deficient mice. Blinded histologic observation of the same organs did not reveal any evidence of mucosal or submucosal inflammation or epithelial injury. Colonic myeloperoxidase activity was similar in the WT and knockout mice (data not shown), consistent with the absence of neutrophils in both groups. However, 2 out of the 10 COX-2–deficient mice developed peritonitis associated with serosal infiltration by mononuclear cells and neutrophils. This peritonitis has been previously observed in COX-2–deficient mice, but not in COX-1–deficient mice (32). Histologic evidence of mucosal inflammation was not found in these 2 mice.

Basal PGE2 but not IL-1β production is altered in the intestine of mice lacking COX-1. PGE2 in colonic tissues from COX-1–/– mice was barely detectable and significantly (P < 0.01) lower than in colonic tissues from WT or COX-2–/– mice, which showed similar PGE2 production (Figure 1). A similar lack of constitutive PGE2 production was observed in the stomach, duodenum, jejunum, ileum, and cecum of COX-1–/– mice, whereas levels were normal in COX-2–/– mice (data not shown). Basal IL-1β production was very low in the different segments of the gas-

Figure 1

Basal PGE2 concentration in unstimulated colonic tissues from WT and COX-1– and COX-2–deficient mice. Tissue PGE2 concentrations were measured by RIA in snap-frozen tissues homogenized in PBS buffer containing the following antiproteases: 50 μg/mL antipain-dihydrochloride, 2 μg/mL aprotinin, and 0.5 μg/mL leupeptin. Mean ± SEM, n = 7. *P < 0.05 versus WT and COX-2–/–, n = 7.
trointestinal tract and was similar in all mice, regardless of tissue PGE2 levels (data not shown). These data confirm the lack of spontaneous gastrointestinal inflammation in SPF mice lacking constitutive mucosal PGE2, and they support the notion that constitutive expression of COX-1 is required for basal production of PGE2.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>% survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>100 (5/5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX-1+/–</td>
<td>100 (6/6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX-2+/–</td>
<td>83 (5/6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX-1+/–</td>
<td>100 (7/7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX-2+/–</td>
<td>100 (8/8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Five to 8 mice per group were given 10% DSS in drinking water continuously for 5 days, and survival was monitored.

Colonic PGE2 levels in 24-hour culture supernatants from either untreated mice (water controls) or WT, heterozygous, or homozygous for COX-1 or COX-2 deletion treated for 5 days with 10% DSS. The colons were removed, opened longitudinally, washed in PBS buffer containing penicillin, streptomycin, and fungizone (P/S/F, 100 U/ml) and kept in cold serum-free media (RPMI 1640 1x with P/S/F). The organs were cut into small pieces in a Petri dish containing fresh media and 100 mg of tissues were incubated at 37°C in 1 mL of fresh media for 24 hours. Culture supernatants were harvested and assayed for PGE2 secretion by RIA. Mean ± SEM, n = 6. *P < 0.05 versus water controls; †P < 0.05 versus COX-1+/–.

**Figure 2**

Colonic PGE2 levels in 24-hour culture supernatants from either untreated mice (water controls) or WT, heterozygous, or homozygous mice for COX-1 or COX-2 deletion treated for 5 days with 10% DSS. The colons were removed, opened longitudinally, washed in PBS buffer containing penicillin, streptomycin, and fungizone (P/S/F, 100 U/ml) and kept in cold serum-free media (RPMI 1640 1x with P/S/F). The organs were cut into small pieces in a Petri dish containing fresh media and 100 mg of tissues were incubated at 37°C in 1 mL of fresh media for 24 hours. Culture supernatants were harvested and assayed for PGE2 secretion by RIA. Mean ± SEM, n = 6. *P < 0.05 versus water controls; †P < 0.05 versus COX-1+/–.

