Obstructive sleep apnea and insight into mechanisms of sympathetic overactivity
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Nearly two decades ago, we evaluated ten patients with obstructive sleep apnea (OSA). We determined that alarming nocturnal oscillations in arterial pressure and sympathetic nerve activity (SNA) were caused by regulatory coupling and neural interactions among SNA, apnea, and ventilation. Patients with OSA exhibited high levels of SNA when awake, during normal ventilation, and during normoxia, which contributed to hypertension and organ damage. Additionally, we achieved a beneficial and potentially lifesaving reduction in SNA through the application of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP), which remains a primary therapeutic approach for patients with OSA. With these results in hindsight, we herein discuss three concepts with functional and therapeutic relevance to the integrative neurobiology of autonomic cardiovascular control and to the mechanisms involved in excessive sympathoexcitation in OSA.

Neural reflex interactions determine optimal autonomic cardiovascular response

An integrated autonomic response to combined stress signals is essential for optimal adjustment. For example, in the cardiovascular system, circulatory responses are typically mediated through activation of the sympathetic and parasympathetic branches of the autonomic system and serve to maintain blood flow and oxygen delivery to vital organs (1, 2).

The oxygen-conserving reflex. Responses to obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) are triggered by hypoxia and apnea. During hypoxia, chemoreceptor activation promotes hyperventilation to enhance oxygen delivery to blood, which is followed by sympathetically mediated vasoconstriction to redistribute oxygenated blood flow to vital organs (1, 2) and parasympathetically activated bradycardia to reduce myocardial oxygen demand (3). Within each respiratory cycle, the cardiovascular response is maximal during expiration and suppressed during inspiration (4); however, this inhibitory coupling of respiratory afferent nerves with the chemoreceptor-mediated excitation of both sympathetic and parasympathetic effectors is dysfunctional during apnea (1, 3). Apnea unbridles an intense cardiovascular response in order to maintain oxygen delivery, reduce cardiac oxygen demand, and promote survival. This is an oxygen-conserving reflex, similar to the evolutionarily conserved diving reflex in seals and ducks, that occurs in humans during facial immersion and apnea (5–7). Unfortunately, this physiologic response to hypoxia becomes pathological when the enhanced sympathoexcitation is sustained over years, as is the case in OSA.

Baroreceptor-chemoreceptor interaction. Several sensory signals converge during stress, resulting in an integrated reflex response. We have reported several examples of occlusive and facilitatory central interactions in humans (Figure 1). For example, there is a central interaction between heat sensation in the extremities and arterial baroreceptor afferents that prevents baroreflex vasoconstriction locally, preserving the need for heat dissipation while maintaining arterial pressure (8). Another important interaction is the coordination between increased arterial pressure and baroreceptor activity, which overrides chemoreceptor reflex to prevent hyperventilation and reflex vasoconstriction (9, 10). In hypertensive humans, hypoxia and apnea-associated chemoreceptor responses are exaggerated, enhancing both sympathetic nerve activity (SNA) and parasympathetic bradycardia (3, 11, 12). The enhanced chemoreceptor reflex associated with hypertension is likely attributable, at least in part, to simultaneous baroreceptor reflex suppression (12, 13). Studies by our group revealed that baroreceptor activation suppresses chemoreceptor-mediated SNA increases (9, 11, 12); subsequently, Zucker et al. revealed that carotid sinus nerve stimulation provides a survival advantage in a canine heart failure model (14). Together, these results provided rationale for recent clinical trials to evaluate electrical stimulation of carotid sinus nerves as an antihypertensive therapy in patients with drug-resistant hypertension (15). Preliminary results are promising and indicate a dual benefit of sympathoinhibition and parasympathetic activation for patients in heart failure. We believe that the beneficial SNA suppression is the result of both arterial baroreflex-mediated sympathoinhibition and suppression of chemoreceptor-mediated sympathoexcitation (11). Elegant studies by Schreihofer and colleagues have provided electrophysiological evidence that the central interaction between baroreceptor and chemoreceptor signals and the central respiratory modulation of SNA during acute hypoxia occurs in neurons of the caudal ventrolateral medulla (CVLM) (16, 17).

The carotid body in hypertension. The finding that excessive SNA associates with chemoreceptor overactivity and hypertension led to multiple studies on the drivers of glomus cell activation in response to hypoxia and acidosis. Identified molecular determinates include the electron transport chain, reactive oxygen, NO, and K+ channels (reviewed in ref. 18). We determined that overexpression of the acid-sensing ion channels (ASICs) and two-pore domain K+ channels enhanced pH sensitivity in glomus cells in young spontaneously hypertensive rats (SHRs) prior to hypertension onset (4). Additionally, we found that carotid body resection had an antihypertensive effect when performed in young prehypertensive SHRs. In fact, resection reduced systolic pressure rise over a five-month period in SHRs (19). Similar results were observed and published contemporaneously by Paton and colleagues (20). Cur-
Currently, carotid body resection along with carotid sinus nerve stimulation, vagal nerve stimulation, and renal sympathetic denervation are under consideration as options for treating drug-resistant hypertension and heart failure (21, 22).

