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Desmoglein-1, differentiation, and disease
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Desmoglein-1 (DSG1), a desmosomal protein, maintains the structure of epidermis through its adhesive function. However, heterozygous mutations in DSG1 in humans result in abnormal differentiation, as does downreg-

Introduction
In an era of research budget cuts and tight-

Desmogleins also have unique intracellular func-

The discovery of DSG1
The family of desmogleins was characterized in the early 1990s through biochemical iso-

The rare autoimmune blistering skin disease pemphigus foliaceus elucidates the adhesive function of DSG1
At about the same time that desmog-

Conflict of interest: The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

Citation for this article J Clin Invest. 2013; 123(4):1419–1422. doi:10.1172/JCI69071.
However, throughout this work, which has been so important for understanding the structure of the epidermis and diseases that perturb it, DSG1 has been considered basically as a structural adhesion molecule. DSG1 is more than a structural molecule — hints from cell biologic studies of desmogleins and a rare disease Perturbations of desmoglein expression in the epidermis have been shown to do more than affect cell adhesion. For example, misexpression of DSG3 in the superficial epidermis not only allows increased adhesion in those layers (10) but also affects differentiation, resulting in an abnormal stratum corneum, resembling that of mucous membranes, that allows increased transepidermal water loss (11). Similarly, desmoglein expression in the epidermis has been shown to do more than affect cell adhesion. For example, misexpression of DSG3 in the superficial epidermis not only allows increased adhesion in those layers (10) but also affects differentiation, resulting in an abnormal stratum corneum, resembling that of mucous membranes, that allows increased transepidermal water loss (11). Similarly, described as a glycoprotein of about the same molecular weight as that of DSG1. Immunochemical studies indicated that the autoantigen was indeed DSG1 (8), and further studies have shown that the autoantibodies, even as monovalent cloned single chain variable fragments from patients (9), can cause loss of cell adhesion in the superficial epidermis where DSG1 is highly expressed. Thus, the study of a rare dermatologic disease demonstrated the critical function of DSG1 in cell adhesion and maintenance of the structure of the normal epidermis.

The work on PF and DSG1 provided insight into the pathophysiology of a much more common disease, bullous impetigo, as well as the related disease staphylococcal scalded skin syndrome, both of which are significant causes of morbidity in children (8). These infectious diseases, known to be associated with the production of a staphylococcal toxin called exfoliative toxin (ET), are characterized by blisters in the superficial epidermis due to loss of cell-cell adhesion with identical histology to that of PF. The crystal structure of ET suggested that it was a serine protease with a specific receptor; however, that receptor remained unknown for many years. The data that indicated that anti-DSG1 antibodies cause loss of cell adhesion in PF suggested that the specific receptor for ET was likely DSG1 as well, because loss of function of DSG1 either by antibody binding or enzymatic cleavage resulted in the same phenotypic blister. Indeed, that conclusion was confirmed when it was shown that ET cleaved DSG1 (but not DSG3 or E-cadherin) at one specific peptide bond (8). However, throughout this work, which has been so important for understanding the structure of the epidermis and diseases that perturb it, DSG1 has been considered basically as a structural adhesion molecule.

DSG1 is more than a structural molecule — hints from cell biologic studies of desmogleins and a rare disease Perturbations of desmoglein expression in the epidermis have been shown to do more than affect cell adhesion. For example, misexpression of DSG3 in the superficial epidermis not only allows increased adhesion in those layers (10) but also affects differentiation, resulting in an abnormal stratum corneum, resembling that of mucous membranes, that allows increased transepidermal water loss (11). Similarly,
Molecular mechanisms of DSG1-mediated modulation of keratinocyte differentiation

In order to address the molecular mechanisms of how DSG1 might modulate differentiation, Harmon et al. (7) hypothesized that heterotetra uncharacterized binding partners of the desmoglein-unique domains were involved. Using yeast two-hybrid screening, they found that the cytoplasmic domains of DSG1 carboxy-terminal to the plakoglobin-binding domain bound Erbin, a molecular scaffolding protein known to redistribute to the cell surface of keratinocytes during differentiation (16). Harmon et al. confirmed this redistribution and showed that Erbin colocalized with DSG1 in the differentiating epidermis. Genetic downregulation of Erbin decreased expression markers in organ culture and at the same time increased pERK; conversely, pharmacologic blockade of ERK phosphorylation restored differentiation. The authors then hypothesized that peripheral localization of Erbin may bring it into contact with SHOC2, inhibiting the ability of SHOC2 to form Ras-Raf complexes upstream of ERK (17). Indeed, Harmon et al. found that only when DSG1 brings Erbin to the cell membrane does it interfere with the binding of Ras to the SHOC2 protein (7). To explain these findings, Harmon et al. proposed an elegant model in which, in the presence of DSG1, SHOC2-Erbin complexes form at the expense of SHOC2-Ras complexes, thus decreasing ERK activation and driving differentiation of keratinocytes (Figure 1). With a very creative approach, the authors then confirmed that their model was relevant to the pathophysiology of SPPK. Proximity ligation analysis demonstrated that patients with SPPK (with genetically decreased DSG1 expression), in contrast to healthy controls, have increased SHOC2-Ras complexes, compared with SHOC2-Erbin complexes. As hypothesized, this leads to increased pERK levels and decreased expression of differentiation markers (7).