Genetic absence of COX-2 prevents the increase in colonic PGE2 secretion induced by high-dose DSS treatment. DSS treatment (10%) evoked at day 5 an increase in PGE2 colonic secretion in all DSS-treated groups except in the COX-2–/– mice, when compared with water-treated negative controls (Figure 2). Colonic secretion of PGE2 was significantly (P < 0.01) decreased in COX-2+/– mice and attenuated in the 10% DSS-treated COX-2+/– mice (Figure 2). Similar observations were made in the inflamed cecum. However, gastric PGE2 secretion was not increased in any of the DSS-treated mice (not shown). These results confirm the lack of inducible PGE2 secretion with colonic inflammation in COX-2–/– mice and demonstrate an intermediate phenotype for COX-2 heterozygous mice.

Genetic absence of COX-1 or COX-2 expression exacerbates clinical abnormalities induced by low-dose DSS treatment. Low-dose (2.5%) DSS treatment of WT mice for 5 days was associated with no significant alterations in body weight (Figure 3a) and only mild alterations in stool consistency with no fecal blood or mortality. Water consumption was similar in all groups (not shown). A significant (P < 0.01) decrease in weight was observed in the COX-1+/– and COX-2+/– mice on days 4 and 5 when compared with the WT mice (Figure 3a). It is interesting to note that COX-1–/– and COX-2–/– mice exhibited a nonsignificant decrease in body weight by day 4 (COX-1–/–: 1.2 ± 1.0%, COX-2–/–: 2.3 ± 1.7%, and WT: 0.2 ± 1.0%), which suggests an intermediate phenotype in those mice.

The clinical score based on weight loss, stool consistency, and presence of fecal blood was highest in the COX-2–/– mice receiving low-dose DSS (Figure 3b), reaching statistical significance when compared with the WT mice (P < 0.01 from day 2 to day 5). COX-1–/– mice also exhibited a significantly greater (P < 0.01) clinical score than the WT mice on days 4 and 5, but they had a lower clinical score than the COX-2–/– mice (P < 0.05 at day 2). The clinical score was significantly (P < 0.05) higher in the COX-2–/– heterozygous mice than in the WT mice at day 4 (1.3 ± 0.3 versus 0.7 ± 0.1,
Mean ± SEM, Body weight loss (a) Figure 3 (2.5%) DSS oral treatment in WT, significantly increased in the P0.1, versus 0.4 ± 0.1, when compared with the WT mice at day 2 (0.8 ± 0.1 -/– after low-dose DSS treatment. Genetically lack COX-2 is associated with decreased colonic production of PGE2 and increased IL-1β colonic production after low-dose DSS treatment. COX-2–/– mice treated with 2.5% DSS displayed a significant (P < 0.05) increase over WT mice (P < 0.05, Table 3). These observations confirm the gross findings (Table 2) and indicate that low-dose DSS induces more active mucosal damage in COX-2-deficient mice with intermediate injury in COX-1–/– mice.

Genetic deficiency in COX-1 or COX-2 expression induces macroscopic changes after low-dose DSS treatment. Macroscopic changes classically associated with DSS treatment, including shortening of the colon, increase in colonic weight and thickness, and increase in spleen weight (36), were not seen in WT mice treated with low-dose (2.5%) DSS (Table 2). However, colonic shortening and splenomegaly were significantly increased in DSS-treated COX-1–/– and COX-2–/– mice (P < 0.05 and P < 0.01, respectively), and the colon weight/length ratio, a measurement indicative of colonic edema and/or hyperplasia, was significantly increased in DSS-treated COX-2–/– mice (P < 0.05) when compared with DSS-treated WT mice (Table 2). Consistent with clinical scores, COX-2–/– mice exhibited the most aggressive injury, with intermediate values for COX-1–/– mice treated with low-dose DSS.