Neuroplasticity and neuroimmune mechanisms sustain OSA-associated sympathoexcitation and organ damage
The inappropriate sustained SNA increase in OSA patients likely contributes to hypertension, organ damage, and mortality; however, it is unclear how excessive SNA develops in these patients. Several factors, including obesity and increased carotid body chemoreceptor sensitivity due to intermittent hypoxia, have been considered. Obesity could mechanically obstruct the airway and increase SNA through leptin, insulin, angiotensin, and cytokine actions; however, many OSA patients are not obese (23). Carotid body hypersensitivity as a result of intermittent hypoxia has been confirmed in animal models of OSA. In fact, plasticity of the carotid body glomus cells with long-term sensory facilitation and sensitization have been reported (18, 24) and associated with ROS and NOX2-dependent accumulation of HIF1 and the transcriptional coactivator CREB-binding protein (25).

Central neuroplasticity. A provocative possibility for OSA-associated SNA dysfunction is that excessive activation of CNS nuclei induces a process of neuroplasticity that increases the excitatory drive to the rostral ventrolateral medulla (RVLM) and sustains a high sympathetic tone independently of the peripheral sensory signals (Figure 1 and ref. 26).

We previously reported such an excessive central excitatory drive of renal SNA in aging ten-year-old beagles, whose baseline renal nerve activity was drastically higher than predicted based on total deafferentation of the inhibitory aortic, carotid, and vagal afferents (27). Recently, Johnson and colleagues reported that angiotensin II sensitization induces a molecular neurogenic substrate in central neurons that promotes hypertension (28). Furthermore, the authors revealed that after a delay period of sensitization, prohypertensive molecules were pronounced in critical CNS sites, thus provoking an increase in SNA. Intriguingly, induction of prohypertensive molecules may also result from various environmental and psychogenic stresses, including episodic periods of hypoxia, as observed in OSA. Molecular sensitization may be sustained by positive feedback, which

Figure 1
Enhancement of SNA promotes cardiovascular disease. (A) Under normal conditions, hypothalamic modulators, including aldosterone (Aldo), angiotensin II (All), endothelin 1 (ET1), arginine vasopressin (AVP), NO, ANP, and cytokines, influence SNA. In healthy individuals, SNA is promoted by excitatory neural input (red) in response to peripheral stress. Simultaneously, peripheral responses (green), such as the arterial baroreceptor reflex and the cardiopulmonary and other vagal afferent reflexes, buffer the increase in SNA and maintain homeostasis. (B) Patients with OSA exhibit sustained excessive SNA, due to a pathological increase of excitatory neural input (red) and prevention and/or decrease of the protective inhibitory signals (green). Sustained SNA promotes proinflammatory immune responses and, ultimately, cardiovascular disease–associated end-organ damage.
Could explain the sustained hypertensive effect associated with chronic intermittent hypoxia as reported by Cunningham (29).

Neuroimmune mechanisms. The immune system contributes to cardiovascular diseases, including arrhythmias, hypertension, atherosclerosis, and heart failure (30). Tissue injury promotes release of damage-associated molecular pattern molecules (DAMPs) that in turn activate receptors on innate immune cells or resident inflammatory cells in various organs, such as microglia in the CNS (31). Receptor activation triggers cytokine release into the circulation or at specific brain nuclei, which can induce neuronal sympathoexcitation and enhance the homing of inflammatory cells to vulnerable end-organs. In the CNS, the inflammatory response results in sympathoexcitatory effects that induce positive feedback, and signals associated with increased SNA induce mobilization of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells and their proliferation (32).

During the past decade, several studies have demonstrated an interaction between the autonomic system and the immune system. Harrison’s group convincingly demonstrated a critical role for T lymphocytes in angiotensin II–induced sympathoexcitation and hypertension (33). We found that the innate immune system of genetically hypertensive rats is abnormally proinflammatory due to activation of autonomic receptors on immune cells, which enhances their inflammatory response to TLR activation (34, 35). The link between the autonomic system and the immune system may promote the marked accentuation of end-organ damage and increased mortality ascribed to excessive sympathoexcitiation in myocardial infarction, hypertension, and heart failure. In fact, vagal nerve stimulation has an antiinflammatory effect (36), which may account for the dramatically prolonged survival documented in animal models of heart failure (37). While it is clear that OSA promotes a proinflammatory state, it remains to be seen whether antiinflammatory treatments can reduce and/or reverse the OSA-associated sustained sympathetic drive and delay or prevent organ damage (31).

Could improvement of a simple device affect cardiovascular disease?

OSA is an aggregate of dysfunctions, but characteristically, it is a chronic sleep disorder associated with incessantly recur-