Loose ends

Although Harmon et al. present a beautiful story that explains some aspects of epidermal homeostasis and the molecular pathophysiology of SPPK, there are many questions that remain. In addition to DSG1, there are several desmogleins (as well as other cadherin superfamily members) expressed in epidermis and mucous membranes at various levels. How do the tails of these molecules regulate epidermal homeostasis? What are their binding partners? How do other binding partners of DSG1, for example, plakoglobin and other catenins and armadillo proteins, affect signaling and differentiation? In addition, it is unclear how mutations in keratins or in other desmosomal components, such as desmoplakin, cause SPPK (20).

Finally, desmosomes may be important in tumor suppression, and modulation of signaling through desmosomal cadherin binding proteins, including Erbin, may be critical to that function (16, 21). For example, the most common malignant neoplasm of skin, basal cell carcinoma, shows diminished cell surface DSG1 and cytoplasmic localization of Erbin (16, 22), suggesting that the mechanism proposed by Harmon et al. might be active in maintaining its lack of differentiation.

These and other interesting issues await further study. However, it is very clear that desmosomes and desmogleins are not only structural regulators of adhesion in the epidermis but also are key regulators of signal transduction that in turn affect differentiation and epidermal homeostasis as well as being involved in carcinogenesis. These insights were originally gained from studies of rare diseases and from creative cell biological studies that looked beyond the structural role of desmosomal proteins. Applying these new insights will suggest promising new targets for therapy of rare and more common skin diseases.
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**WNT signaling in stem cell differentiation and tumor formation**
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Embryonic stem cells (ESCs) hold great therapeutic promise for the regeneration of functional cell types and clinical applications. However, tumorigenic potential of stem cells in a transplanted host remains a major obstacle. In this issue of the *JCI*, Cui and colleagues identified TCF7-mediated canonical WNT signaling as a critical determinant of both the tumorigenicity and therapeutic function of ESC-derived retinal progenitor cells (ESC-RPCs). Their findings suggested that addressing key extracellular signaling and related intrinsic factors will be essential for the successful use of ESC-derived progenitor transplantation.

Photoreceptor degeneration underlies major causes of blindness, including macular degeneration and retinitis pigmentosa, affecting tens of millions of people worldwide. In theory, vision of affected patients may improve if the diseased cells (rods and cones) are replaced with new, healthy cells that form appropriate connections with the host retina. Embryonic stem cells (ESCs) possess unlimited self-renewal capabilities and the ability to differentiate into any adult cell type (1). These unique features make ESC-based therapy appealing for the treatment of various degenerative disorders but may also cause unwanted serious side effects. It has been previously demonstrated that ESCs or ESC-derived progenitors spontaneously form tumors upon transplantation in vivo, even when the cells are predifferentiated or presorted (2). Despite this issue, successful cell replacement of human ESC–derived (hESC–derived) retinal cells for vision restoration in animal models of photoreceptor degeneration has been reported recently. Photoreceptor precursors derived from hESCs have been shown to migrate into Crx-deficient mouse retina following intraocular injection, express appropriate markers for both rod and cone photoreceptors, and subsequently restore some light responses (3). In another study, subretinal transplantation of hESC–derived retinal pigment epithelium in patients with Stargardt’s macular dystrophy and dry age-related macular degeneration improved visual acuity, with no signs of hyperproliferation or tumorigenicity after 4 months (4). These examples illustrate purity, stability, and proper localization of transplanted cells in vivo and prompted the development of numerous differentiation protocols. Still, the risk of tumor formation remains a barrier, because there are no parameters to quantify safety factors and because the appropriate stage of differentiation at which ESC-derived progenitors should be used has not been well evaluated. In this issue, Cui and colleagues attempted to address the above concerns by identifying major extracellular signaling and intrinsic factors controlling tumorigenicity and therapeutic potential of ESC-derived retinal progenitor cells (ESC-RPCs) (5).

ESC tumorigenic potential

The best proof of pluripotency of ESCs is their ability to form teratomas in which the stem cells differentiate to various tissue types of the embryo in a disordered fashion following transplantation into immunosuppressed mice. Teratomas usually contain all three germ layers and have typical tumor characteristics (6). In other words, ESCs are naturally tum-