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colon length (mm)</th>
<th>Colon weight/length ratio (mg/cm)</th>
<th>Spleen weight (% body weight)</th>
<th>White blood cells (x 10³/μL)</th>
<th>Hematocrit (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water controls (n = 9)</td>
<td>81 ± 3</td>
<td>26.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.02</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSS-treated wild-type (n = 6)</td>
<td>73 ± 3</td>
<td>28.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.02</td>
<td>8.9 ± 0.6a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSS-treated COX-1–/– (n = 6)</td>
<td>78 ± 4</td>
<td>32.8 ± 4.0</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.05ab</td>
<td>10.0 ± 1.1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSS-treated COX-2–/– (n = 6)</td>
<td>62 ± 2ab</td>
<td>34.4 ± 2.5ab</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.04ab</td>
<td>17.7 ± 5.5ab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AP < 0.05 vs. DSS-treated wild-type. BP < 0.05 vs. water controls.

**Figure 3**

Body weight loss (a) and clinical score (b) induced by low-dose (2.5%) DSS oral treatment in WT, COX-1–/–, and COX-2–/– mice. Mean ± SEM, n = 7. *P < 0.05 versus WT; †P < 0.05 versus COX-1–/–.
higher in DSS-treated WT mice (128 ± 20 WT; 18 ± 4 COX2–/–, P < 0.01). PGE2 production was similar in WT and COX2–/– water controls. PGE2 secretion was not measured in 2.5% DSS-treated COX-1–/– mice because tissue PGE2 levels were no different from WT controls after high-dose (10%) DSS treatment (Figure 2).

Partial inhibition of COX-2 by NS-398 exacerbates DSS-induced colitis in COX-1–deficient mice. Treatment with the selective COX-2 inhibitor NS-398 (1 mg/kg every 8 hours) did not induce any significant change in the clinical or histologic scores of DSS-treated (2.5%) WT and COX2–/– mice (data not shown). However, NS-398 treatment consistently increased the clinical score in DSS-treated COX-1–/– mice when compared with vehicle-treated DSS-treated COX-1–/– after the first day of DSS administration (Figure 6); although the body weight loss component was not affected. NS-398 also evoked a 20% mortality rate in the COX-1–/– mice at day 5 of low-dose DSS treatment, whereas none of the vehicle-treated COX-1–/– mice died. The histologic score at day 5 of DSS treatment was considerably higher in COX-1–/– mice treated with NS-398 than in similarly treated WT mice (WT 5.9 ± 2.5 versus COX-1–/– 14.5 ± 1.9, P < 0.01); it achieved levels comparable with COX-2–/– mice receiving DSS and NS-398 (15.6 ± 0.9). NS-398 increased colonic histologic scores 28% in COX-1–/– mice (11.3 ± 1.3 vehicle; 14.5 ± 1.9 NS-398) and increased the surface area ulcerated by histologic assessment by 53% (14.3 ± 4.3% vehicle versus 21.9 ± 3.9 NS-398). NS-398 partially inhibited the production of PGE2 by cultured colonic tissues (24 hours) in the DSS-treated COX-1–/– deficient mice (vehicle treated: 3,583 ± 1,162 pg PGE2/mg tissue versus NS-398 treated: 1,971 ± 763 pg/mg; P = 0.13). Furthermore, NS-398 did not inhibit colonic PGE2 production in the DSS-treated COX-2–/– mice (vehicle treated: 687 ± 141 pg PGE2/mg tissue versus NS-398 treated: 595 ± 29 pg PGE2/mg tissues), indicating that NS-398 did not affect COX-1 activity at doses used. These results suggest that simultaneous inhibition of both constitutive (by COX-1 genetic deletion) and inducible (by partial pharmacologic inhibition by NS-398) COX isoforms further potentiates experimentally induced colitis.

Discussion
Our results demonstrate that genetic absence of either the COX-2 or COX-1 isoform exacerbates the severity of acute mucosal inflammation induced by a chemical agent that injures the colonic epithelial cells (33). Mice lacking COX-2 are more severely affected than those lacking COX-1 in this model, and pharmacologic inhibition of COX-2 potentiates disease in COX-1–/– mice. We also show that genetic lack of either COX-1 or
Figure 5

PGE\(_2\) levels (a) and IL-1\(\beta\) levels (b) in 24-hour culture supernatants of colonic tissues from untreated (water controls) or DSS-treated (2.5\%) WT and COX-2\(\sim\)\(-\) mice. The colons were removed, opened longitudinally, washed in PBS buffer containing penicillin, streptomycin, and fungizone (P/S/F, 100 U/mL), and kept in cold serum-free media (RPMI 1640 \(\times\) with P/S/F). The organs were cut into small pieces in a Petri dish containing fresh media, and 100 mg of tissues was incubated at 37°C in 1 mL of fresh media for 24 hours. Culture supernatants were harvested and assayed for PGE\(_2\) secretion by RIA and for IL-1\(\beta\) by ELISA (Genzyme Diagnostics). Mean ± SEM, \(n = 7\). *\(P < 0.05\) versus WT; †\(P < 0.05\) versus water controls.

COX-2 alone does not affect the integrity of the colonic mucosa in the absence of any inflammatory stimulus. These results suggest that intrinsic PGs, especially those induced during inflammation, have a protective role during intestinal injury.

Under basal conditions, native SPF mice lacking either the COX-1 or COX-2 isoform do not exhibit any clinical or histologic signs of spontaneous gastrointestinal inflammation, despite a marked deficiency in mucosal PGE\(_2\) contents in COX-1-deficient mice. Twenty percent of COX-2\(\sim\) mice developed peritonitis, but these mice had no associated mucosal damage by gross, histologic, or biochemical criteria. Our results agree with previous reports that COX-1–/– mice fail to develop gastritis (31), and that selective COX-2 inhibitors used at doses that inhibit arthritis and pulmonary inflammation do not affect the integrity of the normal gastric mucosa (17, 27, 28). However, the lack of spontaneous gastrointestinal inflammation in COX-1\(\sim\) mice (which have very low constitutive mucosal PG levels) does not agree with the results of Redfern et al. (15) who reported spontaneous gastric and colonic ulceration in rabbits passively immunized with anti-PGE\(_1\), PGE\(_2\), and prostacyclin antibodies. Gastrointestinal inflammation after NSAID exposure is probably the combined result of mucosal PG inhibition and direct epithelial toxicity (10). Our results argue against a compensatory increase in constitutive PG production in COX-1–deficient mice, because basal PGE\(_2\) production was barely detectable in the gastrointestinal tract of these mice. Thus our data confirm that neither COX-1 nor COX-2 alone is essential in maintaining integrity in the gastrointestinal mucosa in the absence of a preexisting injury. However, our results do not exclude the possibility that the simultaneous absence of both COX-1 and COX-2 isoforms might endanger the integrity of the gastrointestinal mucosa, as recently hypothesized (37, 38).

Selective COX-2 deletion leads to enhanced susceptibility to low-dose DSS-induced injury relative to all other groups investigated. This correlated with a selective lack of increased colonic PGE\(_2\) levels with injury. COX-2 heterozygotes, which have a partial deficiency in inducible PGE\(_2\), displayed an intermediate phenotype. These results strongly support a protective role for inducible mucosal PGs during inflammation, which is in agreement with the reported ability of exogenous PGE\(_2\) to prevent experimental colitis (19). PGE\(_2\) inhibits IL-1 and TNF-\(\alpha\) secretion by stimulated human (6) and murine (39) monocytes. This provides a mechanism of mucosal protection during inflammation and explains our observation of increased colonic IL-1\(\beta\) levels in COX-2\(\sim\) mice with DSS-induced colitis when compared with WT mice, which displayed enhanced PGE\(_2\) production but relatively low IL-1\(\beta\) concentrations. Although deficient endogenous PGs could adversely affect platelet function and lead to increased fecal blood loss (which is 1 parameter of our clinical score) (33), our results clearly demonstrate enhanced colonic injury by objective clinical (weight loss, diarrhea, mortality), gross (colonic weight and length), biochemical (IL-1\(\beta\) secretion), and histologic criteria. The increase in colonic PGE\(_2\) that we demonstrated in tissue culture supernatants was not observed when PGE\(_2\) levels were measured in processed sections of frozen colonic tissues (data not shown).

This is consistent with a recent observation that PGE\(_2\) in cecal tissues of DSS-treated mice does not increase during the treatment period, but rather increases significantly 3 days after cessation of DSS administration during the recovery (19).

Our findings agree with recent reports that selective inhibition of COX-2 exacerbates trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid–induced colitis in rats (30) and delays heal-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent of surface area ulcerated</th>
<th>Depth of tissue injury</th>
<th>Crypt damage score</th>
<th>Total histologic score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT ((n = 6))</td>
<td>12.5 ± 5.5(^b)</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX-1(\sim) ((n = 6))</td>
<td>14.3 ± 4.3</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.5(^p)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX-2(\sim) ((n = 6))</td>
<td>19.5 ± 3.8</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.5(^p)</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.4(^p)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Based on validated scoring system described in Methods section (34). \(^b\)For 1–4 sections per mouse, 6 mice/group. Mean ± SEM. \(^p < 0.05\) versus WT mice.
Treatment with the selective COX-2 inhibitor NS-398 exacerbated low-dose DSS–induced colitis and enhanced mortality in COX-1–/– mice. This indicates an additive protective role for both COX-1 and COX-2 in this model. Inflammation and colonic PGE2 production in DSS-treated COX-2–/– mice were not affected by NS-398 treatment, demonstrating that this COX-2 inhibitor did not substantially affect the activity of the COX-1 isoform. The absence of an effect of NS-398 on DSS-treated WT mice may be due to the partial inhibition of COX-2.

Consistent with observations in a murine model of absent intestinal trefoil factor (47), biologic effects of COX isoform deficiency were more apparent at low-dose than high-dose DSS. The fulminant colitis induced by 10% DSS led to a 50% mortality rate in both COX-1– and COX-2–deficient mice, whereas responses to 2.5% DSS administration, which induced very mild inflammation in WT mice, indicated a more active protective role for COX-2 than for COX-1. However, both high- and low-dose DSS–induced injuries were worse in mice with selective blockade of constitutive or inducible PG synthesis than in WT controls.

Restitution and protection against injurious agents. Cohn et al. showed that colonic COX-1 is primarily expressed by epithelial cells (9), and that COX-1 expression in colonic epithelial cells is diminished after DSS administration, probably secondary to loss of crypt epithelial cells (19). The former study demonstrated a key role for PGs regulated by COX-1 in mediating crypt stem cell survival after gamma irradiation in mice. Similarly, in the stomach, COX-1 plays an important role in resistance of the gastric mucosa to acute and chronic injury by endotoxin (43). These results suggest that constitutively produced PGs in gastrointestinal epithelial cells protect against a variety of injurious stimuli in an autocrine fashion. Inducible PG secretion by activated mononuclear and mesenchymal cells expressing COX-2 does not seem to entirely compensate for loss of epithelial COX-1 expression. However, COX-2–mediated PG production is clearly important, as demonstrated by the highly aggressive DSS-induced colitis in COX-2–/– mice and in COX-1–/– mice treated with the selective COX-2 inhibitor NS-398. It has been suggested that COX-2 plays a role in healing rather than in protection of the colonic mucosa, as in the gastric mucosa (44). This concept has been strongly suggested by a recent observation that cecal tissue PGE2 levels are increased during the recovery period after DSS treatment (19). However, it is likely that COX-2 also acts through complementary mechanisms. Recently, COX-2 has been reported to mediate oral immunologic tolerance to a dietary antigen in T-cell receptor mutant mice (45). In that model, high levels of COX-2–dependent PGs produced by lamina propria mononuclear cells act as immunomodulators in T-lymphocyte responses to the antigen. This observation suggests that COX-2 may promote mucosal protection by suppressing pathogenic cellular immune responses to luminal bacterial antigens that perpetuate intestinal inflammation (46).

Consistent with observations in a murine model of absent intestinal trefoil factor (47), biologic effects of COX isoform deficiency were more apparent at low-dose than high-dose DSS. The fulminant colitis induced by 10% DSS led to a 50% mortality rate in both COX-1– and COX-2–deficient mice, whereas responses to 2.5% DSS administration, which induced very mild inflammation in WT mice, indicated a more active protective role for COX-2 than for COX-1. However, both high- and low-dose DSS–induced injuries were worse in mice with selective blockade of constitutive or inducible PG synthesis than in WT controls.

Treatment with the selective COX-2 inhibitor NS-398 exacerbated low-dose DSS–induced colitis and enhanced mortality in COX-1–/– mice. This indicates an additive protective role for both COX-1 and COX-2 in this model. Inflammation and colonic PGE2 production in DSS-treated COX-2–/– mice were not affected by NS-398 treatment, demonstrating that this COX-2 inhibitor did not substantially affect the activity of the COX-1 isoform. The absence of an effect of NS-398 on DSS-treated WT mice may be due to the partial inhibition of COX-2.

Effect of the selective COX-2 inhibitor NS-398 on the clinical score in 2.5% DSS-treated COX-1–/– mice. NS-398 (1 mg/kg) was injected intraperitoneally every 8 hours, leading to a cumulative dosage of 3 mg/kg daily. NS-398 was administered starting 2 hours before treatment with 2.5% DSS and for 5 days consecutively until the end of DSS treatment. Control COX-1–/– mice treated with 2.5% DSS were administered the vehicle for NS-398 under the same conditions. Ten to 15 mice per group were investigated. Mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05 versus vehicle-treated group; **P < 0.01 versus vehicle-treated group.

Figure 6

Inhibition of acetic acid–induced gastric ulcers in mice (29). Selective COX-2 inhibitors have no effect on small intestinal crypt survival after irradiation in mice (9). The absence of involvement of COX-2 in radiation-induced epithelial damage suggests that the mechanism of injury in that model is different from that triggered by DSS. A recent study showed that DSS treatment increases the number of COX-2–expressing lamina propria mononuclear cells, while COX-2 overexpression remains undetectable in the inflamed epithelium of those mice (19). Recent in vitro studies suggest that the role of COX-2 in mucosal injury is highly variable, depending on the nature of the injurious stimuli involved. Rat intestinal epithelial cells transfected with COX-2 exhibit a downregulation of inducible cytoprotective heat-shock proteins (24), which are involved in cell death inhibition (40, 41). In contrast, COX-2 overexpression in rat intestinal epithelial cells provides cellular resistance against butyrate-induced apoptosis (42).

Our COX-1–/– mice had almost undetectable basal PGE2 levels, but their colonic PGE2 concentrations were equal to those of WT controls after DSS-induced injury; they had an intermediate sensitivity to inflammation between WT and COX-2–/– mice. The disparity between PGE2 concentrations in homogenized colonic tissues and sensitivity to mucosal injury could be explained by differential production of other protective arachidonic acid metabolites (i.e., PGE1, prostacyclin, etc.); or it could be explained by a critically important role for constitutively produced PGE2 on epithelial restitution and protection against injurious agents. Cohn et al. showed that colonic COX-1 is primarily expressed by epithelial cells (9), and that COX-1 expression in colonic epithelial cells is diminished after DSS administration, probably secondary to loss of crypt epithelial cells (19). The former study demonstrated a key role for PGs regulated by COX-1 in mediating crypt stem cell survival after gamma irradiation in mice. Similarly, in the stomach, COX-1 plays an important role in resistance of the gastric mucosa to acute and chronic injury by endotoxin (43). These results suggest that constitutively produced PGs in gastrointestinal epithelial cells protect against a variety of injurious stimuli in an autocrine fashion. Inducible PG secretion by activated mononuclear and mesenchymal cells expressing COX-2 does not seem to entirely compensate for loss of epithelial COX-1 expression. However, COX-2–mediated PG production is clearly important, as demonstrated by the highly aggressive DSS-induced colitis in COX-2–/– mice and in COX-1–/– mice treated with the selective COX-2 inhibitor NS-398. It has been suggested that COX-2 plays a role in healing rather than in protection of the colonic mucosa, as in the gastric mucosa (44). This concept has been strongly suggested by a recent observation that cecal tissue PGE2 levels are increased during the recovery period after DSS treatment (19). However, it is likely that COX-2 also acts through complementary mechanisms. Recently, COX-2 has been reported to mediate oral immunologic tolerance to a dietary antigen in T-cell receptor mutant mice (45). In that model, high levels of COX-2–dependent PGs produced by lamina propria mononuclear cells act as immunomodulators in T-lymphocyte responses to the antigen. This observation suggests that COX-2 may promote mucosal protection by suppressing pathogenic cellular immune responses to luminal bacterial antigens that perpetuate intestinal inflammation (46).
expression at doses used in this study, as compared with the total lack of inducible prostaglandins in the colons of COX-2–/– mutants.

Mice genetically deficient in either the COX-1 or COX-2 isoform may display compensatory metabolic pathways. For example, immortalized, nontransformed lung fibroblasts from COX-1–/– and COX-2–/– mice over-express the remaining functional COX isoform in response to IL-1, leading to a relative increase in PGE2 production (48). These cells also over-express cytosolic phospholipase A2 in both unstimulated and IL-1-stimulated conditions (48). However, we did not observe any increase in colonic PGE2 production in DSS-treated COX-2–/– mice, whereas both WT and COX-1–/– mice exhibited significant increases. In addition, colonic tissues of COX-1–/– mice contained almost undetectable levels of PGE2 when compared with the colons from WT and COX-2–/– mice. These findings strongly suggest that there are no compensatory pathways induced in the colons of these knockout mice, although we cannot rule out such a possibility.

In conclusion, both COX-1 and COX-2 mediate protection against acute mucosal inflammation, because absence of either isoform exacerbates DSS-induced colitis, yet neither isoform is absolutely required for maintenance of mucosal homeostasis in the absence of injurious stimuli. Furthermore, simultaneous blockade of both isoforms by gene deletion of COX-1 and partial pharmacologic inhibition of COX-2 produced even greater injury, indicating an additive protective role for constitutive and inducible PGs. When relatively low doses of DSS were administered, COX-2–deficient mice had more aggressive colitis than constitutive PGs. The reciprocal relationship between mucosal PGE2 and IL-1β concentrations supports an inhibitory effect of PGE2 on proinflammatory cytokine production, whereas the beneficial effect of COX-1, which is predominantly produced by crypt epithelial cells (9), suggests a protective effect in epithelial integrity. In our model of acute colonic injury, COX-2–mediated PG production plays a beneficial rather than a proinflammatory role, suggesting that selective COX-2 pharmacologic inhibitors should be avoided in patients with established intestinal inflammation. Similar conclusions have been reached in a recent study showing that COX-2 exhibits anti-inflammatory properties in a rat model of pleurisy (49). The complex, interactive mechanisms of how constitutive and inducible PGs prevent intestinal inflammation remain to be determined. These mechanisms can be dissected using complementary approaches afforded by selective pharmacologic inhibitors and genetic deletion of COX-1 and COX-2 gene expression.
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